# CANY GIP Report

NPS Guardwall/Rail Inventory Program Canyonlands National Park






Federal Lands Highway Road Inventory Program Prepared By: Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division Road Inventory Program (RIP)

Data Collection Date: April 2010 Report Date: November 2015

### Canyonlands National Park in Utah



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community Esri, DeLorme, GEBCO, NOAA NGDC, and other contributors

## **Table of Contents**

SEC	TION	PAGE NO.
1.	INTRODUCTION	1 - 1
2.	PARK BARRIER LOCATION MAPS	
	Retaining Barrier Location Maps	2 - 1
3.	TIER 1 - PARK BARRIER OVERVIEW	3 - 1
4.	TIER 2 - ROUTE BARRIER OVERVIEW	4 - 1
5.	TIER 3 - BARRIER DETAILS	5 - 1
6.	APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF GIP DEFINITIONS	A - 1

# Introduction



## **Canyonlands National Park**



#### **Introduction**

In support of the NPS Facility Management Software System (FMSS) asset management program, FHWA- contracted staff completed the Guardwall/Rail Inventory Program (GIP) inspections within selected National Park Service (NPS) units between 2010 and 2011. This inventory provides static information to FMSS regarding barrier characteristics such as height, length and location, as well as dynamic information about the condition of the barrier. In addition, when barrier deficiencies were identified, repair recommendations and estimated costs, suitable for use as FMSS work orders, were generated to bring the barrier back to its "new" condition.

In over 30 parks, numerous crashworthy barriers inspected maybe in poor condition by simply applying a new overlay of asphalt without milling previous layers. In instances such as this, basically the critical element of barrier height decreased as the elevation of the roadway increased. Resulting work orders were drafted to raise w-beam barriers or to remove and reset stone masonry barriers to their original design height.

This inventory provides static information and a condition assessment of each barrier inventoried. In addition, when barrier deficiencies were identified, repair recommendations and estimated costs were drafted to bring the barrier back to its "new" condition.

Drafted work orders have been classified as being either deferred maintenance or capital improvement. This classification is based on the type of work recommended, as defined below.

- *Deferred Maintenance* can be classified as repair or replace in kind. Work done to the barrier does not include any upgrading.
- *Capital Improvement* can be classified as upgrading part of or the entire existing barrier. Typically the upgrade will be from a non-crashworthy to a crashworthy device. Other examples of capital improvements would be the addition of a curb to improve drainage.

Care was taken to maintain the cultural significance of historic barriers located in the NPS. While historic traffic barriers likely would not withstand current crashworthiness performance criteria, they are considered by the NPS to be important resources for the historic and/or cultural value. Historic barriers may be "character defining features" that contribute to the cultural significance of historic roadways. As such, these barriers have resource value in and of themselves which may be somewhat independent from their functionality as barriers as previously defined. The consideration of both the crashworthiness and resource value of historic barriers was a significant challenge for the NPS and the FHWA when designing the GIP, to the point that for historic stone masonry barriers, the barrier height had to be more than 6-in below its design height before any work would be considered to deal with height issues. To preserve historic stone masonry barriers, typical drafted work orders for historic barriers were to remove and reset the barrier to the barrier's original design height on a concrete footer, as compared to replacing it with a similar crashworthy barrier.

This report is organized in a tiered approach from the broad park overview perspective (Tier 1) to a route overview perspective (Tier 2), then down to the details of each barrier (Tier 3). Tier 1 presents park barrier location maps and an overall park-specific summary narrative of the results of the guardwall/rail inventory program. Tier 2 presents route overview maps with associated barrier summary information. Tier 3 presents individual barrier information in a one-page detailed format, including a photograph of each barrier. Appendix A provides a condensed summary of guardwall/rail inventory definitions and assessment categories to assist in reading this report.

# **Park Barrier Location Maps**




## **Canyonlands National Park**



### **Canyonlands National Park** BARRIER LOCATION MAP

Key Map




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

RIP Collected Routes




BARRIER LOCATION MAP

Map 1




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community





BARRIER LOCATION MAP

Map 2




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community






BARRIER LOCATION MAP

Map 3




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community





BARRIER LOCATION MAP

Map 4




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier Locations
 RIP Collected Routes




BARRIER LOCATION MAP

Map 5



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier Locations
 RIP Collected Routes



# **Tier 1 Park Barrier Overview**



**Canyonlands National Park** 



### Parkwide Summary: Canyonlands National Park

Initial barrier inspections were conducted at Canyonlands National Park in 2010, and encompassed all known barriers associated with Park roadways. In general, walls are not included in this assessment, but were inspected under a separate effort as part of the Retaining Wall Inventory Program (WIP).

All paved roadways and parking areas listed in the RIP Route Identification Report were inspected for barriers.

The following tables provide an overview of the findings of this inspection and assessment effort. In all, 29 barriers were inventoried on the routes listed below.

Route Number	Route Name	No. of Barriers
0010	NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD	13
0011	ISLAND IN THE SKY ROAD	6
0113	GREEN RIVER OVERLOOK ROAD	1
0114	UPHEAVAL DOME ROAD	7
0407	I-SKY MAINTENANCE ROAD	2

#### Table 1: Number of Barriers by Route

Due to the different GIP assessment criteria of barriers based on their intended use, barriers were classified as being either traffic barriers or non-traffic barriers.

- *Traffic* barriers are physical devices intended to keep vehicles or people from straying into dangerous or off-limits areas. For the purpose of this inventory, a traffic barrier is categorized as roadside hardware placed longitudinally, excluding pedestrian railing and fencing.
- Non-traffic barriers provide a physical delineation between public access areas and restricted or protected areas in locations such as a parking lot, viewpoint or turnout. Non-traffic barriers which inhibit access of vehicles are included in this report; non-traffic barriers which only inhibit access of pedestrians or bicyclists are not included. For the purpose of this inventory, non-traffic barriers are guidewalls and guiderails. Note: rocks, stones, boulders, fences or curbs were excluded from this inventory.

There are instances in parks where a single barrier can switch between being classified as a traffic barrier and a non-traffic barrier. Such instances typically occur at pullouts, where a traffic barrier along the road will continue through the pullout without interruption. In such instances, the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier were assessed using different criteria. Due to the different criteria, the GIP database was designed to record the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier as multiple distinct barriers, even though to the eye, they appear as one barrier. Other instances where a single barrier is split into multiple barriers would be when the barrier is placed continuously along two legs of an intersection, so that one portion of the barrier may be on one road and the remaining portion of the barrier is on a different road.

Barrier Function	No. of Barriers
NON-TRAFFIC	2
TRAFFIC	27

#### Table 2: Number of Barriers by Function

The following table shows the barrier types that were inventoried and assessed.

 Table 3: Number of Barriers by Type

Primary Barrier Type	No. of Barriers
W-Beam Strong Post	25
W-Beam Weak Post	4

The following table shows the number of barriers by one of four categories of recommended action along with associated work order costs and the number of barriers that are in each recommended action. All work order information is presented for individual barriers, even though some work orders were not accepted by the Park. Some work orders were later combined to simplify route deferred maintenance requests.

Recommended Action	Repair Costs*	No. of Barriers
No Action	\$0	9
Monitor	\$0	0
Repair	\$98,263	20
Replace	\$0	0
Totals	\$98,263	29

Table 4: Number of Barriers by Recommended Action and Associated 2008 Cost

\*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.

The following table categorizes the number of barriers that fall into one of ten cost ranges, based on the prepared work orders. The locations, work descriptions, and cost of the recommended repairs for these barriers are listed by individual barrier in Tier 3 of this report.

Cost Range*	No. of Barriers
\$0	9
\$1 - \$25,000	20
\$25,001 - \$50,000	0
\$50,001 - \$100,000	0
\$100,001 - \$250,000	0
\$250,001 - \$500,000	0
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	0
\$1,000,001 - \$2,000,000	0
\$2,000,001 - \$3,000,000	0
\$3,000,001 - \$4,000,000	0
Total Number of Barriers	29


#### Table 5: Number of Barriers Grouped by Associated 2008 Cost

\*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.

Data for end terminals was collected on the GIP data collection form and indicates if an end terminal meets current crashworthiness standards. End terminals are specially designed barrier ends that attenuate impacts to the ends of barriers. This is supplemental information that WASO designed into the inventory program.

A total of 40 end terminals were found on barriers at the Park. There are generally a greater number of end treatments than actual barriers because end treatments are located at both the beginning and end of each barrier.


# **Tier 2 Route Barrier Overview**



**Canyonlands National Park** 




ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	*Repair		
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost	
CANY-0010-0.709-R	862	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$20,075.00	
4/13/2010						
CANY-0010-0.762-L	457	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$10,197.00	
4/13/2010						
CANY-0010-14.756-L	127	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BCT	\$0.00	
4/13/2010						
CANY-0010-14.761-R	129	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	NONE	\$0.00	
4/13/2010						
CANY-0010-14.794-L	127	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	NONE	\$2,238.00	
4/13/2010						
*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.						


ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	*Repair	
<b>Inspection Date</b>	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
CANY-0010-14.795-R 4/13/2010	128	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BCT	\$0.00
CANY-0010-17.678-L 4/13/2010	345	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	W-BEAM TANGENT 350 COMPLIANT	\$3,482.00
CANY-0010-19.347-R 4/13/2010	560	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM TANGENT 350 COMPLIANT	NONE	\$2,129.00
CANY-0010-19.441-L 4/13/2010	68	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	\$0.00
CANY-0010-19.485-R 4/13/2010	81	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM TANGENT 350 COMPLIANT	\$2,018.00
*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.					


ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End Treatment		*Repair	
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost	
CANY-0010-19.486-L 4/13/2010	80	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	NONE	\$0.00	
CANY-0010-20.520-R 4/13/2010	420	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	W-BEAM TANGENT 350 COMPLIANT	\$6,534.00	
CANY-0010-20.781-R 4/13/2010	634	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM TANGENT 350 COMPLIANT	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	\$1,952.00	
*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.						


ROUTE 0011: ISLAND IN THE SKY ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	<b>Barrier End Treatment</b>		*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
CANY-0011-7.471-L	154	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$1,876.00
4/14/2010					
CANY-0011-7.472-R	205	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$0.00
4/14/2010					
CANY-0011-7.619-L	181	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$2,074.00
4/14/2010					
CANY-0011-7.622-R	632	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$18,315.00
4/14/2010					
CANY-0011-7.835-R	782	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$12,350.00
4/14/2010					
*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.					


ROUTE 0011: ISLAND IN THE SKY ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End Treatment		*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
CANY-0011-16.841-L	165	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$1,788.00
4/14/2010					
*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.					


### **Canyonlands National Park** ROUTE 0113: GREEN RIVER OVERLOOK ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length		Barrier En	d Treatment	*Repair	
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost	
CANY-0113-1.207-L	201	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	NONE	\$2,150.00	
4/15/2010						
*	*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.					

### **Canyonlands National Park** ROUTE 0114: UPHEAVAL DOME ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Internap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	*Repair				
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost			
CANY-0114-2.235-L	205	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$0.00			
4/15/2010								
CANY-0114-2.324-L	781	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$2,426.00			
4/15/2010								
CANY-0114-2.618-L	368	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BURIED	W-BEAM BCT	\$99.00			
4/15/2010			END					
CANY-0114-2.950-L	244	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$2,096.00			
4/15/2010								
CANY-0114-2.955-R	200	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$2,646.00			
4/15/2010								
*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.								

### **Canyonlands National Park** ROUTE 0114: UPHEAVAL DOME ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	d Treatment	*Repair		
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost		
CANY-0114-3.721-L	255	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$1,788.00		
4/15/2010							
CANY-0114-4.442-R	248	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$2,030.00		
4/15/2010							
	*2008 cost estimate (A)	STM Class D) preliminary for or	mparison to other repair on	ste only			
*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.							

### **Canyonlands National Park** ROUTE 0407: I-SKY MAINTENANCE ROAD

GPS is not available because the route is unpaved.

Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier							
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost				
CANY-0407-0.098-L	29	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$0.00				
4/12/2010									
CANY-0407-0.100-L	17	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$0.00				
4/12/2010									
*	*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.								

# Tier 3 Barrier Details



**Canyonlands National Park** 



Ba	arrier ID:	CANY-001	0-0.709-R						
Rou	te Name:	NEEDLES	NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD						
Inspect	ion Date:	04/13/201	0		Barrier Rating:	66.50			
Barrier Descripti		0 11 10/201			Durrier Huving				
-		WEAK POST	В	Sarrier Function:	TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL		Post Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	N/A			Length (ft.):	862			
Speed Limi	t (MPH):	55		]	Placement with Respect to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE		
Hazard Behind	Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-2		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:			Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0 Post Spacing			150.6		
<b>Height (In.):</b> 21.3			Lateral Offset (In.):	62.0	Road G	rade (%):	0.20		
Physical Conditio		ment and Height:	Barrier is leaning outward Height of barrier is 3-8in b			-	n alignment.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing	Elements:	Two missing bolts. No missing posts or rails.						
		osion and eathering:	Animal trail along back of weathering of wood posts.	rail resulting in los	s of burial depth on pos	sts. Minimal c	orrosion. Minor		
Alignment and Height:       End terminal consists of steel spoon section. Height is more than 5-in below 27-in. design height is not crashworthy.							design height and		
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	CANY-0010-0.709-R					
Rou	ite Name:	NEEDLES	NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD					
Inspect	tion Date:	04/13/201	0	Barrier	Rating:	66.50		
Repair Recomme	endations							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$20075	
Brief Workorder:	Raise 862ft.	Raise 862ft. of barrier to 27-in. design height.						
Workorder:       Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 862 LF = \$8620. Raise 862ft. of barrier to 27-in. design height.         Structural Backfill at \$50- per -Cu. Yd. for 1 CY = \$50. Add backfill to eroded area around one post.         Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 3 Hrs = \$180. Dig drainage to divert water around eroded area.         High Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 4 Day(s) = \$9400.								
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.							

ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD

**Barrier Condition Photos** 



CANY\_0010\_0.709\_R\_1.JPG

Barrier I Route Nan Inspection Da								
Inspection Da		NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD						
Inspection Da	04/12/20	10		Descise Define	54.00			
	te: 04/13/20	10		<b>Barrier Rating:</b>	54.00			
<b>Barrier Description</b>								
Ty	W-BEAM	WEAK POST	Ba	arrier Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier Material: GALVAN		ZED STEEL		Post Material:	WOOD			
Blocke				Length (ft.):	457			
Speed Limit (MPI				Placement with	TANGENT			
Speed Linit (MI	l).   55			Respect to Road:	millen			
Hazard Behind Barri	er: HIGH							
<b>Barrier Crashworthine</b>	SS							
Appropriate Test TL-3		Barrier	TL-2		Is Barrier	NO		
Level:		Test Level:		Crash	worthy?:			
Beg. End Trtmt NONE Type:		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt         NONE		Ending End Trtmt	N/A	11411514	ion rype.			
Type:		Crashhworthy?:						
Average Measurement	5							
Design Height (In.): 27		Width (In.):	0.0 Post Space		cing (In.):	151.0		
<b>Height (In.):</b> 20.7	Lateral Offset (In.):	77.6	Road G	rade (%):	0.30			
Physical Condition								
A	ignment and Height:	Alignment of barrier is acc 27-in for entire length.	eptable. Barrier is n	nore than 5-in below c	urrent design	height standards of		
I I	Breaking and							
Barrier	Cracking:							
Missi	ng Elements:	No missing elements in ba	rrier.					
C	rrrosion and	Minor corrosion of w-beam	n at a few posts.					
	Weathering:							
	ignment and	End treatment consists of s	teel spoon section. F	Height is more than 5-i	n below 27-in	design height		
	Alignment and Height:End treatment consists of steel spoon section. Height is more than 5-in below 27-in. design height and is not crashworthy.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Breaking and							
End Treatments	Cracking:							
Missi	ng Elements:							
Co	rrrosion and Weathering:							

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	CANY-0010-0.762-L					
Rou	ite Name:	NEEDLES	NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD					
Inspect	tion Date:	04/13/201	0	Barrier	· Rating:	54.00		
Repair Recomme	endations							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$10197	
Brief Workorder:	Raise 457ft. of barrier to 27-in. design height.							
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 457 LF = \$4570. Raise 457ft. of barrier to 27-in. design height. High Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$4700.								
2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.								

ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD

### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0010\_0.762\_L\_1.JPG

R	arrier ID:	CANY-001	0-14.756-L						
	ite Name:		NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD						
T	tion Dete	04/12/201	0	n	nion Datin -	13.60			
		04/13/201	0	Bar	rier Rating:	13.00			
Barrier Descripti									
Type: W-BEAM		W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrie	er Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier Material: WEATH		WEATHER	RING	Post Material:		WOOD			
		STEEL/CO							
	Blockout	WOOD		]	Length (ft.):	127			
Constant in the second se	Type:	35		DL		TANGENT	۰ ۲		
Speed Lim	It (MPH):	55			cement with ect to Road:	TANGENT			
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	MEDIUM				1			
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness								
Appropriate Test	TL-2		Barrier	TL-3		Is Barrier	YES		
Level:			Test Level:		Crash	worthy?:			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	RIGID W-BEAM - W-BEAM		
Ending End Trtmt	W-BEAM	BCT	Ending End Trtmt	NO		ion ryper			
Туре:			Crashhworthy?:						
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0 Post Space		cing (In.):	75.3		
Height (In.):   28.2   Lateral Offset (I				71.0	Road G	rade (%):	0.80		
<b>Physical Condition</b>	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in d	lesign height.				
		aking and	0						
Barrier		Cracking:							
	Missing	Elements:	No missing barrier element	ts.					
	_								
	Corr	osion and	No corrosion. Minor weat	hering of posts and blocks	No erosion to c	compromise st	ability of guardrail		
		eathering:	posts.	for posts and process			uonity of guardian		
	Align	ment and	Alignment acceptable He	ight within 1-in of 27-in d	esign height				
	Aligi	Height:							
End Treatments	Breaking and       No cracking or breaking of ending end treatment elements.         End Treatments       Cracking:								
	Missing	Elements:	No elements missing from	ending end treatment.					
		osion and	No corrosion. Minor weat	hering of posts and blocks	5.				
	We	eathering:							

Barrier	D: CANY-00	CANY-0010-14.756-L						
Route Name: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD								
Inspection Da	te: 04/13/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	13.60			
Repair Recommendati	ons							
RepairNO A0Action:	TION	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0		
Brief Workorder:								
Workorder:								
2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.								

ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD

### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0010\_14.756\_L\_1.JPG

NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD         Inspection Date:       04/13/2010       Barrier Rating:       13.60         Barrier Description       Warrier Warrier       Warrier Warrier Warrier       Warrier Warrier Warrier       Barrier Function:       TRAFFIC         Barrier Material:       Weatherine:       Weatherine:       Weatherine:       Warrier Warrier       Wood         Barrier Material:       Weatherine:       Weatherine:       Weatherine:       Warrier Warrier       Wood       Post Material:       Wood       Wood         Blockout       Wood       Length (ft.):       129       Image: State S	B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	0-14.761-R				
Barrier Description       Type:     W-BEAM STRONG POST     Barrier Function:     TRAFFIC       Barrier Material:     WCOD     Length (fL):     129       Blockout       WOOD     Length (fL):     129       Speed Limit (MPH):       35     Placement with Respect to Road:       Material:     WOOD       Hazement with Respect to Road:       Material:     WEDUM       Barrier Crashworthiness       Appropriate Test Level:     T1-2     Barrier Test Level:     Crashworthy?:       Beg. End Trint Type:     W-BEAM BCT     Is Beg. End Trint Crashworthy?:     No     Approach       Beg. End Trint Type:     WONE     Ending Ent Trint Crashworthy?:     No     Approach       Roid Desturements     Crashworthy?:     Crashworthy?:     W-BEAM       Average Measurements     Crashworthy?:     Crashworthy?:     W-BEAM       Average Measurements       Beight (In.):     27     Width (In.):     0.0     Post Spacing (In.):     75.0       Height (In.):     27     Lateral Offset (In.):     53.2     Road Grade (%):     1.40       Physical Condition       Alignment and Height: </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>								
Barrier Description       Type:     W-BEAM STRONG POST     Barrier Function:     TRAFFIC       Barrier Material:     WCOD     Length (fL):     129       Blockout       WOOD     Length (fL):     129       Speed Limit (MPH):       35     Placement with Respect to Road:       Material:     WOOD       Hazement with Respect to Road:       Material:     WEDUM       Barrier Crashworthiness       Appropriate Test Level:     T1-2     Barrier Test Level:     Crashworthy?:       Beg. End Trint Type:     W-BEAM BCT     Is Beg. End Trint Crashworthy?:     No     Approach       Beg. End Trint Type:     WONE     Ending Ent Trint Crashworthy?:     No     Approach       Roid Desturements     Crashworthy?:     Crashworthy?:     W-BEAM       Average Measurements     Crashworthy?:     Crashworthy?:     W-BEAM       Average Measurements       Beight (In.):     27     Width (In.):     0.0     Post Spacing (In.):     75.0       Height (In.):     27     Lateral Offset (In.):     53.2     Road Grade (%):     1.40       Physical Condition       Alignment and Height: </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>04/10/201</th> <th>0</th> <th></th> <th>D I D I</th> <th>12 (0</th> <th></th>			04/10/201	0		D I D I	12 (0	
Image: state in the state			04/13/201	0		Barrier Rating:	13.60	
Note: Note	Barrier Descripti	on						
STEEL/CORTEN       Image: Streel/Correspondence of the stree of the		Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Ba	arrier Function:	TRAFFIC	
Type:     Image: speed Limit (MPH);     35     Placement with Respect to Road;       Hazard Behind Barrier;     MEDUM     TANGENT     TANGENT       Barrier Crashworth?:     MEDUM       Barrier Crashworth?:     TI-3     Is Barrier Crashworth?:       Appropriate Test Level;     TI-3     Is Barrier Crashworth?:     YES       Beg. End Trunt propriate Type;     W=BAM BCT     Is Beg. End Trunt NO     Transition Type;     WEGAM       Ending End Trunt Type;     NONE     Ending End Trunt (Crashworth?)?     N/A     W=BAM       Beign Height (In,):     27     Width (In,):     0.0     Post Spacing (In,):     75.0       Height (In,):     27.2     Width (In,):     53.2     Road Grade (%):     1.40       Physical Condition       Bareaking and Crasking or crasking or crasking or crasking or crasking in burier.       Gorrrosion and Mo corrosion or weathering in harrier.       Gorrrosion and Mo corrosion or weathering in harrier.       Gorrrosion and Mo corrosion or weathering in end treatment.       Breaking and Crasking or crasking or crasking in end treatment.     Image: space State Sta	Barrier	Material:				Post Material:	WOOD	
Image: constraint (constraint)       Method Barrier:       Method Barrier:<			WOOD			Length (ft.):	129	
Barrier Crashworthiess         Appropriate Test Level:       TL-2       Barrier Test Level:       TL-3       Is Barrier Crashworthy?:       YES         Beg. End Trint Type:       W-BEAM BCT       Is Beg. End Trint Crashhworthy?:       NO       Approach Approach Transition Type:       RIGID W-BEAM- Crashhworthy?:         Ending End Trint Type:       NONE       Ending End Trint Crashhworthy?:       N/A       Transition Type:       W-BEAM         Average Measurements       Ending End Trint Crashhworthy?:       N/A       Post Spacing (In.):       75.0         Design Height (In.):       27       Width (In.):       0.0       Post Spacing (In.):       75.0         Height (In.):       27.2       Lateral Offset (In.):       53.2       Road Grade (%):       1.40         Physical Condition       Vignment and Height:       Alignment and Cracking:       No breaking or cracking in barrier.       Vignment and Cracking:       Missing elements:       No missing elements in barrier.         Missing Elements:       No corrosion or weathering in barrier.       No breaking or cracking in end treatment.       Vignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.       Vignment acceptable.         End Treatments       Alignment and Height:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.       Vignment acceptable.       No breaking or cracking in end	Speed Lim	it (MPH):	35				TANGENT	
Appropriate Test Level:       TL-2       Barrier Test Level:       TL-3       Is Barrier Crashworthy?:       YES         Beg. End Trtmt Type:       W-BEAM BCT       Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashworthy?:       NO       Approach Transition Type       RIGID W-BEAM- W-BEAM         Ending End Trtmt Type:       NONE       Ending End Trtmt Crashworthy?:       N/A       Transition Type       W-BEAM         Avcrage Measurement Type:       NONE       Ending End Trtmt Crashworthy?:       N/A       Transition Type       W-BEAM         Avcrage Measurement Type:       27       Width (In.):       0.0       Post Spacing (In.):       75.0         Height (In.):       27.2       Lateral Offset (In.):       53.2       Road Grade (%):       1.40         Physical Condition       37.0       No breaking or cracking in burrier.       Statement acceptable: Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.       Statement Stateme	Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	MEDIUM					
Level:       Test Level:       Crashworthy?:         Beg. End Trtmt Type:       W-BEAM BCT Crashhworthy?:       Is Beg. End Trtmt N/A       NO       Approach Transition Type:       RIGID W-BEAM - W-BEAM         Ending End Trtmt Type:       NONE       Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:       N/A       Image: Second Seco	Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Beg. End Trtmt Type:       W-BEAM BCT Type:       Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:       NO       Approach Transition Type:       RGID W-BEAM- W-BEAM         Ending End Trtmt Type:       NONE       Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:       N/A		TL-2			TL-3			YES
Type:       Crashhworthy?:       Image: Crashhworthy?:       Image: Crashhworthy?:       Image: Crashhworthy?:         Average Measurements       27       Width (In.):       0.0       Post Spacing (In.):       75.0         Height (In.):       27.2       Lateral Offset (In.):       53.2       Road Grade (%):       1.40         Physical Condition:       Size       Road Grade (%):       1.40         Physical Condition:       Alignment and Height:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.       Image: Cracking: Cracking: Cracking:       Image: Cracking: Cracking: Cracking: Cracking: Image: Cracking: Image: Cracking: Cracking: Cracking:       No missing elements in barrier.       Image: Cracking: Cracking: Image: Crack	_	W-BEAM I	BCT		NO			
Design Height (In.):       27       Width (In.):       0.0       Post Spacing (In.):       75.0         Height (In.):       27.2       Lateral Offset (In.):       53.2       Road Grade (%):       1.40         Physical Condition         Barrier         Alignment and Height:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.         Breaking and Cracking:       No breaking or cracking in barrier.       Image: Corrrosion and Weathering:       No missing elements in barrier.         Corrrosion and Height:       No corrosion or weathering in barrier.       Image: Corrosion and Height:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.         End Treatments       Alignment and Cracking:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.         Missing Elements:       No breaking or cracking in end treatment.       Image: Corrosion and Height:       Image: Corrosion and Height:         Missing Elements:       No breaking or cracking in end treatment.       Image: Corrosion and Cracking:       No missing elements in end treatment.		NONE			N/A			
Height (In.):       27.2       Lateral Offset (In.):       53.2       Road Grade (%):       1.40         Physical Condition       Alignment and Height:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.       1.40         Barrier       Breaking and Cracking:       No breaking or cracking in barrier.       1.40         Missing Elements:       No breaking or cracking in barrier.       Missing elements:       No missing elements in barrier.         Corrrosion and Weathering:       No corrosion or weathering in barrier.       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.         End Treatments       Reaking and Cracking:       No breaking or cracking in end treatment.         End Treatments       No breaking or cracking in end treatment.         Corrrosion and No corrosion or weathering in end treatment.       No missing elements in end treatment.	Average Measure	ements						
Height (In.):       27.2       Lateral Offset (In.):       53.2       Road Grade (%):       1.40         Physical Condition         Physical Condition       Alignment and Height:       Alignment and Height:       Alignment and Height:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.       Image: Construction of the co	Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.0
Alignment and Height:         Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.           Barrier         Breaking and Cracking:         No breaking or cracking in barrier.           Missing Elements:         No missing elements in barrier.           Corrrosion and Weathering:         No corrosion or weathering in barrier.           Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.           Breaking and Height:         Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.           End Treatments         Breaking and Cracking:         No breaking or cracking in end treatment.           Missing Elements:         No missing elements in end treatment.           Missing Elements:         No missing elements in end treatment.	Height (In.):	27.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	53.2			1.40
Barrier       Height:       No breaking or cracking in barrier.         Breaking and Cracking:       No breaking or cracking in barrier.         Missing Elements:       No missing elements in barrier.         Corrrosion and Weathering:       No corrosion or weathering in barrier.         Alignment and Height:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.         End Treatments       Breaking and Cracking:       No breaking or cracking in end treatment.         Missing Elements:       No missing elements in end treatment.         Orrrosion and Cracking:       No missing elements in end treatment.	<b>Physical Condition</b>	on						
Barrier       Cracking:         Missing Elements:       No missing elements in barrier.         Corrrosion and Weathering:       No corrosion or weathering in barrier.         Alignment and Height:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.         Breaking and Cracking:       No breaking or cracking in end treatment.         Missing Elements:       No missing elements in end treatment.         Orrrosion and Corrosion and No corrosion or weathering in end treatment.       No missing elements in end treatment.		Align		Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 2	7-in design height.		
Corrrosion and Weathering:       No corrosion or weathering in barrier.         Alignment and Height:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.         Breaking and Cracking:       No breaking or cracking in end treatment.         Missing Elements:       No missing elements in end treatment.         Corrrosion and       No corrosion or weathering in end treatment.	Barrier			No breaking or cracking in	barrier.			
Weathering:       Weathering:         Alignment and Height:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.         Breaking and Cracking:       No breaking or cracking in end treatment.         Missing Elements:       No missing elements in end treatment.         Corrrosion and       No corrosion or weathering in end treatment.		Missing ]	Elements:	No missing elements in bas	rrier.			
End Treatments       Breaking and Cracking:       No breaking or cracking in end treatment.         Missing Elements:       No missing elements in end treatment.         Corrrosion and       No corrosion or weathering in end treatment.				No corrosion or weathering	g in barrier.			
End Treatments       Cracking:         Missing Elements:       No missing elements in end treatment.         Corrrosion and       No corrosion or weathering in end treatment.		Align		Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 2	7-in design height.		
Corrosion and     No corrosion or weathering in end treatment.	End Treatments							
		Missing ]	Elements:	No missing elements in end	d treatment.			
				No corrosion or weathering	g in end treatment.			

Bai	rrier ID:	CANY-001	CANY-0010-14.761-R							
Rout	te Name:	NEEDLES	NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD							
Inspecti	on Date:	04/13/2010	0		<b>Barrier Rating:</b>	13.60				
Repair Recommer	ndations									
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	Ν	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0			
Brief Workorder:	N/A									
Workorder:										
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for compari	son to other repair co	osts only.				

ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0010\_14.761\_R\_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	CANY-001	0-14.794-L						
	ite Name:		S ACCESS ROAD						
T	ton Dete	04/12/201	0	n		16.50			
		04/13/201	0	Ba	arrier Rating:	16.50			
Barrier Descripti									
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Bar	rier Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		]	Post Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	127			
Speed Limi	t (MPH):	35		Placement withTANGENTRespect to Road:TANGENT					
Hazard Behind	Barrier:	MEDIUM		•					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach tion Type:	RIGID W-BEAM - W-BEAM		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.0		
Height (In.):	26.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	63.2		rade (%):	2.10		
<b>Physical Condition</b>	n								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of barrier is acc	eptable. 20ft. is 1-3 in	below 27-in. design	n height			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaks in barrier eleme	nts. Minor cracking of	f posts and blocks.	Six loose bolts			
	Missing	Elements:	No missing barrier elemen	ts.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion. Minor weat	hering of posts and blo	cks. No erosion at	base - posts se	t in concrete.		
	Alignment and Height:       Alignment is acceptable. 30ft. is 1-3 in below 27-in. design height								
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of beginning end treatment elements.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	ments: No missing beginning end treatment elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion. Minor weat	hering of beginning end	d treatment blocks a	and posts.			

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	CANY-0010-14.794-L								
Rou	ite Name:	NEEDLES	IEEDLES ACCESS ROAD								
Inspec	tion Date:	04/13/201	0	Barrie	r Rating:	16.50					
Repair Recomme	endations	5									
Repair Action:	REPAIR		FMSSDEFERREDRepair\$2238Work Type:MAINTENANCECost:								
Brief Workorder:	Raise 50 L.F	. of W-beam g	uardrail to 27-in. design hei	ght. Tighten loose bolts.							
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 50 LF = \$500. Raise 50ft. of barrier up to 27-in. design height. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 1 Hrs = \$60. Tighten loose bolts. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.											
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	her repair co	osts only.					

ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0010\_14.794\_L\_1.JPG

	rier ID:	CANY-001	0-14.795-R				
Route	e Name:		S ACCESS ROAD				
		04/10/201	<u></u>			16.50	
		04/13/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	16.50	
Barrier Description	n						
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	<b>Barrier Function:</b>		TRAFFIC	
Barrier M	laterial:	WEATHER STEEL/CO			WOOD		
В	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ngth (ft.):	128	
Speed Limit	Speed Limit (MPH): 35				ment with t to Road:	TANGENT	
Hazard Behind I	Barrier:	MEDIUM					
Barrier Crashwort	thiness						
Appropriate Test T Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		ls Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt N Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	RIGID W-BEAM - W-BEAM
Ending End Trtmt W Type:	W-BEAM F	ЗСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO			
Average Measuren	nents						
Design Height (In.): 2	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.6
Height (In.): 2	26.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	62.7		rade (%):	2.70
<b>Physical Condition</b>	1						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in desi	gn height.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking and cracking i	n barrier.			
	Missing l	Elements:	No missing elements in bar	rier.			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g in barrier.			
Alignment and Height:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:					
	Missing I	Elements:	No missing elements in end	l treatment.			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g in end treatment.			

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	CANY-0010-14.795-R							
Rou	ite Name:	NEEDLES	EEDLES ACCESS ROAD							
Inspect	tion Date:	04/13/2010	0		Barrier Rating:	16.50				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	DN	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0			
Brief Workorder:	N/A									
Workorder:										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for compariso	on to other repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0010\_14.795\_R\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	0-17.678-L					
	ite Name:		S ACCESS ROAD					
Ingraa	tion Data:	04/13/201	0	Down	er Rating:	25.20		
		04/13/201	0	Darri	er Kating:	23.20		
Barrier Descripti		HUDEAN (	TRONG ROOT		E di			
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier	Function:	TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	WEATHEF	RING Post Material:		WOOD			
		STEEL/CO	RTEN					
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	345		
Speed Lim		35		Placement with TANGENT				
Speed Lini	u (1911 11 <i>)</i> .	55		Respect to Road:				
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	MEDIUM						
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness							
Appropriate Test	TL-2		Barrier	TL-3		Is Barrier	YES	
Level:			Test Level:		Crasl	nworthy?:		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I 350 COMP		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES		Approach tion Type:	NONE	
Ending End Trtmt		LIANI	Ending End Trtmt	YES	TTalish	ion Type.		
	TANGENT	350	Crashhworthy?:	120				
Average Measur	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.6	
Height (In.):	25.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	83.3		rade (%):	1.10	
Physical Condition	on							
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of barrier is acc	eptable. No evidence of imp	pact. 139ft. is	1-3 in below 2	7-in. design height	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaks in barrier eleme bolts.	nts. Minor cracking of post	s and blocks.	Some new blo	cks. No loose	
	Missing	Elements:	No elements missing from	barrier.				
		osion and eathering:	Minimal corrosion. Moder of guardrail posts.	rate weathering of posts and	blocks. Erosi	on does not co	mpromise stability	
	Alignment and Height:       Alignment is acceptable. 30ft. is 1-3 in below 27-in. design height.							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No breaks. Minor cracking	g of end treatment posts and	blocks.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.				
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion. Moderate w	eathering of end treatment p	oosts and block	XS.		
·								

B	arrier ID:	D: CANY-0010-17.678-L								
Rou	ite Name:	NEEDLES	EEDLES ACCESS ROAD							
Inspect	0	Barrie	r Rating:	25.20						
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR		FMSSDEFERREDRepair\$3482Work Type:MAINTENANCECost:							
Brief Workorder:	Raise 169 L.	F. of W-beam	guardrail to 27-in. design he	ight.						
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 169 LF = \$1690. Raise 169ft. of barrier up to 27-in. design height Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.										
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.									

## **Canyonlands National Park** ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0010\_17.678\_L\_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	CANY-001	0-19.347-R						
	ite Name:	NEEDLES	S ACCESS ROAD						
Inspect	ion Date.	04/13/201	0	Rorrie	er Rating:	25.10			
Barrier Descripti		UT/13/201	·			20.10			
Darrier Descripti	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier	Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	560			
Speed Limi	t (MPH):	35			ment with t to Road:				
Hazard Behind	Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM TANGENT	350	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES		Approach         BRIDGE RA           Transition Type:         W-BEAM			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.0		
Height (In.):	27.5		Lateral Offset (In.):	85.3	Road G	rade (%):	1.90		
<b>Physical Condition</b>	n								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in des	ign height.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:		aking of barrier elements. M	linor cracking	of posts and b	locks. Six loose		
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing barrier elemen	ts.					
		osion and eathering:	Moderate to severe erosion erosion compromising stab	of posts and blocks. Repla- ility.	ce four severel	ly weathered p	oosts. No soil		
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No breaking of end treatment elements. Minor cracking of posts and blocks.						
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	nent elements.					
		osion and eathering:	Minor corrosion. Moderat	e weathering of posts and bl	ocks.				

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	CANY-0010-19.347-R								
Rou	ite Name:	NEEDLES	NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD								
Inspect	tion Date:	04/13/201	0	Barrie	r Rating:	25.10					
Repair Recomme	endations	5									
Repair Action:	REPAIR		FMSSDEFERREDRepair\$2129Work Type:MAINTENANCECost:								
Brief Workorder:	Replace four	eroded posts.	Tighten loose bolts. Monit	or erosion of posts and block	<b>ζ</b> S.						
Workorder:Replace Post at \$100- per -Each for 4 Post(s) = \$400. Replace four severely eroded posts. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 1 Hrs = \$60. Tighten loose bolts. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.											
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.										

ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0010\_19.347\_R\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	0-19.441-L							
	ite Name:		S ACCESS ROAD							
						10.50				
		04/13/201	0	B	arrier Rating:	13.60				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Туре:	W-BEAM STRONG POST		<b>Barrier Function:</b>		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO			Post Material:	WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	68				
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	35			Placement with spect to Road:	TANGENT				
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	MEDIUM		•						
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	BRIDGE RAIL W-BEAM			
Ending End Trtmt	W-BEAM 350 COMP		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Sna	cing (In.):	74.3			
Height (In.):	27.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	72.6		rade (%):	1.90			
Physical Condition	)n									
		ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-	in design height.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking in	barrier.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements in ba	rrier.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g in barrier.						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	able. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.						
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:								
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in end	in end treatment.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g in end treatment.						
L										

Barı	rier ID:	CANY-001	CANY-0010-19.441-L							
Route	e Name:	NEEDLES	EEDLES ACCESS ROAD							
Inspectio	on Date:	04/13/2010	)		Barrier Rating:	13.60				
Repair Recommend	dations									
<b>Repair</b> No Action:	IO ACTIO	νN	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0			
Brief Workorder:	//A									
Workorder:										
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparis	on to other repair co	osts only.				

ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0010\_19.441\_L\_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	CANY-001	0-19.485-R							
	ite Name:	NEEDLES	S ACCESS ROAD							
Inspect	ion Date:	04/13/201	0	R	Barrier Rating:	16.80				
Barrier Descripti		04/13/201	0		arrier Ratilig.	10.00				
Darrier Descripti	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Bar	rier Function:	TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHEF STEEL/CO			Post Material:	WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	81				
Speed Limi	it (MPH):	35			Placement with spect to Road:	th INSIDE OF CURVE d:				
Hazard Behind	Barrier:	LOW								
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	BRIDGE RAIL W-BEAM			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM TANGENT	350	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0		cing (In.):	75.0			
Height (In.):	26.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	60.0	Road G	rade (%):	4.70			
Physical Conditio		ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-	in design height. No	evidence of in	mpact.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking of barrier eler	nents. Minor cracking	g of barrier posts and	blocks. One	loose bolt.			
	Missing	Elements:	No missing barrier elemen	ts.						
		osion and eathering:	Moderate erosion of posts	and blocks due to blow	ving sand. No soil e	rosion to com	promise stability.			
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-	in design height.					
End Treatments       Breaking and Cracking:       No breaking of end treatment elements. Minor cracking of posts and blocks.										
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion. Moderate v	veathering of posts and	d blocks.					

B	arrier ID:	rier ID: CANY-0010-19.485-R								
Rou	Route Name: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD									
Inspec	tion Date:	04/13/201	0	Barrie	r Rating:	16.80				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$2018			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 30 L.F blocks.	. of W-beam	at bridge transition to 27-in.	design height. Tighten loos	e bolts. Moni	tor erosion of j	posts and			
Workorder:	Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 30 LF = \$300. Raise 30ft. of barrier up to 27-in. design height. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 1 Hrs = \$60. Tighten loose bolts. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.									
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.									

ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0010\_19.485\_R\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	0-19.486-L							
	ite Name:		S ACCESS ROAD							
There	tion Data:	04/13/201	0	n	arrier Rating:	20.70				
	tion Date:	04/13/201	10	Da	arrier Kating:	20.70				
Barrier Descripti										
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barı	rier Function:	TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER	RING	I	Post Material:	WOOD				
Durrier	iviater iai.	STEEL/CO			ost material.					
	Blockout	WOOD			Length (ft.):	80				
	Type:					TANGEN				
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	35			acement with pect to Road:	TANGENT				
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness	·								
Appropriate Test			Barrier	TL-3		Is Barrier	YES			
Level:			Test Level:			worthy?:				
Beg. End Trtmt			Is Beg. End Trtmt							
Type:	350 COMP	LIANT	Crashhworthy?:	27/1	Transit	ion Type:	W-BEAM			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measur	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.0			
Height (In.):	27.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	78.6		rade (%):	3.90			
<b>Physical Condition</b>	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in	n design height.					
		aking and	No breaking or cracking in	barrier.						
Barrier		Cracking:								
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in bar	rrier.						
	0									
	Com	osion and	No corrosion or weathering	z in harrier						
		eathering:	The correston of weathering							
	A 1'		Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1 in of 27 i	n design height					
	Align	ment and Height:	Anginnent acceptable. He	15111 W101111 1-111 01 2/-11	n uesign neight.					
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking in	king or cracking in end treatment.						
		CI aCKIIIS:								
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in en	in end treatment.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g in end treatment.						
		5								

B	Barrier ID: CANY-0010-19.486-L										
Rou	Route Name: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD										
Inspect	tion Date:	04/13/2010	0		<b>Barrier Rating:</b>	20.70					
Repair Recommendations											
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0				
Brief Workorder:	N/A										
Workorder:											
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.										

ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0010\_19.486\_L\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	0-20.520-R						
	ute Name:		S ACCESS ROAD						
Tagar an	tion Date:	04/12/201	0	1	Barrier Rating:	28.50			
		04/13/201	0		barrier Kating:	28.30			
Barrier Descripti				_					
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Ba	arrier Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHEF STEEL/CO			Post Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	420			
Speed Lim		35			Placement withINSIDE OF CURVERespect to Road:				
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	HIGH							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES					
Average Measure									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.3		
Height (In.):	26.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	43.7		rade (%):	2.10		
<b>Physical Condition</b>	<b>n</b>								
		ment and Height:	Barrier alignment is accept	able. Barrier is belo	w 27-in design height	by 1 to 3 in fo	r 206 ft.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking in	barrier.					
	Missing 3	Elements:	7 blocks are loose and are	missing nails at top	to hold in place. No of	ther missing e	lements in barrier.		
		osion and eathering:	Erosion approximately 1 cr	ubic yard around one	e post. No corrosion of	weathering in	ı barrier		
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of end treatmen height. 30 ft of approach e	-	-		7-in. design		
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking in	end treatments.					
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in en-	end treatments.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g in end treatments					

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	0-20.520-R						
Rou	ite Name:	NEEDLES	IEEDLES ACCESS ROAD						
Inspection Date:04/13/2010Barrier Rating:28.50									
Repair Recomme	endations	5							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$6.	534	
Brief Workorder:	5	eet of barrier u ol and repair a		Tighten 7 loose blocks. Add	1 cubic yard f	ill and 1 cubic	yard riprap for		
Workorder:       Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 236 LF = \$2360. Adjust 236 feet of W-beam up 2-inches to 27-inch design height. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 8 Hrs = \$480. Repair and tighten 7 loose blocks. Structural Backfill at \$50- per -Cu. Yd. for 1 CY = \$50. Add fill to repair erosion around 1 post. Riprap at \$100- per -Cu. Yd. for 1 CY = \$100. Add riprap to control erosion around 1 post. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$2950. 2 days of traffic control estimated.									
				ary for comparison to oth					

## **Canyonlands National Park** ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0010\_20.520\_R\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	0-20.781-R					
	ite Name:		S ACCESS ROAD					
Inspect	tion Deter	04/13/201	0	n	Barrier Rating:	33.70		
		04/13/201	0	D	barrier Kating:	33.70		
Barrier Descripti								
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Bar	rier Function:	TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	WEATHEF	RING	]	Post Material:	WOOD		
		STEEL/CO	RTEN					
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	634		
Speed Limi		35		Р	lacement with	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE	
Speed Lini	it (1911 11).	55			spect to Road:	OUTSIDE	of conve	
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	MEDIUM		· · ·				
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness							
Appropriate Test	TL-2		Barrier	TL-3	-	Is Barrier	YES	
Level:			Test Level:		Crast	worthy?:		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM TANGENT	350	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES		Approach ion Type:	NONE	
Ending End Trtmt			Ending End Trtmt	YES	ITANSK	ion Type.		
	350 COMP		Crashhworthy?:	-				
Average Measure	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.8	
Height (In.):	26.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	77.3	Road G	rade (%):	6.30	
Physical Condition	)n							
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of barrier is acc height.	eptable. No evidence	of prior impact. 301	ît. is 1-3 in bel	ow 27-in. design	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	barrier elements. Son	ne posts and blocks	have been rec	ently replaced.	
	Missing ]	Elements:	No missing barrier element	S.				
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion. Moderate w cross-section due to sand e			ne loss of post	and block	
Alignment and Height:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.								
End Treatments     Breaking and Cracking:     No breaking or cracking of end treatments.								
	Missing	Elements:	No elements missing from	end treatments.				
		osion and eathering:	Moderate weathering of po	sts and blocks due to s	sand erosion.			

Ba	arrier ID:	rrier ID: CANY-0010-20.781-R								
Rou	Route Name: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD									
Inspect	tion Date:	04/13/201	0	Barrie	r Rating:	33.70				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$1952			
Brief Workorder:	Raise leading	g 30 L.F. guard	lrail to 27-inch design heigh	t. Monitor posts and blocks	for further los	ss due to weath	nering.			
Workorder:	Workorder:       Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 30 LF = \$300. Raise 30ft. of guardrail up to 27 inch design height.         Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.									
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.									

ROUTE 0010: NEEDLES ACCESS ROAD

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0010\_20.781\_R\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	1-7.471-L							
	ite Name:		N THE SKY ROAD							
Inspect	ion Doto:	04/14/201	0	Down	er Rating:	28.60				
		04/14/201	0	Darri	er Kating:	28.00				
Barrier Descripti					<b>P</b>					
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier	Function:	TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHEF	RING	Post	Material:	WOOD				
		STEEL/CO	RTEN							
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	154				
Speed Limi		35		Placement with OUTSIDE OF CURVE						
Speed Linn	it (1911-11).	55		Respect to Road:						
Hazard Behind	Barrier:	EXTREME	;							
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness									
Appropriate Test	TL-2		Barrier	TL-3	-	Is Barrier	YES			
Level:			Test Level:		Crash	nworthy?:				
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	BCT	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach tion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt	NO	TTansa	ion Type:				
Туре:		-	Crashhworthy?:							
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.0			
Height (In.):	28.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	42.2	Road G	rade (%):	0.80			
<b>Physical Condition</b>	n									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in des	ign height.					
		aking and	No breaking or cracking in	guardrail.						
Barrier		Cracking:								
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in gu	ardrail.						
	0									
	Corre	osion and	No corrosion or weathering	z in quardrail						
		eathering:		5 8						
	A 1:		Alignment accentable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in des	ian height					
	Align	ment and Height:	Augminent acceptable. The	ight within 1-in of 27-in des	ign neight.					
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking in	acking in end treatments.						
Linu Treatments		cracking.								
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in en	n end treatments.						
		osion and eathering:	8 to 10 in of erosion around	d one post. No other weathe	ring or corrosi	on in guardrai	l.			
		đ								

B	arrier ID:	er ID: CANY-0011-7.471-L								
Route Name: ISLAND IN THE SKY ROAD										
Inspec	tion Date:	04/14/201	0	Barrie	r Rating:	28.60				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$1876			
Brief Workorder:	Add backfill	to eroded pos	and dig above erosion area	to divert drainage.						
Workorder:	Workorder: Structural Backfill at \$50- per -Cu. Yd. for 1 CY = \$50. Add backfill to eroded area around one post. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 3 Hrs = \$180. Dig drainage to divert water around eroded area. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.									
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.									

## **Canyonlands National Park** ROUTE 0011: ISLAND IN THE SKY ROAD

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0011\_7.471\_L\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	1-7.472-R							
	ite Name:		IN THE SKY ROAD							
		04/14/201	0	-		22.00				
		04/14/201	0	Barı	rier Rating:	22.80				
Barrier Descripti	on									
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrie	er Function:	TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHEF STEEL/CO		Po	st Material:	WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		-	Length (ft.):	205				
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	35			cement with ect to Road:	INSIDE OF	F CURVE			
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	EXTREME	]	•						
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.6			
Height (In.):	26.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	34.7		rade (%):	1.70			
<b>Physical Condition</b>	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in o	lesign height.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking in	guardrail.						
	Missing I	Elements:	No missing elements in gu	ardrail.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g in guardrail.						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in o	lesign height.					
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking in	end treatments.						
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in end	missing elements in end treatments.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g in end treatments.						
L										

Bar	rrier ID:	CANY-001	1-7.472-R						
Route	e Name:	ISLAND I	SLAND IN THE SKY ROAD						
Inspectio	on Date:	04/14/2010 Barrier Rating			rrier Rating:	22.80			
Repair Recommen	idations								
<b>Repair</b> Action:	NO ACTIO	νN	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0		
Brief Workorder:	N/A								
Workorder:									
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison t	o other repair co	osts only.			

## **Canyonlands National Park** ROUTE 0011: ISLAND IN THE SKY ROAD

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0011\_7.472\_R\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	1-7.619-L							
	ite Name:		IN THE SKY ROAD							
Inspace	tion Data:	04/14/201	0	Dam	rier Rating:	24.20				
		04/14/201	0	Dar	rier Kating:	24.20				
Barrier Descripti										
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrie	r Function:	TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHEF	RING	Po	st Material:	WOOD				
		STEEL/CO	RTEN							
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Length (ft.): 181						
Speed Lim		35		Placement with TANGENT						
Speed Lini	u (1911 11 <i>)</i> .	55		Respect to Road:						
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	EXTREME	]							
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness									
Appropriate Test	TL-2		Barrier	TL-3		Is Barrier	YES			
Level:			Test Level:		Cras	nworthy?:				
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	BCT	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach tion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt	NO	Transi	ion Type:				
Туре:		-	Crashhworthy?:							
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.0			
Height (In.):	27.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	39.0	Road G	rade (%):	4.40			
Physical Condition	)n									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in d	esign height. No	evidence of in	npact.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	One cracked post and one	broken block. No cracked	or broken rails	in barrier.				
	Missing	Elements:	No missing barrier element	ts.						
	1711351115	Liements.								
	C		NT ' 0 1 ''			<b>D</b> : 1				
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion of guardrail. stability of posts except at			Erosion does	not compromise			
	Align	ment and	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height of approach (initial	13ft.) is 1-3 in t	pelow 27-in. de	esign height.			
		Height:								
	Brea	aking and	king and No breaking or cracking of end treatment elements.							
End Treatments		Cracking:								
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion. Erosion at a	pproach end treatment is	undermining one	e post.				

B	arrier ID:	CANY-0011-7.619-L							
Rou	ite Name:	ISLAND I	N THE SKY ROAD						
		0.4/4.4/0.04							
Inspec	Inspection Date:04/14/2010Barrier Rating:24.20								
Repair Recomme	endations	5							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$2074		
Brief Workorder:	Raise 13 L.F end treatmen	aise 13 L.F. of W-beam guardrail to 27" design height. Replace cracked post and broken block. Repair erosion at approach d treatment.							
Workorder:       Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 13 LF = \$130. Raise 13ft. of barrier up to 27-in. design height (approach end treatment).         Replace Post at \$100- per -Each for 1 Post(s) = \$100. Replace one cracked post.         Replace Block at \$30- per -Each for 1 Block(s) = \$30. Replace one broken block.         Structural Backfill at \$50- per -Cu. Yd. for 1 CY = \$50. Refill erosion at approach end.         Riprap at \$100- per -Cu. Yd. for 1 CY = \$100. Stabilize erosion at approach end.         Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.									
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	osts only.			



CANY\_0011\_7.619\_L\_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	CANY-001	1-7.622-R						
	ite Name:	ISLAND I	N THE SKY ROAD						
Inspect	tion Date:	04/14/201	0		Downion Dating	32.40			
		04/14/201	.0		Barrier Rating:	32.40			
Barrier Descripti									
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	B	arrier Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHEF	RING		Post Material:	WOOD			
		STEEL/CO			1 050 11 1000 1000				
	Blockout	WOOD			Length (ft.):	632			
Constant in the	Type:	25			DI	DOTUINS	IDE AND OUTSIDE		
Speed Limi	it (MPH):	35			Placement withBOTH INSIDE AND OUTSIRespect to Road:				
Hazard Behind	Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test			Barrier	TL-3		Is Barrier	YES		
Level:			Test Level:			worthy?:			
Beg. End Trtmt	W-BEAM I	BCT	Is Beg. End Trtmt	NO		Approach	NONE		
Туре:			Crashhworthy?:	210	Transit	ion Type:			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	BCT	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO					
Average Measure	ments								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	oing (In ):	75.0		
Height (In.):	26.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	40.7		cing (In.): rade (%):	5.80		
Physical Condition	on								
		ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. ( design height.	One minor impact a	t rail. 213 lf in middle o	of barrier is 1-	3 in below 27-in.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	One impacted rail - minor Otherwise minor cracking			ck and cracke	d post in barrier.		
	Missing	Elements:	No missing barrier elemen	ts.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion of guardrail. bank around and behind gu and repair erosion.			-			
	Align	ment and Height:	Approach end treatment (2 in below 27-in. design heig		-	ght. 15 ft of ti	ailing end is 1-3		
End Treatments	End Treatments Reaking and Cracking: No broken elements. Minor cracking of end treatment posts and blocks.								
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.					
		osion and eathering:	Severe erosion at trailing e near future if not repaired.	nd treatment. It is	not currently compromi	sing stability	but it will in the		

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	1-7.622-R						
Rou	ite Name:	ISLAND I	N THE SKY ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	04/14/201	0	Barrie	r Rating:	32.40			
Repair Recomme	endations	5							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$18315		
Brief Workorder:	5	just 250 L.F. of W-beam guardrail to 27" design height. Replace 390 L.F. of asphalt curb. Replace one broken block and one oken post. Repair erosion behind barrier.							
Workorder:									
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	sts only.			



CANY\_0011\_7.622\_R\_1.JPG



CANY\_0011\_7.622\_R\_2.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	CANY-001	1-7.835-R							
	ite Name:	ISLAND I	IN THE SKY ROAD							
Insport	ion Doto	04/14/201	0	Rowei	er Rating:	34.20				
Barrier Descripti		04/14/201	<u> </u>		ci ixatilig:	57.20				
barrier Descripti	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHEF STEEL/CO		Pos	t Material:	WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		L	ength (ft.):	782				
Speed Limi	it (MPH):	35			ement with ct to Road:					
Hazard Behind	Barrier:	EXTREME								
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	BCT	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach         NONE           Transition Type:         Output				
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0		cing (In.):	76.0			
Height (In.):	26.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	44.0	Road G	rade (%):	5.90			
Physical Condition		ment and Height:	Alignment of barrier is acc	eptable and height of 28ft.	of barrier is 1-3	in below 27-	n. design height.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	1/2 to 1 in crack through 2 barrier from erosion over 3		one inch crack	s in asphalt cu	rb that protects			
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in ba	rrier.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g in barrier.						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of end treatmen design height.	ts is acceptable. Height of	beginning end t	reatment is 1-	3 in below 27-in.			
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	1-in crack in post in beginr	ning end treatment.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements in end	d treatment.	treatment.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g in end treatments.						

Ba	arrier ID:	CANY-001	ANY-0011-7.835-R								
Rou	ite Name:	ISLAND I	N THE SKY ROAD								
Inspect	tion Date:	04/14/201	4/14/2010 Barrier Rating: 34.20								
<b>Repair Recomme</b>	ndations										
Repair	REPAIR		FMSS	DEFERRED		Repair	\$12350				
Action:			Work Type:	MAINTENANCE		Cost:					
Brief	Raise 58 L.F	. of guardrail u	p to 27-inch design height r	eplace 334 feet of cracked a	sphalt curb fo	r drainage con	trol replace 1				
Workorder:	cracked post	and 2 cracked	blocks.								
Workorder:	Adjust Guard	drail at \$10- pe	er -Lin. Ft. for 58 LF = \$580	. Raise 58ft. of barrier up to	27-inch desig	n height.					
	1	1		Replace 1 cracked post in end							
	Replace Bloc	ek at \$30- per	Each for 2 Block(s) = $60$ .	Replace 2 cracked blocks in	barrier.						
		urb at \$6- per -Lin. Ft. for 334 LF = \$2004. Remove cracked asphalt curb.									
	Asphalt Curb at \$12- per -Lin. Ft. for 334 LF = \$4008. Replace asphalt curb for drainage.										
Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 3 Day(s) = $4475$ . 1 day to raise barrier 2 days to replace curb.											
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	osts only.					



CANY\_0011\_7.835\_R\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-001	1-16.841-L							
	ite Name:	ISLAND I	LAND IN THE SKY ROAD							
	tion Datas	04/14/201	0		Ronnian Dating	24.30				
		04/14/201	U	 	<b>Barrier Rating:</b>	24.30				
Barrier Descripti										
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	E	<b>Barrier Function:</b>	TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER	RING		Post Material:	WOOD				
Darrier	iviateriai.	STEEL/CO			i ost material.					
	Blockout	WOOD			Length (ft.):	165				
	Type:	25				OUTGIDE				
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	35		Placement withOUTSIDE OF CURVERespect to Road:						
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	EXTREME	2							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness	1								
Appropriate Test			Barrier	TL-3		Is Barrier	YES			
Level:			Test Level:			worthy?:				
Beg. End Trtmt	W-BEAM I	BCT	Is Beg. End Trtmt	NO		Approach	NONE			
Type:			Crashhworthy?:		Transit	ion Type:				
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	BCT	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Sna	cing (In.):	75.0			
Height (In.):	29.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	36.0		rade (%):	1.60			
Physical Condition	on									
		ment and Height:	Alignment of guardrail is a impact.	cceptable. Height	is 0-3 in above 27-in. d	esign height. Ì	No evidence of			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No broken or cracked barr	er elements.						
	Missing ]	Elements:	No missing barrier elemen	ts.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion of guardrail. compromise stability of po		ng of wooden posts and	blocks. No e	rosion to			
	Align	ment and Height:	Leading 15' of beginning e acceptable.	nd treatment is mo	re than 6 in above 27-in	. design heigh	t. Alignment is			
End Treatments       Breaking and Cracking:       No breaks or cracks in end treatment elements.										
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment	elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion and minimal	weathering of end	treatment elements.					
L	I		1							

B	arrier ID:	D: CANY-0011-16.841-L								
Rou	ite Name:	ISLAND I	SLAND IN THE SKY ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	04/14/201	0	Barrie	r Rating:	24.30				
Repair Recomme	endations	5								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$1788			
Brief Workorder:	Lower 15 L.I	F. of W-beam	guardrail to 27" design hei	ght.						
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 15 LF = \$150. Lower 15ft. of barrier to 27-in. design height. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	ier repair co	osts only.				



CANY\_0011\_16.841\_L\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-011	3-1.207-L							
	ite Name:		IVER OVERLOOK R	OAD						
						16.62				
		04/15/201	0	ŀ	Barrier Rating:	16.60				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Туре:	W-BEAM STRONG POST		Bai	rrier Function:	TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO			Post Material:	WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	201				
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	15			Placement with espect to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE			
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	MEDIUM		1		1				
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	rtmt N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	76.3			
Height (In.):	27.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	47.2		rade (%):	11.20			
<b>Physical Condition</b>	on									
		ment and Height:	Alignment of barrier is acc length where gravel is in fr		0-2 in above 27-in. d	esign height e	xcept for a 74 ft.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking in	barrier.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements in ba	rrier.						
		osion and eathering:	Minor erosion around post	s at approach end is a	pproximately 2-in.					
Alignment and Height:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.										
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking in end treatments.							
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in end	in end treatments.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g in end treatments.						

B	arrier ID:	ier ID: CANY-0113-1.207-L								
Rou	ite Name:	GREEN R	GREEN RIVER OVERLOOK ROAD							
Inspect	tion Date:	04/15/201	0	Barrie	r Rating:	16.60				
Repair Recomme	endations	5								
Repair Action:	REPAIR		FMSSDEFERREDRepair\$2Work Type:MAINTENANCECost:							
Brief Workorder:	Remove grav	vel from in fro	nt of 74 feet of barrier and n	nonitor erosion around posts.						
Workorder: Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 8 Hrs = \$480. Remove gravel from in front of 74 ft of barrier. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	osts only.				

# **Canyonlands National Park** ROUTE 0113: GREEN RIVER OVERLOOK ROAD



CANY\_0113\_1.207\_L\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-011	4-2.235-L					
	ite Name:		AL DOME ROAD					
						12.10		
	tion Date:	04/15/201	.0		Barrier Rating:	12.10		
Barrier Descripti	on							
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	В	arrier Function:	TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO			Post Material:	WOOD		
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	205		
Speed Limi	it (MPH):	25		Placement with     TANGENT       Respect to Road:     TANGENT				
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	MEDIUM						
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness							
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach ion Type:	NONE	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	BCT	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO				
Average Measure	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.6	
Height (In.):	26.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	36.2		rade (%):	2.80	
Physical Condition	on							
		ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 2	27-in design height. No	evidence of in	mpact.	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken barri	er elements.				
	Missing	Elements:	No missing barrier elemen	ts.				
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion of guardrail.	Moderate weather	ing of posts and blocks.			
	Alignment and Height:       Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.							
End Treatments	d Treatments Breaking and Cracking: No cracked or broken end treatment elements.							
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.				
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion of end treatm	ent. Moderate wea	athering of posts and blo	ocks.		
L								

Barı	rier ID:	CANY-011	4-2.235-L						
Route	e Name:	UPHEAV	JPHEAVAL DOME ROAD						
Inspectio	on Date:	04/15/2010		В	Barrier Rating:	12.10			
Repair Recomment	dations								
<b>Repair</b> N Action:	IO ACTIO	νN	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0		
Brief Workorder:	//A								
Workorder:									
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparisor	n to other repair co	osts only.			

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0114\_2.235\_L\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-011	4-2.324-L					
	ite Name:	UPHEAV.	AL DOME ROAD					
Terrar	tion Datas	04/15/201	0	Da	ier Rating:	28.20		
		04/13/201	0	Darr	er Kating:	28.20		
Barrier Descripti								
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier	Function:	TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER	RING		t Material:	WOOD		
Durrier	iviateriai.	STEEL/CO		103	i mater fuit.			
	Blockout	WOOD		L	ength (ft.):	781		
	Type:					DOTUDIO		
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25			ement with et to Road:	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE	
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	HIGH						
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness	1						
Appropriate Test			Barrier	TL-3	-	Is Barrier	YES	
Level:			Test Level:		<b>Is Barrier</b> YES Crashworthy?:			
Beg. End Trtmt	W-BEAM	BCT	Is Beg. End Trtmt	NO		Approach NONE		
Туре:			Crashhworthy?:		Transit	ion Type:		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	BCT	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO				
Average Measure	ements		<u> </u>	<u></u>				
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Sna	cing (In.):	74.5	
Height (In.):	27.5		Lateral Offset (In.):	43.2		rade (%):	2.10	
<b>Physical Condition</b>	on							
		ment and Height:	Alignment of barrier is acc	eptable. 70 ft is more than	1 in to 3 in belo	ow 27-in. desi	gn height.	
		aking and	1 block cracked 1/2-in thro	ough entire block. No break	ting or cracking	g in w-beam of	posts in barrier.	
Barrier		Cracking:						
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in ba	rrier.				
	Corre	osion and	Posts and blocks slightly w	veathered No corrosion in	w-beam			
		eathering:	rosts and brocks slightly "		, ocum			
			Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1 in of 27 in do	aign haight			
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in de	sign neight.			
		8						
End Treatments		aking and	No breaking or cracking in end treatments.					
End Treatments Cracking:								
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in en	d treatments.				
	Corrr	osion and	No corrosion or weathering	g in end treatments.				
		eathering:						

B	arrier ID:	CANY-011	CANY-0114-2.324-L							
Rou	ite Name:	UPHEAV	JPHEAVAL DOME ROAD							
Inspec	Inspection Date: 04/15/2010 Barrier Rating: 28.20									
Repair Recomme	endations	5								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$2426			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 70 L.F	. of guardrail t	o 27-in. design height replac	ce 1 block.						
Workorder:	er: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 70 LF = \$700. Raise 70ft. of barrier up to 27-in. design height. Replace Block at \$30- per -Each for 1 Block(s) = \$30. Replace one cracked block. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.									
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	ner repair co	osts only.				

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0114\_2.324\_L\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-011	4-2.618-L				
	ite Name:		AL DOME ROAD				
		0.4/1.5/2.01				15.10	
		04/15/201	0		<b>Barrier Rating:</b>	15.10	
Barrier Descript	ion						
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST		Barrier Function:	TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO			Post Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	368	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25			Placement with Respect to Road:	INSIDE OF	F CURVE
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM					
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	BURIED	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:		BEAM BCT Ending End Trtmt NO Crashhworthy?:					
Average Measur	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Sna	cing (In.):	75.0
Height (In.):	29.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	61.0		rade (%):	2.00
Physical Condition	on						
		ment and Height:	Alignment of barrier is acc impact.	eptable. Height i	s 0-3 in above 27-in. desi	ign height. No	evidence of
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	One cracked block. Seven	loose bolts. No c	racked/broken guardrails	s or posts.	
	Missing 1	Elements:	One missing bolt. No othe	r missing barrier	elements.		
		osion and eathering:	No barrier corrosion. Mod	erate weathering	of posts and blocks.		
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in o	f 27-in design height.		
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken end	treatment element	S.		
	Missing ]	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion of end treatm	ents. Moderate w	veathering of posts and b	locks.	

Ba	arrier ID:	D: CANY-0114-2.618-L								
Rou	ite Name:	UPHEAVAL DOME ROAD								
Inspection Date: 04/15/2010 Barrier Rating: 15.10										
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$99			
Brief Workorder:	Replace crac	ked block and	tighten loose bolts.							
Workorder:	Replace Block at \$30- per -Each for 1 Block(s) = \$30. Replace cracked block. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 1 Hrs = \$60. Tighten loose bolts and replace 1 missing bolt.									
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	osts only.				

# **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0114\_2.618\_L\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-011	4-2.950-L						
	ite Name:		AL DOME ROAD						
T	tion Data	04/15/201	0		Dounie- D-4	16.60			
		04/15/201	0		Barrier Rating:	16.60			
Barrier Descripti	on								
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	B	arrier Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHEF STEEL/CO			Post Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	244			
Speed Lim	Speed Limit (MPH): 25			F	Placement with Respect to Road:	INSIDE OF	F CURVE		
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	MEDIUM							
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO					
Average Measur	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.6		
Height (In.):	28.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	50.0		rade (%):	0.80		
<b>Physical Condition</b>	)n								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of barrier is acc more than 16 ft and more t	-		above 27-in de	sign height for		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Minor cracking in asphalt of	curb in front of barr	urb in front of barrier. No breaking or cracking in barrier.				
	Missing 3	Elements:	No missing elements in ba	rrier.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g in barrier.					
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of end treatmen height.	ts is acceptable. He	ight of end treatments	is 7 to 13 in ab	bove 27-in design		
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking in	end treatments.					
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in en-	d treatments.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g in end treatments.					
<u> </u>									

Ba	arrier ID:	D: CANY-0114-2.950-L							
Rou	ite Name:	UPHEAVAL DOME ROAD							
Inspection Date:04/15/2010Barrier Rating:16.60									
Repair Recomme	endations								
Repair Action:	REPAIR	FMSSDEFERREDRepair\$Work Type:MAINTENANCECost:							
Brief Workorder:		U	and end treatments down to osts. Monitor drain in front o	27-inch design height. Mon f barrier to keep open.	itor cracking o	of asphalt curb	to control		
Workorder:	Vorkorder:       Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 43 LF = \$430. Lower 43ft. of guardrail to 27-inch design height.         Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.								
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	ier repair co	osts only.			

# **Canyonlands National Park**

ROUTE 0114: UPHEAVAL DOME ROAD

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0114\_2.950\_L\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-011	4-2.955-R					
	ite Name:		AL DOME ROAD					
				-	• • •	22.20		
		04/15/201	0	Bar	rier Rating:	22.20		
Barrier Descripti	ion							
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barri	er Function:	TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Po	ost Material:	WOOD		
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	200		
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25			cement with ect to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE	
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	MEDIUM						
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness							
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach tion Type:	NONE	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO				
Average Measure	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.6	
Height (In.):	28.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	68.3		rade (%):	0.80	
<b>Physical Condition</b>	on							
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of barrier is acc height for 16 ft and more th			n to 3-in above	27-in design	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking in	No breaking or cracking in barrier.				
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in ba	rrier.				
		osion and eathering:	Slight weathering of block	s and posts. No corrosion	ı in w-beam.			
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of end treatmen	ts is acceptable. End trea	atments are 7 to 8	in above 27-in	n design height.	
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking in	end treatments.				
	Missing Elements: No missing elements in end treatments.							
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g in end treatments.				
L			1					

Ba	arrier ID:	: CANY-0114-2.955-R								
Rou	ite Name:	UPHEAV	JPHEAVAL DOME ROAD							
Inspect	Inspection Date: 04/15/2010 Barrier Rating: 22.20									
Repair Recomme	endations	5								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$2646			
Brief Workorder:	Lower 93 L.I	F. of barrier ar	d end treatments down to 2	7-inch design height.						
Workorder:	Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 93 LF = \$930. Lower 93ft. of guardrail to 27-in. design height. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.									
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	her repair co	osts only.				



CANY\_0114\_2.955\_R\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-011	4-3.721-L					
	ite Name:		AL DOME ROAD					
					<b>D</b> 1 <b>D</b> 1	22.70		
		04/15/201	0		Barrier Rating:	23.70		
Barrier Descripti	on							
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST		<b>Barrier Function:</b>	TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO			Post Material:	WOOD		
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	255		
Speed Lim	Speed Limit (MPH): 25				Placement with Respect to Road:	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE	
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	MEDIUM		1				
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness							
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach ion Type:	NONE	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO				
Average Measur	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.6	
Height (In.):	28.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	43.2		rade (%):	0.50	
<b>Physical Condition</b>	on							
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of barrier is acc	eptable. Height o	of barrier is 0-2 in above 2	27-in. design l	neight.	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No broken or cracked barri	er elements.				
	Missing 3	Elements:	No missing barrier element	ts.				
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion of guardrail. stability of posts.	Moderate weath	ering of blocks and posts.	Erosion does	not compromise	
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I height.	5 lf of approach	end treatment is more tha	n 8 in above 2	27-in. design	
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No broken or cracked end	treatment elemen	ts.			
	Missing Elements: No missing end treatment elements.							
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion of end treatm	ents. Moderate v	veathering of posts and b	locks.		

Ba	arrier ID:	r ID: CANY-0114-3.721-L								
Rou	ite Name:	UPHEAV.	UPHEAVAL DOME ROAD							
Inspection Date:04/15/2010Barrier Rating:23.70										
Repair Recomme	ndations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$1788			
Brief Workorder:	Lower 15 W	7-beam guardra	il (approach end treatment)	to 27-in. design height.						
Workorder:	Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 15 LF = \$150. Lower 15ft. of barrier to 27-in. design height. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.									
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	ier repair co	osts only.				

#### **Barrier Condition Photos**



CANY\_0114\_3.721\_L\_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	CANY-011	4-4.442-R				
	ite Name:		AL DOME ROAD				
		04/15/201			<b>D</b> 1 <b>D</b> 1	16.70	
		04/15/201	0		Barrier Rating:	16.70	
Barrier Descripti	on						
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	В	arrier Function:	TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO			Post Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	248	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25		]	Placement with Respect to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	MEDIUM					
<b>Barrier Crashwo</b>	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.3
Height (In.):	28.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	59.0		rade (%):	4.80
<b>Physical Condition</b>	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height. No evidence of impact.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	One cracked post and one of	cracked block. One	e loose bolt. No cracke	d or broken ra	ils.
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing barrier element	ts.			
		rosion and eathering:	No corrosion of guardrail. stability of posts.	Moderate weather	ing of blocks and posts.	Erosion does	s not compromise
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. 2 build-up.	26 ft of approach en	id is 6 in below the 27-i	n. design heig	ht due to gravel
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken end	treatment elements.			
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion of end treatm	ents. Moderate we	eathering of posts and b	locks.	

B	arrier ID:	CANY-011	4-4.442-R							
Rou	ite Name:	UPHEAVAL DOME ROAD								
Inspection Date: 04/15/2010 Barrier Rating: 16.70										
Repair Recomme	endations	5								
Repair Action:	REPAIR	R     FMSS     DEFERRED     Repair       Work Type:     MAINTENANCE     Cost:								
Brief Workorder:	Remove grav loose bolts.	zel build-up fro	om front of approach end tre	atment. Replace one cracke	d block and o	ne cracked pos	t. Tighten			
Workorder:	<ul> <li>Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 4 Hrs = \$240. Remove gravel from approach end treatment and tighten bolts.</li> <li>Replace Post at \$100- per -Each for 1 Post(s) = \$100. Replace one cracked post.</li> <li>Replace Block at \$30- per -Each for 1 Block(s) = \$30. Replace one cracked block.</li> <li>Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.</li> </ul>									
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.									

**Barrier Condition Photos** 



CANY\_0114\_4.442\_R\_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	CANY-040	7-0.098-L				
	ite Name:	I-SKY MA	AINTENANCE ROAD	)			
Inspect	ion Doto.	04/12/201	0	Par	rrier Rating:	12.80	
		04/12/201	0	Dal	Ther Kating.	12.00	
Barrier Description Type: W-BEA		W-BEAM	WEAK POST	Barri	er Function:	NON-TRA	FFIC
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Po	ost Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	N/A			Length (ft.):	29	
Speed Limi	t (MPH):	15			cement with bect to Road:	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER
Hazard Behind	Barrier:	N/A					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	N/A		Is Barrier	N/A
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach	NONE
Ending End Trtmt	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A	1141151	ion Type.	
Туре:			Crashnworthy::				
Average Measure				0.0			
Design Height (In.): Height (In.):	27 21.0		Width (In.): Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		<u>cing (In.):</u> rade (%):	77.6 0.00
Physical Condition			Lateral Oliset (III.):	0.0	Koau G	raue (70):	0.00
		ment and Height:	Alignment and height are a	tt design specifications fo	or non-traffic barr	ier.	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking in barrier.				
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements in ba	rrier.			
	Corrrosion and Weathering:No corrosion in ba		No corrosion in barrier. M	inor weathering in wood	l posts.		
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking:						
	Missing	Elements:					
		osion and eathering:					

B	arrier ID:	CANY-040	CANY-0407-0.098-L				
Route Name: I-SKY MAINTENAN		AINTENANCE ROAD	)				
Inspec	tion Date:	04/12/2010	)		Barrier Rating:	12.80	
Repair Recomme	endations	5					
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	DN	FMSS Work Type:			Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.						

## **Canyonlands National Park**

ROUTE 0407: I-SKY MAINTENANCE ROAD

## **Barrier Condition Photos**

Condition photos are not available for CANY-0407-0.098-L.

		07-0.100-L AINTENANCE ROAD				
		AINTENANCE KOAL	)			
	Inspection Date: 04/12/2010		Barrio	r Rating:	12.80	
Barrier Description		10	Darrie	r Kating.	12.00	
-		WEAK POST	Barrier	Function:	NON-TRA	FFIC
Barrier Mate	erial: GALVAN	IZED STEEL	Post	Material:	WOOD	
	kout N/A			ngth (ft.):	17	
Speed Limit (M	<b>PH):</b> 15			ment with t to Road:	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER
Hazard Behind Bar	rier: N/A					
Barrier Crashworthi	ness					
Appropriate Test TL-1 Level:		Barrier Test Level:	N/A		Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A
Beg. End Trtmt NON Type:	1E	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt NON Type:	ΙE	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A			
Average Measuremen	nts					
Design Height (In.): 27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	148.0
Height (In.): 21.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0	Road G	rade (%):	0.00
Physical Condition						
	Alignment and Height:		tt design specifications for no	on-traffic barri	ier.	
Barrier	Breaking and Cracking:	One minor bend in w-beam of barrier.				
Mis	ssing Elements:	No missing elements in ba	rrier.			
	Corrrosion and Weathering:		g in barrier.			
	Alignment and Height:					
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking					
Mis	ssing Elements:					
	Corrrosion and Weathering:					

Barı	rier ID:	D: CANY-0407-0.100-L					
Route	Route Name: I-		I-SKY MAINTENANCE ROAD				
Inspectio	on Date:	04/12/2010	)	Ba	rrier Rating:	12.80	
Repair Recommend	dations						
<b>Repair</b> No Action:	IO ACTIO	νN	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	//A						
Workorder:							
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.						

## **Canyonlands National Park** ROUTE 0407: I-SKY MAINTENANCE ROAD

**Barrier Condition Photos** 



CANY\_0407\_0.100\_L\_1.JPG

# Appendix A Summary of GIP Definitions and Assessment



**Canyonlands National Park** 



## Appendix A: Guardwall/Rail Inventory Program (GIP) EXPLANATION OF REPORT TERMS

The Guardwall/rail Inventory Program (GIP) was commissioned by WASO to identify deferred maintenance related to barriers in National Parks that have more than one mile of guardwall or guardrail. GIP was designed jointly by the NPS and FHWA and the inventory process records both static characteristics of the barrier (e.g., length, height, etc.) as well as dynamic information about the condition of the barrier.

Barriers that traverse bridges are not included in this inventory, these barriers are covered in FHWA's Bridge Inventory Program (BIP); however, barriers that are approaches to bridges were part of this inventory.

The following discussion highlights each of the elements found in the reports.

## **Static Barrier Characteristics**

#### **BARRIER TYPE**

Refers to both the design and the construction materials used:

- W-Beam, Strong Post
- W-Beam, Weak Post
- Thrie Beam/Modified Thrie Beam
- Box Beam
- Steel-Backed Timber, w/ Blockout
- Steel-Backed Timber, w/o Blockout
- Steel-Backed Log Rail
- High Tension Cable
- Three-Strand Cable

#### **BARRIER MATERIAL**

The type of material of which the barrier is composed:

- Cable
- Concrete
- Galvanized Steel
- Log/Timber/Wood

- Steel-Backed Timber/Log
- Weathering Steel/Corten
- Stone
- Other: Completed by field crew

#### LENGTH

The longitudinal distance between the beginning and end of the barrier. It should include the length of end treatments in the overall length of the barrier. For roadside barriers, this can be calculated from the start and end locations.

A-1

Stone Masonry, w/ Concrete Core WallRandom Rubble Cavity Wall

Stone Masonry, w/o Concrete Core Wall

• Concrete Barrier

•

- Concrete, with Simulated Stone Face
- W-Beam (Double Face), Strong Post
- Steel-Backed Timber (Double Face)
- Other: Completed by field crew

#### BARRIER FUNCTION: Traffic or Non-Traffic Barrier.

Due to the different GIP assessment criteria of barriers based on their intended use, barriers were classified as being either traffic barriers or non-traffic barriers.

*Traffic barriers* are physical devices intended to keep vehicles or people from straying into dangerous or off-limits areas. For the purpose of this inventory and assessment, a traffic barrier is categorized as roadside hardware placed longitudinally, excluding pedestrian railing and fencing.

*Non-traffic barriers* provide a physical delineation between public access areas and restricted or protected areas in locations such as a parking lot, viewpoint or turnout. Non-traffic barriers which inhibit access of vehicles are included in this report; non-traffic barriers which only inhibit access of pedestrians or bicyclists are not included. For the purpose of this inventory, non-traffic barriers are guidewalls and guiderails. Note: rocks, stones, boulders, fences or curbs were excluded from this inventory.

There are instances in parks where a single barrier can switch between being classified as a traffic barrier and a non-traffic barrier. Such instances typically occur at pullouts, where a traffic barrier along the road will continue through the pullout without interruption. In such instances, the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier were assessed using different criteria. Due to the different criteria, the GIP database was designed to record the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier as two distinct barriers, even though to the eye, they appear as one barrier. Other instances where a single barrier is split into multiple barriers would be when the barrier is placed continuously along two legs of an intersection, so that one portion of the barrier may be on one road and the remaining portion of the barrier is on a different road.

#### POST MATERIAL

The type or material that the barrier's supporting posts are made of:

- Galvanized Steel
- Wood
- Corten

#### **BLOCKOUT TYPE**

The type of blockout or of what it is comprised:

- Wood
- Plastic

Other: Completed by field crew

• Steel

N/A

• N/A

#### BARRIER PLACEMENT WITH RESPECT TO ROADWAY

To identify the roadway alignment the barrier is located upon:

- Tangent
- Inside of Curve

- Both Inside and Outside of Curve
- Outside of Curve

#### POSTED SPEED LIMIT

The posted speed limit of the roadway section.

## HAZARD BEHIND BARRIER

A qualitative description of the severity of the hazard behind the barrier:

- Low
- Medium

### APPROPRIATE TEST LEVEL (TL) FOR ROAD

Based on the posted speed limit, the NCHRP 350 Crashworthiness test level appropriate for the roadway.

- TL-1, 30 mph and lower
- TL-2, 35-45 mph

## **BARRIER TEST LEVEL (TL)**

A traffic barrier is crashworthy if it was successfully crash tested under *NCHRP Report 350* at speeds along the park road or parkway or if it was accepted through analysis by FHWA, based on similarity to other crashworthy critical design element features. Non-traffic barriers are classified at N/A.

- TL-1
- TL-2
- TL-3

#### **IS BARRIER CRASHWORTHY**

This compared the appropriate crashworthy test level required for the posted speed limit to the barrier's test level.

• Yes

• No

No

#### **BEGINNING END TREATMENT TYPE**

An end treatment is safety hardware that mitigates impacts to the ends of a barrier. Most common end treatments are for w-beam systems. Note that stonemasonry barriers typically do not have end treatments.

The beginning end treatment is based on the travel lane closest to the barrier. A vehicle traveling in the lane closest to the barrier will encounter the barrier's beginning end treatment first. It is not based on the RIP primary direction. Identifies the barrier's beginning end treatment type:

- W-Beam Flared 350 Compliant
- W-Beam Tangent 350 Complaint
- W-Beam Buried End
- W-Beam Trailing End/CRG
- W-Beam BCT, Flared
- W-Beam, Turn Down
- SBT/Log, Flared

- SBT/Log, Buried
- Median Treatments
- Box Beam
- Cable
- Crash Cushions/Attenuator
- Other: Completed by field crew
- None

TL-3, 50 mph and higher

N/A – Non-Traffic Barrier

High

Extreme

## IS BEGINNING END TREATMENT CRASHWORTHY

Identifies if the barrier's beginning end treatment (based on direction of travel for the travel lane closest to barrier) is crashworthy, based on NCHRP-350.

- Yes
- No

## APPROACH TRANSITION TYPE

A transition is safety hardware designed to be placed between two different types of barrier. Most common transition types are between bridge rail and w-beam systems.

This identifies the barrier's transition type:

- Bridge Rail, W-Beam
- Bridge Rail, SBT
- Rigid W-Beam, W-Beam
- Rigid SBT (Wall), SBT
- Concrete/Masonry, W-Beam

## ENDING END TREATMENT TYPE

The ending end treatment is based on the travel lane closest to the barrier. A vehicle traveling in the lane closest to the barrier will encounter the barrier's ending end treatment last, after passing the rest of the barrier. It is not based on the RIP primary direction. Identifies the barrier's ending end treatment type:

- W-Beam Flared 350 Compliant
- W-Beam Tangent 350 Complaint
- W-Beam Buried End
- W-Beam Trailing End/CRG
- W-Beam BCT, Flared
- W-Beam, Turn Down
- SBT/Log, Flared

- SBT/Log, Buried
- Median Treatments
- Box Beam
- Cable
- Crash Cushions/Attenuator
- Other: *Completed by field crew*
- None

N/A

## IS ENDING END TREATMENT CRASHWORTHY

Identifies if the barrier's ending end treatment (based on direction of travel for the travel lane closest to barrier) is crashworthy, based on NCHRP-350.

- Yes
- No

## **BARRIER DESIGN HEIGHT**

Identifies the barrier's original "as-built" design height:

- 27-in, W-beam, Steel-Backed Timber, Stone Masonry w/ Concrete Core Wall
- 24-in, Stone Masonry w/o Concrete Core Wall, Log on Log
- 20-in, Timber on Wood Posts, Timber on Concrete Posts, Timber on Granite Posts
- 18/24-in, Crenellated Stone Masonry Barrier
- 18/24-in, Dry Stack Stone Wall

- 31-in, Steel-Backed Log
- 32-in, Jersey Barrier

- Concrete/Masonry, SBT
- Concrete/Masonry, Thrie Beam
- Other: *Completed by field crew*
- None

- two different to
- N/A

#### **AVERAGE MEASUREMENTS**

Minimum of three measurements taken on each barrier.

First measurement approximately 50-ft from the beginning of the barrier, measured from the extreme ends of the barrier's end treatment/transition. Do not take a measurement along the end treatment Measure and record measurement every 200-ft thereafter for the run of barrier

Last measurement approximately 50-ft from the end of the barrier. Do not take a measurement along the end treatment

If a barrier is less than 300-ft, even say 45-ft, a minimum of three measurements were still taken.

#### **AVERAGE WIDTH**

The width of the barrier. Only recorded for guardwalls; not guardrail.

#### AVERAGE POST SPACING

The spacing of the barrier's (not the end treatments') posts. Only recorded for guardrails; not guardwalls or non-traffic barriers.

#### **AVERAGE BARRIER HEIGHT**

The average barrier height. If the barrier has crenellations, the height is measured in the non-crenellated sections of the barrier. If the average lateral offset is less than or equal to 4-ft, average barrier height is measured from the roadway; if the average lateral offset is greater than 4-ft, average barrier height is measured at the barrier face.

#### **AVERAGE LATERAL OFFSET**

Determine the average distance between the barrier and the edge of roadway. If a white edgeline is present on the roadway, average lateral offset is measured from the outside edge of the white line to the barrier face. If no white edgeline is present, average lateral offset is measured from the edge of pavement to the barrier face.

#### **AVERAGE ROAD GRADE and UPHILL OR DOWNHILL**

Determine an average roadway grade at each barrier location, based on the direction of travel in the lane closest to the barrier.

## DYNAMIC BARRIER CHARACTERISTICS – CONDITION ASSESSMENT NARRATIVES

Field crews were directed to write a narrative of the barrier's physical condition. To keep consistency between field crews, all narratives were based on severity and distress criteria, which were developed jointly by the NPS and FHWA. Condition assessments were based on barrier type and can be found directly after this description of report elements.

#### **BARRIER ALIGNMENT/HEIGHT**

Narrative completed by field crew describing the barrier's alignment and height. Height comments are based on the barrier's original "as-built" design height.

#### **BARRIER BREAKING/CRACKING**

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier breaking or cracking found during the inspection.

#### **BARRIER MISSING ELEMENTS**

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier missing elements encountered during the inspection.

#### BARRIER CORROSION/WEATHERING

Narrative completed by field crew describing and corrosion or weathering issues associated with the barrier.

#### END TREATMENTS ALIGNMENT/HEIGHT

Narrative completed by field crew describing the barrier end treatment's alignment and height, when present. Height comments are based on the end treatment's original "as-built" design height.

#### END TREATMENTS BREAKING/CRACKING

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier end treatment's breaking or cracking found during the inspection.

#### END TREATMENTS MISSING ELEMENTS

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier end treatment missing elements encountered during the inspection.

#### END TREATMENTS CORROSION/WEATHERING

Narrative completed by field crew describing and corrosion or weathering issues associated with the barrier's end treatments.

#### **BARRIER PHOTOGRAPHS**

During the inspection, the field crews photographed the beginning end (based on the closest lane's direction of travel) of each barrier. Additional photographs were taken of any unusual deficiencies encountered. Up to two photographs of the barrier are included in this report.

## CONDITION AND SEVERITY DISTRESS TABLES

Due to the extreme number of possible conditions of the barrier, transition and end treatment, the following descriptions and matrices are guidelines created to help classify the condition of the element. While the distinction between good and fair is needed, the distinction between fair and poor is much more important since this is the threshold that defines if the element is slightly compromised or is not functional.

In all likelihood, according to these guidelines different portions of an element (most likely a barrier) may be classified differently; however, a single classification will need to be provided for the element. The survey team will use their professional judgment to determine this single classification. The single classification of each element should be considered an index value that provides a general indicator of overall performance, but not necessarily indicate that a specific treatment is warranted. The specific work order that is prepared based on the observed deficiencies will be a much more definitive indicator of the appropriate treatment based on existing distresses. The overall condition will be used as part of the risk assessment tool to evaluate the risk to driver safety associated with the physical condition of the barrier.

#### GOOD

<u>The barrier performs as intended.</u> The barrier is in fairly straight alignment but may have some small amount that is slightly out of alignment. While the height of the barrier may vary over its run, the height is relatively consistent and is close to its original "as-built" design height. Minor cracks may be visually observed on some the posts, though these cracks are neither long nor deep and the only hardware missing are isolated nuts and bolts. Minor surface corrosion on small portions of the surface is visible but there is no decay associated with connections.

<u>The end treatment performs as intended.</u> The end treatment is in good alignment and tension is acceptable. While the end treatment may exhibit some dents, there are no cracked rails, posts, blocks or any missing elements. Corrosion and erosion, while present, are at a minimum.

In general, all distresses observed, either in isolation or in combination, do not seriously affect the ability of the element to serve the intended functions of protecting drivers from a roadside hazard and/or contributing to the cultural value of the roadway corridor. Keep in mind that "intended function" is a relative term. In many cases, older designs were "intended" to protect drivers but would not be considered fully functional in that regard by today's standards.

#### FAIR

<u>The barrier is slightly compromised.</u> The barrier is noticeably out of alignment and the height along the run of barrier varies considerably. Cracks and broken elements are visible from the roadside. The barrier may be missing elements, such as nuts, bolts, blockouts or even a post. Surface corrosion is visible on a fair amount of the barrier but connections will still provide element interlock. Decay and minor erosion, while not always visible, may begin to reduce element strength and individual post stability. <u>The end treatment is slightly compromised.</u> The end treatment may be somewhat out of alignment, have low cable anchor tension or isolated broken or cracked rail, posts or blocks. Corrosion and erosion are evident.

In general, the distresses observed, either in isolation or combination, may generate unpredictable outcomes related to the functions of the element stated above.

#### POOR

<u>The barrier is not functional.</u> The barrier will not function as intended. Any of the following could mean that the barrier is in poor condition: The barrier has fallen out of alignment or its height varies greatly from the designed height. Cracks and broken elements are visible from the roadside. The barrier is missing several elements, such as nuts, bolts, blockouts or consecutive posts. Corrosion, causing structural compromise is significant and obvious. Erosion around posts will reduce the barrier's strength and capacity.

<u>The end treatment is not functional.</u> The end treatment does not function as intended. There is no tension in the cable anchor. A significant portion of the end treatment has broken, cracked or dented elements. Elements are missing and corrosion or erosion is significant.

In general, the distresses observed clearly illustrate the inability of the element to perform the intended functions.

## **CONDITION AND SEVERITY DISTRESS TABLES – BARRIERS**

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Semi-Rigid Barriers (including barriers with posts, rail elements and blocks).

and blocks).	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Design H	leight		
	• Alignment off by less than 6"	• Alignment off by 6"-12"	• Alignment off by more than 12"
	Within 1" of <u>design</u> <u>height</u>	• Less than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>	• Greater than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>
Breaking/Cracking,	an member, post or rail –	due to impact loading	
	Metal – no twisting/bending, tears or cracking	Metal – no cracking or tearing (but minor twisting/bending is ok)	• Metal – any cracks or tears
	<ul> <li>Wood – no impact related cracking</li> </ul>	Wood – maybe cracked but retains original cross section	• Wood – cracks or tears that deform original section
	Isolated broken blocks	Two Consecutive broken blocks	Consecutive broken blocks (three or more consecutive)
Missing Elements			
	No bolts and nuts     missing	One or two bolt/nut missing at one rail/rail connection	• Three or more bolts/nuts missing at one rail/rail connection
	• n/a	Two consecutive missing blocks	Three or more consecutive missing blocks
	• n/a	• n/a	One missing rail element     or post
Corrosion/Decay/We	eathering, all posts, rails ar	nd blocks – due to aging	
	• Loss of 5% or less of cross section	• Loss of 5% to 50% of cross section	• Loss of 50% or more of cross section
	• Erosion (less than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)	• Erosion around posts (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline) for one	• Erosion around consecutive posts (more than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)

#### Condition and Severity Distress Table for Rigid Concrete Barriers (including pre-cast).

Condition and Severity		crete Barriers (including pre-c	
	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Design H	leight		
	• Alignment off by less than 6"	• Alignment off by 6"-12"	• Alignment off by more than 12"
	Within 1" of <i>design</i> <u>height</u>	• Less than 3" lower than <i>design height</i>	• Greater than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>
Breaking/Cracking-	- due to impact loading		
	• Minor cracks (less than 1/4") present	Cracking present ¼" or greater but no displacement or discontinuity in face	Barrier displaced and/or discontinuous
	• n/a	Pieces broken from barrier 3" deep or less without exposing rebar	Cracking exposes rebar
	• n/a	• n/a	• Pieces broken from face greater than 3" deep
Missing Elements			
	• n/a	• n/a	• n/a
Corrosion/Decay/W	eathering – due to aging		
	• Surface corrosion on less than 5% of the run	• Surface corrosion on between 5-25% of the run	• Surface corrosion on more than 25% of the run
	• n/a	• Spalling 3" deep or less without exposing rebar	• Spalling greater than 3" deep
	• Erosion (less than 8" below groundline) around base	Erosion (8" or more below groundline) around base	• Erosion (8" or more below groundline)
	• n/a	• Less than 50% undermined (less than half barrier width)	• 50% or more undermined (less than half barrier width)

# Condition and Severity Distress Table for Rigid Stone/Masonry Barriers (including all types of stone or masonry barriers).

masonry barriers).	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Design H	leight		
	• Alignment (off by less than 6")	• Alignment (off by 6"- 12")	• Alignment (off by more than 12")
	Within 3" of <u>design</u> <u>height</u>	• Between 3.1 - 6" lower than <i>design height</i>	• Greater than 6.1" lower than <i>design height</i>
Breaking/Cracking -	- due to impact loading		
	• Minor cracks (less than 1/4") present	• Cracks, less than <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> " present	• Cracks greater than <sup>1</sup> /2" present
		• Stones broken/displaced extending less than 1/3 of width of barrier	• Stones broken/displaced extending 1/3 width or more through the barrier
Missing Elements			
	• n/a	• n/a	• n/a
Corrosion/Decay/We	eathering – due to aging		
	Cracks in mortar joints     1/4" or less and/or single     loose or missing stones	Mortar joints     deteriorated resulting in     two - three loose or     missing adjacent stones     (without impact)	Mortar joints     deteriorated resulting in     more than three     continuous/adjacent     loose or missing stones     (without impact)
	• Erosion (less than 8" below groundline) around base	• Erosion (8" or more below groundline) around base	• Erosion (8" or more below groundline)
	• n/a	• Less than 50% undermined (less than half barrier width)	• 50% or more undermined (less than half barrier width)

# Condition and Severity Distress Table for Flexible Barriers, (including cable barriers and weak-post systems designed without blocks).

designed without blocks	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Tension/	Design Height		
	No bent posts	• Bent posts; one to three consecutive posts	Bent posts; four or more consecutive posts
	Cable has tension	Cable under- tensioned/sagging	No cable tension
	• Less than 1" too low	• 1-3" too low	• Greater than 3" too low
Breaking/Cracking			
	No cracked or broken     posts	One to three isolated broken posts	• Four or more consecutive broken posts
	• n/a	Cable frayed	Cable broken or severed
Missing Elements			
	No bolts and nuts missing at anchors	• n/a	Bolts and nuts missing     or loose at anchors
	• n/a	• n/a	• Any missing posts or cable for any length of run
Corrosion/Decay/We	eathering – due to aging		
	• Loss of 5% or less of cable cross section	• Loss of 5% to 15% of cable cross section	• Loss of 15% or more of cross section
	• Erosion (less than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)	• Erosion around one post (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around consecutive posts (more than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)

## **CONDITION AND SEVERITY DISTRESS TABLES – END TREATMENTS**

Condition and Severity Distr			
	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Tension			
Angiment/Tension			
	• Alignment off by less than 4"	• Alignment off by 4"-8"	• Alignment off by more than 8"
	• Adequate cable tension	Low cable anchor tension	• No cable anchor tension
Breaking/Cracking – due	to impact loading	·	
	No broken or cracked elements	• Minor cable fraying but still with adequate tension	Broken or cracked cables or posts
	• No damage to posts, cable or anchor	Slight damage to posts without cracking or tearing (but minor twisting/bending on isolated posts is OK)	Cable broken or severed on any cable
Missing Elements			
	No bolts and nuts missing at anchors; No missing cables	• n/a	• Any missing element (post, cable, bolts, nuts, or anchor)
Corrosion/Decay/Weathe	ring – due to aging		
	• Loss of 5% or less of cable cross section	• Loss of 5% to 15% of cable cross section	• Loss of 15% or more of cross section
	• Connections weathered but still provide element interlock on less than 5% of the end treatment	• Connections weathered but still provide element interlock on between 5% to 15% of the end treatment	• Connections weathered but still provide element interlock on more than 15% of the end treatment

#### Condition and Severity Distress Table for Flexible End Treatments, (including cable end terminals).

#### Condition and Severity Distress Table for Semi-Rigid End Treatments, including Flared and Tangent

Condition and Severity	Distress Table for Semi-Rigid	End Treatments, including Fla	red and Tangent
	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Tension			
	• Alignment of flares and offsets off by less than 4"	• Alignment of flares and offsets off by 4"-8"	• Alignment of flares and offsets off by more than 8"
	Within 1" of <i>design height</i>	• Less than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>	• Greater than 3" lower than <i>design height</i>
For Aesthetic Barriers (i.e. – SBT and SBL guardrail) that do not have crashworthy terminals:	Approach barrier terminals are buried, anchored, and flared away from the travel lane	Approach barrier terminals are buried, anchored, and flared away from the travel lane	Approach barrier ends are NOT buried, anchored, nor flared away from the travel lane
Breaking/Cracking -	- due to impact loading		
	Metal – no twisting/bending, tears or cracking	• Metal – no cracking or tearing (but minor twisting or bending is ok)	Metal – any cracks or tears
	Wood – no impact related cracking	• Wood – maybe cracked but retains original cross section	• Wood – cracks or tears that deform original section
	No broken blocks	• One broken block	Two consecutive broken     blocks
Missing Elements			
	No missing elements, including breakaway cables and struts	Isolated bolts, nuts, or blocks loose on non- consecutive posts	• Any missing element, including blocks, rails, posts cables, or struts
	• No bolts, nuts, or blocks missing or loose	• Breakaway strut present but vertical height off by more than 2"	Missing nuts / bolts on consecutive posts
Corrosion/Decay/Wo	eathering – due to aging		
	Surface corrosion / decay / connections weathered with a loss of 5% or less of cross section of interlocking elements	• Surface corrosion / decay / connections weathered with between 5-25% loss of cross section along transition interlocking elements	• Surface corrosion / decay / connections weathered with more than 25% loss of cross section along transition interlocking elements
	Erosion (less than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)	• Erosion around 1 post (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around consecutive posts (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline)

## SPECIFIC RISK ELEMENTS

The potential risk to a motorist after a vehicle impacts a traffic barrier depends on the crashworthiness of the traffic barrier as well as traffic exposure factors. Variables relating to the roadside, the traffic barrier's crashworthiness and traffic data include the following:

*ADT*. The number of vehicles (in both directions) that travel the roadway on which the traffic barrier is located.

*Barrier Crashworthy*. A traffic barrier is crashworthy if it was successfully crash tested under NCHRP Report 350 at speeds along the park road or parkway or if it was accepted through analysis by FHWA, based on similarity to other crashworthy critical design element features. If crashworthy, the appropriate test level also needs to be recorded. For crashworthy barriers, the barrier test level will be compared to the test level appropriate for the roadway (based solely on posted speed limit). The intent is to record situations in which a crashworthy barrier of a lower test level is installed on a roadway which should have a barrier of a higher test level.

*Barrier Height*. Determined from barrier height as collected in the physical condition assessment. The database will compare this value to the NCHRP test level height that is appropriate for the posted speed of the road and barrier type.

End Treatment Crashworthy. An end treatment is crashworthy if it has been successfully crash tested. This is for the approach end treatment, which is defined as the end treatment which a vehicle will first pass when traveling on the same side of the road as the barrier.

*Existing Roadway Features.* The list of roadway features is limited to the following, all of which have a documented history of reducing the number of crashes, and are found later in the GIP as possible countermeasures.

Centerline pavement markings	Grooved pavement surface
Edgeline pavement markings	Delineators on curve and tangent
Wider centerline	Chevrons
Wider edgeline	Warning sign
Centerline rumble strips	Flashing beacon on warning sign
Shoulder rumble strips	Lighting
Barrier reflectors	Speed feedback sign
Centerline rumble strips Shoulder rumble strips	Flashing beacon on warning sign Lighting

*Factored Crash Rate*. The average annual number of crashes (on the overall road and by barrier segment), over the last 5 years. If the road has an ADT of less than 1000, evaluate a minimum of

7 to 10 years of crash data, if available.

*Lateral Offset of Barrier from Edge of Traveled Way.* The distance from the edge of traveled way to the face of the barrier is useful for determining impact to asset during different types of construction. Two or three measurements will be taken – beginning, middle and end of barrier run (not including the end treatments) – and the average will be used.

Posted Speed Limit. The posted speed limit(s) of the roadway section.

*Roadway Grade and Uphill or Downhill*. Is refers to the grade of the roadway, in the direction of travel closest to the barrier.

*Severity of the Hazard behind Barrier*. A rating system based on photos will be used to rate the severity of the hazard behind the barrier. Choices include:

- Low
- Medium
- High
- Extreme

#### RISK ASSESSMENT AND RISK SCORE

The following table shows the variables relating to the overall roadway safety in the vicinity of barriers. In addition, the table illustrates the range of values considered for each variable and associated levels of risk. For categorization purposes, variables have been placed into one of three categories: segment, site or barrier variables. The "Associated Risk" column identifies the relative risk posed by each variable. This looks at the relative risk of the each variable itself and is only a cursory evaluation.

A Risk Score or Rating ("Barrier Rating" on Tier 3 Barrier page) was created for each barrier based on the table values. The level of risk tolerated is dependent on the category of road, which will be discussed in subsequent pages.

Once the inventory has been conducted, a total risk value can be assigned to each barrier. A comparison of the relative risk to an acceptable risk threshold will be performed in order to analyze the overall risk of a given barrier.

VARIABLE	RANGE	ASSOCIATED RISK
SEGMENT VARIABLES		
ADT	0 - 1000	0.0
	1001 - 4000	2.9
	4001 - 8000	5.7
	8001 - 20,000	7.1
	20,001 and greater	8.6
Crash Factor	0	0.0
	0.1 - 5.0	4.2
	5.1 - 20.0	8.7
	20.1 - 30.0	17.1
	30.1 - 75.0	25.8
	75.1 and greater	34.2
Posted Speed Limit	15 – 25 mph	0.0
-	30 – 40 mph	4.3
	45 and higher	8.6
SITE VARIABLES		
Barrier Placement w/ Respect to	Tangent	0.0
Roadway Geometry	Inside of curve	2.9
	Both inside and outside of curve	8.6
	Outside of curve	8.6
Severity of Hazard behind the Barrier	Low severity	2.6
-	Medium severity	5.1
	High severity	6.9
	Extreme severity	8.6
Longitudinal Length of Barrier	1 – 250-ft	0.0
	251 - 750-ft	2.9
	751 – ft and greater	5.7
Lateral Offset of Barrier from Edge of	4.1 – ft and greater	0.0
Traveled Way	2 – 4-ft	2.9
	less than 2-ft	5.7
Roadway Grade	Uphill/level/downgrade less than 3%	0.0
	Mild downgrade $(3 - 6\%)$	4.3
	Steep downgrade (greater than 6%)	8.6
BARRIER VARIABLES		
Actual Barrier Height (compared to	0 – 1-in lower	0.0
test level height)	1.1 - 4-in lower	4.4
test level height)	4.1 - 7-in lower	12.9
	7.1 - 12-in lower	19.4
	12.1-in and greater lower	21.5
Dynamic Barrier Condition Rating	0-25	0.0
(based on design height)	26 - 200	4.4
(oused on design height)	20 - 200	8.6
	401 - 600	12.9
	401 - 000 601 - 800	17.1
	801 and above	21.5
Barrier Conformance with Current	Yes	0.0
Darrer Comormance with Current		
Crashworthiness Criteria	No	5.7

## **REPLACEMENT/REPAIR STRATEGIES**

Information is integrated by combining static data on barrier type, materials, dimensions, etc. with the condition and risk assessments, and the asset management roadway categories (which include cultural and historic resource considerations) to come up with actionable repair strategies for barriers. In addition, repair costs are accounted for so that estimates can be made for repair actions identified. Costed repair estimates, or work orders, then form the basis for estimating deferred maintenance associated with roadside barriers. Repair recommendations generated by this assessment are intended to provide an estimated cost of deferred maintenance of barriers. As such, the evaluation is not rigorous and may be changed when a more detailed review and assessment at a project level is completed. In addition, any repairs or replacements that are recommended by this inventory and assessment process must be vetted through a project selection, planning and design process, including compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

Many park barriers are located in harsh environments where freeze-thaw cycles, avalanche impacts, surface erosion, rockfall and vehicle impacts damage them; consequently, they are showing signs of fatigue, at times serious. Whenever possible, historic barriers are repaired or rehabilitated in place so that the historic significance can be preserved; however, removal or reconstruction, which is typically the least preferred alternative, is at times necessary.

Barrier deficiencies can generally be categorized into one of two categories:

- Barriers that pose an unacceptable risk to the traveling public (as determined by the risk assessment methods described in Chapter Seven and including standards found in NCHRP Report 350), or
- Damaged barriers, due to either crash impacts, other loadings (e.g., snow / avalanche, etc) or deteriorated parts (from age / weathering).

Outside of the national park system, barriers that do not meet NCHRP Report 350 crashworthiness standards are typically removed and a barrier of a crashworthy design is constructed in its place. However given the sensitive natural and cultural environments found within the national park system, deficient barriers not meeting national crashworthiness standards may warrant no action, particularly where risk is low.

The type of repair strategy is often dependent on the barrier deficiency and its cultural context. Typically barriers that do not meet current crashworthiness criteria may be replaced while damaged or deteriorated barriers can be repaired. However, under unique situations found in certain national parks and as evaluated using the risk assessment and asset management roadway categories, some barriers that do not meet current crashworthiness criteria may warrant no action being taken for their replacement or repair.

Risk assessment and asset management roadway categories are integrated in the following table, which establishes different risk thresholds within each roadway category. In essence, a higher level of risk will be tolerated in Asset Management Roadway Category A, as demonstrated by the higher risk threshold (90), while less risk will be tolerated in Roadway Category B (70) and even less risk in Roadway Category C (50).

Asset Management Roadway Categories, Risk Thresholds and Treatment Recommendations.

ASSET MANAGEMENT ROADWAY CATEGORY	RISK THRESHOLD	PROGRAM-LEVEL TREATMENT RECOMMENDATION
А	90-100	<ol> <li>Identify measures other than barrier replacement that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineering countermeasures).</li> <li>Corrective action (including reconstruct/replacement, if necessary) needed to reduce risk below 90.</li> </ol>
	Below 90	<ol> <li>Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures).</li> <li>Identify repairs needed to improve physical condition/maintain historic integrity.</li> <li>When condition is good and risk is acceptable, no action is necessary.</li> </ol>
В	70-100	<ol> <li>Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures).</li> <li>Corrective action (including reconstruct/replacement, if necessary) needed to reduce risk below 70.</li> </ol>
	Below 70	<ol> <li>Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures).</li> <li>Identify repairs needed to improve physical condition/maintain historic integrity.</li> <li>When condition is good and risk is acceptable, no action is necessary.</li> </ol>
С	50-100	<ol> <li>Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures).</li> <li>Corrective action (including reconstruct/replacement, if necessary) needed to reduce risk below 50.</li> </ol>
	Below 50	<ol> <li>Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures).</li> <li>Identify repairs needed to improve physical condition/maintain historic integrity.</li> <li>When condition is good and risk is acceptable, no action is necessary.</li> </ol>

Fourteen engineering countermeasures have been specifically selected for use with the GIP risk assessment tool, and are show in the next table. This is an all-inclusive list of available countermeasures for the risk assessment toll; countermeasures not on the list should not be considered.

The concept of employing countermeasures is evident with barriers that have a risk score just above the risk threshold. For such barriers, installing countermeasures should reduce the future number of crashes by a given amount, based on the countermeasure. Depending on the factored crash rate, reducing the number of crashes will lower the overall risk score. Thus, barriers that were classified as "reconstruct/replace" may be able to be reclassified as "repair".

The decision to include any of the engineering countermeasures can be done only when the risk score is over the risk threshold by three points or less. When countermeasures are employed to reduce the risk score, they must be based on engineering judgment. The GIP database will allow the user to select up to three countermeasures to reduce the risk score under the threshold, based on crash reduction factors from the FHWA publication "Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors" FHWA-SA-07-015.

Proposed Countermeasures.

COUNTERMEASURE	CRASH REDUCTION FACTOR
Speed Feedback Signs	0.46
Flashing Beacons On Warning Signs	0.30
Centerline Pavement Marking	0.30
Lighting	0.25
Chevrons	0.20
Warning Signs	0.20
Barrier Reflectors	0.16
Grooved Pavement Surface	0.15
Edgeline Pavement Marking	0.12
Shoulder Rumble Strips	0.12
Delineators on Curve and Tangent	0.05
Centerline Rumble Strips	0.04
Wider Edgeline	0.02
Wider Centerline	0.02

#### **Maintaining Barriers As Is**

Individual barrier elements and roadside conditions are interrelated. Sometimes, barrier deficiencies will be obvious and the best course of action is apparent; however, in context sensitive environments barrier deficiencies may be marginal and a decision will be based on judgment.

If risk is low (as determined by the assessment of variables such as traffic speeds, volumes), it may be acceptable for an historical or culturally significant barrier that does not meet current crashworthiness standards to remain until changes in risk factors would require an upgrading.

If the maintaining barrier as is alternative is the preferred choice through this approach, low cost mitigation measures may be considered to improve safety, such as improving roadside delineation (e.g., pavement markings / rumble strip(e)s, etc.), improving visibility (e.g., advance warning signs, increased sign size, etc.), upgrading the roadway shoulder, or improving skid resistance of the road surface. Although these measures will not reduce crash severity of an errant vehicle impact, these improvements have been tried or proven to reduce the frequency or probability of a vehicle striking the barrier.

#### **Barrier Repair**

If a barrier has been damaged due to a crash or there are parts that have deteriorated due to age or weathering but the majority of the barrier meets current crashworthiness standards and is functionally sound, repairing the system can be considered a viable option. Examples of these improvements include replacing damaged timber rail, removing a corroded, weathered steel post and replacing with new, upgraded guardrail blockouts to meet standards on high speed facilities or repointing, resetting or replacing loose or missing stones on the concrete corewalls of stone masonry guardwalls. Pursuing a repair approach should be the first consideration for Roadway Category A and B road assets.

For barriers that do not meet crashworthiness criteria but are functionally sound and have been determined good candidates to be maintained as-is based on the risk assessment and application of asset management roadway categories, repair could include measures such as repointing deteriorated masonry, re-setting or replacing loose, broken or missing stones, restoring walls to their original height (by adding a concrete footing, for example), restoring or improving drainage through or under walls or restoring wall foundations. Alterations to improve safety may also be considered, such as adding or changing end treatments or other mitigation measures as mentioned above.

For historic, stone masonry barriers that have a risk score below the threshold, it is possible that portions of the barrier need to be removed and reset in order increase the height of the barrier. The following guidelines are provided to assist in determining when this should be done and to what height the barrier should be rebuilt:

1. If all or a portion of stone masonry guardwall has a deficient height based upon the Severity Description Charts, that is, at worst, within the fair category, do not raise it. (Other work besides raising the barrier can be specified.)

2. If a portion of a stone masonry guardwall has a deficiency in height based upon the Severity Description Charts, considered "poor" (assumed typically to be less than 18-in) write a work order to raise the poor segment to the height of the adjacent barrier with a non-poor height.

3. If the entire stone masonry guardwall is in poor condition due to height based upon the Severity Description Charts– write a work order to raise the entire segment to its design height (assumed typically to be 24-in).

For aesthetic barrier systems used on many park roads and parkways, there is not a sufficient bid history database for estimating costs to repair or replace individual elements of the system, such as posts or rail. Usually repair of an aesthetic barrier system, such as steel-backed timber guardrail consists of removing and resetting the post or rail section or raising the guardrail to meet standard height requirements.

#### **Barrier Replacement/Reconstruction**

If the risk analysis, including the application of asset management roadway categories, indicates the barrier poses an unacceptable safety risk, the first step should be an analysis to determine if there are mitigating measures that can be applied to reduce the risk to an acceptable level without the need to reconstruct the barrier. A second step is to determine if the barrier is needed. If it is practical to eliminate the shielded hazard (by removal, relocation or redesign) removal of the barrier should be considered. However, if the shielded hazard cannot be eliminated or if it is determined inappropriate to remove the barrier (e.g., it is historically significant and/or contributes to the historical or aesthetic significance of the associated road, district or landscape), reconstruction or replacement of the barrier to meet current criteria for crashworthiness may be the appropriate recommended treatment.

The typical reconstruction option used by the NPS for stone masonry guardwalls is to document then dismantle the existing barrier, construct a concrete core and build a stone masonry veneer around the concrete core using the original wall materials and using stone masonry designs that are compatible with the historic road, district or landscape. A number of concrete core stone masonry barrier types have been designed for use in national parks, including 18-in, 22-in, 24-in and 27-in barriers; however, not all have been crash tested or otherwise determined to meet current criteria for crashworthiness.

#### WORK ORDERS

Work order preparation is essentially determining and documenting the repair actions needed to correct the deficiencies observed during the condition assessment. Barriers are relatively simple structures so this determination can be made by trained inspectors. Keep in mind that this is not a design environment and that more rigorous analysis (if needed) may change the work that is actually performed. The intent of this effort is to prepare a credible estimate of deferred maintenance that may or may not be directly actionable. Simple repairs and/or those that require no compliance with environmental policies (which may be a large percentage of the work orders) can probably be executed without modification.

Once a repair strategy is determined, a cost must be developed for the proposed action. Work orders will be classified as being either deferred maintenance or capital improvement. This classification is based on the type of work recommended, as defined below.

Definition: *Deferred Maintenance* can be classified as repair or replace in kind. Work done to the barrier does not include any upgrading.

Definition: *Capital Improvement* can be classified as upgrading existing barrier. Typically the upgrade will be from a non-crashworthy to a crashworthy device. Other examples of capital improvements would be the addition of a curb to improve drainage or the inclusion of any countermeasure.

There are four types of work:

- No Action
- Monitor
- Repair
- Replace

"No Action" – if risk is low (based on the GIP risk score), a barrier that does not meet current crashworthy performance standards may be acceptable to remain until changes in risk factors would require upgrading.

"Monitor" – if risk is low (based on the GIP risk score), a barrier that does not meet current crashworthy performance standards may be acceptable to remain until changes in risk factors would require upgrading, however, if conditions exist that the park should monitor (e.g., erosion), then "monitor" can be selected as a recommended action.

"Repair" – considered when a barrier damaged by impact deteriorated due to age/weathering and the barrier is functionally sound in a low risk environment. The goal is to bring the barrier back to its "new" condition.

"Replacement/Reconstruction" – when a barrier poses an unacceptable safety risk:

- 1. If the risk score is less than 3 points above the risk threshold, determine if countermeasures can reduce risk so the barrier can be repaired.
- 2. Determine if the barrier is warranted and either shielded hazard or barrier itself can be removed (only when barrier NOT considered historically/culturally significant)

For all barrier repair/replace/reconstruction recommendations, the NPS will vet the recommendations through a project selection, planning and design process, including compliance with:

National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

Aesthetic barriers are commensurate with an approved crashworthy design for the specific conditions at the barrier site as the basis for selecting a crashworthy structure. Types of barriers are generally selected based on emulating the existing types of barriers in the park.