
GLAC GIP Report

NPS Guardwall/Rail Inventory Program Glacier National Park







Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

Prepared By:

Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division Road Inventory Program (RIP)

Data Collection Date: October 2010 Report Date: November 2015

Glacier National Park in Montana







Table of Contents

SEC	TION	PAGE NO
1.	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.	PARK BARRIER LOCATION MAPS	
	Retaining Barrier Location Maps	2 - 1
3.	TIER 1 - PARK BARRIER OVERVIEW	3-1
4.	TIER 2 - ROUTE BARRIER OVERVIEW	4 - 1
5.	TIER 3 - BARRIER DETAILS	5 - 1
6.	APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF GIP DEFINITIONS	A - 1

Introduction



Glacier National Park



Introduction

In support of the NPS Facility Management Software System (FMSS) asset management program, FHWA- contracted staff completed the Guardwall/Rail Inventory Program (GIP) inspections within selected National Park Service (NPS) units between 2010 and 2011. This inventory provides static information to FMSS regarding barrier characteristics such as height, length and location, as well as dynamic information about the condition of the barrier. In addition, when barrier deficiencies were identified, repair recommendations and estimated costs, suitable for use as FMSS work orders, were generated to bring the barrier back to its "new" condition.

In over 30 parks, numerous crashworthy barriers inspected maybe in poor condition by simply applying a new overlay of asphalt without milling previous layers. In instances such as this, basically the critical element of barrier height decreased as the elevation of the roadway increased. Resulting work orders were drafted to raise w-beam barriers or to remove and reset stone masonry barriers to their original design height.

This inventory provides static information and a condition assessment of each barrier inventoried. In addition, when barrier deficiencies were identified, repair recommendations and estimated costs were drafted to bring the barrier back to its "new" condition.

Drafted work orders have been classified as being either deferred maintenance or capital improvement. This classification is based on the type of work recommended, as defined below.

- *Deferred Maintenance* can be classified as repair or replace in kind. Work done to the barrier does not include any upgrading.
- *Capital Improvement* can be classified as upgrading part of or the entire existing barrier. Typically the upgrade will be from a non-crashworthy to a crashworthy device. Other examples of capital improvements would be the addition of a curb to improve drainage.

Care was taken to maintain the cultural significance of historic barriers located in the NPS. While historic traffic barriers likely would not withstand current crashworthiness performance criteria, they are considered by the NPS to be important resources for the historic and/or cultural value. Historic barriers may be "character defining features" that contribute to the cultural significance of historic roadways. As such, these barriers have resource value in and of themselves which may be somewhat independent from their functionality as barriers as previously defined. The consideration of both the crashworthiness and resource value of historic barriers was a significant challenge for the NPS and the FHWA when designing the GIP, to the point that for historic stone masonry barriers, the barrier height had to be more than 6-in below its design height before any work would be considered to deal with height issues. To preserve historic stone masonry barriers, typical drafted work orders for historic barriers were to remove and reset the barrier to the barrier's original design height on a concrete footer, as compared to replacing it with a similar crashworthy barrier.

This report is organized in a tiered approach from the broad park overview perspective (Tier 1) to a route overview perspective (Tier 2), then down to the details of each barrier (Tier 3). Tier 1 presents park barrier location maps and an overall park-specific summary narrative of the results of the guardwall/rail inventory program. Tier 2 presents route overview maps with associated barrier summary information. Tier 3 presents individual barrier information in a one-page detailed format, including a photograph of each barrier. Appendix A provides a condensed summary of guardwall/rail inventory definitions and assessment categories to assist in reading this report.


Park Barrier Location Maps




Glacier National Park



BARRIER LOCATION MAP Key Map




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community





Gateway National Recreation Area

BARRIER LOCATION MAP Map 1




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier Locations





BARRIER LOCATION MAP Map 2




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier Locations






BARRIER LOCATION MAP Map 3



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier Locations





BARRIER LOCATION MAP Map 4




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier Locations





BARRIER LOCATION MAP Map 5




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier Locations





BARRIER LOCATION MAP Map 6




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier Locations





Tier 1 Park Barrier Overview



Glacier National Park



Parkwide Summary: Glacier National Park

Initial barrier inspections were conducted at Glacier National Park in 2010, and encompassed all known barriers associated with Park roadways. In general, walls are not included in this assessment, but were inspected for Glacier National Park in 2007 under a separate effort as part of the Retaining Wall Inventory Program (WIP). A report for WIP is available under separate cover.

All paved roadways and parking areas listed in the RIP Route Identification Report were inspected for barriers.

The following tables provide an overview of the findings of this inspection and assessment effort. In all, 49 barriers were inventoried on the routes listed below.

Table 1: Number of Barriers by Route

Route Number	Route Name	No. of Barriers
0010E	GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST	17
0010W	GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST	11
0013	CHIEF MOUNTAIN INTERNATIONAL HIGHWAY	4
0014	MANY GLACIER ROAD	6
0200	LAKE MCDONALD LODGE LOOP ROAD	1
0210	MANY GLACIER HOTEL ROAD	1
0211ZZ	SUN POINT ROADS	6
0953	RISING SUN PICNIC AREA PARKING	1
0962	GOAT LICK PARKING	1
0976	BELLY RIVER TRAILHEAD PARKING	1

Due to the different GIP assessment criteria of barriers based on their intended use, barriers were classified as being either traffic barriers or non-traffic barriers.

- *Traffic* barriers are physical devices intended to keep vehicles or people from straying into dangerous or off-limits areas. For the purpose of this inventory, a traffic barrier is categorized as roadside hardware placed longitudinally, excluding pedestrian railing and fencing.
- Non-traffic barriers provide a physical delineation between public access areas and restricted or protected areas in locations such as a parking lot, viewpoint or turnout. Non-traffic barriers which inhibit access of vehicles are included in this report; non-traffic barriers which only inhibit access of pedestrians or bicyclists are not included. For the purpose of this inventory, non-traffic barriers are guidewalls and guiderails. Note: rocks, stones, boulders, fences or curbs were excluded from this inventory.

There are instances in parks where a single barrier can switch between being classified as a traffic barrier and a non-traffic barrier. Such instances typically occur at pullouts, where a traffic barrier along the road will continue through the pullout without interruption. In such instances, the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier were assessed using different criteria. Due to the different criteria, the GIP database was designed to record the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier as multiple distinct barriers, even though to the eye, they appear as one barrier. Other instances where a single barrier is split into multiple barriers would be when the barrier is placed continuously along two legs of an intersection, so that one portion of the barrier may be on one road and the remaining portion of the barrier is on a different road.

Table 2: Number of Barriers by Function

Barrier Function	No. of Barriers
TRAFFIC	34
NON-TRAFFIC	15

The following table shows the barrier types that were inventoried and assessed.

Table 3: Number of Barriers by Type

Primary Barrier Type	No. of Barriers
Other: Timber Rail On Timber Posts	5
Stone Masonry Without Concrete Core Wall	11
W-Beam Strong Post	5
Other: Log Rail On Log Posts	1
Stone Masonry Crenellated Without Core Wall	24
Concrete Barrier	2
Other: Timber Rail On Steel Posts	1

The following table shows the number of barriers by one of four categories of recommended action along with associated work order costs and the number of barriers that are in each recommended action. All work order information is presented for individual barriers, even though some work orders were not accepted by the Park. Some work orders were later combined to simplify route deferred maintenance requests.

Table 4: Number of Barriers by Recommended Action and Associated 2008 Cost

Recommended Action	Repair Costs*	No. of Barriers
No Action	\$0	28
Monitor	\$0	1
Repair	\$830,320	20
Replace	\$0	0
Totals	\$830,320	49

^{*2008} cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.

The following table categorizes the number of barriers that fall into one of ten cost ranges, based on the prepared work orders. The locations, work descriptions, and cost of the recommended repairs for these barriers are listed by individual barrier in Tier 3 of this report.

Table 5: Number of Barriers Grouped by Associated 2008 Cost


Cost Range*	No. of Barriers
\$0	29
\$1 - \$25,000	15
\$25,001 - \$50,000	0
\$50,001 - \$100,000	2
\$100,001 - \$250,000	3
\$250,001 - \$500,000	0
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	0
\$1,000,001 - \$2,000,000	0
\$2,000,001 - \$3,000,000	0
\$3,000,001 - \$4,000,000	0
Total Number of Barriers	49

^{*2008} cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.

Data for end terminals was collected on the GIP data collection form and indicates if an end terminal meets current crashworthiness standards. End terminals are specially designed barrier ends that attenuate impacts to the ends of barriers. This is supplemental information that WASO designed into the inventory program.

A total of 5 end terminals were found on barriers at the Park. There are generally a greater number of end treatments than actual barriers because end treatments are located at both the beginning and end of each barrier.


Tier 2 Route Barrier Overview



Glacier National Park



ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	l Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Type	Begin	End	Cost
GLAC-0010E-34.621-L 9/27/2010	307	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
GLAC-0010E-36.560-L 9/27/2010	20	STONE MASONRY WITHOUT CONCRETE CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$19,222.00
GLAC-0010E-36.560-R 9/27/2010	20	STONE MASONRY WITHOUT CONCRETE CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$20,322.00
GLAC-0010E-39.344-R 9/27/2010	58	STONE MASONRY WITHOUT CONCRETE CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
GLAC-0010E-39.370-R 9/27/2010	68	STONE MASONRY WITHOUT CONCRETE CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for co	mparison to other repair cos	ets only.	

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST




Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	Barrier End Treatment	
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GLAC-0010E-39.382-R 9/27/2010	260	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$5,071.00
GLAC-0010E-41.032-R 9/28/2010	379	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$146,878.00
GLAC-0010E-41.328-R 9/28/2010	142	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$9,872.00
GLAC-0010E-41.355-R 9/28/2010	443	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$73,562.00
GLAC-0010E-41.434-R 9/28/2010	727	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$216,986.00
	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for cor	mparison to other repair cos	ts only.	

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



Begin ONRY NONE ATED RE WALL	End Cost NONE \$0.00
TED RE WALL	NONE \$0.00
ONRY NONE ITED RE WALL	NONE \$7,672.0
ONRY NONE TED E WALL	NONE \$183,618.
ER RAIL NONE POSTS	NONE \$0.00
	NONE \$0.00
	BER RAIL NONE R POSTS liminary for comparison to other repair

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier End Treatment			*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Type	Begin	End	Cost
GLAC-0010E-49.138-L 9/28/2010	45	STONE MASONRY WITHOUT CONCRETE CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
GLAC-0010E-49.172-L 9/28/2010	28	STONE MASONRY WITHOUT CONCRETE CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	ests only.	

ROUTE 0010W: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST




Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GLAC-0010W-10.147-L 10/1/2010	46	OTHER: LOG RAIL ON LOG POSTS	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
GLAC-0010W-12.615-R 10/1/2010	47	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
GLAC-0010W-12.620-L 10/1/2010	764	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$15,098.00
GLAC-0010W-12.914-L 10/5/2010	76	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
GLAC-0010W-13.468-R 10/5/2010	196	OTHER: TIMBER RAIL ON TIMBER POSTS	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
,	*2008 cost estimate (As	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair cos	ts only.	

ROUTE 0010W: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST



(Ft.) 85	Type STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	Begin NONE	End NONE	\$0.00
-	CRENELLATED	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
56	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
97	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
596	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
214	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
	596	97 STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL 596 STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL 214 STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	97 STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL 596 STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL 214 STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL WITHOUT CORE WALL	97 STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL 596 STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL NONE NONE 214 STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED NONE NONE NONE

ROUTE 0010W: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST



Barrier ID	Barrier Length Barrier	Barrier En	Barrier End Treatment		
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GLAC-0010W-16.196-R 10/5/2010	14	OTHER: TIMBER RAIL ON TIMBER POSTS	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0013: CHIEF MOUNTAIN INTERNATIONAL HIGHWAY




Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	*Repair	
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GLAC-0013-12.116-R 9/29/2010	213	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BURIED END	NONE	\$2,282.00
GLAC-0013-12.135-L 9/29/2010	108	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BCT	\$2,414.00
GLAC-0013-12.194-L 9/29/2010	116	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BCT	\$0.00
GLAC-0013-12.194-R 9/29/2010	53	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BCT	\$0.00
	*2008 cost estimate (A.	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair cos	ts only.	

ROUTE 0014: MANY GLACIER ROAD



Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier Length Barrier	Barrier End	Barrier End Treatment	
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Type	Begin	End	Cost
GLAC-0014-4.886-L 9/29/2010	52	CONCRETE BARRIER	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
GLAC-0014-6.319-L 9/29/2010	215	CONCRETE BARRIER	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
GLAC-0014-9.029-L 9/29/2010	62	STONE MASONRY WITHOUT CONCRETE CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$1,776.00
GLAC-0014-9.029-R 9/29/2010	60	STONE MASONRY WITHOUT CONCRETE CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$2,128.00
GLAC-0014-10.450-L 9/29/2010	59	STONE MASONRY WITHOUT CONCRETE CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for co	mparison to other repair cos	ts only.	

ROUTE 0014: MANY GLACIER ROAD




Barrier ID	Barrier Length Barrier	Barrier En	Barrier End Treatment		
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GLAC-0014-10.452-R 9/29/2010	60	STONE MASONRY WITHOUT CONCRETE CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0200: LAKE MCDONALD LODGE LOOP ROAD




Barrier ID	Barrier Length Barrier	Barrier En	d Treatment	*Repair	
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GLAC-0200-0.272-R 10/1/2010	15	STONE MASONRY WITHOUT CONCRETE CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	,

ROUTE 0210: MANY GLACIER HOTEL ROAD




Barrier ID	Barrier Length Barrier		Barrier En	d Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GLAC-0210-0.097-R 9/29/2010	497	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$6,176.00
,	*2008 cost estimate (A.	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0211ZZ: SUN POINT ROADS




Barrier ID	Barrier Length	rrier Length Barrier		Barrier End Treatment		
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost	
GLAC-0211ZZ-0.165-R 9/28/2010	132	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00	
GLAC-0211ZZ-0.186-L 9/28/2010	85	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$6,594.00	
GLAC-0211ZZ-0.194-R 9/28/2010	154	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$89,650.00	
GLAC-0211ZZ-0.223-R 9/28/2010	118	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$14,311.00	
GLAC-0211ZZ-0.235-L 9/28/2010	57	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$4,372.00	
	*2008 cost estimate (As	STM Class D), preliminary for com	nparison to other repair cos	sts only.		

ROUTE 0211ZZ: SUN POINT ROADS




Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	*Repair	
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GLAC-0211ZZ-0.267-R 9/28/2010	65	STONE MASONRY CRENELLATED WITHOUT CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
,	*2008 cost estimate (A.	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0953: RISING SUN PICNIC AREA PARKING




Barrier ID	Barrier Length Barrier		Barrier En	d Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GLAC-0953-0.000-P1 9/28/2010	300	OTHER: TIMBER RAIL ON TIMBER POSTS	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
	*2008 cost estimate (As	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0962: GOAT LICK PARKING



Barrier ID	Barrier Length Barrier	Barrier En	Barrier End Treatment		
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GLAC-0962-0.000-P1 9/27/2010	478	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BCT	\$2,316.00
	*2008 cost estimate (A)	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	•

ROUTE 0976: BELLY RIVER TRAILHEAD PARKING



Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier Length Barrier	Barrier En	d Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GLAC-0976-0.000-P1 9/29/2010	167	OTHER: TIMBER RAIL ON STEEL POSTS	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
	*2008 cost estimate (A	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	,

Tier 3 Barrier Details



Glacier National Park



В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0010E-34.621-L							
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST							
Inspec	tion Date:	09/27/2010	0	Barr	ier Rating:	42.50				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
·	Type:		ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Post Material:		N/A				
	Blockout Type:	N/A		L	ength (ft.):	307				
Speed Lim		45			ement with ct to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE			
Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH										
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.6	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0			
Height (In.):	21.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	11.3		rade (%):	2.20			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight was 0 to 4-in above de	sign height of 1	8 in for entire	barrier length.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No observed breaking or co	racking.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No observed missing element	ents.						
		osion and eathering:	No observed corrosion or v	veathering.						
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:										
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0E-34.621-L				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	EAST			
Inspec	tion Date:	09/27/2010)	Barr	ier Rating:	42.50	
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to o	ther repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_34.621_L_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0010E-36.560-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	AST					
Inspec	tion Date:	09/27/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	48.70			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:		ASONRY WITHOUT E CORE WALL Barrier Functio		Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Post Material:		N/A			
	Blockout Type:			Le	ength (ft.):	20			
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	45			ment with t to Road:	TANGENT			
Hazard Behind Barrier: MEDIUM									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt NONE Type:			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	24		Width (In.):	18.2	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	14.3		Lateral Offset (In.):	18.0		rade (%):	5.20		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight over 6 in below 24 in d	esign height fo	r entire barrie	elength.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking observed.						
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0E-36.560-L							
Rou	ite Name:	GOING T	GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST							
Inspec	tion Date:	09/27/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	48.70				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR	FMSS DEFERRED Repair \$1922 Work Type: MAINTENANCE Cost:								
Brief Workorder:	Remove and	reset 20 LF of	stone guardwall to 24 inch	design height.						
Workorder: Remove & Reset Stone Masonry Guardwall at \$250- per -Cu. Ft. for 60 CF = \$15000. [(20 ft)(1.5 ft)(2ft)] = 60 CF. Structural Concrete at \$1000- per -Cu. Yd. for 1 CY = \$1000. [(20 ft)(1.5 ft)(.83)]/27 = .92 CY. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.										
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	osts only.				

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_36.560_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0010E-36.560-R							
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST							
Inspec	tion Date:	09/27/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	53.00				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:		ASONRY WITHOUT E CORE WALL	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Post	Material:	N/A				
	Blockout N/A Type:			Le	ength (ft.):	20				
Speed Lim	Speed Limit (MPH): 45				ment with to Road:	TANGENT	,			
Hazard Behind Barrier: MEDIUM										
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt NONE Type:			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	24		Width (In.):	19.2	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0			
Height (In.):	16.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	9.0	Road G	rade (%):	4.70			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight over 6 in below 24 in de	esign height fo	r entire barrie	elength.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking ob	oserved.						
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.						
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:										
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0E-36.560-R							
Rou	ite Name:	GOING T	OING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST							
Inspection Date: 09/27/2010 Barrier Rating: 53.00										
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$20322			
Brief Workorder:	Remove and	reset 20 LF of	stone guardwall to 24 inch	design height.						
Workorder: Remove & Reset Stone Masonry Guardwall at \$250- per -Cu. Ft. for 64 CF = \$16000. [(20 ft)(1.6 ft)(2ft)] = 64 CF. Structural Concrete at \$1000- per -Cu. Yd. for 1 CY = \$1000. [(20 ft)(1.6 ft)(.7ft)]/27 = .83 CY. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.										
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.									

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_36.560_R_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0010E-39.344-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	AST					
Inspec	tion Date:	09/27/201	0	Bar	rier Rating:	27.10			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:		ASONRY WITHOUT Barrier Fu E CORE WALL		er Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Po	st Material:	N/A			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Length (ft.):		58			
Speed Limit (MPH): 25		25			cement with ect to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE		
Hazard Behind Barrier: EXTREM			,						
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approachtion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt NONE Type:			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	24		Width (In.):	21.2	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	28.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	8.6	Road G	rade (%):	0.50		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. Hei	ght at or above design hei	ight of 24 in.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking ob	oserved.					
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments	End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-0010	E-39.344-R				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING TO	THE SUN ROAD E	AST			
Inspect	tion Date:	09/27/2010			Barrier Rating:	27.10	
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A	·			·	·	
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for comp	oarison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_39.344_R_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0E-39.370-R				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	AST			
Inspec	tion Date:	09/27/201	0	Ba	rrier Rating:	22.80	
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:		ASONRY WITHOUT E CORE WALL	Barr	ier Function:	TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Barrier Material: STONE			P	ost Material:	N/A	
Blockout N/A Type:		N/A			Length (ft.):	68	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25			acement with pect to Road:	INSIDE OF	CURVE
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	EXTREME	,				
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	24		Width (In.):	20.7	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0
Height (In.):	29.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	8.3		rade (%):	0.70
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. Hei	ght at or above design h	eight of 24 in for e	ntire length.	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	oserved.			
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.			
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking:						
	Missing 1	Elements:					
		osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-0010E	-39.370-R				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING TO T	ΓHE SUN ROAD E	AST			
Inspec	tion Datas	09/27/2010		Danni	er Rating:	22.80	
Repair Recomme				Darri	er Kattiig.	22.80	
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (AST	M Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_39.370_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0010E-39.382-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	AST					
Inspec	tion Date:	09/27/2010	0	Barrio	er Rating:	15.80			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	1	ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier Function:		NON-TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Post Material:		N/A			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Le	ength (ft.):	260			
Speed Limit (MPH): 25					ment with to Road:	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER		
Hazard Behind Barrier: N/A		N/A							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	N/A		Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	20.2	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	18.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	0.00		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	At or above design height of	of 18 in alignment acceptabl	e.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Cracking and missing more	ar for 17 ft of barrier.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	1 missing stone						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0E-39.382-R							
Roi	ıte Name:	GOING T	OING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST							
Inspec	tion Date:	09/27/201	0	Barri	er Rating:	15.80				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR	FMSS DEFERRED Repair \$50 Work Type: MAINTENANCE Cost:								
Brief Workorder:	Repoint barr	ier replace 1 st	one (17x17x7 cubic inches)							
Workorder: Re-Point Masonry Barrier at \$140- per -Sq. Yd. for 6 SY = \$840. [(15ft)(3.8 ft)] /9 = 5.3 SY. Lump Sum: Replace 2 missing stones = \$200 Labor 2 hours at \$60 per hour = \$120. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$2950.										
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	ests only.				

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_39.382_R_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0E-41.032-R				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	CAST			
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010	0	Barı	rier Rating:	50.20	
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:		ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrie	r Function:	TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Pos	st Material:	N/A	
Blockout N/A Type:		N/A		I	Length (ft.):	379	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	45			ement with ect to Road:	TANGENT	
Hazard Behind Barrier: EXTREM			,				
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0
Height (In.):	13.3		Lateral Offset (In.):	26.0	Road G	rade (%):	0.60
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment out of design sp more below design height		52 ft [9 crenellati	ons in 162 ft s	section]. 6 in or
Barrier		aking and Cracking:					
	Missing 1	Elements:	Observed 5 missing stones				
		osion and eathering:	No observed corrosion or v	weathering.			
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments	End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:						
	Missing 1	Elements:					
		osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0E-41.032-R						
Rou	ite Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	AST					
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010		Barrie	er Rating:	50.20			
Repair Recomme	endations	;							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$146878		
Brief Workorder:	Remove and	emove and replace 162 feet of stone barrier install concrete footer and drainage culvert.							
Workorder: Remove & Reset Stone Masonry Guardwall at \$250- per -Cu. Ft. for 399 CF = \$99750. [(162 ft)(1.5 ft)(1.5ft)+9[(5ft)(1.5ft)(0.5ft)] = 399 CF. 24-in. Culvert at \$70- per -Lin. Ft. for 40 LF = \$2800. Saw-Cutting Pavement at \$5- per -Lin. Ft. for 60 LF = \$300. Remove Asphalt Pavement at \$10- per -Sq. Yd. for 10 SY = \$100. [(30ft)(8ft)]/9=10SY. Asphalt Patch at \$175- per -Sq. Yd. for 2 SY = \$350. [(3ft)(30ft)(0.5ft)] / 27 = 1.7 SY. Structural Concrete at \$1000- per -Cu. Yd. for 14 CY = \$14000.[(162ft)(1.5ft)(1.5ft)] / 27 = 13.5CY. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 11 Day(s) = \$16225. 2 days remove wall; 7 days rebuild wall; 2 days culvert and asphalt work.									
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	ier repair co	sts only.			

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_41.032_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0010E-41.328-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	AST					
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010	0	Barı	ier Rating:	47.00			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	1	ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Pos	st Material:	N/A			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		I	Length (ft.):	142			
Speed Limit (MPH): 45		45			ement with	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE		
Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH		HIGH							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	19.7	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	14.3		Lateral Offset (In.):	48.2		rade (%):	4.00		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Vertical alignment off by 6 ft.	in for 80 linear ft. Heigh	t 3 to 6 in below	design height	of 18 ines for 84		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing	Elements:	Missing barrier for 15 feet.	Barrier broken at the bas	e.				
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments	End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	· ID: GLAC-0010E-41.328-R								
Rou	ite Name:	GOING T	GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST							
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010		Barrie	er Rating:	47.00				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$9872			
Brief Workorder:	Replace 15 I	F of missing l	parrier.							
Workorder: Stone Masonry Crenellated w/o Concrete Core at \$500- per -Lin. Ft. for 15 LF = \$7500. Replace 15 feet of missing barrier. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_41.328_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0010E-41.355-R							
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	AST						
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/201	0	В	arrier Rating:	39.50				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:		ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier Function:		NON-TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	STONE	Post Material:		N/A					
	Blockout Type:	N/A			Length (ft.):	443				
Speed Lim	Speed Limit (MPH): 45				lacement with spect to Road:	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER			
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	N/A								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	N/A		Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	19.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0			
Height (In.):	13.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0	Road G	rade (%):	0.00			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. 3: is more than 6 ines below of			neight of 18 in	. 103 LF of barrier			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No cracking more than 1/4	in wide observed. 1 si	ngle missing stone.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	Missing all of barrier excer rockfall.	ot foundation stones fo	or 60 LF at beginning	g end due to a	valanche or			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion/weathering o	bserved.						
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	GLAC-0010E-41.355-R							
Rot	ite Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	AST						
Inspect	tion Date:	09/28/201	0	Barrio	er Rating:	39.50				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$7356			
Brief Workorder:	Replace 60 I height.	place 60 LF of missing barrier due to avalanche damage; remove & reset 43 LF of barrier to a minimum of 12 inches in								
Workorder: Remove & Reset Stone Masonry Guardwall at \$250- per -Cu. Ft. for 114 CF = \$28500. Remove & reset 43 LF of barrierto a minimum of 12 inches in height. (43ft)(1.58ft)(1.5ft)+(3)(5ft)(1.58ft)(.5ft) = 114 CF. Structural Concrete at \$1000- per -Cu. Yd. for 1 CY = \$1000. Add a 3-inch concrete footer 43 LF long. [(43 ft)(1.58 ft) (.25)]/27 = 1 CY. Stone Masonry Crenellated w/o Concrete Core at \$500- per -Lin. Ft. for 60 LF = \$30000. Replace 60 LF of missing barrier at beginning end. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 5 Day(s) = \$7375.										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	ner repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_41.355_R_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0010E-41.434-R					
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	AST				
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010	0	Bar	rier Rating:	54.50		
Barrier Descripti	ion							
	Type:	STONE MA	ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	STONE	ATED WITHOUT	Po	ost Material:	N/A		
	Blockout	N/A			Length (ft.):	727		
Type: Speed Limit (MPH): 45		45			cement with	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE	
Hazard Behind Barrier: EXTREM		EXTREME	,	Kesp	ect to Roau:			
Barrier Crashworthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:			Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	d Trtmt NONE		•	N/A		ion Typer		
Average Measure	ements							
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	17.7	Post Sna	cing (In.):	0.0	
Height (In.):	15.3		Lateral Offset (In.):	30.7		rade (%):	3.00	
Physical Condition	on							
		ment and Height:	213 ft is more than 6 in bel alignment meets design spo		24 ft is 3 to 6 in b	elow 18 in de	sign height	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:						
	Missing	Elements:	78 feet barrier destroyed/m	issing by avalanche impa	act also missing ro	ocks and 3 cre	nellations missing	
		osion and eathering:	No observed corrsion or wo	eathering.				
	Align	ment and Height:						
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:						
	Missing 1	Elements:						
		osion and eathering:						

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0E-41.434-R							
Rou	ite Name:	GOING T	OING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST							
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/201	0	Barrio	er Rating:	54.50				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$216986			
Brief Workorder:	Replace miss	eplace missing 78 ft repoint 38 ft remove & reset 213 ft build concrete footer								
Workorder: Remove & Reset Stone Masonry Guardwall at \$250- per -Cu. Ft. for 492 CF = \$123000. (213ft)(1.5ft)(1.5ft)+3[(1.5ft)(0.5ft)(5ft)] = 492 CF. Re-Point Masonry Barrier at \$140- per -Sq. Yd. for 19 SY = \$2660. [(30ft)(4.5ft)]/9 = 19 SY. Stone Masonry Crenellated w/o Concrete Core at \$500- per -Lin. Ft. for 78 LF = \$39000. Structural Concrete at \$1000- per -Cu. Yd. for 9 CY = \$9000. [(213ft)(1.5ft)]/27 = 8.9 CY. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 16 Day(s) = \$23600.										
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_41.434_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0010E-43.155-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	AST					
Inspec	tion Date:	10/05/2010	0	Barr	ier Rating:	34.00			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
·	Type:		ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Pos	t Material:	N/A			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		L	ength (ft.):	111			
Speed Limit (MPH): 35		35			ement with ct to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE		
Hazard Behind Barrier: LOW									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	t NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.7	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	13.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	101.3		rade (%):	2.10		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight 3 to 6 in below 18 in d	esign height for	entire barrie	r length.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking observed.						
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-0010I	E-43.155-R				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING TO	THE SUN ROAD E	AST			
Inspect	tion Date:	10/05/2010		Barı	rier Rating:	34.00	
Repair Recomme				Dur	Ter reading.		
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 cos	st estimate (AS	TM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to	other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_43.155_R_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0E-43.173-R				
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	AST			
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010	0	Barr	ier Rating:	45.00	
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:	STONE MA	ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier Function:		NON-TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Pos	t Material:	N/A	
Blockout Type: N/A		N/A		L	ength (ft.):	205	
Speed Limit (MPH): 35		35			ement with	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	N/A					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	N/A		Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	19.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0
Height (In.):	14.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	0.00
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. Hei	ght is 3 to 6 in below 18 in	design height fo	or 103 ft.	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.			
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking:						
	Missing 1	Elements:					
		osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	rier ID: GLAC-0010E-43.173-R								
Rou	ite Name:	GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST								
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010		Barrio	er Rating:	45.00				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$7672			
Brief Workorder:	Replace 11 f	eet of barrier.								
Workorder:	Workorder: Stone Masonry Crenellated w/o Concrete Core at \$500- per -Lin. Ft. for 11 LF = \$5500. Replace 11 feet of broken barrier. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.									
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	ests only.				

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_43.173_R_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	AC-0010E-43.212-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	AST					
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010	0	Barr	ier Rating:	61.50			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	1	ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barriei	Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Pos	t Material:	N/A			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Length (ft.):		847			
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	35		Placement with Respect to Road: BOTH INSIDE AND OU			IDE AND OUTSIDE		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	EXTREME							
Barrier Crashworthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.3	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	14.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	26.6		rade (%):	4.50		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Out of alignment by more to 18 ines. 238 LF of barrier in				w design height of		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No cracks more than 1/4 in	wide observed.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	Two solitary missing stone	s. 1 entire crenellation mis	sing.				
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	ier ID: GLAC-0010E-43.212-R							
Roi	ute Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	AST					
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	61.50			
Repair Recommendations									
Repair	REPAIR		FMSS	DEFERRED		Repair		\$183618	
Action:		Work Type: MAINTENANCE Cost:							
Brief Workorder:	Remove & re	Remove & reset 238 LF of barrier to a minimum of 12 inches in height add concrete footer and replace 1 missing crenellation.							
Workorder:	Remove & R	eset Stone Ma	sonry Guardwall at \$250- p	er -Cu. Ft. for 585 CF = \$14	6250.				
				2 inches height; reset alignm	nent.				
	. / /	. , .	5ft)(1.5ft)(0.5ft) = 585 CY.	Φ1000 + 11 + 1 · · ·			. 1 . 5(220.0)		
	1		•	\$1000. Add a 1-inch concret	e footer to inc	rease barrier h	leight. [(238 ft)		
	` /\	(1.5 ft)(0.083)]/27 = 1 CY. Stone Masonry Crenellated w/o Concrete Core at \$500- per -Lin. Ft. for 1 LF = \$500. Replace 1 missing crenellation 5 inches							
	thick.	·							
	Low Speed 7	Traffic Control	at \$1475- per -Day for 13 I	Day(s) = \$19175.					
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	sts only.			

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_43.212_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	AC-0010E-46.468-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	DING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST						
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	12.80			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	OTHER: TI	IMBER RAIL ON OSTS	R RAIL ON Barrier Function:		NON-TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	LOG/TIME	BER/WOOD	Post	Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Length (ft.):		93			
Speed Limit (MPH): 45					ment with to Road:	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER		
Hazard Behind Barrier: N/A									
Barrier Crashworthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	N/A		Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	96.0		
Height (In.):	30.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	0.00		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Height is approximately 3	in above assumed design he	ght of 27 in. A	lignment is a	eceptable.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking ob	oserved.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-0010	E-46.468-R				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING TO	THE SUN ROAD E	CAST			
Inspect	tion Date:	09/28/2010			Barrier Rating:	12.80	
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A				·	·	
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (AS	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for compar	ison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_46.468_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	AC-0010E-48.837-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	AST					
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	8.50			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	OTHER: TI	IMBER RAIL ON Barrier Functi		Function:	NON-TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	LOG/TIME	BER/WOOD	Post	Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Length (ft.):		125			
Speed Limit (MPH): 35					ment with to Road:	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	N/A							
Barrier Crashworthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	N/A		Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	96.0		
Height (In.):	30.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	0.00		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Height is approximately 3	in above assumed design he	ight of 27 in. A	alignment is a	eceptable.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking ob	oserved.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		rosion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-0010	E-48.837-R				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING TO	THE SUN ROAD E	EAST			
Inspect	tion Date:	09/28/2010			Barrier Rating:	8.50	
Repair Recomme	endations				Ç		
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A				·	·	
Workorder:							
	2008 cos	st estimate (AS	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for compa	arison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_48.837_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0010E-49.138-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	DING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST						
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010	/28/2010 Barrier Rating: 44.00						
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:		ASONRY WITHOUT E CORE WALL	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	STONE		:	Post Material:	N/A			
	Blockout Type:	N/A			Length (ft.):	45			
Speed Lim	Limit (MPH): 45 Placement with Respect to Road:			TANGENT					
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	HIGH							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	24		Width (In.):	24.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	18.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	16.7	Road G	rade (%):	1.40		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight 3 to 6 in below 24	in deign height for	entire barrier l	ength.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking ob	oserved.					
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments	Treatments Breaking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0E-49.138-L					
Rou	ite Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	EAST				
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010)	Barr	ier Rating:	44.00		
Repair Recomme	endations							
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A							
Workorder:								_
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to o	ther repair co	sts only.		

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_49.138_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0E-49.172-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	NG TO THE SUN ROAD EAST						
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010	0		Barrier Rating:	39.70			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:		ASONRY WITHOUT E CORE WALL			TRAFFIC			
Barrier Material: STONE		STONE			Post Material:	N/A			
Blockout Type:		N/A			Length (ft.):	28			
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	45			Placement with Respect to Road:	TANGENT			
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW	l l	s Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	24		Width (In.):	24.0	Post Space	eing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	18.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	22.0		rade (%):	1.20		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight 3 to 6 in below	v 24 in deign height for o	entire barrier l	length.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	oserved.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0E-49.172-L					
Rou	ite Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD E	EAST				
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010)	Barr	ier Rating:	39.70		_
Repair Recomme	endations							
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC)N	FMSS Work Type:			Repair Cost:	\$0	0
Brief Workorder:	N/A							
Workorder:								_
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to o	ther repair co	sts only.		

ROUTE 0010E: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD EAST



GLAC_0010E_49.172_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0W-10.147-L								
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	NG TO THE SUN ROAD WEST								
Inspec	tion Date:	10/01/201	0		Barrier Rating:	15.60					
Barrier Descripti	ion										
	Type:	OTHER: LO	OG RAIL ON LOG	Barrier Function:		NON-TRAFFIC					
Barrier	Material:	LOG/TIME	BER/WOOD		Post Material:	WOOD					
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Length (ft.):		46					
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	45		I	Placement with Respect to Road:						
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	N/A									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness										
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	N/A	I	Is Barrier nworthy?:	N/A				
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE				
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A							
Average Measure	ements										
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	9.6	Post Spa	cing (In.):	97.0				
Height (In.):	25.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0	Road G	rade (%):	0.00				
Physical Condition	on										
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. H	eight is no more tha	an 2 in lower than assu	med design he	eight of 27 in.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Cracking of 1 log rail less t	han 5% of cross-sec	ction less than 1/4 in w	ide.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.							
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.							
	Align	ment and Height:									
End Treatments		Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing	Elements:									
		osion and eathering:									

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-0010	W-10.147-L				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING TO	THE SUN ROAD V	VEST			
Ŧ	· D ·	10/01/2010		ı	D 1 D 1	15.60	
Inspect	tion Date:	10/01/2010			Barrier Rating:	15.60	
Repair Recomme	endations	;					
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparis	on to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010W: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST



GLAC_0010W_10.147_L_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0W-12.615-R						
Rou	ite Name:	GOING T	DING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST						
Inspec	tion Date:	10/01/201	0		Barrier Rating:	48.00			
Barrier Descripti	on								
	Type: STONE M CRENELI		ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier Material: STONE		STONE			Post Material:	N/A			
	Blockout N/A Type:				Length (ft.):	47			
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	45			Placement with Respect to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE		
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	19.7	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	17.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	2.30		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight 3 in or less b	pelow 18 in design height	for entire barr	rier length.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	oserved.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0W-12.615-R				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD V	VEST			
Inspec	tion Date:	10/01/2010	0	Bar	rier Rating:	48.00	
Repair Recomme	endations	;					
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:			Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to	other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010W: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST



GLAC_0010W_12.615_R_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0010W-12.620-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD V	VEST					
Inspec	tion Date:	10/01/2010	0	Bar	rier Rating:	51.20			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	1	ASONRY Barrier ATED WITHOUT		er Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Po	st Material:	N/A			
Blockout Type:		N/A			Length (ft.):	764			
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	45			cement with ect to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	HIGH							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	21.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	5.40		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. If than 6 ines below design he			8 in for 432 L	F. Height is more		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking ob	oserved.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-0010W-12.620-L								
Rou	ite Name:	GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST								
Inspection Date: 10/01/2010 Barrier Rating: 51.20										
Repair Recommendations										
Repair Action:	REPAIR	R FMSS DEFERRED Repair \$15098 Work Type: MAINTENANCE Cost:								
Brief Workorder:	Remove and	reset 18 LF of	Ebarrier to a minimum of 12	inches in height.						
Workorder:	Remove & Reset Stone Masonry Guardwall at \$250- per -Cu. Ft. for 45 CF = \$11250. Remove & reset 18 LF to a minimum of 12 inches in height. (18ft)(1.5ft)(1.5ft) + [(5ft)(0.5ft)(1.5ft)] = 45 CF. Structural concrete [(18ft)(1.5ft)(0.5ft)] / 27 = 0.5 CY Round to 1.0 CY. Concrete at \$1000 per CY. for 1 CY. = \$1000. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.									
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.									

ROUTE 0010W: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST



GLAC_0010W_12.620_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0W-12.914-L				
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD W	VEST			
Inspec	tion Date:	10/05/2010	0	Bar	rier Rating:	38.20	
Barrier Descripti							
	Type:	STONE MA	ASONRY	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
			ATED WITHOUT				
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Po	st Material:	N/A	
Blockout N/A Type:		N/A]	Length (ft.):	76	
		40			cement with	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	HIGH					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test	TL-2		Barrier	NCW		Is Barrier	NO
Level:			Test Level:			nworthy?:	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0
Height (In.):	20.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	1.20
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. H	eight is at or above desig	n height of 18 in.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking/cracking obse	rved.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion/weathering o	bserved.			
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:					
	Missing 1	Elements:					
		osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0W-12.914-L					
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD V	VEST				
Inspec	tion Date:	10/05/2010)	Barri	er Rating:	38.20		_
Repair Recomme	endations							
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	ON	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0	0
Brief Workorder:	N/A							
Workorder:								_
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	ther repair co	sts only.		

ROUTE 0010W: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST



GLAC_0010W_12.914_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0010W-13.468-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD V	VEST					
Inspec	tion Date:	10/05/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	11.30			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	OTHER: TI			Function:	NON-TRA	FFIC		
Barrier	Material:	LOG/TIME	BER/WOOD	Post	Material:	WOOD			
Type:		N/A		Le	ength (ft.):	196			
Speed Limit (MPH):		40			ment with to Road:	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	N/A							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	N/A		Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	97.0		
Height (In.):	26.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	0.00		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Height is 1 in or less below	design height assumed to b	e 27 in. Aligni	ment is accept	able.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking/cracking obse	rved.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion/weathering o	bserved.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0W-13.468-R				
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING TO	O THE SUN ROAD V	VEST			
Inspect	tion Date:	10/05/2010)		Barrier Rating:	11.30	
Repair Recomme					8		
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for comp	arison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010W: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST

Barrier Condition Photos

Condition photos are not available for GLAC-0010W-13.468-R.

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	GLAC-0010W-14.215-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD V	VEST					
Inspec	tion Date:	10/05/2010	0	Bar	rier Rating:	45.50			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
·	Type:		ASONRY Barr ATED WITHOUT		er Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Po	st Material:	N/A			
Blockout Type:		N/A		-	Length (ft.):	85			
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	40			cement with ect to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	HIGH							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approachtion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	19.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	15.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	80.3		rade (%):	6.30		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. H	leight is 3 in or less below	/ 18 in design hei	ight for entire	barrier length.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking/cracking obse	rved.					
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion/weathering o	bserved.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-0010	W-14.215-L				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING TO	THE SUN ROAD V	VEST			
Inspec	tion Date:	10/05/2010			Barrier Rating:	45.50	
Repair Recomme					Dairiei Rating.	43.30	
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for comp	oarison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010W: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST



GLAC_0010W_14.215_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	GLAC-0010W-14.231-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD V	VEST					
Inspec	tion Date:	10/05/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	23.70			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:		ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier	Barrier Function: NON		FFIC		
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Post	Material:	N/A			
Blockout Type:		N/A		L	ength (ft.):	56			
Speed Limit (MPH): 40		40			ment with t to Road:	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	N/A							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	N/A	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	19.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	14.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	0.00		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight is 3 to 6 in below desig	n height of 18 i	in for 43 LF.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking/cracking obse	rved.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		rosion and eathering:	No corrosion/weathering o	bserved.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-0010	0W-14.231-L				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING TO	O THE SUN ROAD V	VEST			
Inspec	tion Date:	10/05/2010)		Barrier Rating:	23.70	
Repair Recomme							
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A				·	·	
Workorder:							
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for comp	oarison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010W: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST



GLAC_0010W_14.231_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0W-14.258-L				
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD V	VEST			
Inspec	tion Date:	10/05/201	0	Barri	er Rating:	17.20	
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:		ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier Function:		NON-TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Post Material:		N/A	
	Blockout Type:	N/A		L	ength (ft.):	97	
Speed Limit (MPH): 40					ement with	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: N/A						
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	N/A	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0
Height (In.):	19.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	0.00
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. H	eight is at or above design	height of 18 in	for entire leng	th.
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking/cracking obse	rved.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.			
		rosion and eathering:	No corrosion/weathering o	bserved.			
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:					
		osion and eathering:					

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-0010)W-14.258-L				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING TO	THE SUN ROAD V	VEST			
Inspect	tion Date:	10/05/2010	1		Barrier Rating:	17.20	
Repair Recomme					Durrer Rating.	17.20	
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for comp	parison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010W: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST



GLAC_0010W_14.258_L_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0010W-14.275-L								
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST								
Inspec	tion Date:	10/05/2010	0	Barr	ier Rating:	60.00					
Barrier Descripti	ion										
	Type:		ASONRY Barrier Fun ATED WITHOUT		r Function:	TRAFFIC					
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Post Material:		N/A					
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Length (ft.):		596					
Speed Limit (MPH): 40		40			ement with ct to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE				
Hazard Behind Barrier: EXTREM			,								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness										
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO				
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE				
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A							
Average Measure	ements										
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0				
Height (In.):	17.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	5.00				
Physical Condition	on										
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. H	leight is at or above design	height of 18 in	for entire leng	th.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking/cracking obse	rved.							
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.							
		rosion and eathering:	No corrosion/weathering o	bserved.							
	Align	ment and Height:									
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:									
		osion and eathering:									

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-0010	W-14.275-L				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING TO	THE SUN ROAD V	VEST			
Inspect	tion Date:	10/05/2010			Barrier Rating:	60.00	
Repair Recomme					During Turing		
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for compa	arison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010W: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST

Barrier Condition Photos

Condition photos are not available for GLAC-0010W-14.275-L.

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0W-14.388-L								
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST								
Inspec	tion Date:	10/05/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	17.20					
Barrier Descripti	ion										
	Type:	1	ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier	Barrier Function: NO		FFIC				
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Post	Material:	N/A					
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Le	ength (ft.):	214					
Speed Limit (MPH): 40		40			ment with to Road:	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER				
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: N/A										
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness										
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	N/A		Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A				
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE				
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A							
Average Measure	ements										
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0				
Height (In.):	17.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0	Road G	rade (%):	0.00				
Physical Condition	on										
	Align	ment and Height:	Height is 0 to 2 in below do	esign height of 18 in. Alignr	ment is accepta	ble.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking/cracking obse	rved.							
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.							
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion/weathering o	bserved.							
	Align	ment and Height:									
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:									
		osion and eathering:									

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0W-14.388-L				
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	O THE SUN ROAD V	VEST			
Inspec	tion Date:	10/05/2010)	Barri	er Rating:	17.20	
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	ON	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							_
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	ther repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010W: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST



GLAC_0010W_14.388_L_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0W-16.196-R							
Rou	ıte Name:	GOING T	OING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST							
Inspec	tion Date:	10/05/2010	0	Barı	ier Rating:	15.30				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	OTHER: TI			r Function:	TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	LOG/TIME	BER/WOOD	Post Material:		WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Length (ft.):		14				
Speed Limit (MPH): 25		25			ement with	TANGENT				
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: LOW									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt NONE Type:			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	96.0			
Height (In.):	27.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	53.5	Road G	rade (%):	1.30			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	At design height for timber	r w/ wood post barrier assu	imed to be 27 in	. Alignment is	acceptable.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:								
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.						
		rosion and eathering:	Corrosion and weathering	not observed.						
	Align	ment and Height:	NA							
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:										
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	0W-16.196-R				
Rou	ite Name:	GOING TO	O THE SUN ROAD V	VEST			
Inspect	tion Date:	10/05/2010)		Barrier Rating:	15.30	
Repair Recomme					Dui I Kuving.		
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for compa	rison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010W: GOING TO THE SUN ROAD WEST




GLAC_0010W_16.196_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	3-12.116-R					
Rou	ite Name:	CHIEF MO	OUNTAIN INTERNA	TIONAL HIGHWAY				
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	28.30		
Barrier Descripti	on							
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST Barrier Function:		Function:	TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD		
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	213		
Speed Limit (MPH): 45		45			ment with to Road:	TANGENT		
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	HIGH						
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness							
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I END	BURIED	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES	1	Approach	BRIDGE RAIL W-BEAM	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE			N/A				
Average Measure	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	74.0	
Height (In.):	25.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	65.5		rade (%):	4.90	
Physical Condition	on							
		ment and Height:	Height is 1 to 3 in below do	esign height of 27 in for 60	LF. Alignmen	t is acceptable		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking ob	oserved.				
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.				
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.				
	Alignment and Height: At design height of 27 in and alignment is acceptable.							
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking: No breaking or cracking observed.								
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.				
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.				

В	arrier ID:	D: GLAC-0013-12.116-R								
Rou	ite Name:	CHIEF M	HIEF MOUNTAIN INTERNATIONAL HIGHWAY							
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	28.30				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$2282			
Brief Workorder:	Adjust 60 L.	F. of guardrail	to 27 inch design height.							
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 60 LF = \$600. Raise 60 ft. of barrier up to 27-in. design height. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.										
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	ner repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0013: CHIEF MOUNTAIN INTERNATIONAL HIGHWAY



GLAC_0013_12.116_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0013-12.135-L						
Rou	ite Name:	CHIEF MO	OUNTAIN INTERNA	TIONAL HIGHWAY	7				
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	28.10			
Barrier Descripti	on								
·	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST Barrier Function:		Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		L	ength (ft.):	108			
Speed Limit (MPH): 45		45			ement with	INSIDE OF	FCURVE		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	mt N/A Approach BRIDGE R.					
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.0		
Height (In.):	25.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	40.7		rade (%):	5.00		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight is 1 to 2 in below 27 in	design height	for 72 linear fi			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	oserved.					
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable; gre	ater than height at 27 in des	sign height.				
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking: No breaking or cracking observed.								
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					

В	arrier ID:	9: GLAC-0013-12.135-L								
Rou	ite Name:	CHIEF M	CHIEF MOUNTAIN INTERNATIONAL HIGHWAY							
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	28.10				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$2414			
Brief Workorder:	Adjust 72 L.	F. of guardrail	to 27 inch design height.							
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 72 LF = \$720. Raise 72 ft. of barrier to 27-in. design height. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.										
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0013: CHIEF MOUNTAIN INTERNATIONAL HIGHWAY



GLAC_0013_12.135_L_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	3-12.194-L				
Rou	ite Name:	CHIEF M	OUNTAIN INTERNA	TIONAL HIGHW	AY		
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/2010	0	Ba	rrier Rating:	23.70	
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		P	Post Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	116	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	45			acement with pect to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	mt N/A Approach BRIDGE F			BRIDGE RAIL W-BEAM
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	Γrtmt NO			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	74.6
Height (In.):	26.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	43.7		rade (%):	2.30
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. Hength.	eight is within 1 in belo	ow design height or	at design hei	ght for entire
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking/cracking obse	rved.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion/weathering o	bserved.			
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight is at or above desig	n height of 27 in.		
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking: No breaking or cracking observed.							
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.			

D,	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	3_12_10/LI					
								_
Rou	ite Name:	CHIEF MO	OUNTAIN INTERNA	TIONAL HIGHWAY				
Inspect	tion Date:	09/29/2010)	Barri	er Rating:	23.70		
Repair Recomme	endations							
Renair	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS	N/A		Repair	\$(0
Action:			Work Type:			Cost:		
Action.			work Type.		<u> </u>	Cost.		_
Brief	N/A							
Workorder:								
								_
Workorder:								
								_
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.		

ROUTE 0013: CHIEF MOUNTAIN INTERNATIONAL HIGHWAY



GLAC_0013_12.194_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0013-12.194-R						
Rou	ite Name:	CHIEF MO	OUNTAIN INTERNA	TIONAL HIGHWA	Y				
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/2010	0	Barr	ier Rating:	22.20			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Pos	t Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		I	ength (ft.):	53			
Speed Lim	Speed Limit (MPH): 45				ement with ct to Road:	TANGENT	•		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	rtmt N/A Approach BRIDGE			BRIDGE RAIL W-BEAM		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.0		
Height (In.):	26.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	92.0		rade (%):	3.30		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Height is 1 in or less below	or above design height of	27 in. Alignme	nt acceptable			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking ob	oserved.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:	At design height of 27 in a	lignment acceptable.					
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking observed.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	GLAC-0013-12.194-R								
Rou	ite Name:	CHIEF MO	OUNTAIN INTERNA	TIONAL HIGHWAY							
Inspect	tion Date:	09/29/2010		Barrier Rating:		22.20					
Repair Recomme	endations										
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0				
Brief Workorder:	N/A										
Workorder:											
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.					

ROUTE 0013: CHIEF MOUNTAIN INTERNATIONAL HIGHWAY



GLAC_0013_12.194_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	4-4.886-L						
Rou	ite Name:	MANY G	NY GLACIER ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/2010	0		Barrier Rating:	17.10			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	CONCRETE BARRIER		В	arrier Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	CONCRET	E		Post Material:	N/A			
Blockout Type:		N/A			Length (ft.):	52			
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	35		F	Placement with Respect to Road:	TANGENT	,		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	EXTREME							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		s Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	30		Width (In.):	24.0	Post Space	eing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	30.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	68.0		rade (%):	1.70		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	At design height of 30 in st failure/roadway.	tandard design for c	oncrete injersey" barrie	er. Aligned w	ith slope		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion/weathering o	bserved.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:							
	1	osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-0014-4.886-L				
Roi	ıte Name:	MANY GLACIER ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/2010		Barrier Rating:	17.10	
Repair Recomme	endations	S				
Repair Action:	MONITOR	FI Work T	MSS N/A ype:		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	Blocking ma	jor slope failure. Monitor barrier ar	nd monitor slope.			
Workorder:						
	2008 co	st estimate (ASTM Class D), pro	eliminary for compariso	on to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0014: MANY GLACIER ROAD



GLAC_0014_4.886_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0014-6.319-L							
Rou	ıte Name:	MANY G	MANY GLACIER ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/2010	0	Barrio	er Rating:	8.50				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	CONCRET	E BARRIER	Barrier Function:		NON-TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	CONCRET	E	Post	Material:	N/A				
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Le	ngth (ft.):	215				
Speed Limit (MPH): 35		35			ment with to Road:	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER			
Hazard Behind Barrier: N/A		N/A								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	N/A		Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	32		Width (In.):	24.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0			
Height (In.):	32.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	0.00			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight at the 32 in design heigl	nt.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.						
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments	End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	4-6.319-L				
Rou	ite Name:	MANY GI	LACIER ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/2010)	Barri	er Rating:	8.50	
Repair Recomme	endations	;					
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	ther repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0014: MANY GLACIER ROAD



GLAC_0014_6.319_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	LAC-0014-9.029-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	MANY G	IANY GLACIER ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	19.30			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	I	ASONRY WITHOUT E CORE WALL	Barrier	Barrier Function: T				
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Post	Material:	N/A			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Lo	ength (ft.):	62			
Speed Limit (MPH): 45		45			ment with t to Road:	TANGENT			
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	24		Width (In.):	18.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	27.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	61.0	Road G	rade (%):	3.40		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. H	eight is at or above design l	neight of 24 in.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	1 mortar joint cracked 1/4	1 mortar joint cracked 1/4 to 1/2 in wide over 1 LF of barrier.					
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	er ID: GLAC-0014-9.029-L								
Rou	ıte Name:	MANY G	MANY GLACIER ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/2010		Barrie	Barrier Rating:					
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$1776			
Brief Workorder:	Re-point mir	or cracking in	1 location.							
Workorder: Re-Point Masonry Barrier at \$140- per -Sq. Yd. for 1 SY = \$140. Re-point 1 sq. yd. of cracked mortar. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0014: MANY GLACIER ROAD



GLAC_0014_9.029_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	4-9.029-R						
Rou	ite Name:	MANY G	ANY GLACIER ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	23.70			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:		ASONRY WITHOUT E CORE WALL	Barrier	Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Barrier Material: STON			Post	Material:	N/A			
Blockout N/A		N/A		Le	ength (ft.):	60			
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	45			ment with to Road:	TANGENT	,		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	24		Width (In.):	18.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	24.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	52.0		rade (%):	3.90		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. H	leight is at or above design l	neight of 24 in.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:	1 solitary missing stone.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments	d Treatments Breaking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:							
	1	osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	D: GLAC-0014-9.029-R								
Rou	ite Name:	MANY G	IANY GLACIER ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/201	0	Barri	er Rating:	23.70				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$2128			
Brief Workorder:	Replace 1 mi	issing stone an	d re-point around it.							
Workorder: Re-Point Masonry Barrier at \$140- per -Sq. Yd. for 1 SY = \$140. Repoint mortar around 1 missing stone. Lump Sum: Replace 2 missing stones = \$200. Labor 2 hours at \$60 per hour = \$120. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0014: MANY GLACIER ROAD



GLAC_0014_9.029_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	r ID: GLAC-0014-10.450-L								
Rou	ıte Name:	MANY G	LACIER ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	22.20				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	1	ASONRY WITHOUT E CORE WALL	Barrier	Function:	TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Post	Material:	N/A				
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Le	ength (ft.):	59				
Speed Lim		45			ment with t to Road:	TANGENT				
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	NO			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt NONE Type:			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	24		Width (In.):	18.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0			
Height (In.):	26.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	30.0	Road G	rade (%):	1.80			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	At or above design height of	of 24 in alignment acceptable	le.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking ob	oserved.						
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.						
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:								
	Missing	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-001	4-10.450-L				
Rou	ite Name:	MANY GI	LACIER ROAD				
Inspect	tion Date:	09/29/2010)	Barri	er Rating:	22.20	
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	ther repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0014: MANY GLACIER ROAD



GLAC_0014_10.450_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	er ID: GLAC-0014-10.452-R								
Rou	ıte Name:	MANY G	LACIER ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	19.30				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:		ASONRY WITHOUT E CORE WALL	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Post	Material:	N/A				
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Lo	ength (ft.):	60				
Speed Lim		45			ment with t to Road:	TANGENT	•			
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	NCW	1	s Barrier worthy?:	NO			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt NONE Type:			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	24		Width (In.):	18.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0			
Height (In.):	24.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	67.5		rade (%):	1.30			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	At or above design height of	of 24 in alignment acceptab	le.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking ob	oserved.						
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.						
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments	End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-0014	1-10.452-R				
Rou	ite Name:	MANY GL	ACIER ROAD				
Inspect	tion Date:	09/29/2010	1		Barrier Rating:	19.30	
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for compai	rison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0014: MANY GLACIER ROAD



GLAC_0014_10.452_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-020	0-0.272-R				
Rou	ite Name:	LAKE MO	CDONALD LODGE L	OOP ROAD			
Inspec	tion Date:	10/01/2010	0		Barrier Rating:	19.30	
Barrier Descripti							
	Type:		ASONRY WITHOUT E CORE WALL	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Post Material: N/A			
	Blockout Type:	N/A			Length (ft.):	15	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	15			Placement with despect to Road:	TANGENT	
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A	1	Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A			
Average Measur	ements						
Design Height (In.):	24		Width (In.):	18.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0
Height (In.):	20.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	61.0		rade (%):	2.00
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	3 to 6 in below design heig	ht of 24 in entire len	igth. Alignment accept	able.	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking/cracking obse	rved.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.			
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:					
	Missing	Elements:					
		osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-020	0-0.272-R				
Rou	ite Name:	LAKE MC	DONALD LODGE L	OOP ROAD			
Inspec	tion Date:	10/01/2010)	R	arrier Rating:	19.30	
Repair Recomme			,	<i>B</i> 6	arrer Racing.	19.50	
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS Work Type:			Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison	to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0200: LAKE MCDONALD LODGE LOOP ROAD



GLAC_0200_0.272_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	r ID: GLAC-0210-0.097-R									
Rou	ıte Name:	MANY G	LACIER HOTEL ROA	AD							
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	32.50					
Barrier Descripti	ion										
	Type:	1	ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC					
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Post Material:		N/A					
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Le	ength (ft.):	497					
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25			ment with to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE				
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness										
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	NCW	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	NO				
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE				
Ending End Trtmt Type: NONE			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A							
Average Measurements											
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0				
Height (In.):	14.3		Lateral Offset (In.):	40.2	Road G	rade (%):	4.20				
Physical Condition	on										
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. Height 3 to 6 in below 18 in design height for 155 ft.								
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Occasional 1/4 to 1/2 in cramortar.	acking observed. Occasiona	l rocks separate	ed from barrie	r with broken				
	Missing	Elements:	Some large and small stone	es missing. Approximately	11 total linear	feet missing s	stones.				
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.							
	Align	ment and Height:									
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:									
		osion and eathering:									

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-021	0-0.097-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	MANY G	Y GLACIER HOTEL ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	09/29/201	0	Barrie	r Rating:	32.50			
Repair Recomme	endations	;							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$6176		
Brief Workorder:	Replace ston	es in localized	areas repoint sections of ba	rrier.					
Workorder:	Re-Point Ma	Stone Masonry Crenellated w/o Concrete Core at \$500- per -Lin. Ft. for 8 LF = \$4000. Replace missing stones. Re-Point Masonry Barrier at \$140- per -Sq. Yd. for 1 SY = \$140. Re-point areas of missing mortar. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	er repair co	sts only.			

ROUTE 0210: MANY GLACIER HOTEL ROAD



GLAC_0210_0.097_R_1.JPG

Bs	arrier ID:	GLAC-021	1ZZ-0.165-R						
	ite Name:								
Inspace	tion Data:	09/28/201	0		Barrier Rating:	28.30			
Barrier Descripti		09/28/201	0		Darrier Rating.	26.30			
barrier Descripti						TD A FELG			
	Type:		ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	1	Barrier Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	STONE			Post Material:	N/A			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Length (ft.): 132					
Speed Lim		25		Placement with TANGENT					
Speed Lim	it (1 VIII II).	23			Respect to Road:	TANGEN			
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	LOW							
Barrier Crashwo	Barrier Crashworthiness								
Appropriate Test	TL-1			NCW		Is Barrier	NO		
Level:			Test Level:			worthy?:			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt	NONE		Ending End Trtmt	N/A	Transit	ion Type.			
Type:			Crashhworthy?:						
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	15.3		Lateral Offset (In.):	30.7	Road G	rade (%):	7.00		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight 3 to 6 in below	v 18 in deign height for	44 ft.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	oserved.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-021	1ZZ-0.165-R				
Rou	ite Name:	SUN POIN	NT ROADS				
Inspect	tion Date:	09/28/2010	0	E	Barrier Rating:	28.30	
Repair Recomme	endations	;					
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison	to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0211ZZ: SUN POINT ROADS

Barrier Condition Photos

Condition photos are not available for GLAC-0211ZZ-0.165-R.

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-021	1ZZ-0.186-L				
Rou	ite Name:	SUN POI	NT ROADS				
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/201	0	I	Barrier Rating:	33.50	
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:		ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	STONE			Post Material:	N/A	
	Blockout Type:	N/A			Length (ft.):	85	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25			Placement with espect to Road:	TANGENT	
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM					
Barrier Crashwo	orthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt NONE Type:			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.2	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0
Height (In.):	18.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	14.0	Road G	rade (%):	6.10
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight at or above the 1	8 in design height.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Some 1/4 to 1/2 in crack of	oserved in rocks.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	Missing approximately 10	stones equals approx	imately 10 linear feet	of barrier at 6	ines in depth.
		osion and eathering:	Re-point entire back of bar	rier and 20 ft of barri	er top.		
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:					
	Missing 1	Elements:					
		osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-021	1ZZ-0.186-L					
Rou	ite Name:	SUN POI	NT ROADS					
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/201	0	Barrie	r Rating:	33.50		
Repair Recomme	endations	\$						
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	·	\$6594
Brief Workorder:	Replace 10 n	e 10 missing stones re-point back and portion of top of barrier.						
Workorder:	der: Re-Point Masonry Barrier at \$140- per -Sq. Yd. for 18 SY = \$2520. Re-point back of barrier and 20 feet of barrier top. Back: [(85ft)(1.5ft)]/9 = 14 SY. Top: [(20ft)(1.5ft)]/9 = 4 SY. Total: 18 SY. Lump Sum: Replace 10 missing stones = \$1000. Labor 10 hours at \$60 per hour = \$600. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	ner repair co	sts only.		

ROUTE 0211ZZ: SUN POINT ROADS

Barrier Condition Photos

Condition photos are not available for GLAC-0211ZZ-0.186-L.

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-021	1ZZ-0.194-R								
Rou	ıte Name:	SUN POI	JN POINT ROADS								
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/201	0	Baı	rier Rating:	23.70					
Barrier Descripti	ion										
	Type:		ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier Function:		NON-TRAFFIC					
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Po	ost Material:	N/A					
	Blockout Type:	N/A			Length (ft.):	154					
Speed Lim	Speed Limit (MPH): 25				cement with ect to Road:	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER				
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	N/A									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness										
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	N/A		Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A				
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE				
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A							
Average Measure	ements										
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.7	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0				
Height (In.):	13.3		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0	Road G	rade (%):	0.00				
Physical Condition	on										
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. 34 I barrier is 3 to 6 ines below ines.		-	-					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Cracks in mortar more than	n 1/2 in wide over a total	of 6 LF of barrier						
	Missing 1	Elements:	6 stones missing totaling 1	0 L.F.							
		osion and eathering:	Mortar joints deteriorated &	& crumbling over a total	of 18 LF of barrie	er.					
	Align	ment and Height:									
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:									
		osion and eathering:									

Ba	arrier ID:	D: GLAC-0211ZZ-0.194-R							
Rou	ite Name:	SUN POI	NT ROADS						
Inspect	tion Date:	09/28/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	23.70			
Repair Recomme	endations	;							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$89650		
Brief Workorder:	Remove & re	nove & reset 106 LF of barrier to a minimum of 14 inches in height.							
Workorder:									
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	sts only.			

ROUTE 0211ZZ: SUN POINT ROADS

Barrier Condition Photos

Condition photos are not available for GLAC-0211ZZ-0.194-R.

Bs	arrier ID:	GLAC-021	1ZZ-0.223-R				
	ite Name:		NT ROADS				
-	(* To :	00/20/201	0	Ι	n . n .	21.00	
		09/28/201	0		Barrier Rating:	31.00	
Barrier Descripti	on						
	Type:		TONE MASONRY		Barrier Function:	TRAFFIC	
D :	34 . 1	STONE	LATED WITHOUT		NT/A		
Barrier	Material:	STONE			Post Material:	N/A	
	Blockout	N/A			Length (ft.):	118	
	Type:						
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25			Placement with	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER
Hazard Behind	l Rarrier	MEDIUM			Respect to Road:		
		WEDICW					
Barrier Crashwo							
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	N/A		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO
Beg. End Trtmt	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt	N/A		Approach	NONE
Type:	TYOTYE		Crashhworthy?:	1,11		ion Type:	THORLE
Ending End Trtmt	NONE		Ending End Trtmt	N/A			
Type:			Crashhworthy?:				
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.0		cing (In.):	0.0
Height (In.):	16.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	38.0	Road G	rade (%):	4.70
Physical Condition)n						
	Align	ment and Height:	3 in or less below design height of 18 in for 26 ft. Alignment acceptable.				
	Bre	aking and	Breaking and loss of morta	r along 7 ft in bar	rier.		
Barrier	•	Cracking:					
	Missing 1	Elements:	Missing stone and mortar a height or less in barrier.	llong 19 L.F. of b	arrier includes 2 missing	crenellations	missing 50% of
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.			
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments	End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:						
	Missing 1	Elements:					
		osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-0211ZZ-0.223-R									
Rou	ite Name:	SUN POI	UN POINT ROADS								
Inspec	Inspection Date: 09/28/2010 Barrier Rating: 31.00										
Repair Recomme	endations	\$									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$14311				
Brief Workorder:	Replace 19 I	F. of missing	barrier and repoint masonry	in barrier.							
Workorder: Stone Masonry Crenellated w/o Concrete Core at \$500- per -Lin. Ft. for 19 LF = \$9500. Replace 2 crenellations. Re-Point Masonry Barrier at \$140- per -Sq. Yd. for 4 SY = \$560. [(7ft)(1.5+1.5+1.5)]/9 = 4 SY. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$2950.											
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.					

ROUTE 0211ZZ: SUN POINT ROADS

Barrier Condition Photos

Condition photos are not available for GLAC-0211ZZ-0.223-R.

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-021	1ZZ-0.235-L							
	ite Name:		IN POINT ROADS							
Inspect	tion Date:	09/28/2010	0	Bar	rier Rating:	28.20				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	STONE MA	ASONRY ATED WITHOUT	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Pos	st Material:	N/A				
Blockout Type: N/A		N/A		1	Length (ft.):	57				
Speed Limi	it (MPH):	25			cement with ect to Road:	TANGENT	,			
Hazard Behind Barrier: LOW										
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt NONE			N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.7	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0			
Height (In.):	13.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	33.2		rade (%):	4.70			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. 39 LF of barrier is 3 to 6 in below design height of 18 in. 21 LF of barrier is at or above design height of 18 ines.							
Barrier		aking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:	6 missing stones.							
		osion and eathering:	Deteriorated mortar along	26 LF of barrier on top an	d front 24 LF of	back.				
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	arrier ID:	er ID: GLAC-0211ZZ-0.235-L									
Rou	ite Name:	SUN POI	UN POINT ROADS								
Inspec	tion Date:	er Rating:	28.20								
Repair Recomme	endations										
Repair Action:	REPAIR	FMSS DEFERRED Repair \$4372 Work Type: MAINTENANCE Cost:									
Brief Workorder:	Replace 6 mi	issing stones a	nd re-point 8 sq. yards of ba	rrier.							
Workorder: Re-Point Masonry Barrier at \$140- per -Sq. Yd. for 11 SY = \$1540. Re-point 11 sq. yards of barrier. [(26ft)(1.58ft) + (26ft)(0.66ft) + (24ft)(1.58ft)]/9 = 10.68 SY. Lump Sum: Replace 6 missing stones = \$600. Labor 6 hours at \$60 per hour = \$360. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.											
			ASTM Class D), prelimin		her repair co	sts only.					

ROUTE 0211ZZ: SUN POINT ROADS

Barrier Condition Photos

Condition photos are not available for GLAC-0211ZZ-0.235-L.

Bs	arrier ID:	GLAC-021	1ZZ-0.267-R				
	ite Name:		NT ROADS				
Inspect	tion Date:	09/28/2010	0		Barrier Rating:	19.30	
Barrier Descripti					9		
	Type:		TONE MASONRY RENELLATED WITHOUT		Barrier Function:		FFIC
Barrier	Material:	STONE			Post Material:	N/A	
Blockout Type: N/A		N/A			Length (ft.):	65	
Speed Limi	it (MPH):	25			Placement with Respect to Road:	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	N/A					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	18		Width (In.):	18.0		cing (In.):	0.0
Height (In.):	14.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0	Road G	rade (%):	0.00
Physical Condition		ment and Height:	Height is 3 to 6 in below do	esign height of 1	8 in for 37 ft. Alignment	acceptable.	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	oserved.			
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.			
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:					
	Missing 1	Elements:					
		osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-021	1ZZ-0.267-R				
Rou	ute Name:	SUN POIN	NT ROADS				
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010)		Barrier Rating:	19.30	
Repair Recommo	endations	\$					
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 со	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for compa	rison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0211ZZ: SUN POINT ROADS

Barrier Condition Photos

Condition photos are not available for GLAC-0211ZZ-0.267-R.

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-095	LAC-0953-0.000-P1							
Rou	ıte Name:	RISING S	UN PICNIC AREA PA	ARKING						
Inspec	tion Date:	09/28/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	2.90				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	OTHER: TI	IMBER RAIL ON OSTS	Barrier Function:		NON-TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	LOG/TIME	BER/WOOD	Post	Material:	WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Le	ength (ft.):	300				
Speed Limit (MPH): 15					ment with to Road:	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER			
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: N/A									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	N/A	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	96.0			
Height (In.):	30.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	0.00			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Height is 3 in or less above	assumed design height of 2	7 in. Alignmer	nt acceptable.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking/cracking obse	rved.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion/weathering o	bserved.						
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

Ba	arrier ID:	GLAC-095	3-0.000-P1				
Rou	ite Name:	RISING S	UN PICNIC AREA P.	ARKING			
Inspect	tion Date:	09/28/2010)		Barrier Rating:	2.90	
Repair Recomme					8		
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A				·	·	
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for compa	arison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0953: RISING SUN PICNIC AREA PARKING



GLAC_0953_0.000_P1_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-096	LAC-0962-0.000-P1						
Rou	ıte Name:	GOAT LIC	CK PARKING						
Inspec	tion Date:	09/27/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	24.10			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Lo	ength (ft.):	478			
Speed Lim	Speed Limit (MPH): 25				ment with t to Road:	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	HIGH							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.3		
Height (In.):	26.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	7.40		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. H	leight is 1 in or less below 2	7 in design hei	ght for entire	length.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	1 cracked blockout. 3 rails	damaged (2 heavily damage	ed 1 minor dan	nage).			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion/weathering o	bserved.					
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. H	eight is 1 in or less below d	esign height of	£27 in.			
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	No breaking/cracking obse	rved.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	1 missing bolt on ending en	nd treatment cable.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion/weathering o	bserved.					

В	arrier ID:	GLAC-096	2-0.000-P1								
Rou	ite Name:	GOAT LI	OAT LICK PARKING								
Inspec	tion Date:	09/27/201	0	Barrie	r Rating:	24.10					
Repair Recomme	endations	;									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$2316				
Brief Workorder:	Replace 2 da	maged rails ar	d associated hardware repla	ce 1 cracked blockout.							
Workorder: Replace Rail at \$25- per -Lin. Ft. for 24 LF = \$600. Replace 2 damaged steel rails and associated hardware. Replace Block at \$30- per -Each for 1 Block(s) = \$30. Replace 1 cracked blockout. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.											
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	ier repair co	sts only.					

ROUTE 0962: GOAT LICK PARKING



GLAC_0962_0.000_P1_1.JPG

Barrier ID:		GLAC-0976-0.000-P1						
Route Name: BELLY		BELLY R	IVER TRAILHEAD P	PARKING				
Inspection Date: 09/29/2		09/29/2010	0	Barr	ier Rating:	0.00		
Barrier Description								
Туре:		OTHER: TIMBER RAIL ON STEEL POSTS		Barrier Function:		NON-TRA	NON-TRAFFIC	
Barrier Material:		LOG/TIME	BER/WOOD	Pos	st Material:	CORTEN		
Blockout Type:		N/A		I	ength (ft.):	167		
Speed Lim		15			ement with	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER	
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	N/A						
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness							
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	N/A		Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A				
Average Measure	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	8.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	60.0	
Height (In.): 26.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	0.00		
Physical Condition	on							
Alignment and Height:			Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is 1 in or less below	assumed design	n height of 27	in.	
Barrier	2100000		No breaking/cracking observed.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.				
Corrrosion and Weathering:			No corrosion/weathering o	bserved.				
	Align	ment and Height:						
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:						
	Missing	Elements:						
		rosion and eathering:						

Barrier ID:		GLAC-0976-0.00	00-P1				
Route Name:		BELLY RIVER	BELLY RIVER TRAILHEAD PARKING				
Inspec	tion Data:	09/29/2010		Rarrie	er Rating:	0.00	
Repair Recomme				Dairie	r Kating.	0.00	
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (ASTM	Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	sts only.	

Glacier National Park


ROUTE 0976: BELLY RIVER TRAILHEAD PARKING

Barrier Condition Photos



GLAC_0976_0.000_P1_1.JPG

Appendix A Summary of GIP Definitions and Assessment



Glacier National Park



Appendix A:

Guardwall/Rail Inventory Program (GIP) EXPLANATION OF REPORT TERMS

The Guardwall/rail Inventory Program (GIP) was commissioned by WASO to identify deferred maintenance related to barriers in National Parks that have more than one mile of guardwall or guardrail. GIP was designed jointly by the NPS and FHWA and the inventory process records both static characteristics of the barrier (e.g., length, height, etc.) as well as dynamic information about the condition of the barrier.

Barriers that traverse bridges are not included in this inventory, these barriers are covered in FHWA's Bridge Inventory Program (BIP); however, barriers that are approaches to bridges were part of this inventory.

The following discussion highlights each of the elements found in the reports.

Static Barrier Characteristics

BARRIER TYPE

Refers to both the design and the construction materials used:

- W-Beam, Strong Post
- W-Beam, Weak Post
- Thrie Beam/Modified Thrie Beam
- Box Beam
- Steel-Backed Timber, w/ Blockout
- Steel-Backed Timber, w/o Blockout
- Steel-Backed Log Rail
- High Tension Cable
- Three-Strand Cable

- Stone Masonry, w/o Concrete Core Wall
- Stone Masonry, w/ Concrete Core Wall
- Random Rubble Cavity Wall
- Concrete Barrier
- Concrete, with Simulated Stone Face
- W-Beam (Double Face), Strong Post
- Steel-Backed Timber (Double Face)
- Other: Completed by field crew

BARRIER MATERIAL

The type of material of which the barrier is composed:

- Cable
- Concrete
- Galvanized Steel
- Log/Timber/Wood

- Steel-Backed Timber/Log
- Weathering Steel/Corten
- Stone
- Other: Completed by field crew

LENGTH

The longitudinal distance between the beginning and end of the barrier. It should include the length of end treatments in the overall length of the barrier. For roadside barriers, this can be calculated from the start and end locations.

BARRIER FUNCTION: Traffic or Non-Traffic Barrier.

Due to the different GIP assessment criteria of barriers based on their intended use, barriers were classified as being either traffic barriers or non-traffic barriers.

Traffic barriers are physical devices intended to keep vehicles or people from straying into dangerous or off-limits areas. For the purpose of this inventory and assessment, a traffic barrier is categorized as roadside hardware placed longitudinally, excluding pedestrian railing and fencing.

Non-traffic barriers provide a physical delineation between public access areas and restricted or protected areas in locations such as a parking lot, viewpoint or turnout. Non-traffic barriers which inhibit access of vehicles are included in this report; non-traffic barriers which only inhibit access of pedestrians or bicyclists are not included. For the purpose of this inventory, non-traffic barriers are guidewalls and guiderails. Note: rocks, stones, boulders, fences or curbs were excluded from this inventory.

There are instances in parks where a single barrier can switch between being classified as a traffic barrier and a non-traffic barrier. Such instances typically occur at pullouts, where a traffic barrier along the road will continue through the pullout without interruption. In such instances, the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier were assessed using different criteria. Due to the different criteria, the GIP database was designed to record the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier as two distinct barriers, even though to the eye, they appear as one barrier. Other instances where a single barrier is split into multiple barriers would be when the barrier is placed continuously along two legs of an intersection, so that one portion of the barrier may be on one road and the remaining portion of the barrier is on a different road.

POST MATERIAL

The type or material that the barrier's supporting posts are made of:

Galvanized Steel
 Other: Completed by field crew

Wood • N/A

Corten

BLOCKOUT TYPE

The type of blockout or of what it is comprised:

WoodSteelPlasticN/A

BARRIER PLACEMENT WITH RESPECT TO ROADWAY

To identify the roadway alignment the barrier is located upon:

Tangent
 Both Inside and Outside of Curve

Inside of Curve • Outside of Curve

POSTED SPEED LIMIT

The posted speed limit of the roadway section.

HAZARD BEHIND BARRIER

A qualitative description of the severity of the hazard behind the barrier:

Lov

• High

Medium

• Extreme

APPROPRIATE TEST LEVEL (TL) FOR ROAD

Based on the posted speed limit, the NCHRP 350 Crashworthiness test level appropriate for the roadway.

• TL-1, 30 mph and lower

• TL-3, 50 mph and higher

• TL-2, 35-45 mph

BARRIER TEST LEVEL (TL)

A traffic barrier is crashworthy if it was successfully crash tested under *NCHRP Report 350* at speeds along the park road or parkway or if it was accepted through analysis by FHWA, based on similarity to other crashworthy critical design element features. Non-traffic barriers are classified at N/A.

• TL-1

• No

• TL-2

• N/A – Non-Traffic Barrier

• TL-3

IS BARRIER CRASHWORTHY

This compared the appropriate crashworthy test level required for the posted speed limit to the barrier's test level.

Yes

No

BEGINNING END TREATMENT TYPE

An end treatment is safety hardware that mitigates impacts to the ends of a barrier. Most common end treatments are for w-beam systems. Note that stonemasonry barriers typically do not have end treatments.

The beginning end treatment is based on the travel lane closest to the barrier. A vehicle traveling in the lane closest to the barrier will encounter the barrier's beginning end treatment first. It is not based on the RIP primary direction. Identifies the barrier's beginning end treatment type:

- W-Beam Flared 350 Compliant
- W-Beam Tangent 350 Complaint
- W-Beam Buried End
- W-Beam Trailing End/CRG
- W-Beam BCT, Flared
- W-Beam, Turn Down
- SBT/Log, Flared

- SBT/Log, Buried
- Median Treatments
- Box Beam
- Cable
- Crash Cushions/Attenuator
- Other: Completed by field crew
- None

IS BEGINNING END TREATMENT CRASHWORTHY

Identifies if the barrier's beginning end treatment (based on direction of travel for the travel lane closest to barrier) is crashworthy, based on NCHRP-350.

• Yes

N/A

• No

APPROACH TRANSITION TYPE

A transition is safety hardware designed to be placed between two different types of barrier. Most common transition types are between bridge rail and w-beam systems.

This identifies the barrier's transition type:

- Bridge Rail, W-Beam
- Bridge Rail, SBT
- Rigid W-Beam, W-Beam
- Rigid SBT (Wall), SBT
- Concrete/Masonry, W-Beam

- Concrete/Masonry, SBT
- Concrete/Masonry, Thrie Beam
- Other: Completed by field crew
- None

ENDING END TREATMENT TYPE

The ending end treatment is based on the travel lane closest to the barrier. A vehicle traveling in the lane closest to the barrier will encounter the barrier's ending end treatment last, after passing the rest of the barrier. It is not based on the RIP primary direction. Identifies the barrier's ending end treatment type:

- W-Beam Flared 350 Compliant
- W-Beam Tangent 350 Complaint
- W-Beam Buried End
- W-Beam Trailing End/CRG
- W-Beam BCT, Flared
- W-Beam, Turn Down
- SBT/Log, Flared

- SBT/Log, Buried
- Median Treatments
- Box Beam
- Cable
- Crash Cushions/Attenuator
- Other: Completed by field crew
- None

IS ENDING END TREATMENT CRASHWORTHY

Identifies if the barrier's ending end treatment (based on direction of travel for the travel lane closest to barrier) is crashworthy, based on NCHRP-350.

- Yes
- No

N/A

BARRIER DESIGN HEIGHT

Identifies the barrier's original "as-built" design height:

- 27-in, W-beam, Steel-Backed Timber, Stone Masonry w/ Concrete Core Wall
- 24-in, Stone Masonry w/o Concrete Core Wall, Log on Log
- 20-in, Timber on Wood Posts, Timber on Concrete Posts, Timber on Granite Posts
- 18/24-in, Crenellated Stone Masonry Barrier
- 18/24-in, Dry Stack Stone Wall

- 31-in, Steel-Backed Log
- 32-in, Jersey Barrier

AVERAGE MEASUREMENTS

Minimum of three measurements taken on each barrier.

First measurement approximately 50-ft from the beginning of the barrier, measured from the extreme ends of the barrier's end treatment/transition. Do not take a measurement along the end treatment Measure and record measurement every 200-ft thereafter for the run of barrier

Last measurement approximately 50-ft from the end of the barrier. Do not take a measurement along the end treatment

If a barrier is less than 300-ft, even say 45-ft, a minimum of three measurements were still taken.

AVERAGE WIDTH

The width of the barrier. Only recorded for guardwalls; not guardrail.

AVERAGE POST SPACING

The spacing of the barrier's (not the end treatments') posts. Only recorded for guardrails; not guardwalls or non-traffic barriers.

AVERAGE BARRIER HEIGHT

The average barrier height. If the barrier has crenellations, the height is measured in the non-crenellated sections of the barrier. If the average lateral offset is less than or equal to 4-ft, average barrier height is measured from the roadway; if the average lateral offset is greater than 4-ft, average barrier height is measured at the barrier face.

AVERAGE LATERAL OFFSET

Determine the average distance between the barrier and the edge of roadway. If a white edgeline is present on the roadway, average lateral offset is measured from the outside edge of the white line to the barrier face. If no white edgeline is present, average lateral offset is measured from the edge of pavement to the barrier face.

AVERAGE ROAD GRADE and UPHILL OR DOWNHILL

Determine an average roadway grade at each barrier location, based on the direction of travel in the lane closest to the barrier.

DYNAMIC BARRIER CHARACTERISTICS – CONDITION ASSESSMENT NARRATIVES

Field crews were directed to write a narrative of the barrier's physical condition. To keep consistency between field crews, all narratives were based on severity and distress criteria, which were developed jointly by the NPS and FHWA. Condition assessments were based on barrier type and can be found directly after this description of report elements.

BARRIER ALIGNMENT/HEIGHT

Narrative completed by field crew describing the barrier's alignment and height. Height comments are based on the barrier's original "as-built" design height.

BARRIER BREAKING/CRACKING

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier breaking or cracking found during the inspection.

BARRIER MISSING ELEMENTS

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier missing elements encountered during the inspection.

BARRIER CORROSION/WEATHERING

Narrative completed by field crew describing and corrosion or weathering issues associated with the barrier.

END TREATMENTS ALIGNMENT/HEIGHT

Narrative completed by field crew describing the barrier end treatment's alignment and height, when present. Height comments are based on the end treatment's original "as-built" design height.

END TREATMENTS BREAKING/CRACKING

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier end treatment's breaking or cracking found during the inspection.

END TREATMENTS MISSING ELEMENTS

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier end treatment missing elements encountered during the inspection.

END TREATMENTS CORROSION/WEATHERING

Narrative completed by field crew describing and corrosion or weathering issues associated with the barrier's end treatments.

BARRIER PHOTOGRAPHS

During the inspection, the field crews photographed the beginning end (based on the closest lane's direction of travel) of each barrier. Additional photographs were taken of any unusual deficiencies encountered. Up to two photographs of the barrier are included in this report.

CONDITION AND SEVERITY DISTRESS TABLES

Due to the extreme number of possible conditions of the barrier, transition and end treatment, the following descriptions and matrices are guidelines created to help classify the condition of the element. While the distinction between good and fair is needed, the distinction between fair and poor is much more important since this is the threshold that defines if the element is slightly compromised or is not functional.

In all likelihood, according to these guidelines different portions of an element (most likely a barrier) may be classified differently; however, a single classification will need to be provided for the element. The survey team will use their professional judgment to determine this single classification. The single classification of each element should be considered an index value that provides a general indicator of overall performance, but not necessarily indicate that a specific treatment is warranted. The specific work order that is prepared based on the observed deficiencies will be a much more definitive indicator of the appropriate treatment based on existing distresses. The overall condition will be used as part of the risk assessment tool to evaluate the risk to driver safety associated with the physical condition of the barrier.

GOOD

<u>The barrier performs as intended.</u> The barrier is in fairly straight alignment but may have some small amount that is slightly out of alignment. While the height of the barrier may vary over its run, the height is relatively consistent and is close to its original "as-built" design height. Minor cracks may be visually observed on some the posts, though these cracks are neither long nor deep and the only hardware missing are isolated nuts and bolts. Minor surface corrosion on small portions of the surface is visible but there is no decay associated with connections.

<u>The end treatment performs as intended.</u> The end treatment is in good alignment and tension is acceptable. While the end treatment may exhibit some dents, there are no cracked rails, posts, blocks or any missing elements. Corrosion and erosion, while present, are at a minimum.

In general, all distresses observed, either in isolation or in combination, do not seriously affect the ability of the element to serve the intended functions of protecting drivers from a roadside hazard and/or contributing to the cultural value of the roadway corridor. Keep in mind that "intended function" is a relative term. In many cases, older designs were "intended" to protect drivers but would not be considered fully functional in that regard by today's standards.

FAIR

<u>The barrier is slightly compromised.</u> The barrier is noticeably out of alignment and the height along the run of barrier varies considerably. Cracks and broken elements are visible from the roadside. The barrier may be missing elements, such as nuts, bolts, blockouts or even a post. Surface corrosion is visible on a fair amount of the barrier but connections will still provide element interlock. Decay and minor erosion, while not always visible, may begin to reduce element strength and individual post stability.

<u>The end treatment is slightly compromised.</u> The end treatment may be somewhat out of alignment, have low cable anchor tension or isolated broken or cracked rail, posts or blocks. Corrosion and erosion are evident.

In general, the distresses observed, either in isolation or combination, may generate unpredictable outcomes related to the functions of the element stated above.

POOR

<u>The barrier is not functional.</u> The barrier will not function as intended. Any of the following could mean that the barrier is in poor condition: The barrier has fallen out of alignment or its height varies greatly from the designed height. Cracks and broken elements are visible from the roadside. The barrier is missing several elements, such as nuts, bolts, blockouts or consecutive posts. Corrosion, causing structural compromise is significant and obvious. Erosion around posts will reduce the barrier's strength and capacity.

<u>The end treatment is not functional.</u> The end treatment does not function as intended. There is no tension in the cable anchor. A significant portion of the end treatment has broken, cracked or dented elements. Elements are missing and corrosion or erosion is significant.

In general, the distresses observed clearly illustrate the inability of the element to perform the intended functions.

CONDITION AND SEVERITY DISTRESS TABLES – BARRIERS

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Semi-Rigid Barriers (including barriers with posts, rail elements and blocks).

and blocks).	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	
Alignment/Design H	leight			
	• Alignment off by less than 6"	• Alignment off by 6"-12"	• Alignment off by more than 12"	
	Within 1" of <u>design</u> height	• Less than 3" lower than <i>design height</i>	Greater than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>	
Breaking/Cracking,	an member, post or rail – o	due to impact loading		
	Metal – no twisting/bending, tears or cracking	Metal – no cracking or tearing (but minor twisting/bending is ok)	Metal – any cracks or tears	
	Wood – no impact related cracking	Wood – maybe cracked but retains original cross section	Wood – cracks or tears that deform original section	
	Isolated broken blocks	Two Consecutive broken blocks	Consecutive broken blocks (three or more consecutive)	
Missing Elements				
	No bolts and nuts missing	One or two bolt/nut missing at one rail/rail connection	Three or more bolts/nuts missing at one rail/rail connection	
	• n/a	Two consecutive missing blocks	Three or more consecutive missing blocks	
	• n/a	• n/a	One missing rail element or post	
Corrosion/Decay/Weathering, all posts, rails and blocks – due to aging				
	Loss of 5% or less of cross section	Loss of 5% to 50% of cross section	Loss of 50% or more of cross section	
	Erosion (less than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around posts (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline) for one	Erosion around consecutive posts (more than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)	

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Rigid Concrete Barriers (including pre-cast).

	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Design	Height		
	Alignment off by less than 6"	• Alignment off by 6"-12"	Alignment off by more than 12"
	Within 1" of <u>design</u> height	• Less than 3" lower than <i>design height</i>	Greater than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>
Breaking/Cracking	g– due to impact loading		
	• Minor cracks (less than 1/4") present	Cracking present ¼" or greater but no displacement or discontinuity in face	Barrier displaced and/or discontinuous
	• n/a	Pieces broken from barrier 3" deep or less without exposing rebar	Cracking exposes rebar
	• n/a	• n/a	Pieces broken from face greater than 3" deep
Missing Elements			
	• n/a	• n/a	• n/a
Corrosion/Decay/V	Weathering – due to aging		
	Surface corrosion on less than 5% of the run	• Surface corrosion on between 5-25% of the run	Surface corrosion on more than 25% of the run
	• n/a	• Spalling 3" deep or less without exposing rebar	• Spalling greater than 3" deep
	Erosion (less than 8" below groundline) around base	Erosion (8" or more below groundline) around base	Erosion (8" or more below groundline)
	• n/a	Less than 50% undermined (less than half barrier width)	50% or more undermined (less than half barrier width)

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Rigid Stone/Masonry Barriers (including all types of stone or masonry barriers).

masonry barriers).			
	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Design H	leight		
	• Alignment (off by less than 6")	• Alignment (off by 6"-12")	• Alignment (off by more than 12")
	• Within 3" of <u>design</u> <u>height</u>	• Between 3.1 - 6" lower than <i>design height</i>	• Greater than 6.1" lower than <i>design height</i>
Breaking/Cracking	– due to impact loading		
	• Minor cracks (less than 1/4") present	• Cracks, less than ½" present	• Cracks greater than ½" present
		• Stones broken/displaced extending less than 1/3 of width of barrier	Stones broken/displaced extending 1/3 width or more through the barrier
Missing Elements			
	• n/a	• n/a	• n/a
Corrosion/Decay/W	eathering – due to aging		
	Cracks in mortar joints 1/4" or less and/or single loose or missing stones	Mortar joints deteriorated resulting in two - three loose or missing adjacent stones (without impact)	Mortar joints deteriorated resulting in more than three continuous/adjacent loose or missing stones (without impact)
	Erosion (less than 8" below groundline) around base	Erosion (8" or more below groundline) around base	Erosion (8" or more below groundline)
	• n/a	Less than 50% undermined (less than half barrier width)	50% or more undermined (less than half barrier width)

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Flexible Barriers, (including cable barriers and weak-post systems designed without blocks).

designed without blocks	S).		
	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Tension/	Design Height		
	No bent posts	Bent posts; one to three consecutive posts	Bent posts; four or more consecutive posts
	Cable has tension	Cable under- tensioned/sagging	No cable tension
	Less than 1" too low	• 1-3" too low	Greater than 3" too low
Breaking/Cracking			
	No cracked or broken posts	One to three isolated broken posts	Four or more consecutive broken posts
	• n/a	Cable frayed	Cable broken or severed
Missing Elements			
	No bolts and nuts missing at anchors	• n/a	Bolts and nuts missing or loose at anchors
	• n/a	• n/a	Any missing posts or cable for any length of run
Corrosion/Decay/W	eathering – due to aging		
	Loss of 5% or less of cable cross section	Loss of 5% to 15% of cable cross section	Loss of 15% or more of cross section
	Erosion (less than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around one post (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around consecutive posts (more than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)

CONDITION AND SEVERITY DISTRESS TABLES – END TREATMENTS

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Flexible End Treatments, (including cable end terminals).

Condition and Severity Distri	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Tension			
	Alignment off by less than 4"	Alignment off by 4"-8"	Alignment off by more than 8"
	Adequate cable tension	Low cable anchor tension	No cable anchor tension
Breaking/Cracking – due	to impact loading		
	No broken or cracked elements	Minor cable fraying but still with adequate tension	Broken or cracked cables or posts
	No damage to posts, cable or anchor	Slight damage to posts without cracking or tearing (but minor twisting/bending on isolated posts is OK)	Cable broken or severed on any cable
Missing Elements			
	No bolts and nuts missing at anchors; No missing cables	• n/a	Any missing element (post, cable, bolts, nuts, or anchor)
Corrosion/Decay/Weathe	ring – due to aging		
	Loss of 5% or less of cable cross section	Loss of 5% to 15% of cable cross section	• Loss of 15% or more of cross section
	Connections weathered but still provide element interlock on less than 5% of the end treatment	Connections weathered but still provide element interlock on between 5% to 15% of the end treatment	Connections weathered but still provide element interlock on more than 15% of the end treatment

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Semi-Rigid End Treatments, including Flared and Tangent

Condition and Severity	Distress Table for Semi-Rigid 1	End Treatments, including Fla	red and Tangent
	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Tension			
	Alignment of flares and offsets off by less than 4"	Alignment of flares and offsets off by 4"-8"	Alignment of flares and offsets off by more than 8"
	Within 1" of <u>design</u> <u>height</u>	• Less than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>	Greater than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>
For Aesthetic Barriers (i.e. – SBT and SBL guardrail) that do not have crashworthy terminals:	Approach barrier terminals are buried, anchored, and flared away from the travel lane	Approach barrier terminals are buried, anchored, and flared away from the travel lane	Approach barrier ends are NOT buried, anchored, nor flared away from the travel lane
Breaking/Cracking -	- due to impact loading		
	Metal – no twisting/bending, tears or cracking	Metal – no cracking or tearing (but minor twisting or bending is ok)	Metal – any cracks or tears
	Wood – no impact related cracking	Wood – maybe cracked but retains original cross section	Wood – cracks or tears that deform original section
	No broken blocks	One broken block	Two consecutive broken blocks
Missing Elements			
	No missing elements, including breakaway cables and struts	Isolated bolts, nuts, or blocks loose on non- consecutive posts	Any missing element, including blocks, rails, posts cables, or struts
	No bolts, nuts, or blocks missing or loose	Breakaway strut present but vertical height off by more than 2"	Missing nuts / bolts on consecutive posts
Corrosion/Decay/We	eathering – due to aging		
	Surface corrosion / decay / connections weathered with a loss of 5% or less of cross section of interlocking elements	Surface corrosion / decay / connections weathered with between 5-25% loss of cross section along transition interlocking elements	Surface corrosion / decay / connections weathered with more than 25% loss of cross section along transition interlocking elements
	Erosion (less than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around 1 post (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around consecutive posts (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline)

SPECIFIC RISK ELEMENTS

The potential risk to a motorist after a vehicle impacts a traffic barrier depends on the crashworthiness of the traffic barrier as well as traffic exposure factors. Variables relating to the roadside, the traffic barrier's crashworthiness and traffic data include the following:

ADT. The number of vehicles (in both directions) that travel the roadway on which the traffic barrier is located.

Barrier Crashworthy. A traffic barrier is crashworthy if it was successfully crash tested under NCHRP Report 350 at speeds along the park road or parkway or if it was accepted through analysis by FHWA, based on similarity to other crashworthy critical design element features. If crashworthy, the appropriate test level also needs to be recorded. For crashworthy barriers, the barrier test level will be compared to the test level appropriate for the roadway (based solely on posted speed limit). The intent is to record situations in which a crashworthy barrier of a lower test level is installed on a roadway which should have a barrier of a higher test level.

Barrier Height. Determined from barrier height as collected in the physical condition assessment. The database will compare this value to the NCHRP test level height that is appropriate for the posted speed of the road and barrier type.

End Treatment Crashworthy. An end treatment is crashworthy if it has been successfully crash tested. This is for the approach end treatment, which is defined as the end treatment which a vehicle will first pass when traveling on the same side of the road as the barrier.

Existing Roadway Features. The list of roadway features is limited to the following, all of which have a documented history of reducing the number of crashes, and are found later in the GIP as possible countermeasures.

Centerline pavement markings Grooved pavement surface
Edgeline pavement markings Delineators on curve and tangent

Wider centerline Chevrons
Wider edgeline Warning sign

Centerline rumble strips Flashing beacon on warning sign

Shoulder rumble strips Lighting

Barrier reflectors Speed feedback sign

Factored Crash Rate. The average annual number of crashes (on the overall road and by barrier segment), over the last 5 years. If the road has an ADT of less than 1000, evaluate a minimum of

7 to 10 years of crash data, if available.

Lateral Offset of Barrier from Edge of Traveled Way. The distance from the edge of traveled way to the face of the barrier is useful for determining impact to asset during different types of construction. Two or three measurements will be taken – beginning, middle and end of barrier run (not including the end treatments) – and the average will be used.

Posted Speed Limit. The posted speed limit(s) of the roadway section.

Roadway Grade and Uphill or Downhill. Is refers to the grade of the roadway, in the direction of travel closest to the barrier.

Severity of the Hazard behind Barrier. A rating system based on photos will be used to rate the severity of the hazard behind the barrier. Choices include:

- Low
- Medium
- High
- Extreme

RISK ASSESSMENT AND RISK SCORE

The following table shows the variables relating to the overall roadway safety in the vicinity of barriers. In addition, the table illustrates the range of values considered for each variable and associated levels of risk. For categorization purposes, variables have been placed into one of three categories: segment, site or barrier variables. The "Associated Risk" column identifies the relative risk posed by each variable. This looks at the relative risk of the each variable itself and is only a cursory evaluation.

A Risk Score or Rating ("Barrier Rating" on Tier 3 Barrier page) was created for each barrier based on the table values. The level of risk tolerated is dependent on the category of road, which will be discussed in subsequent pages.

Once the inventory has been conducted, a total risk value can be assigned to each barrier. A comparison of the relative risk to an acceptable risk threshold will be performed in order to analyze the overall risk of a given barrier.

Variable and Associated Levels of Risk

VARIABLE	RANGE	ASSOCIATED RISK
SEGMENT VARIABLES		
ADT	0 – 1000	0.0
	1001 - 4000	2.9
	4001 - 8000	5.7
	8001 - 20,000	7.1
	20,001 and greater	8.6
Crash Factor	0	0.0
	0.1 - 5.0	4.2
	5.1 - 20.0	8.7
	20.1 - 30.0	17.1
	30.1 - 75.0	25.8
	75.1 and greater	34.2
Posted Speed Limit	15 – 25 mph	0.0
	30 - 40 mph	4.3
	45 and higher	8.6
SITE VARIABLES		
Barrier Placement w/ Respect to	Tangent	0.0
Roadway Geometry	Inside of curve	2.9
	Both inside and outside of curve	8.6
	Outside of curve	8.6
Severity of Hazard behind the Barrier	Low severity	2.6
	Medium severity	5.1
	High severity	6.9
	Extreme severity	8.6
Longitudinal Length of Barrier	1 - 250-ft	0.0
	251 – 750-ft	2.9
	751 – ft and greater	5.7
Lateral Offset of Barrier from Edge of	4.1 – ft and greater	0.0
Traveled Way	2-4-ft	2.9
	less than 2-ft	5.7
Roadway Grade	Uphill/level/downgrade less than 3%	0.0
	Mild downgrade (3 – 6%)	4.3
	Steep downgrade (greater than 6%)	8.6
BARRIER VARIABLES		
Actual Barrier Height (compared to	0 – 1-in lower	0.0
test level height)	1.1 – 4-in lower	4.4
	4.1 – 7-in lower	12.9
	7.1 – 12-in lower	19.4
	12.1-in and greater lower	21.5
Dynamic Barrier Condition Rating	0-25	0.0
(based on design height)	26 – 200	4.4
	201 – 400	8.6
	401 – 600	12.9
	601 – 800	17.1
	801 and above	21.5
Barrier Conformance with Current	Yes	0.0
Crashworthiness Criteria	No	5.7
-	Maximum Total Possible Risk Score	100

REPLACEMENT/REPAIR STRATEGIES

Information is integrated by combining static data on barrier type, materials, dimensions, etc. with the condition and risk assessments, and the asset management roadway categories (which include cultural and historic resource considerations) to come up with actionable repair strategies for barriers. In addition, repair costs are accounted for so that estimates can be made for repair actions identified. Costed repair estimates, or work orders, then form the basis for estimating deferred maintenance associated with roadside barriers. Repair recommendations generated by this assessment are intended to provide an estimated cost of deferred maintenance of barriers. As such, the evaluation is not rigorous and may be changed when a more detailed review and assessment at a project level is completed. In addition, any repairs or replacements that are recommended by this inventory and assessment process must be vetted through a project selection, planning and design process, including compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

Many park barriers are located in harsh environments where freeze-thaw cycles, avalanche impacts, surface erosion, rockfall and vehicle impacts damage them; consequently, they are showing signs of fatigue, at times serious. Whenever possible, historic barriers are repaired or rehabilitated in place so that the historic significance can be preserved; however, removal or reconstruction, which is typically the least preferred alternative, is at times necessary.

Barrier deficiencies can generally be categorized into one of two categories:

- Barriers that pose an unacceptable risk to the traveling public (as determined by the risk assessment methods described in Chapter Seven and including standards found in NCHRP Report 350), or
- Damaged barriers, due to either crash impacts, other loadings (e.g., snow / avalanche, etc) or deteriorated parts (from age / weathering).

Outside of the national park system, barriers that do not meet NCHRP Report 350 crashworthiness standards are typically removed and a barrier of a crashworthy design is constructed in its place. However given the sensitive natural and cultural environments found within the national park system, deficient barriers not meeting national crashworthiness standards may warrant no action, particularly where risk is low.

The type of repair strategy is often dependent on the barrier deficiency and its cultural context. Typically barriers that do not meet current crashworthiness criteria may be replaced while damaged or deteriorated barriers can be repaired. However, under unique situations found in certain national parks and as evaluated using the risk assessment and asset management roadway categories, some barriers that do not meet current crashworthiness criteria may warrant no action being taken for their replacement or repair.

Risk assessment and asset management roadway categories are integrated in the following table, which establishes different risk thresholds within each roadway category. In essence, a higher level of risk will be tolerated in Asset Management Roadway Category A, as demonstrated by the higher risk threshold (90), while less risk will be tolerated in Roadway Category B (70) and even less risk in Roadway Category C (50).

Asset Management Roadway Categories, Risk Thresholds and Treatment Recommendations.

ASSET MANAGEMENT ROADWAY CATEGORY	RISK THRESHOLD	PROGRAM-LEVEL TREATMENT RECOMMENDATION
A	90-100	 Identify measures other than barrier replacement that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineering countermeasures). Corrective action (including reconstruct/replacement, if necessary) needed to reduce risk below 90.
	Below 90	 Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). Identify repairs needed to improve physical condition/maintain historic integrity. When condition is good and risk is acceptable, no action is necessary.
В	70-100	 Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). Corrective action (including reconstruct/replacement, if necessary) needed to reduce risk below 70.
	Below 70	1. Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). 2. Identify repairs needed to improve physical condition/maintain historic integrity. 3. When condition is good and risk is acceptable, no action is necessary.
С	50-100	 Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). Corrective action (including reconstruct/replacement, if necessary) needed to reduce risk below 50.
	Below 50	 Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). Identify repairs needed to improve physical condition/maintain historic integrity. When condition is good and risk is acceptable, no action is necessary.

Fourteen engineering countermeasures have been specifically selected for use with the GIP risk assessment tool, and are show in the next table. This is an all-inclusive list of available countermeasures for the risk assessment toll; countermeasures not on the list should not be considered.

The concept of employing countermeasures is evident with barriers that have a risk score just above the risk threshold. For such barriers, installing countermeasures should reduce the future number of crashes by a given amount, based on the countermeasure. Depending on the factored crash rate, reducing the number of crashes will lower the overall risk score. Thus, barriers that were classified as "reconstruct/replace" may be able to be reclassified as "repair".

The decision to include any of the engineering countermeasures can be done only when the risk score is over the risk threshold by three points or less. When countermeasures are employed to reduce the risk score, they must be based on engineering judgment. The GIP database will allow the user to select up to three countermeasures to reduce the risk score under the threshold, based on crash reduction factors from the FHWA publication "Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors" FHWA-SA-07-015.

Proposed Countermeasures.

COUNTERMEASURE	CRASH REDUCTION FACTOR
Speed Feedback Signs	0.46
Flashing Beacons On Warning Signs	0.30
Centerline Pavement Marking	0.30
Lighting	0.25
Chevrons	0.20
Warning Signs	0.20
Barrier Reflectors	0.16
Grooved Pavement Surface	0.15
Edgeline Pavement Marking	0.12
Shoulder Rumble Strips	0.12
Delineators on Curve and Tangent	0.05
Centerline Rumble Strips	0.04
Wider Edgeline	0.02
Wider Centerline	0.02

Maintaining Barriers As Is

Individual barrier elements and roadside conditions are interrelated. Sometimes, barrier deficiencies will be obvious and the best course of action is apparent; however, in context sensitive environments barrier deficiencies may be marginal and a decision will be based on judgment.

If risk is low (as determined by the assessment of variables such as traffic speeds, volumes), it may be acceptable for an historical or culturally significant barrier that does not meet current crashworthiness standards to remain until changes in risk factors would require an upgrading.

If the maintaining barrier as is alternative is the preferred choice through this approach, low cost mitigation measures may be considered to improve safety, such as improving roadside delineation (e.g., pavement markings / rumble strip(e)s, etc.), improving visibility (e.g., advance warning signs, increased sign size, etc.), upgrading the roadway shoulder, or improving skid resistance of the road surface. Although these measures will not reduce crash severity of an errant vehicle impact, these improvements have been tried or proven to reduce the frequency or probability of a vehicle striking the barrier.

Barrier Repair

If a barrier has been damaged due to a crash or there are parts that have deteriorated due to age or weathering but the majority of the barrier meets current crashworthiness standards and is functionally sound, repairing the system can be considered a viable option. Examples of these improvements include replacing damaged timber rail, removing a corroded, weathered steel post and replacing with new, upgraded guardrail blockouts to meet standards on high speed facilities or repointing, resetting or replacing loose or missing stones on the concrete corewalls of stone masonry guardwalls. Pursuing a repair approach should be the first consideration for Roadway Category A and B road assets.

For barriers that do not meet crashworthiness criteria but are functionally sound and have been determined good candidates to be maintained as-is based on the risk assessment and application of asset management roadway categories, repair could include measures such as repointing deteriorated masonry, re-setting or replacing loose, broken or missing stones, restoring walls to their original height (by adding a concrete footing, for example), restoring or improving drainage through or under walls or restoring wall foundations. Alterations to improve safety may also be considered, such as adding or changing end treatments or other mitigation measures as mentioned above.

For historic, stone masonry barriers that have a risk score below the threshold, it is possible that portions of the barrier need to be removed and reset in order increase the height of the barrier. The following guidelines are provided to assist in determining when this should be done and to what height the barrier should be rebuilt:

- 1. If all or a portion of stone masonry guardwall has a deficient height based upon the Severity Description Charts, that is, at worst, within the fair category, do not raise it. (Other work besides raising the barrier can be specified.)
- 2. If a portion of a stone masonry guardwall has a deficiency in height based upon the Severity Description Charts, considered "poor" (assumed typically to be less than 18-in) write a work order to raise the poor segment to the height of the adjacent barrier with a non-poor height.
- 3. If the entire stone masonry guardwall is in poor condition due to height based upon the Severity Description Charts— write a work order to raise the entire segment to its design height (assumed typically to be 24-in).

For aesthetic barrier systems used on many park roads and parkways, there is not a sufficient bid history database for estimating costs to repair or replace individual elements of the system, such as posts or rail. Usually repair of an aesthetic barrier system, such as steel-backed timber guardrail consists of removing and resetting the post or rail section or raising the guardrail to meet standard height requirements.

Barrier Replacement/Reconstruction

If the risk analysis, including the application of asset management roadway categories, indicates the barrier poses an unacceptable safety risk, the first step should be an analysis to determine if there are mitigating measures that can be applied to reduce the risk to an acceptable level without the need to reconstruct the barrier. A second step is to determine if the barrier is needed. If it is practical to eliminate the shielded hazard (by removal, relocation or redesign) removal of the barrier should be considered. However, if the shielded hazard cannot be eliminated or if it is determined inappropriate to remove the barrier (e.g., it is historically significant and/or contributes to the historical or aesthetic significance of the associated road, district or landscape), reconstruction or replacement of the barrier to meet current criteria for crashworthiness may be the appropriate recommended treatment.

The typical reconstruction option used by the NPS for stone masonry guardwalls is to document then dismantle the existing barrier, construct a concrete core and build a stone masonry veneer around the concrete core using the original wall materials and using stone masonry designs that are compatible with the historic road, district or landscape. A number of concrete core stone masonry barrier types have been designed for use in national parks, including 18-in, 22-in, 24-in and 27-in barriers; however, not all have been crash tested or otherwise determined to meet current criteria for crashworthiness.

WORK ORDERS

Work order preparation is essentially determining and documenting the repair actions needed to correct the deficiencies observed during the condition assessment. Barriers are relatively simple structures so this determination can be made by trained inspectors. Keep in mind that this is not a design environment and that more rigorous analysis (if needed) may change the work that is actually performed. The intent of this effort is to prepare a credible estimate of deferred maintenance that may or may not be directly actionable. Simple repairs and/or those that require no compliance with environmental policies (which may be a large percentage of the work orders) can probably be executed without modification.

Once a repair strategy is determined, a cost must be developed for the proposed action. Work orders will be classified as being either deferred maintenance or capital improvement. This classification is based on the type of work recommended, as defined below.

Definition: *Deferred Maintenance* can be classified as repair or replace in kind. Work done to the barrier does not include any upgrading.

Definition: *Capital Improvement* can be classified as upgrading existing barrier. Typically the upgrade will be from a non-crashworthy to a crashworthy device. Other examples of capital improvements would be the addition of a curb to improve drainage or the inclusion of any countermeasure.

There are four types of work:

- No Action
- Monitor
- Repair
- Replace

"No Action" – if risk is low (based on the GIP risk score), a barrier that does not meet current crashworthy performance standards may be acceptable to remain until changes in risk factors would require upgrading.

"Monitor" – if risk is low (based on the GIP risk score), a barrier that does not meet current crashworthy performance standards may be acceptable to remain until changes in risk factors would require upgrading, however, if conditions exist that the park should monitor (e.g., erosion), then "monitor" can be selected as a recommended action.

"Repair" – considered when a barrier damaged by impact deteriorated due to age/weathering and the barrier is functionally sound in a low risk environment. The goal is to bring the barrier back to its "new" condition.

"Replacement/Reconstruction" - when a barrier poses an unacceptable safety risk:

- 1. If the risk score is less than 3 points above the risk threshold, determine if countermeasures can reduce risk so the barrier can be repaired.
- Determine if the barrier is warranted and either shielded hazard or barrier itself can be removed (only when barrier NOT considered historically/culturally significant)

For all barrier repair/replace/reconstruction recommendations, the NPS will vet the recommendations through a project selection, planning and design process, including compliance with:

National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

Aesthetic barriers are commensurate with an approved crashworthy design for the specific conditions at the barrier site as the basis for selecting a crashworthy structure. Types of barriers are generally selected based on emulating the existing types of barriers in the park.