GOGA GIP Report

NPS Guardwall/Rail Inventory Program Golden Gate National Recreation Area





Prepared By:

Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division Road Inventory Program (RIP)

Data Collection Date: November 2009 Report Date: November 2015





Table of Contents

SEC	TION	PAGE NO
1.	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.	PARK BARRIER LOCATION MAPS	
	Retaining Barrier Location Maps	2 - 1
3.	TIER 1 - PARK BARRIER OVERVIEW	3-1
4.	TIER 2 - ROUTE BARRIER OVERVIEW	4 - 1
5.	TIER 3 - BARRIER DETAILS	5 - 1
6.	APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF GIP DEFINITIONS	A - 1

Introduction



Golden Gate National Recreation Area



Introduction

In support of the NPS Facility Management Software System (FMSS) asset management program, FHWA- contracted staff completed the Guardwall/Rail Inventory Program (GIP) inspections within selected National Park Service (NPS) units between 2010 and 2011. This inventory provides static information to FMSS regarding barrier characteristics such as height, length and location, as well as dynamic information about the condition of the barrier. In addition, when barrier deficiencies were identified, repair recommendations and estimated costs, suitable for use as FMSS work orders, were generated to bring the barrier back to its "new" condition.

In over 30 parks, numerous crashworthy barriers inspected maybe in poor condition by simply applying a new overlay of asphalt without milling previous layers. In instances such as this, basically the critical element of barrier height decreased as the elevation of the roadway increased. Resulting work orders were drafted to raise w-beam barriers or to remove and reset stone masonry barriers to their original design height.

This inventory provides static information and a condition assessment of each barrier inventoried. In addition, when barrier deficiencies were identified, repair recommendations and estimated costs were drafted to bring the barrier back to its "new" condition.

Drafted work orders have been classified as being either deferred maintenance or capital improvement. This classification is based on the type of work recommended, as defined below.

- *Deferred Maintenance* can be classified as repair or replace in kind. Work done to the barrier does not include any upgrading.
- *Capital Improvement* can be classified as upgrading part of or the entire existing barrier. Typically the upgrade will be from a non-crashworthy to a crashworthy device. Other examples of capital improvements would be the addition of a curb to improve drainage.

Care was taken to maintain the cultural significance of historic barriers located in the NPS. While historic traffic barriers likely would not withstand current crashworthiness performance criteria, they are considered by the NPS to be important resources for the historic and/or cultural value. Historic barriers may be "character defining features" that contribute to the cultural significance of historic roadways. As such, these barriers have resource value in and of themselves which may be somewhat independent from their functionality as barriers as previously defined. The consideration of both the crashworthiness and resource value of historic barriers was a significant challenge for the NPS and the FHWA when designing the GIP, to the point that for historic stone masonry barriers, the barrier height had to be more than 6-in below its design height before any work would be considered to deal with height issues. To preserve historic stone masonry barriers, typical drafted work orders for historic barriers were to remove and reset the barrier to the barrier's original design height on a concrete footer, as compared to replacing it with a similar crashworthy barrier.

This report is organized in a tiered approach from the broad park overview perspective (Tier 1) to a route overview perspective (Tier 2), then down to the details of each barrier (Tier 3). Tier 1 presents park barrier location maps and an overall park-specific summary narrative of the results of the guardwall/rail inventory program. Tier 2 presents route overview maps with associated barrier summary information. Tier 3 presents individual barrier information in a one-page detailed format, including a photograph of each barrier. Appendix A provides a condensed summary of guardwall/rail inventory definitions and assessment categories to assist in reading this report.


Park Barrier Location Maps



Golden Gate National Recreation Area



BARRIER LOCATION MAP Key Map




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Miles				
0	2.5	5		



BARRIER LOCATION MAP Map 1



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community







BARRIER LOCATION MAP Map 2



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier Locations

	Miles	
0	0.25	0.5




BARRIER LOCATION MAP Map 3




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier Locations






BARRIER LOCATION MAP Map 4




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier Locations





BARRIER LOCATION MAP Map 5



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier Locations





Tier 1 Park Barrier Overview



Golden Gate National Recreation Area



Parkwide Summary: Golden Gate National Recreation Area

Initial barrier inspections were conducted at Golden Gate National Recreation Area in 2009, and encompassed all known barriers associated with Park roadways. In general, walls are not included in this assessment, but were inspected for Golden Gate National Recreation Area in 2007 under a separate effort as part of the Retaining Wall Inventory Program (WIP). A report for WIP is available under separate cover.

All paved roadways and parking areas listed in the RIP Route Identification Report were inspected for barriers.

The following tables provide an overview of the findings of this inspection and assessment effort. In all, 36 barriers were inventoried on the routes listed below.

Table 1: Number of Barriers by Route

Route Number	Route Name	No. of Barriers
0104	MITCHELL ROAD	1
0105	BUNKER ROAD	9
0107	MCCULLOUGH ROAD	1
0109ZZ	CONZELMAN ROAD	14
0205ZZ	STINSON BEACH ACCESS ROADS	2
0405	MCDOWELL AVENUE	2
0406	SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD	7

Due to the different GIP assessment criteria of barriers based on their intended use, barriers were classified as being either traffic barriers or non-traffic barriers.

- *Traffic* barriers are physical devices intended to keep vehicles or people from straying into dangerous or off-limits areas. For the purpose of this inventory, a traffic barrier is categorized as roadside hardware placed longitudinally, excluding pedestrian railing and fencing.
- Non-traffic barriers provide a physical delineation between public access areas and restricted or protected areas in locations such as a parking lot, viewpoint or turnout. Non-traffic barriers which inhibit access of vehicles are included in this report; non-traffic barriers which only inhibit access of pedestrians or bicyclists are not included. For the purpose of this inventory, non-traffic barriers are guidewalls and guiderails. Note: rocks, stones, boulders, fences or curbs were excluded from this inventory.

There are instances in parks where a single barrier can switch between being classified as a traffic barrier and a non-traffic barrier. Such instances typically occur at pullouts, where a traffic barrier along the road will continue through the pullout without interruption. In such instances, the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier were assessed using different criteria. Due to the different criteria, the GIP database was designed to record the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier as multiple distinct barriers, even though to the eye, they appear as one barrier. Other instances where a single barrier is split into multiple barriers would be when the barrier is placed continuously along two legs of an intersection, so that one portion of the barrier may be on one road and the remaining portion of the barrier is on a different road.

Table 2: Number of Barriers by Function

Barrier Function	No. of Barriers
TRAFFIC	36

The following table shows the barrier types that were inventoried and assessed.

Table 3: Number of Barriers by Type

Primary Barrier Type	No. of Barriers
Concrete Barrier	1
Other: Non-Standard Steel Barrier	5
W-Beam Strong Post	19
W-Beam Weak Post	10
Stone Masonry Without Concrete Core Wall	1

The following table shows the number of barriers by one of four categories of recommended action along with associated work order costs and the number of barriers that are in each recommended action. All work order information is presented for individual barriers, even though some work orders were not accepted by the Park. Some work orders were later combined to simplify route deferred maintenance requests.

Table 4: Number of Barriers by Recommended Action and Associated 2008 Cost

Recommended Action	Repair Costs*	No. of Barriers
No Action	\$0	6
Monitor	\$0	0
Repair	\$337,025	24
Replace	\$457,315	6
Totals	\$794,340	36

^{*2008} cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.

The following table categorizes the number of barriers that fall into one of ten cost ranges, based on the prepared work orders. The locations, work descriptions, and cost of the recommended repairs for these barriers are listed by individual barrier in Tier 3 of this report.

Table 5: Number of Barriers Grouped by Associated 2008 Cost

Cost Range*	No. of Barriers
\$0	6
\$1 - \$25,000	21
\$25,001 - \$50,000	5
\$50,001 - \$100,000	2
\$100,001 - \$250,000	2
\$250,001 - \$500,000	0
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	0
\$1,000,001 - \$2,000,000	0
\$2,000,001 - \$3,000,000	0
\$3,000,001 - \$4,000,000	0
Total Number of Barriers	36

^{*2008} cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.

Data for end terminals was collected on the GIP data collection form and indicates if an end terminal meets current crashworthiness standards. End terminals are specially designed barrier ends that attenuate impacts to the ends of barriers. This is supplemental information that WASO designed into the inventory program.

A total of 9 end terminals were found on barriers at the Park. There are generally a greater number of end treatments than actual barriers because end treatments are located at both the beginning and end of each barrier.

Tier 2 Route Barrier Overview



Golden Gate National Recreation Area



ROUTE 0104: MITCHELL ROAD



Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier En	d Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Type	Begin	End	Cost
GOGA-0104-0.000-L	303	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$2,580.00
11/4/2009					
	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for co	Domparison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0105: BUNKER ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End Treatment		*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Type	Begin	End	Cost
GOGA-0105-0.172-R	1,247	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$86,020.00
11/3/2009					
GOGA-0105-0.438-R	655	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BURIED END	W-BEAM TRAILING END	\$12,073.00
11/3/2009			END	END	
GOGA-0105-2.845-R	140	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$3,163.00
11/4/2009					
GOGA-0105-2.871-R	860	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$15,180.00
11/4/2009					
GOGA-0105-3.219-L	318	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
11/4/2009					
*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.					

ROUTE 0105: BUNKER ROAD




Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End Treatment		*Repair		
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost		
GOGA-0105-3.240-R	206	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	NONE	\$0.00		
11/4/2009							
GOGA-0105-3.287-L	118	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	NONE	\$0.00		
11/4/2009							
GOGA-0105-3.287-R	118	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BCT	\$0.00		
11/4/2009							
GOGA-0105-3.420-L	877	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$11,616.00		
11/4/2009							
	*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.						

ROUTE 0107: MCCULLOUGH ROAD




Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier En	d Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Type	Begin	End	Cost
GOGA-0107-0.612-R 11/4/2009	396	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	NONE	\$25,289.00
	*2008 cost estimate (A.	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	ests only.	,

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	l Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GOGA-0109ZZ-0.010-L	350	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	NONE	\$7,359.00
11/3/2009					
GOGA-0109ZZ-0.273-L	595	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	NONE	\$10,588.00
11/3/2009					
GOGA-0109ZZ-0.417-L	2436	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	NONE	\$43,021.00
11/3/2009					
GOGA-0109ZZ-0.908-L	333	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BURIED END	NONE	\$7,315.00
11/4/2009			2.12		
GOGA-0109ZZ-0.995-L	299	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BURIED END	W-BEAM BURIED END	\$5,874.00
11/4/2009			2.12	2.12	
3	*2008 cost estimate (A	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier En	d Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GOGA-0109ZZ-1.100-L 11/4/2009	554	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BURIED END	\$11,061.00
5	*2008 cost estimate (A.	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	'


ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GOGA-0109ZZ-0.074-R 11/4/2009	1,871	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	NONE	\$28,518.00
GOGA-0109ZZ-0.454-L 11/4/2009	4690	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	NONE	\$26,566.00
GOGA-0109ZZ-1.601-L 11/4/2009	92	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
GOGA-0109ZZ-1.735-L 11/4/2009	102	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	NONE	\$2,745.00
	*2008 cost estimate (As	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair cos	ts only.	

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	l Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GOGA-0109ZZ-0.035-L	153	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	NONE	\$4,956.00
11/3/2009					
GOGA-0109ZZ-0.191-L	133	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	NONE	\$4,087.00
11/3/2009					
;	*2008 cost estimate (A)	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair cos	sts only.	

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier En	d Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GOGA-0109ZZ-0.153-R	445	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$5,418.00
11/3/2009					
GOGA-0109ZZ-0.420-R	205	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	NONE	\$8,498.00
11/3/2009					
	*2008 cost estimate (A	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0205ZZ: STINSON BEACH ACCESS ROADS



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier En	d Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GOGA-0205ZZ-0.028-R	35	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
11/3/2009					
GOGA-0205ZZ-0.033-L	38	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$3,272.00
11/3/2009					
	*2008 (A6	CTM Class D) and liminate for a			
	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0405: MCDOWELL AVENUE

	Barrier location is unknown.
Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USC	5S, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo,

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier En	d Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GOGA-0405-0.019-R 11/2/2009	197	STONE MASONRY WITHOUT CONCRETE CORE WALL	NONE	NONE	\$3,163.00
GOGA-0405-0.060-R	483	CONCRETE BARRIER	NONE	NONE	\$4,642.00
11/2/2009					
,	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	ests only.	


ROUTE 0406: SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID			l Treatment	*Repair	
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Type	Begin	End	Cost
GOGA-0406-0.232-R 11/2/2009	102	OTHER: NON- STANDARD STEEL BARRIER	NONE	NONE	\$16,126.00
GOGA-0406-0.234-L 11/2/2009	2940	OTHER: NON- STANDARD STEEL BARRIER	NONE	NONE	\$188,221.00
GOGA-0406-0.791-L 11/2/2009	134	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$9,944.00
GOGA-0406-0.824-L 11/2/2009	1169	OTHER: NON- STANDARD STEEL BARRIER	NONE	NONE	\$80,009.00
GOGA-0406-1.216-L 11/3/2009	608	OTHER: NON- STANDARD STEEL BARRIER	NONE	NONE	\$42,526.00
s	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for co	mparison to other repair cos	sts only.	

ROUTE 0406: SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD



Barrier ID			d Treatment	*Repair	
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GOGA-0406-1.331-L	98	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$4,021.00
11/3/2009					
GOGA-0406-1.350-L	1917	OTHER: NON-	NONE	NONE	\$120,489.00
11/3/2009		STANDARD STEEL BARRIER			
	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	

Tier 3 Barrier Details



Golden Gate National Recreation Area



Ba	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	04-0.000-L					
Rou	ıte Name:	MITCHEI	MITCHELL ROAD					
Inspec	Inspection Date: 04/11/2009		9	Barr	ier Rating:	18.00		
Barrier Descripti	ion							
	Type:	W-BEAM	WEAK POST	Barrier	Function:	TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Pos	t Material:	WOOD		
	Blockout Type:	N/A		L	ength (ft.):	303		
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25			ement with	TANGENT	,	
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM						
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness							
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-2		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A				
Average Measure	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	150.0	
Height (In.):	26.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	30.2		rade (%):	9.40	
Physical Condition	on							
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. 216 3-in below the design heigh		27-in design hei	ght and 87-ft v	was between 1 and	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No tears / no major cracks.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.					
		osion and eathering:	Minor weathering. Some r	rusting occurring along the	rail.			
	Align	ment and Height:						
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:						
		osion and eathering:						

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	OGA-0104-0.000-L				
Rou	ite Name:	MITCHEI	IITCHELL ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/200	9	Barri	er Rating: 18.00		
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	REPAIR		FMSS Work Type:	DEFERRED MAINTENANCE	Repair Cost:		
Brief Workorder:	Raise 87 L.F	. of W-Beam t	o 27-in design height.				
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 87 LF = \$870. Raise 87-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.							
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair costs only.		

ROUTE 0104: MITCHELL ROAD

Barrier Condition Photos



GOGA_0104_0.000_L_1.jpg

Barrier ID:		GOGA-0105-0.172-R							
Route Name:		BUNKER ROAD							
Inspection Date:		03/11/2009		Barrier Rating:		73.00			
Barrier Description									
Туре:		W-BEAM WEAK POST		Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier Material:		GALVANIZED STEEL		Post Material:		WOOD			
Blockout Type:		N/A		Length (ft.):		1247			
Speed Limit (MPH):		25		Placement with Respect to Road:		BOTH INSIDE AND OUTSIDE			
Hazard Behind Barrier:		MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-2		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	150.0		
Height (In.): 19.7		Lateral Offset (In.):			rade (%):	6.70			
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	Height: Alignment has deflection greater than 12 in in several locations by impact. Entire barrier is between 6-9in below the 27-in design height.							
Barrier		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing Elements:		No missing elements (all bolts and posts intact).						
		osion and eathering:	Minimal corrosion and weathering. No erosion along back of barrier.						
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

Barrier ID:		GOGA-0105-0.172-R									
Route Name:		BUNKER ROAD									
Inspection Date:		03/11/2009		Barrier Rating:		73.00					
Repair Recommendations											
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$86020				
Brief Workorder:	Raise 1247 L.F. of W-Beam to 27-in design height; replace 4 damaged posts and replace 264 L.F. of rail.										
Workorder:	Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 1247 LF = \$62350. Raise 1247-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Replace post at \$100- per -Each for 4 Post(s) = \$400. Replace rail at \$25- per -Lin. Ft. for 264 LF = \$6600. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 6 Day(s) = \$8850. 5 days to raise rail 1 day to replace rail.										
2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.											

ROUTE 0105: BUNKER ROAD



GOGA_0105_0.172_R_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	OGA-0105-0.438-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	BUNKER	ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009	9	Barrio	er Rating:	40.00			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier Material: GALVAN		GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Post	Material:	WOOD			
Blockout WOOD Type:			Le	ngth (ft.):	655				
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25			ment with to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	HIGH							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:		BURIED	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM TRAILING								
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.1		
Height (In.):	25.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	10.1		rade (%):	6.10		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment has less than 6 i height.	n of deflection. 655-ft was	between 1 and	3-in below th	e 27-in design		
		aking and	Minor cracking of posts an	d blocks no tears or major b	ends				
Barrier	· •	Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		rosion and eathering:	No major weathering.						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ght within 1-in of 27-in des	ign height.				
End Treatments		Breaking and Cracking: No breaking or cracking observed.							
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements obser	ved.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	GOGA-0105-0.438-R							
Rou	ite Name:	BUNKER ROAD								
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/200	9	Barri	Barrier Rating: 40.00					
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE	Repair Cost:	\$12073				
Brief Workorder:	Raise 655 L.	F. of W-Bean	n to 27-in design height.							
Workorder:	Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 655 LF = \$6550. Raise 655-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 3 Day(s) = \$4425.									
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair costs only.					

ROUTE 0105: BUNKER ROAD



GOGA_0105_0.438_R_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	OGA-0105-2.845-R						
Rou	ite Name:	BUNKER	ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9	Barrie	er Rating:	18.50			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM	WEAK POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Post	Material:	WOOD			
Blockout Type: N/A		N/A		Le	ngth (ft.):	140			
Speed Limit (MPH): 25		25			ment with to Road:	TANGENT			
Hazard Behind Barrier: LOW									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-2		s Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	eing (In.):	150.0		
Height (In.):	24.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	123.3		rade (%):	0.30		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. 140	0-ft was between 1 and 3-in b	pelow the 27-in	n design heigh	nt.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No tears no major cracks						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
	1	osion and eathering:	Minor weathering						
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	rier ID: GOGA-0105-2.845-R								
Rou	te Name: BUNKER ROAD									
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/200	9	Barrie	er Rating:	18.50				
Repair Recomme	endations	3								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$3163			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 140 L.	F. of W-Beam	to 27-in design height.							
Workorder:	Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 140 LF = \$1400. Raise 140-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.									
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0105: BUNKER ROAD



GOGA_0105_2.845_R_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	OGA-0105-2.871-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	BUNKER	ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9	Barri	er Rating:	37.00			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM	WEAK POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Post	Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Le	ength (ft.):	860			
Speed Limit (MPH): 25		25			ment with t to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	LOW							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-2	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	150.1		
Height (In.):	24.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	98.4		rade (%):	0.40		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:		ft was within 1-in of the 27-than 3-in below the design h		ht 715-ft was	between 1 and 3-in		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No tears no major cracks						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	GOGA-0105-2.871-R							
Rou	ite Name:	BUNKER ROAD								
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/200	9	Barrier Rating: 37.00						
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$15180			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 790-ft	of barrier up to	o 27-in design height.							
Workorder:	Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 790 LF = \$7900. Raise 790-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 4 Day(s) = \$5900.									
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	ests only.				

ROUTE 0105: BUNKER ROAD



GOGA_0105_2.871_R_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	OGA-0105-3.219-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	BUNKER	ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9	Barrio	er Rating:	18.00			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
·	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Post	Material:	WOOD			
Blockout WOOD Type:		WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	318			
Speed Limit (MPH): 25		25			ment with to Road:	TANGENT	,		
Hazard Behind Barrier: MEDIUM									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	BRIDGE RAIL W-BEAM		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	74.5		
Height (In.):	29.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	38.0		rade (%):	1.10		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight was 2-3in. above the 27	-in design heig	ght.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No major cracks/ no tears						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010)5-3.219-L				
Rou	ite Name:	BUNKER	ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9	Ba	rrier Rating:	18.00	
Repair Recomme	endations	;					
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$ \$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison t	o other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0105: BUNKER ROAD



GOGA_0105_3.219_L_1.jpg

Ba	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	OGA-0105-3.240-R						
Rou	ite Name:	BUNKER	ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9	Barri	er Rating:	15.50			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Post	Material:	WOOD			
Blockout WOOD Type:			Le	ength (ft.):	206				
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25			ment with to Road:	INSIDE OF	CURVE		
Hazard Behind	Barrier: LOW								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	Frtmt NO Approach BRII			BRIDGE RAIL W-BEAM		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.3		
Height (In.):	32.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	31.2		rade (%):	0.50		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight was 0-5in. above the 27	-in design heig	ght.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No major cracks or tears						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in des	ign height.				
End Treatments	1	Breaking and Cracking: No major cracks or tears.							
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	GOGA-0105-3.240-R							
Rou	ıte Name:	BUNKER	ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009)		Barrier Rating:	15.50				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0			
Brief Workorder:	N/A									
Workorder:										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparis	on to other repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0105: BUNKER ROAD



GOGA_0105_3.240_R_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	OGA-0105-3.287-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	BUNKER	ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9	Barr	ier Rating:	10.80			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
·	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Pos	t Material:	WOOD			
Blockout WOOD Type:		WOOD		L	ength (ft.):	118			
Speed Limit (MPH): 25		25			ement with ct to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	Beg. End Trtmt N/A Approach					
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	76.0		
Height (In.):	26.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	37.2		rade (%):	0.50		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in de	sign height.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No cracks or tears						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in de	sign height.				
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking: No major cracks or tears.								
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	GOGA-0105-3.287-L							
Rou	ute Name:	BUNKER	ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9		Barrier Rating:	10.80				
Repair Recommo	endations	\$								
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	DN	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0			
Brief Workorder:	N/A									
Workorder:										
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for compa	arison to other repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0105: BUNKER ROAD



GOGA_0105_3.287_L_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	OGA-0105-3.287-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	BUNKER	ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9	Barri	ier Rating:	16.60			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Post	t Material:	WOOD			
Blockout Type: WOOD				L	ength (ft.):	118			
Speed Limit (MPH): 25		25			ement with	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE		
Hazard Behind Barrier: MEDIUM									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	Trtmt N/A Approach BRII			BRIDGE RAIL W-BEAM		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.5		
Height (In.):	28.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	35.5		rade (%):	1.20		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight was 0-3in above the 27	-in design heig	ht.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No major cracks no tears						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in de	sign height.				
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	No major cracks or tears.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010)5-3.287-R				
Rou	ıte Name:	BUNKER	ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009)		Barrier Rating:	16.60	
Repair Recomme	endations	;					
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparis	on to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0105: BUNKER ROAD



GOGA_0105_3.287_R_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	OGA-0105-3.420-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	BUNKER	ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9	Barri	er Rating:	46.70			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
·	Type:	W-BEAM V	WEAK POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Post	Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Tvpe:			Le	ength (ft.):	877			
Speed Limit (MPH): 35				ment with to Road:	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE			
Hazard Behind Barrier: MEDIUM									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-2	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	150.1		
Height (In.):	25.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	26.7		rade (%):	3.20		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. 600	0-ft was between 1 and 3-in	below the 27-in	n design heigh	it.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No major cracks no tears						
	Missing 1	Elements:	Missing 6 bolts and 1 bloc	k.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	ier ID: GOGA-0105-3.420-L								
Rou	ite Name:	BUNKER	UNKER ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/200	9	Barrie	r Rating:	46.70				
Repair Recomme	endations	3								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$11616			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 600 L.	F. of W-Beam	to 27-in design height and i	replace one block check bolts	s straighten bl	ocks.				
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 600 LF = \$6000. Raise 600-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Replace block at \$30- per -Each for 1 Block(s) = \$30. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 2 Hour(s) = \$120. Check bolts and straighten blocks. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 3 Day(s) = \$4425.										
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	ner repair co	osts only.				

ROUTE 0105: BUNKER ROAD



GOGA_0105_3.420_L_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	OGA-0107-0.612-R							
Rou	ıte Name:	MCCULL	ACCULLOUGH ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9	Bar	rier Rating:	38.20				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
·	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Po	st Material:	WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Length (ft.):		396				
Speed Limit (MPH): 25				cement with ect to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE				
Hazard Behind Barrier: MEDIUM										
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier nworthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approachtion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt NONE			N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.0			
Height (In.):	25.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	97.3		rade (%):	8.20			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. 396-ft was between 1 and 3-in below the 27-in design height.							
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking ob	oserved.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements found	l.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed. Vegetation gr	owing in front of	`barrier.				
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments	d Treatments Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	07-0.612-R					
Rou	ite Name:	MCCULL	OUGH ROAD					
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/200	9	Barrie	r Rating:	38.20		
Repair Recomme	endations							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$25289	
Brief Workorder:	Raise 396 L.	F. of W-Bean	n up to the 27-in design heig	ht.				
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 396 LF = \$19800. Raise 396-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 4 Hrs = \$240. Remove vegetation in front of barrier. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$2950.								
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	er repair co	sts only.		

Route 0107: MCCULLOUGH ROAD

Barrier Condition Photos

Condition photos are not available for GOGA-0107-0.612-R

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	OGA-0109ZZ-0.010-L							
Rou	ıte Name:	CONZELI	ONZELMAN ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009	9	Bar	rier Rating:	59.00				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Po	st Material:	WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Length (ft.):		350				
Speed Limit (MPH): 25				cement with ect to Road:	INSIDE OF	CURVE				
Hazard Behind Barrier: MEDIUM										
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier nworthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt NONE			N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.0			
Height (In.):	19.3		Lateral Offset (In.):	95.1		rade (%):	9.30			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. Enti	ire barrier is between 5-9	in below the 27-in	n design heigh	t.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking observed.							
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.							
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed. Vegetation gr	owing in front of	barrier.				
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	09ZZ-0.010-L							
Rou	ite Name:	CONZELI	ONZELMAN ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/200	9	Barri	er Rating:	59.00				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$7359			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 350 L.	F. of barrier to	27-in design height; remov	e vegetation from front of ba	arrier.					
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 350 LF = \$3500. Raise 350ft. of barrier up to 27-in design height. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 4 Hrs = \$240. Remove vegetation from front of barrier. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$2950.										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



 $GOGA_0109ZZ_0.010_L_1.jpg$

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	OGA-0109ZZ-0.035-L							
Rou	ıte Name:	CONZELI	ONZELMAN ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009	9	Barrio	er Rating:	51.20				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Post	Material:	WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	153				
Speed Limit (MPH): 25				ment with to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE				
Hazard Behind Barrier: MEDIUM										
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt NONE			N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.3			
Height (In.):	20.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	126.0		rade (%):	10.80			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. Enti	re barrier is between 6-7in b	pelow the 27-in	n design heigh	ıt.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.							
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.						
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments	d Treatments Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	09ZZ-0.035-L							
Rou	ite Name:	CONZELI	ONZELMAN ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/200	9	Barrie	r Rating:	51.20				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$4956			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 153ft.	of barrier up to	o 27-in design height and re	place 60 L.F. of rail.						
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 153 LF = \$1530. Raise 153ft. of barrier up to 27-in design height. Replace rail at \$25- per -Lin. Ft. for 60 LF = \$1500. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	ner repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



GOGA_0109ZZ_0.035_L_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	OGA-0109ZZ-0.074-R							
Rou	ıte Name:	CONZELI	ONZELMAN ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9	Barri	er Rating:	71.40				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Post	Material:	WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	1871				
Speed Limit (MPH): 25				ment with to Road:	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE				
Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH										
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	74.8			
Height (In.):	21.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	101.0		rade (%):	7.60			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:		-ft was within 1-in of the 27 more than 3-in below the de	_	ght 970-ft was	between 1 and			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	3 rails were slightly bent.							
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.							
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.						
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	99ZZ-0.074-R							
Rou	ite Name:	CONZELI	ONZELMAN ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/200	9	Barrio	er Rating:	71.40				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$28518			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 1470 I	.F. of W-bean	n to 27-in design height; rep	lace 36 feet of rail.						
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 1470 LF = \$14700. Raise 1470-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Replace rail at \$25- per -Lin. Ft. for 36 LF = \$900. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 7 Day(s) = \$10325.										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.				

Route 0107: MCCULLOUGH ROAD

Barrier Condition Photos

Condition photos are not available for GOGA-0109ZZ-0.074-R

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	99ZZ-0.153-R				
Rou	ıte Name:	CONZEL	MAN ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009	9	Bar	rier Rating:	27.20	
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:	W-BEAM V	WEAK POST	Barri	er Function:	TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Po	ost Material:	WOOD	
Blockout Type: N/A		N/A			Length (ft.):	445	
Speed Limit (MPH): 15		15			cement with ect to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE
Hazard Behind Barrier: LOW		LOW					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-2		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt NONE			N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	149.3
Height (In.):	33.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	40.0		rade (%):	7.70
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight was 5-7in. above the	27-in design heig	ght.	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	7 rails were bent. 1 cracked post (1in wide and goes entire vertical length of post)				
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.				
		osion and eathering:	Some moss growing on pos	sts.			
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:					
	Missing 1	Elements:					
	1	osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	09ZZ-0.153-R							
Rou	ite Name:	CONZELI	ONZELMAN ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/200	9	Barrie	r Rating:	27.20				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$5418			
Brief Workorder:	Lower 125ft.	of barrier dov	vn to 27-in design height and	d replace 7 bent rails and 1 cr	racked post.					
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 125 LF = \$1250. Lower 125ft. of barrier down to 27-in design height. Replace rail at \$25- per -Lin. Ft. for 84 LF = \$2100. Replace post at \$100- per -Each for 1 Post(s) = \$100. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.										
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.									

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



GOGA_0109ZZ_0.153_R_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	OGA-0109ZZ-0.191-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	CONZELI	ONZELMAN ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009	9]	Barrier Rating:	34.50			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
·	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL		Post Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	133			
Speed Limit (MPH): 25				Placement with espect to Road:	TANGENT				
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	LOW							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		s Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt NONE Type:			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	eing (In.):	75.0		
Height (In.):	23.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	42.0		rade (%):	11.40		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. 63- more than 3-in below the d		d 3-in below the 27-in	design height	and 70-ft was		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	7 cracked posts 1 cracked blockout.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed. Vegetation	on growing in front of	barrier.			
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	9 ZZ-0. 191-L					П	
Rou	ıte Name:	CONZELI	ONZELMAN ROAD						
	. D.	02/11/200	2	ъ .	D /	24.50		\dashv	
Inspection Date: 03/11/2009			9	Barrie	er Rating:	34.50		_	
Repair Recomme	endations								
Repair	REPAIR		FMSS	DEFERRED		Repair	\$408	37	
Action:			Work Type:	MAINTENANCE		Cost:			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 133L.I	F. of guardrail	up to 27-in design height. R	eplace 7 posts 1 block and re	emove vegetat	ion from in fro	ont of barrier.		
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 133 LF = \$1330. Raise 133ft. of barrier up to 27-in design height. Replace post at \$100- per -Each for 7 Post(s) = \$700. Replace block at \$30- per -Each for 1 Block(s) = \$30. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 3 Hrs = \$180. Remove vegetation in front of barrier. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.								_	
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	ner repair co	sts only.			

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



GOGA_0109ZZ_0.191_L_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	GOGA-0109ZZ-0.273-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	CONZELI	MAN ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009	9	Bar	rier Rating:	46.00			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Po	ost Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	595			
Speed Lim	Speed Limit (MPH): 25				cement with ect to Road:	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE		
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.8		
Height (In.):	25.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	41.7		rade (%):	3.60		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. 75-3-in below the design heigh		27-in design heig	ht and 520-ft v	was between 1 and		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	09ZZ-0.273-L				
Rot	ıte Name:	CONZELI	MAN ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/200	9	Barri	er Rating:	46.00	
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$10588
Brief Workorder:	Raise 520 L	F. of W-Bear	n to 27-in design height.				
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 520 LF = \$5200. Raise 520-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 3 Day(s) = \$4425.							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



GOGA_0109ZZ_0.273_L_1.jpg

Ba	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	99ZZ-0.417-L				
Rou	ite Name:	CONZEL	MAN ROAD				
Inspect	tion Date:	03/11/2009	9		Barrier Rating:	54.40	
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:	W-BEAM S	W-BEAM STRONG POST		arrier Function:	TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL		Post Material:	WOOD	
Blockout Type:		WOOD			Length (ft.):	2436	
Speed Limi	Speed Limit (MPH): 25			F	Placement with Respect to Road:	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	HIGH					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		s Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt NONE			N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.1
Height (In.):	24.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	112.1		rade (%):	3.30
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. 100 and 3-in below the design l		of the 27-in design heig	ght and 2336-	ft was between 1
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	oserved.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.				
	1	osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.			
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments	reatments Breaking and Cracking:						
	Missing 1	Elements:					
	1	osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	09 ZZ-0. 417-L				
Rou	ite Name:	CONZELI	MAN ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/200	9	Barrie	er Rating:	54.40	
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$43021
Brief Workorder:	Raise 2436 I	.F. of W-Bear	n to 27-in design height.				
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 2436 LF = \$24360. Raise 2436-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 10 Day(s) = \$14750.							
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



GOGA_0109ZZ_0.417_L_1.jpg

B	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	99ZZ-0.420-R				
Rou	ite Name:	CONZEL	MAN ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009	9	Barr	ier Rating:	41.50	
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Barrier Material: GALVAN		GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Pos	t Material:	WOOD	
Blockout Type:		WOOD		I	Length (ft.):	205	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	15			ement with ct to Road:	INSIDE OF	CURVE
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	LOW					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt NONE			N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	149.3
Height (In.):	21.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	46.0		rade (%):	9.50
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Entire barrier is between 5-	-6in. below the 27-in desig	n height.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements. Seve	ral blocks are tilted.			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.			
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking:						
	Missing 1	Elements:					
	1	osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	09ZZ-0.420-R				
Rou	ite Name:	CONZELI	MAN ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/200	9	Barrio	er Rating:	41.50	
Repair Recomme	endations	;					
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$8498
Brief Workorder:	Raise 205-ft	of barrier up to	o 27-in design height.				
Workorder:	Replace rail Remove aspl Low Speed T Base Course	at \$25- per -Li nalt at \$10- per Traffic Control at \$75- per -C	er - Lin. Ft. for 205 LF = \$20 n. Ft. for 96 LF = \$2400. r - Sq. Yd. for 1 = \$10. at \$1475- per -Day for 2 Day u. Yd. for 1 CY = \$75. r 4 Hrs = \$240. Labor to right		p to 27-in desi	gn height.	
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



GOGA_0109ZZ_0.420_R_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	99ZZ-0.454-L				
Rou	ite Name:	CONZELI	MAN ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9		Barrier Rating:	54.50	
Barrier Descripti	on						
	Type:	W-BEAM S	W-BEAM STRONG POST		arrier Function:	TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL		Post Material:	WOOD	
Blockout WOOI		WOOD			Length (ft.):	4690	
		15		F	Placement with Respect to Road:	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: EXTR		,				
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A	I	Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt NONE			N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	74.8
Height (In.):	26.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	36.7		rade (%):	10.50
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. 319 and 3-in below the design l		n of the 27-in design he	eight and 1500	-ft was between 1
Barrier		aking and Cracking:					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.				
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	9 .			
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments	End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:						
	Missing 1	Elements:					
		osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	09ZZ-0.454-L				
Rou	ite Name:	CONZELI	MAN ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/200	9	Barrie	r Rating:	54.50	
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$26566
Brief Workorder:	Raise 1500-f barrier.	t of barrier up	to 27-in design height. Rep	lace 12 feet of rail. Remove a	and cut vegeta	ation from front and	d back of
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 1500 LF = \$15000. Raise 1500-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Replace rail at \$25- per -Lin. Ft. for 12 LF = \$300. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 6 Day(s) = \$8850.							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	er repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



GOGA_0109ZZ_0.454_L_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	GOGA-0109ZZ-0.908-L							
Rou	ıte Name:	CONZELI	CONZELMAN ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9	Ba	rrier Rating:	41.20				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC					
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	P	ost Material:	WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	333				
Speed Limit (MPH): 25					acement with sect to Road:	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE			
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	I	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:		BURIED	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.0			
Height (In.):	25.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	56.2	Road G	rade (%):	3.90			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. Enti	ire barrier is between 1-4	4in below the 27-in	n design heigh	t.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	3 cracked blocks and 1 cracked	cked post each crack wa	s approx. half an ii	n wide.				
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements. Vege	etation growing in front	of barrier.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in	design height.					
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking ob	No breaking or cracking observed.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.							
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.						

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	09ZZ-0.908-L							
Rou	ıte Name:	CONZEL	CONZELMAN ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/200	9	Barrie	er Rating:	41.20				
Repair Recomme										
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$731			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 333 L.	F. of W-Bean	1 up to 27-in design height.	Replace 3 blocks and 1 post	and remove v	egetation from	n face of barrier.			
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 333 LF = \$3330. Raise 333-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Replace post at \$100- per -Each for 1 Post(s) = \$100. Replace block at \$30- per -Each for 3 Block(s) = \$90. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 3 Hrs = \$180. Remove vegetation from face of barrier. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$2950.										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



GOGA_0109ZZ_0.908_L_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	99ZZ-0.995-L						
Rou	ite Name:	CONZELI	ONZELMAN ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9		Barrier Rating:	30.10			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	TRONG POST Barrier Fun		TRAFFIC			
Barrier Material: GALVAN			ZED STEEL		Post Material:	WOOD			
Blockout WOOD Type:				Length (ft.):	299				
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25			Placement with Respect to Road:	TANGENT	,		
Hazard Behind Barrier: N/A									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I END	BURIED	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	1	BURIED	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.6		
Height (In.):	26.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	208.0		rade (%):	4.90		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. 18- below and 50-ft was more			ht 69-ft was be	etween 1 and 3-in		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	4 rails were bent.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of	27-in design height.				
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking ob	oserved.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.					

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010)9ZZ-0.995-L					
Rou	ite Name:	CONZELI	MAN ROAD					
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/200	9	Barrie	er Rating:	30.10		
Repair Recomme	endations	;						
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$5874	
Brief Workorder:	Raise 119 L.	F. of the W-Bo	eam to 27-in design height.	Replace 48 feet of rail.				
Workorder:	Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 119 LF = \$1190. Raise 119-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Replace rail at \$25- per -Lin. Ft. for 48 LF = \$1200. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$2950.							
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimir	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.		

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



GOGA_0109ZZ_0.995_L_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	GOGA-0109ZZ-1.100-L							
Rou	ıte Name:	CONZELI	CONZELMAN ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/200	4/11/2009 Barrier Rating: 45.50							
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI.	ZED STEEL	Post	Material:	WOOD				
Blockout Type:				L	ength (ft.):	554				
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25			ement with	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE			
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	1	BURIED	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	74.3			
Height (In.):	25.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	74.3		rade (%):	8.30			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. 554	4-ft was within 1-in of the 2	7-in design hei	ght.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	3 blockouts were broken.							
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.							
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	acceptable. Height within 1-in of 27-in design height.						
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking ob	No breaking or cracking observed.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.							
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g observed.						

В	arrier ID:	D: GOGA-0109ZZ-1.100-L								
Rou	ite Name:	CONZELI	ONZELMAN ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/200	9	Barrie	er Rating:	45.50				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$11061			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 554 L.	F. of W-Beam	to 27-in design height; repl	ace 3 broken blockouts.						
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 554 LF = \$5540. Raise 554-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Replace block at \$30- per -Each for 3 Block(s) = \$90. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 3 Day(s) = \$4425.										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	ests only.				

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



GOGA_0109ZZ_1.100_L_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	9 ZZ-1. 601-L							
Rou	ıte Name:	CONZELI	CONZELMAN ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9	Barri	er Rating:	24.20				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST Barr		Function:	TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Post	Material:	WOOD				
Blockout Type:			Lo	ength (ft.):	92					
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	15			ment with	TANGENT	,			
Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH										
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	74.6			
Height (In.):	26.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	19.0		rade (%):	0.20			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in des	sign height.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	oserved.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.							
		osion and eathering:	Some rusting on rail.							
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	9 ZZ-1. 601-L				
Rou	ıte Name:	CONZELI	MAN ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009)	Ba	rrier Rating:	24.20	
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to	o other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



GOGA_0109ZZ_1.601_L_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	99ZZ-1.735-L							
Rou	ıte Name:	CONZELI	CONZELMAN ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009	9	Barri	er Rating:	34.40				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST Barrier Fu		Function:	TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Post	Material:	WOOD				
Blockout WOOD Type:		WOOD		Lo	ength (ft.):	102				
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	15			ment with t to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE			
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	HIGH								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	74.6			
Height (In.):	27.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	31.0		rade (%):	1.60			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight within 1-in of 27-in des	sign height.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	3 rails were bent.							
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.							
		osion and eathering:	Some minor rust on the rai	ls.						
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-010	09ZZ-1.735-L							
Rou	ıte Name:	CONZELI	ONZELMAN ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	04/11/2009		Barrier Rating:		34.40				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$2745			
Brief Workorder:	Replace 36 f	eet of rail and	remove vegetation from fac	e of barrier.						
Workorder: Replace rail at \$25- per -Lin. Ft. for 36 LF = \$900. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 2 Hrs = \$120. Remove vegetation from face of barrier. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to othe	er repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0109ZZ: CONZELMAN ROAD



GOGA_0109ZZ_1.735_L_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-020	OGA-0205ZZ-0.028-R							
Rou	ıte Name:	STINSON	STINSON BEACH ACCESS ROADS							
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009	9	Barı	ier Rating:	8.30				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	W-BEAM	WEAK POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Pos	t Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		I	Length (ft.):	35				
Speed Limit (MPH): 15		15			ement with ct to Road:	TANGENT				
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	LOW								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-2		Is Barrier nworthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A	,	Approach	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.0			
Height (In.):	28.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	15.0		rade (%):	1.30			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Entire barrier is between 1-	in below to 4-in above the	27-in design he	eight.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Approach end of spoon is b	pent (impact).						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.							
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	2.						
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:								
	Missing	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-020	05ZZ-0.028-R								
Rou	ite Name:	STINSON	TINSON BEACH ACCESS ROADS								
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009)	Bai	rrier Rating:	8.30					
Repair Recomme	endations										
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0				
Brief Workorder:	N/A										
Workorder:											
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to	other repair co	ests only.					

ROUTE 0205ZZ: STINSON BEACH ACCESS ROADS



GOGA_0205ZZ_0.028_R_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-020	OGA-0205ZZ-0.033-L							
Rou	ıte Name:	STINSON	TINSON BEACH ACCESS ROADS							
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009	9	Barri	er Rating:	11.10				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	W-BEAM	WEAK POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Post	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		L	ength (ft.):	38				
Speed Limit (MPH): 15		15			ement with	INSIDE OF	FCURVE			
Hazard Behind Barrier: LOW		LOW								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-2	1	Is Barrier nworthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt NONE			N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.3			
Height (In.):	30.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	9.0		rade (%):	3.10			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. He	ight was 1-6in above the 27	-in design heig	ht.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Spoon at leading end has n	ninor impact.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.							
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	3 .						
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments	nd Treatments Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	arrier ID:	nrrier ID: GOGA-0205ZZ-0.033-L							
Rou	ite Name:	STINSON BEACH ACCESS ROADS							
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009		Barrio	er Rating:	11.10			
Repair Recomme	endations	;							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$3272		
Brief Workorder:	Raise 30 ft o	f W-Beam to 2	27-in design height.						
Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 30 LF = \$1500. Raise 30-ft of barrier up to 27-in design height. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.									
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	sts only.			

ROUTE 0205ZZ: STINSON BEACH ACCESS ROADS



GOGA_0205ZZ_0.033_L_1.jpg

Ba	arrier ID:	GOGA-040	OGA-0405-0.019-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	MCDOWI	ELL AVENUE						
Inspec	tion Date:	02/11/2009	9		Barrier Rating:	17.00			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	I	ASONRY WITHOUT E CORE WALL	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	STONE		Post Material:		N/A			
	Blockout N/A Type:				Length (ft.):	197			
Speed Limit (MPH): 15			F	Placement with Respect to Road:	TANGENT				
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt NONE			N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	24		Width (In.):	20.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	33.5		Lateral Offset (In.):	85.3		rade (%):	11.60		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. Height was 6-7in above the 27-in design height.						
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Spalling of the concrete ve	neer front and back	cracking on most of t	he barrier less	than 1 in.		
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		osion and eathering:	Concrete veneer is cracking	g and weathering.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments	and Treatments Breaking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-040	05-0.019-R							
Rou	ıte Name:	MCDOWI	CDOWELL AVENUE							
Ingnoo	tion Dotor	02/11/200	0	Downi	an Datings	17.00				
Inspec	tion Date:	02/11/200	9	Barrie	er Rating:	17.00				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair	REPAIR		FMSS	DEFERRED		Repair	\$3163			
Action:				MAINTENANCE		Cost:	·			
Brief	Re-point 10 S	SY of masonry	y barrier.							
Workorder:										
Workorder:	De noint mas	onry barrier a	t \$140 per Sq Vd for 10	SV - \$1400. Pe point barrie	ar whara faca h	as spalled off				
workdruer:		Re-point masonry barrier at \$140- per -Sq. Yd. for 10 SY = \$1400. Re-point barrier where face has spalled off. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.								
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0405: MCDOWELL AVENUE



GOGA_0405_0.019_R_1.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-040	OGA-0405-0.060-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	MCDOWI	MCDOWELL AVENUE						
Inspec	tion Date:	02/11/2009	9	Barri	er Rating:	22.70			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	CONCRET	E BARRIER	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	CONCRET	E	Post	Material:	N/A			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		L	ength (ft.):	483			
Speed Limit (MPH): 15				ement with	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE			
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	HIGH							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	32		Width (In.):	20.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	33.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	100.0		rade (%):	8.10		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. Height was 0-5in above assumed 32-in design height.						
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Cracks though entire barrie	er section = 7 each. Concre	te spalled off st	one masonry.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	Missing concrete spalled fr	rom masonry = 16 sy.					
		osion and eathering:	Erosion observed at base o	f barrier (about 10 sy).					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments	End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-040	GOGA-0405-0.060-R							
Rou	ıte Name:	MCDOWI	ICDOWELL AVENUE							
Inspec	tion Date:	02/11/2009	02/11/2009 Barrier Rating: 22.70							
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$4642			
Brief Workorder:	Re-point 23	SY of masonry	barrier and repair erosion a	t base of barrier.						
Workorder: Re-point masonry barrier at \$140- per -Sq. Yd. for 23 SY = \$3220. Structural backfill at \$50- per -Cu. Yd. for 10 CY = \$700. Riprap at \$100- per -Cu. Yd. for 2 CY = \$300.										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to othe	er repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0405: MCDOWELL AVENUE



GOGA_0405_0.060_R_1.jpg



GOGA_0405_0.060_R_2.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-040	OGA-0406-0.232-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	SWEENE	Y RIDGE ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	02/11/2009	9	Barri	er Rating:	24.20			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	OTHER: NO	ON-STANDARD RRIER	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Le	ength (ft.):	102			
Speed Limit (MPH): 15					ment with t to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE		
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: LOW								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	NCW	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	150.0		
Height (In.):	20.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	43.0		rade (%):	8.20		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. Enti	ire barrier is 7-in below the	27-in design ho	eight.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	There are 9 posts which are broken/rotted out. Rails are bent throughout the entire length.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.						
		osion and eathering:	Posts are rotted out and rai	ls are weathered and rusted.					
Alignment and Height:									
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-040	06-0.232-R							
Rou	ıte Name:	SWEENE	WEENEY RIDGE ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	02/11/2009 Barrier Rating: 24.20								
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPLACE			CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT		Repair Cost:	\$16126			
Brief Workorder:	Replace 102	L.F. of barrier	with W-Beam weak post gu	uardrail.						
Workorder:	Workorder: Remove Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 102 LF = \$1020. W-Beam Weak Post at \$35- per -Lin. Ft. for 102 LF = \$3570. Install 102-ft. of W-Beam weak post barrier. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 2 Hrs = \$120. Remove vegetation. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$2950. 1 day removal 1 day installation.									
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.									

ROUTE 0406: SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD



GOGA_0406_0.232_R_1.jpg



GOGA_0406_0.232_R_2.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-040	OGA-0406-0.234-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	SWEENE	Y RIDGE ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	02/11/2009	9	Barri	er Rating:	55.50			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	OTHER: NO	ON-STANDARD RRIER	Barrier	Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:			L	ength (ft.):	2940			
Speed Limit (MPH): 15		15			ement with	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	LOW							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	149.8		
Height (In.):	19.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	39.2		rade (%):	6.50		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. Enti	ire barrier is between 7-11in	n below the 27-	in design heig	ht.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements.						
	Corrrosion and Weathering:								
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments	End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	ier ID: GOGA-0406-0.234-L								
Rou	ite Name:	SWEENE	WEENEY RIDGE ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	02/11/2009		Barri	er Rating:	55.50				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPLACE			CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT		Repair Cost:	\$188221			
Brief Workorder:	Remove stee	l guardrail and	replace with W-Beam wear	k post guardrail for 2940 L.I	Ŧ.					
Workorder:	Workorder: Remove Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 2940 LF = \$29400. W-Beam Weak Post at \$35- per -Lin. Ft. for 2940 LF = \$102900. Install 2940ft. of W-beam weak post barrier. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 16 Hrs = \$960. Remove vegetation in front of barrier. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 24 Day(s) = \$35400. 12 days removal 12 days installation.									
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	ests only.				

ROUTE 0406: SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD



GOGA_0406_0.234_L_1.jpg



GOGA_0406_0.234_L_2.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-040	OGA-0406-0.791-L							
Rou	ıte Name:	SWEENE	WEENEY RIDGE ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	02/11/2009	9	Barri	er Rating:	34.20				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	W-BEAM	WEAK POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Le	ength (ft.):	134				
Speed Limit (MPH): 15		15			ment with t to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE			
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: LOW									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-2	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	149.6			
Height (In.):	22.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	47.0		rade (%):	7.70			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. Enti	ire barrier is between 4-7in l	below the 27-in	n design heigh	t.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Some rails bent from previ	ous crashes.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.							
		osion and eathering:	Rusting on the guardrails.							
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments	Breaking and Cracking:									
	Missing	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	arrier ID:	rier ID: GOGA-0406-0.791-L								
Rou	ite Name:	SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD								
Inspec	tion Date:	02/11/200	9	Barri	er Rating:	34.20				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPLACE			CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT		Repair Cost:	\$9944			
Brief Workorder:	Remove stee	l guardrail and	replace with W-beam weak	x post guardrail for 134 L.F.						
Workorder:	Workorder: Remove Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 134 LF = \$1340. W-Beam weak post at \$35- per -Lin. Ft. for 134 LF = \$4690. Install 134ft. of W-beam weak post barrier. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$2950. 1 day removal and 1 day installation.									
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	osts only.				

ROUTE 0406: SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD



GOGA_0406_0.791_L_1.jpg



GOGA_0406_0.791_L_2.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-0406-0.824-L							
Rou	ite Name:	SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	02/11/2009	9 Barrier Rating:		58.00				
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	OTHER: NON-STANDARD STEEL BARRIER		Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Le	ngth (ft.):	1169			
Speed Lim		15			ment with to Road:	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	150.3		
Height (In.):	22.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	36.7	Road G	rade (%):	11.10		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment acceptable. Enti	re barrier is between 4-6in b	pelow the 27-in	n design heigh	t.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Rail was bent and misshapen along the entire length. Posts were breaking/rotting out.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	One rail was entirely off and laying on side of the hill.						
		osion and eathering:	Posts were rotting out. Rai	ls were weathering/rusting.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-040	GOGA-0406-0.824-L							
Rou	ıte Name:	SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD								
Inspec	tion Date:	02/11/200	9	Barı	rier Rating:	58.00				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPLACE			CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT		Repair Cost:	\$80009			
Brief Workorder:	Remove stee	Remove steel guardrail and replace with W-beam weak post guardrail for 1169 L.F.								
Workorder:	Remove Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 1169 LF = \$11690. W-Beam Weak Post at \$35- per -Lin. Ft. for 1169 LF = \$40915. Install 1169ft. of W-beamweak post barrier. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 8 Hrs = \$480. Remove vegetation from face of barrier. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 10 Day(s) = \$14750. 5 days removal 5 days installation.									
2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.										

ROUTE 0406: SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD



GOGA_0406_0.824_L_1.jpg



GOGA_0406_0.824_L_2.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-0406-1.216-L					
Rou	ıte Name:	SWEENE	Y RIDGE ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009	9	Barrier Rating: 50.90			
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:	OTHER: NON-STANDARD STEEL BARRIER		Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO	RING	Post	Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Le	ngth (ft.):	608	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	15			ment with t to Road:	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	NCW		Is Barrier worthy?:	NO
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A	1	Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		•	N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	150.0
Height (In.):	21.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	38.7 Road Grade (%):		7.00	
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment off by 6-12in. E	Entire barrier is between 3-6i	n. below the 2	7-in design he	ight.
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	All posts are cracked and re	otten.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.				
		osion and eathering:	All guardrail is rusty dente	d and heavily weathered.			
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:					
	Missing	Elements:					
		osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-040	06-1.216-L						
Roi	ite Name:	SWEENE	Y RIDGE ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009		Barrier Rating:		50.90			
Repair Recommendations									
Repair Action:	REPLACE			CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT		Repair Cost:	\$42526		
Brief Workorder:	Remove stee	Remove steel guardrail and replace with W-beam weak post guardrail for 608 L.F.							
Workorder:	Workorder: Remove Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 608 LF = \$6080. W-Beam Weak Post at \$35- per -Lin. Ft. for 608 LF = \$21280. Install 608ft. of W-beam weak post barrier. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 6 Day(s) = \$8850. 3 days removal 3 days installation.								
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.								

ROUTE 0406: SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD



GOGA_0406_1.216_L_1.jpg

Ba	arrier ID:	GOGA-040	06-1.331-L				
Rou	ite Name:	SWEENE	Y RIDGE ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009	9 Barrier Rating:		33.90		
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:	W-BEAM WEAK POST		Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Pos	st Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	N/A		I	Length (ft.):	98	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	15			cement with ect to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-2	I	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	t NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	148.3
Height (In.):	22.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	82.0		rade (%):	7.30
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment off by 6-12in. E	Intire barrier is between 3	-6in. below the 2	7-in design he	ight.
Barrier		aking and Cracking:					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.				
		osion and eathering:	The posts are split and rotte	ed and 98' of guardrail is n	rusty due to weat	hering.	
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:					
	Missing 1	Elements:					
		osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-040	06-1.331-L						
Rou	ite Name:	SWEENE	Y RIDGE ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009		Barrier Rating:		33.90			
Repair Recomme	Repair Recommendations								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$4021		
Brief Workorder:	Remove enti	Remove entire barrier and replace with W-beam weak post for 98 L.F.							
Workorder:	Remove Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 98 LF = \$980. W-Beam weak post at \$35- per -Lin. Ft. for 98 LF = \$3430. Install 98ft. of W-beam weak post barrier. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$2950. 1 day removal and 1 day installation.								
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.								

ROUTE 0406: SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD



GOGA_0406_1.331_L_1.jpg



GOGA_0406_1.331_L_2.jpg

В	arrier ID:	GOGA-0406-1.350-L								
Rou	ite Name:	SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD								
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009	9 Barrier Rating:		53.70					
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	OTHER: NON-STANDARD STEEL BARRIER		Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post Material:		WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Lo	ength (ft.):	1917				
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	15			ment with t to Road:	BOTH INS	IDE AND OUTSIDE			
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	NCW	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	NO			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	149.6			
Height (In.):	22.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	42.0		rade (%):	5.10			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	The alignment is off over a is between 3-8in below the	a ft in some locations while having no deflection in others. Entire barrier ne 27-in design height.						
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Posts are breaking/rotting.	aking/rotting. Rails are bent up and broken the entire length.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements.							
		osion and eathering:	Rail is rusted with holes in	a few locations up to 1in w	ide and 1' long	. Posts are rot	ting out.			
	Align	ment and Height:								
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:								
		osion and eathering:								

В	Barrier ID: GOGA-0406-1.350-L								
Route Name: SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD									
Inspec	tion Date:	03/11/2009	03/11/2009 Barrier Rating:			53.70			
Repair Recomme	endations								
Repair Action:	REPLACE			CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT		Repair Cost:	\$120489		
Brief Workorder:	Remove stee	Remove steel guardrail and replace with W-beam weak post guardrail for 1817 L.F.							
Workorder:	Workorder: Remove Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 1917 LF = \$19170. W-Beam Weak Post at \$35- per -Lin. Ft. for 1917 LF = \$67095. Install 1817ft. of W-beam weak post barrier. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 12 Hrs = \$720. Remove vegetation in front of barrier. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 16 Day(s) = \$23600. 8 days removal 8 days installation.								
2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.									

ROUTE 0406: SWEENEY RIDGE ROAD



GOGA_0406_1.350_L_1.jpg



 $GOGA_0406_1.350_L_2.jpg$

Appendix A Summary of GIP Definitions and Assessment



Golden Gate National Recreation Area



Appendix A:

Guardwall/Rail Inventory Program (GIP) EXPLANATION OF REPORT TERMS

The Guardwall/rail Inventory Program (GIP) was commissioned by WASO to identify deferred maintenance related to barriers in National Parks that have more than one mile of guardwall or guardrail. GIP was designed jointly by the NPS and FHWA and the inventory process records both static characteristics of the barrier (e.g., length, height, etc.) as well as dynamic information about the condition of the barrier.

Barriers that traverse bridges are not included in this inventory, these barriers are covered in FHWA's Bridge Inventory Program (BIP); however, barriers that are approaches to bridges were part of this inventory.

The following discussion highlights each of the elements found in the reports.

Static Barrier Characteristics

BARRIER TYPE

Refers to both the design and the construction materials used:

- W-Beam, Strong Post
- W-Beam, Weak Post
- Thrie Beam/Modified Thrie Beam
- Box Beam
- Steel-Backed Timber, w/ Blockout
- Steel-Backed Timber, w/o Blockout
- Steel-Backed Log Rail
- High Tension Cable
- Three-Strand Cable

- Stone Masonry, w/o Concrete Core Wall
- Stone Masonry, w/ Concrete Core Wall
- Random Rubble Cavity Wall
- Concrete Barrier
- Concrete, with Simulated Stone Face
- W-Beam (Double Face), Strong Post
- Steel-Backed Timber (Double Face)
- Other: Completed by field crew

BARRIER MATERIAL

The type of material of which the barrier is composed:

- Cable
- Concrete
- Galvanized Steel
- Log/Timber/Wood

- Steel-Backed Timber/Log
- Weathering Steel/Corten
- Stone
- Other: Completed by field crew

LENGTH

The longitudinal distance between the beginning and end of the barrier. It should include the length of end treatments in the overall length of the barrier. For roadside barriers, this can be calculated from the start and end locations.

BARRIER FUNCTION: Traffic or Non-Traffic Barrier.

Due to the different GIP assessment criteria of barriers based on their intended use, barriers were classified as being either traffic barriers or non-traffic barriers.

Traffic barriers are physical devices intended to keep vehicles or people from straying into dangerous or off-limits areas. For the purpose of this inventory and assessment, a traffic barrier is categorized as roadside hardware placed longitudinally, excluding pedestrian railing and fencing.

Non-traffic barriers provide a physical delineation between public access areas and restricted or protected areas in locations such as a parking lot, viewpoint or turnout. Non-traffic barriers which inhibit access of vehicles are included in this report; non-traffic barriers which only inhibit access of pedestrians or bicyclists are not included. For the purpose of this inventory, non-traffic barriers are guidewalls and guiderails. Note: rocks, stones, boulders, fences or curbs were excluded from this inventory.

There are instances in parks where a single barrier can switch between being classified as a traffic barrier and a non-traffic barrier. Such instances typically occur at pullouts, where a traffic barrier along the road will continue through the pullout without interruption. In such instances, the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier were assessed using different criteria. Due to the different criteria, the GIP database was designed to record the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier as two distinct barriers, even though to the eye, they appear as one barrier. Other instances where a single barrier is split into multiple barriers would be when the barrier is placed continuously along two legs of an intersection, so that one portion of the barrier may be on one road and the remaining portion of the barrier is on a different road.

POST MATERIAL

The type or material that the barrier's supporting posts are made of:

Galvanized Steel
 Other: Completed by field crew

Wood • N/A

Corten

BLOCKOUT TYPE

The type of blockout or of what it is comprised:

WoodSteelPlasticN/A

BARRIER PLACEMENT WITH RESPECT TO ROADWAY

To identify the roadway alignment the barrier is located upon:

Tangent
 Both Inside and Outside of Curve

Inside of Curve • Outside of Curve

POSTED SPEED LIMIT

The posted speed limit of the roadway section.

HAZARD BEHIND BARRIER

A qualitative description of the severity of the hazard behind the barrier:

Lov

• High

Medium

• Extreme

APPROPRIATE TEST LEVEL (TL) FOR ROAD

Based on the posted speed limit, the NCHRP 350 Crashworthiness test level appropriate for the roadway.

• TL-1, 30 mph and lower

• TL-3, 50 mph and higher

• TL-2, 35-45 mph

BARRIER TEST LEVEL (TL)

A traffic barrier is crashworthy if it was successfully crash tested under *NCHRP Report 350* at speeds along the park road or parkway or if it was accepted through analysis by FHWA, based on similarity to other crashworthy critical design element features. Non-traffic barriers are classified at N/A.

• TL-1

• No

• TL-2

• N/A – Non-Traffic Barrier

• TL-3

IS BARRIER CRASHWORTHY

This compared the appropriate crashworthy test level required for the posted speed limit to the barrier's test level.

Yes

No

BEGINNING END TREATMENT TYPE

An end treatment is safety hardware that mitigates impacts to the ends of a barrier. Most common end treatments are for w-beam systems. Note that stonemasonry barriers typically do not have end treatments.

The beginning end treatment is based on the travel lane closest to the barrier. A vehicle traveling in the lane closest to the barrier will encounter the barrier's beginning end treatment first. It is not based on the RIP primary direction. Identifies the barrier's beginning end treatment type:

- W-Beam Flared 350 Compliant
- W-Beam Tangent 350 Complaint
- W-Beam Buried End
- W-Beam Trailing End/CRG
- W-Beam BCT, Flared
- W-Beam, Turn Down
- SBT/Log, Flared

- SBT/Log, Buried
- Median Treatments
- Box Beam
- Cable
- Crash Cushions/Attenuator
- Other: Completed by field crew
- None

IS BEGINNING END TREATMENT CRASHWORTHY

Identifies if the barrier's beginning end treatment (based on direction of travel for the travel lane closest to barrier) is crashworthy, based on NCHRP-350.

• Yes

N/A

• No

APPROACH TRANSITION TYPE

A transition is safety hardware designed to be placed between two different types of barrier. Most common transition types are between bridge rail and w-beam systems.

This identifies the barrier's transition type:

- Bridge Rail, W-Beam
- Bridge Rail, SBT
- Rigid W-Beam, W-Beam
- Rigid SBT (Wall), SBT
- Concrete/Masonry, W-Beam

- Concrete/Masonry, SBT
- Concrete/Masonry, Thrie Beam
- Other: Completed by field crew
- None

ENDING END TREATMENT TYPE

The ending end treatment is based on the travel lane closest to the barrier. A vehicle traveling in the lane closest to the barrier will encounter the barrier's ending end treatment last, after passing the rest of the barrier. It is not based on the RIP primary direction. Identifies the barrier's ending end treatment type:

- W-Beam Flared 350 Compliant
- W-Beam Tangent 350 Complaint
- W-Beam Buried End
- W-Beam Trailing End/CRG
- W-Beam BCT, Flared
- W-Beam, Turn Down
- SBT/Log, Flared

- SBT/Log, Buried
- Median Treatments
- Box Beam
- Cable
- Crash Cushions/Attenuator
- Other: Completed by field crew
- None

IS ENDING END TREATMENT CRASHWORTHY

Identifies if the barrier's ending end treatment (based on direction of travel for the travel lane closest to barrier) is crashworthy, based on NCHRP-350.

- Yes
- No

N/A

BARRIER DESIGN HEIGHT

Identifies the barrier's original "as-built" design height:

- 27-in, W-beam, Steel-Backed Timber, Stone Masonry w/ Concrete Core Wall
- 24-in, Stone Masonry w/o Concrete Core Wall, Log on Log
- 20-in, Timber on Wood Posts, Timber on Concrete Posts, Timber on Granite Posts
- 18/24-in, Crenellated Stone Masonry Barrier
- 18/24-in, Dry Stack Stone Wall

- 31-in, Steel-Backed Log
- 32-in, Jersey Barrier

AVERAGE MEASUREMENTS

Minimum of three measurements taken on each barrier.

First measurement approximately 50-ft from the beginning of the barrier, measured from the extreme ends of the barrier's end treatment/transition. Do not take a measurement along the end treatment Measure and record measurement every 200-ft thereafter for the run of barrier

Last measurement approximately 50-ft from the end of the barrier. Do not take a measurement along the end treatment

If a barrier is less than 300-ft, even say 45-ft, a minimum of three measurements were still taken.

AVERAGE WIDTH

The width of the barrier. Only recorded for guardwalls; not guardrail.

AVERAGE POST SPACING

The spacing of the barrier's (not the end treatments') posts. Only recorded for guardrails; not guardwalls or non-traffic barriers.

AVERAGE BARRIER HEIGHT

The average barrier height. If the barrier has crenellations, the height is measured in the non-crenellated sections of the barrier. If the average lateral offset is less than or equal to 4-ft, average barrier height is measured from the roadway; if the average lateral offset is greater than 4-ft, average barrier height is measured at the barrier face.

AVERAGE LATERAL OFFSET

Determine the average distance between the barrier and the edge of roadway. If a white edgeline is present on the roadway, average lateral offset is measured from the outside edge of the white line to the barrier face. If no white edgeline is present, average lateral offset is measured from the edge of pavement to the barrier face.

AVERAGE ROAD GRADE and UPHILL OR DOWNHILL

Determine an average roadway grade at each barrier location, based on the direction of travel in the lane closest to the barrier.

DYNAMIC BARRIER CHARACTERISTICS – CONDITION ASSESSMENT NARRATIVES

Field crews were directed to write a narrative of the barrier's physical condition. To keep consistency between field crews, all narratives were based on severity and distress criteria, which were developed jointly by the NPS and FHWA. Condition assessments were based on barrier type and can be found directly after this description of report elements.

BARRIER ALIGNMENT/HEIGHT

Narrative completed by field crew describing the barrier's alignment and height. Height comments are based on the barrier's original "as-built" design height.

BARRIER BREAKING/CRACKING

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier breaking or cracking found during the inspection.

BARRIER MISSING ELEMENTS

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier missing elements encountered during the inspection.

BARRIER CORROSION/WEATHERING

Narrative completed by field crew describing and corrosion or weathering issues associated with the barrier.

END TREATMENTS ALIGNMENT/HEIGHT

Narrative completed by field crew describing the barrier end treatment's alignment and height, when present. Height comments are based on the end treatment's original "as-built" design height.

END TREATMENTS BREAKING/CRACKING

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier end treatment's breaking or cracking found during the inspection.

END TREATMENTS MISSING ELEMENTS

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier end treatment missing elements encountered during the inspection.

END TREATMENTS CORROSION/WEATHERING

Narrative completed by field crew describing and corrosion or weathering issues associated with the barrier's end treatments.

BARRIER PHOTOGRAPHS

During the inspection, the field crews photographed the beginning end (based on the closest lane's direction of travel) of each barrier. Additional photographs were taken of any unusual deficiencies encountered. Up to two photographs of the barrier are included in this report.

CONDITION AND SEVERITY DISTRESS TABLES

Due to the extreme number of possible conditions of the barrier, transition and end treatment, the following descriptions and matrices are guidelines created to help classify the condition of the element. While the distinction between good and fair is needed, the distinction between fair and poor is much more important since this is the threshold that defines if the element is slightly compromised or is not functional.

In all likelihood, according to these guidelines different portions of an element (most likely a barrier) may be classified differently; however, a single classification will need to be provided for the element. The survey team will use their professional judgment to determine this single classification. The single classification of each element should be considered an index value that provides a general indicator of overall performance, but not necessarily indicate that a specific treatment is warranted. The specific work order that is prepared based on the observed deficiencies will be a much more definitive indicator of the appropriate treatment based on existing distresses. The overall condition will be used as part of the risk assessment tool to evaluate the risk to driver safety associated with the physical condition of the barrier.

GOOD

<u>The barrier performs as intended.</u> The barrier is in fairly straight alignment but may have some small amount that is slightly out of alignment. While the height of the barrier may vary over its run, the height is relatively consistent and is close to its original "as-built" design height. Minor cracks may be visually observed on some the posts, though these cracks are neither long nor deep and the only hardware missing are isolated nuts and bolts. Minor surface corrosion on small portions of the surface is visible but there is no decay associated with connections.

<u>The end treatment performs as intended.</u> The end treatment is in good alignment and tension is acceptable. While the end treatment may exhibit some dents, there are no cracked rails, posts, blocks or any missing elements. Corrosion and erosion, while present, are at a minimum.

In general, all distresses observed, either in isolation or in combination, do not seriously affect the ability of the element to serve the intended functions of protecting drivers from a roadside hazard and/or contributing to the cultural value of the roadway corridor. Keep in mind that "intended function" is a relative term. In many cases, older designs were "intended" to protect drivers but would not be considered fully functional in that regard by today's standards.

FAIR

<u>The barrier is slightly compromised.</u> The barrier is noticeably out of alignment and the height along the run of barrier varies considerably. Cracks and broken elements are visible from the roadside. The barrier may be missing elements, such as nuts, bolts, blockouts or even a post. Surface corrosion is visible on a fair amount of the barrier but connections will still provide element interlock. Decay and minor erosion, while not always visible, may begin to reduce element strength and individual post stability.

<u>The end treatment is slightly compromised.</u> The end treatment may be somewhat out of alignment, have low cable anchor tension or isolated broken or cracked rail, posts or blocks. Corrosion and erosion are evident.

In general, the distresses observed, either in isolation or combination, may generate unpredictable outcomes related to the functions of the element stated above.

POOR

<u>The barrier is not functional.</u> The barrier will not function as intended. Any of the following could mean that the barrier is in poor condition: The barrier has fallen out of alignment or its height varies greatly from the designed height. Cracks and broken elements are visible from the roadside. The barrier is missing several elements, such as nuts, bolts, blockouts or consecutive posts. Corrosion, causing structural compromise is significant and obvious. Erosion around posts will reduce the barrier's strength and capacity.

<u>The end treatment is not functional.</u> The end treatment does not function as intended. There is no tension in the cable anchor. A significant portion of the end treatment has broken, cracked or dented elements. Elements are missing and corrosion or erosion is significant.

In general, the distresses observed clearly illustrate the inability of the element to perform the intended functions.

CONDITION AND SEVERITY DISTRESS TABLES – BARRIERS

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Semi-Rigid Barriers (including barriers with posts, rail elements and blocks).

and blocks).	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Design H	leight		
	• Alignment off by less than 6"	• Alignment off by 6"-12"	• Alignment off by more than 12"
	Within 1" of <u>design</u> height	• Less than 3" lower than <i>design height</i>	Greater than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>
Breaking/Cracking,	an member, post or rail – o	due to impact loading	
	Metal – no twisting/bending, tears or cracking	Metal – no cracking or tearing (but minor twisting/bending is ok)	Metal – any cracks or tears
	Wood – no impact related cracking	Wood – maybe cracked but retains original cross section	Wood – cracks or tears that deform original section
	Isolated broken blocks	Two Consecutive broken blocks	Consecutive broken blocks (three or more consecutive)
Missing Elements			
	No bolts and nuts missing	One or two bolt/nut missing at one rail/rail connection	Three or more bolts/nuts missing at one rail/rail connection
	• n/a	Two consecutive missing blocks	Three or more consecutive missing blocks
	• n/a	• n/a	One missing rail element or post
Corrosion/Decay/Weathering, all posts, rails and blocks – due to aging			
	Loss of 5% or less of cross section	Loss of 5% to 50% of cross section	Loss of 50% or more of cross section
	Erosion (less than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around posts (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline) for one	Erosion around consecutive posts (more than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Rigid Concrete Barriers (including pre-cast).

	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Design	Height		
	Alignment off by less than 6"	• Alignment off by 6"-12"	Alignment off by more than 12"
	Within 1" of <u>design</u> height	• Less than 3" lower than <i>design height</i>	Greater than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>
Breaking/Cracking	g– due to impact loading		
	• Minor cracks (less than 1/4") present	Cracking present ¼" or greater but no displacement or discontinuity in face	Barrier displaced and/or discontinuous
	• n/a	Pieces broken from barrier 3" deep or less without exposing rebar	Cracking exposes rebar
	• n/a	• n/a	Pieces broken from face greater than 3" deep
Missing Elements			
	• n/a	• n/a	• n/a
Corrosion/Decay/V	Weathering – due to aging		
	Surface corrosion on less than 5% of the run	• Surface corrosion on between 5-25% of the run	Surface corrosion on more than 25% of the run
	• n/a	• Spalling 3" deep or less without exposing rebar	• Spalling greater than 3" deep
	Erosion (less than 8" below groundline) around base	Erosion (8" or more below groundline) around base	Erosion (8" or more below groundline)
	• n/a	Less than 50% undermined (less than half barrier width)	50% or more undermined (less than half barrier width)

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Rigid Stone/Masonry Barriers (including all types of stone or masonry barriers).

masonry barriers).			
	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Design H	leight		
	• Alignment (off by less than 6")	• Alignment (off by 6"-12")	• Alignment (off by more than 12")
	• Within 3" of <u>design</u> <u>height</u>	• Between 3.1 - 6" lower than <i>design height</i>	• Greater than 6.1" lower than <i>design height</i>
Breaking/Cracking	– due to impact loading		
	• Minor cracks (less than 1/4") present	• Cracks, less than ½" present	• Cracks greater than ½" present
		• Stones broken/displaced extending less than 1/3 of width of barrier	Stones broken/displaced extending 1/3 width or more through the barrier
Missing Elements			
	• n/a	• n/a	• n/a
Corrosion/Decay/W	eathering – due to aging		
	Cracks in mortar joints 1/4" or less and/or single loose or missing stones	Mortar joints deteriorated resulting in two - three loose or missing adjacent stones (without impact)	Mortar joints deteriorated resulting in more than three continuous/adjacent loose or missing stones (without impact)
	Erosion (less than 8" below groundline) around base	Erosion (8" or more below groundline) around base	Erosion (8" or more below groundline)
	• n/a	Less than 50% undermined (less than half barrier width)	50% or more undermined (less than half barrier width)

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Flexible Barriers, (including cable barriers and weak-post systems designed without blocks).

designed without blocks	S).		
	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Tension/	Design Height		
	No bent posts	Bent posts; one to three consecutive posts	Bent posts; four or more consecutive posts
	Cable has tension	Cable under- tensioned/sagging	No cable tension
	Less than 1" too low	• 1-3" too low	Greater than 3" too low
Breaking/Cracking			
	No cracked or broken posts	One to three isolated broken posts	Four or more consecutive broken posts
	• n/a	Cable frayed	Cable broken or severed
Missing Elements			
	No bolts and nuts missing at anchors	• n/a	Bolts and nuts missing or loose at anchors
	• n/a	• n/a	Any missing posts or cable for any length of run
Corrosion/Decay/W	eathering – due to aging		
	Loss of 5% or less of cable cross section	Loss of 5% to 15% of cable cross section	Loss of 15% or more of cross section
	Erosion (less than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around one post (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around consecutive posts (more than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)

CONDITION AND SEVERITY DISTRESS TABLES – END TREATMENTS

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Flexible End Treatments, (including cable end terminals).

	GOOD	GOOD FAIR POOR	
Alignment/Tension			
	Alignment off by less than 4"	Alignment off by 4"-8"	Alignment off by more than 8"
	Adequate cable tension	Low cable anchor tension	No cable anchor tension
Breaking/Cracking – due	to impact loading		
	No broken or cracked elements	Minor cable fraying but still with adequate tension	Broken or cracked cables or posts
	No damage to posts, cable or anchor	Slight damage to posts without cracking or tearing (but minor twisting/bending on isolated posts is OK)	Cable broken or severed on any cable
Missing Elements			
	No bolts and nuts missing at anchors; No missing cables	• n/a	Any missing element (post, cable, bolts, nuts, or anchor)
Corrosion/Decay/Weathe	ring – due to aging		
	Loss of 5% or less of cable cross section	Loss of 5% to 15% of cable cross section	• Loss of 15% or more of cross section
	Connections weathered but still provide element interlock on less than 5% of the end treatment	Connections weathered but still provide element interlock on between 5% to 15% of the end treatment	Connections weathered but still provide element interlock on more than 15% of the end treatment

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Semi-Rigid End Treatments, including Flared and Tangent

Condition and Severity	Distress Table for Semi-Rigid 1	End Treatments, including Fla	red and Tangent
	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Tension			
	Alignment of flares and offsets off by less than 4"	Alignment of flares and offsets off by 4"-8"	Alignment of flares and offsets off by more than 8"
	Within 1" of <u>design</u> <u>height</u>	• Less than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>	Greater than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>
For Aesthetic Barriers (i.e. – SBT and SBL guardrail) that do not have crashworthy terminals:	Approach barrier terminals are buried, anchored, and flared away from the travel lane	Approach barrier terminals are buried, anchored, and flared away from the travel lane	Approach barrier ends are NOT buried, anchored, nor flared away from the travel lane
Breaking/Cracking -	- due to impact loading		
	Metal – no twisting/bending, tears or cracking	Metal – no cracking or tearing (but minor twisting or bending is ok)	Metal – any cracks or tears
	Wood – no impact related cracking	Wood – maybe cracked but retains original cross section	Wood – cracks or tears that deform original section
	No broken blocks	One broken block	Two consecutive broken blocks
Missing Elements			
	No missing elements, including breakaway cables and struts	Isolated bolts, nuts, or blocks loose on non- consecutive posts	Any missing element, including blocks, rails, posts cables, or struts
	No bolts, nuts, or blocks missing or loose	Breakaway strut present but vertical height off by more than 2"	Missing nuts / bolts on consecutive posts
Corrosion/Decay/We	eathering – due to aging		
	Surface corrosion / decay / connections weathered with a loss of 5% or less of cross section of interlocking elements	Surface corrosion / decay / connections weathered with between 5-25% loss of cross section along transition interlocking elements	Surface corrosion / decay / connections weathered with more than 25% loss of cross section along transition interlocking elements
	Erosion (less than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around 1 post (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around consecutive posts (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline)

SPECIFIC RISK ELEMENTS

The potential risk to a motorist after a vehicle impacts a traffic barrier depends on the crashworthiness of the traffic barrier as well as traffic exposure factors. Variables relating to the roadside, the traffic barrier's crashworthiness and traffic data include the following:

ADT. The number of vehicles (in both directions) that travel the roadway on which the traffic barrier is located.

Barrier Crashworthy. A traffic barrier is crashworthy if it was successfully crash tested under NCHRP Report 350 at speeds along the park road or parkway or if it was accepted through analysis by FHWA, based on similarity to other crashworthy critical design element features. If crashworthy, the appropriate test level also needs to be recorded. For crashworthy barriers, the barrier test level will be compared to the test level appropriate for the roadway (based solely on posted speed limit). The intent is to record situations in which a crashworthy barrier of a lower test level is installed on a roadway which should have a barrier of a higher test level.

Barrier Height. Determined from barrier height as collected in the physical condition assessment. The database will compare this value to the NCHRP test level height that is appropriate for the posted speed of the road and barrier type.

End Treatment Crashworthy. An end treatment is crashworthy if it has been successfully crash tested. This is for the approach end treatment, which is defined as the end treatment which a vehicle will first pass when traveling on the same side of the road as the barrier.

Existing Roadway Features. The list of roadway features is limited to the following, all of which have a documented history of reducing the number of crashes, and are found later in the GIP as possible countermeasures.

Centerline pavement markings Grooved pavement surface
Edgeline pavement markings Delineators on curve and tangent

Wider centerline Chevrons
Wider edgeline Warning sign

Centerline rumble strips Flashing beacon on warning sign

Shoulder rumble strips Lighting

Barrier reflectors Speed feedback sign

Factored Crash Rate. The average annual number of crashes (on the overall road and by barrier segment), over the last 5 years. If the road has an ADT of less than 1000, evaluate a minimum of

7 to 10 years of crash data, if available.

Lateral Offset of Barrier from Edge of Traveled Way. The distance from the edge of traveled way to the face of the barrier is useful for determining impact to asset during different types of construction. Two or three measurements will be taken – beginning, middle and end of barrier run (not including the end treatments) – and the average will be used.

Posted Speed Limit. The posted speed limit(s) of the roadway section.

Roadway Grade and Uphill or Downhill. Is refers to the grade of the roadway, in the direction of travel closest to the barrier.

Severity of the Hazard behind Barrier. A rating system based on photos will be used to rate the severity of the hazard behind the barrier. Choices include:

- Low
- Medium
- High
- Extreme

RISK ASSESSMENT AND RISK SCORE

The following table shows the variables relating to the overall roadway safety in the vicinity of barriers. In addition, the table illustrates the range of values considered for each variable and associated levels of risk. For categorization purposes, variables have been placed into one of three categories: segment, site or barrier variables. The "Associated Risk" column identifies the relative risk posed by each variable. This looks at the relative risk of the each variable itself and is only a cursory evaluation.

A Risk Score or Rating ("Barrier Rating" on Tier 3 Barrier page) was created for each barrier based on the table values. The level of risk tolerated is dependent on the category of road, which will be discussed in subsequent pages.

Once the inventory has been conducted, a total risk value can be assigned to each barrier. A comparison of the relative risk to an acceptable risk threshold will be performed in order to analyze the overall risk of a given barrier.

Variable and Associated Levels of Risk

VARIABLE	RANGE	ASSOCIATED RISK
SEGMENT VARIABLES		
ADT	0 – 1000	0.0
	1001 - 4000	2.9
	4001 - 8000	5.7
	8001 - 20,000	7.1
	20,001 and greater	8.6
Crash Factor	0	0.0
	0.1 - 5.0	4.2
	5.1 - 20.0	8.7
	20.1 - 30.0	17.1
	30.1 - 75.0	25.8
	75.1 and greater	34.2
Posted Speed Limit	15 – 25 mph	0.0
	30 - 40 mph	4.3
	45 and higher	8.6
SITE VARIABLES		
Barrier Placement w/ Respect to	Tangent	0.0
Roadway Geometry	Inside of curve	2.9
	Both inside and outside of curve	8.6
	Outside of curve	8.6
Severity of Hazard behind the Barrier	Low severity	2.6
	Medium severity	5.1
	High severity	6.9
	Extreme severity	8.6
Longitudinal Length of Barrier	1 - 250-ft	0.0
	251 – 750-ft	2.9
	751 – ft and greater	5.7
Lateral Offset of Barrier from Edge of	4.1 – ft and greater	0.0
Traveled Way	2-4-ft	2.9
	less than 2-ft	5.7
Roadway Grade	Uphill/level/downgrade less than 3%	0.0
	Mild downgrade (3 – 6%)	4.3
	Steep downgrade (greater than 6%)	8.6
BARRIER VARIABLES		
Actual Barrier Height (compared to	0 – 1-in lower	0.0
test level height)	1.1 – 4-in lower	4.4
	4.1 – 7-in lower	12.9
	7.1 – 12-in lower	19.4
	12.1-in and greater lower	21.5
Dynamic Barrier Condition Rating	0-25	0.0
(based on design height)	26 – 200	4.4
	201 – 400	8.6
	401 – 600	12.9
	601 – 800	17.1
	801 and above	21.5
Barrier Conformance with Current	Yes	0.0
Crashworthiness Criteria	No	5.7
-	Maximum Total Possible Risk Score	100

REPLACEMENT/REPAIR STRATEGIES

Information is integrated by combining static data on barrier type, materials, dimensions, etc. with the condition and risk assessments, and the asset management roadway categories (which include cultural and historic resource considerations) to come up with actionable repair strategies for barriers. In addition, repair costs are accounted for so that estimates can be made for repair actions identified. Costed repair estimates, or work orders, then form the basis for estimating deferred maintenance associated with roadside barriers. Repair recommendations generated by this assessment are intended to provide an estimated cost of deferred maintenance of barriers. As such, the evaluation is not rigorous and may be changed when a more detailed review and assessment at a project level is completed. In addition, any repairs or replacements that are recommended by this inventory and assessment process must be vetted through a project selection, planning and design process, including compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

Many park barriers are located in harsh environments where freeze-thaw cycles, avalanche impacts, surface erosion, rockfall and vehicle impacts damage them; consequently, they are showing signs of fatigue, at times serious. Whenever possible, historic barriers are repaired or rehabilitated in place so that the historic significance can be preserved; however, removal or reconstruction, which is typically the least preferred alternative, is at times necessary.

Barrier deficiencies can generally be categorized into one of two categories:

- Barriers that pose an unacceptable risk to the traveling public (as determined by the risk assessment methods described in Chapter Seven and including standards found in NCHRP Report 350), or
- Damaged barriers, due to either crash impacts, other loadings (e.g., snow / avalanche, etc) or deteriorated parts (from age / weathering).

Outside of the national park system, barriers that do not meet NCHRP Report 350 crashworthiness standards are typically removed and a barrier of a crashworthy design is constructed in its place. However given the sensitive natural and cultural environments found within the national park system, deficient barriers not meeting national crashworthiness standards may warrant no action, particularly where risk is low.

The type of repair strategy is often dependent on the barrier deficiency and its cultural context. Typically barriers that do not meet current crashworthiness criteria may be replaced while damaged or deteriorated barriers can be repaired. However, under unique situations found in certain national parks and as evaluated using the risk assessment and asset management roadway categories, some barriers that do not meet current crashworthiness criteria may warrant no action being taken for their replacement or repair.

Risk assessment and asset management roadway categories are integrated in the following table, which establishes different risk thresholds within each roadway category. In essence, a higher level of risk will be tolerated in Asset Management Roadway Category A, as demonstrated by the higher risk threshold (90), while less risk will be tolerated in Roadway Category B (70) and even less risk in Roadway Category C (50).

Asset Management Roadway Categories, Risk Thresholds and Treatment Recommendations.

ASSET MANAGEMENT ROADWAY CATEGORY	RISK THRESHOLD	PROGRAM-LEVEL TREATMENT RECOMMENDATION
A	90-100	 Identify measures other than barrier replacement that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineering countermeasures). Corrective action (including reconstruct/replacement, if necessary) needed to reduce risk below 90.
	Below 90	 Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). Identify repairs needed to improve physical condition/maintain historic integrity. When condition is good and risk is acceptable, no action is necessary.
В	70-100	 Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). Corrective action (including reconstruct/replacement, if necessary) needed to reduce risk below 70.
	Below 70	1. Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). 2. Identify repairs needed to improve physical condition/maintain historic integrity. 3. When condition is good and risk is acceptable, no action is necessary.
С	50-100	 Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). Corrective action (including reconstruct/replacement, if necessary) needed to reduce risk below 50.
	Below 50	 Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). Identify repairs needed to improve physical condition/maintain historic integrity. When condition is good and risk is acceptable, no action is necessary.

Fourteen engineering countermeasures have been specifically selected for use with the GIP risk assessment tool, and are show in the next table. This is an all-inclusive list of available countermeasures for the risk assessment toll; countermeasures not on the list should not be considered.

The concept of employing countermeasures is evident with barriers that have a risk score just above the risk threshold. For such barriers, installing countermeasures should reduce the future number of crashes by a given amount, based on the countermeasure. Depending on the factored crash rate, reducing the number of crashes will lower the overall risk score. Thus, barriers that were classified as "reconstruct/replace" may be able to be reclassified as "repair".

The decision to include any of the engineering countermeasures can be done only when the risk score is over the risk threshold by three points or less. When countermeasures are employed to reduce the risk score, they must be based on engineering judgment. The GIP database will allow the user to select up to three countermeasures to reduce the risk score under the threshold, based on crash reduction factors from the FHWA publication "Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors" FHWA-SA-07-015.

Proposed Countermeasures.

COUNTERMEASURE	CRASH REDUCTION FACTOR	
Speed Feedback Signs	0.46	
Flashing Beacons On Warning Signs	0.30	
Centerline Pavement Marking	0.30	
Lighting	0.25	
Chevrons	0.20	
Warning Signs	0.20	
Barrier Reflectors	0.16	
Grooved Pavement Surface	0.15	
Edgeline Pavement Marking	0.12	
Shoulder Rumble Strips	0.12	
Delineators on Curve and Tangent	0.05	
Centerline Rumble Strips	0.04	
Wider Edgeline	0.02	
Wider Centerline	0.02	

Maintaining Barriers As Is

Individual barrier elements and roadside conditions are interrelated. Sometimes, barrier deficiencies will be obvious and the best course of action is apparent; however, in context sensitive environments barrier deficiencies may be marginal and a decision will be based on judgment.

If risk is low (as determined by the assessment of variables such as traffic speeds, volumes), it may be acceptable for an historical or culturally significant barrier that does not meet current crashworthiness standards to remain until changes in risk factors would require an upgrading.

If the maintaining barrier as is alternative is the preferred choice through this approach, low cost mitigation measures may be considered to improve safety, such as improving roadside delineation (e.g., pavement markings / rumble strip(e)s, etc.), improving visibility (e.g., advance warning signs, increased sign size, etc.), upgrading the roadway shoulder, or improving skid resistance of the road surface. Although these measures will not reduce crash severity of an errant vehicle impact, these improvements have been tried or proven to reduce the frequency or probability of a vehicle striking the barrier.

Barrier Repair

If a barrier has been damaged due to a crash or there are parts that have deteriorated due to age or weathering but the majority of the barrier meets current crashworthiness standards and is functionally sound, repairing the system can be considered a viable option. Examples of these improvements include replacing damaged timber rail, removing a corroded, weathered steel post and replacing with new, upgraded guardrail blockouts to meet standards on high speed facilities or repointing, resetting or replacing loose or missing stones on the concrete corewalls of stone masonry guardwalls. Pursuing a repair approach should be the first consideration for Roadway Category A and B road assets.

For barriers that do not meet crashworthiness criteria but are functionally sound and have been determined good candidates to be maintained as-is based on the risk assessment and application of asset management roadway categories, repair could include measures such as repointing deteriorated masonry, re-setting or replacing loose, broken or missing stones, restoring walls to their original height (by adding a concrete footing, for example), restoring or improving drainage through or under walls or restoring wall foundations. Alterations to improve safety may also be considered, such as adding or changing end treatments or other mitigation measures as mentioned above.

For historic, stone masonry barriers that have a risk score below the threshold, it is possible that portions of the barrier need to be removed and reset in order increase the height of the barrier. The following guidelines are provided to assist in determining when this should be done and to what height the barrier should be rebuilt:

- 1. If all or a portion of stone masonry guardwall has a deficient height based upon the Severity Description Charts, that is, at worst, within the fair category, do not raise it. (Other work besides raising the barrier can be specified.)
- 2. If a portion of a stone masonry guardwall has a deficiency in height based upon the Severity Description Charts, considered "poor" (assumed typically to be less than 18-in) write a work order to raise the poor segment to the height of the adjacent barrier with a non-poor height.
- 3. If the entire stone masonry guardwall is in poor condition due to height based upon the Severity Description Charts— write a work order to raise the entire segment to its design height (assumed typically to be 24-in).

For aesthetic barrier systems used on many park roads and parkways, there is not a sufficient bid history database for estimating costs to repair or replace individual elements of the system, such as posts or rail. Usually repair of an aesthetic barrier system, such as steel-backed timber guardrail consists of removing and resetting the post or rail section or raising the guardrail to meet standard height requirements.

Barrier Replacement/Reconstruction

If the risk analysis, including the application of asset management roadway categories, indicates the barrier poses an unacceptable safety risk, the first step should be an analysis to determine if there are mitigating measures that can be applied to reduce the risk to an acceptable level without the need to reconstruct the barrier. A second step is to determine if the barrier is needed. If it is practical to eliminate the shielded hazard (by removal, relocation or redesign) removal of the barrier should be considered. However, if the shielded hazard cannot be eliminated or if it is determined inappropriate to remove the barrier (e.g., it is historically significant and/or contributes to the historical or aesthetic significance of the associated road, district or landscape), reconstruction or replacement of the barrier to meet current criteria for crashworthiness may be the appropriate recommended treatment.

The typical reconstruction option used by the NPS for stone masonry guardwalls is to document then dismantle the existing barrier, construct a concrete core and build a stone masonry veneer around the concrete core using the original wall materials and using stone masonry designs that are compatible with the historic road, district or landscape. A number of concrete core stone masonry barrier types have been designed for use in national parks, including 18-in, 22-in, 24-in and 27-in barriers; however, not all have been crash tested or otherwise determined to meet current criteria for crashworthiness.

WORK ORDERS

Work order preparation is essentially determining and documenting the repair actions needed to correct the deficiencies observed during the condition assessment. Barriers are relatively simple structures so this determination can be made by trained inspectors. Keep in mind that this is not a design environment and that more rigorous analysis (if needed) may change the work that is actually performed. The intent of this effort is to prepare a credible estimate of deferred maintenance that may or may not be directly actionable. Simple repairs and/or those that require no compliance with environmental policies (which may be a large percentage of the work orders) can probably be executed without modification.

Once a repair strategy is determined, a cost must be developed for the proposed action. Work orders will be classified as being either deferred maintenance or capital improvement. This classification is based on the type of work recommended, as defined below.

Definition: *Deferred Maintenance* can be classified as repair or replace in kind. Work done to the barrier does not include any upgrading.

Definition: *Capital Improvement* can be classified as upgrading existing barrier. Typically the upgrade will be from a non-crashworthy to a crashworthy device. Other examples of capital improvements would be the addition of a curb to improve drainage or the inclusion of any countermeasure.

There are four types of work:

- No Action
- Monitor
- Repair
- Replace

"No Action" – if risk is low (based on the GIP risk score), a barrier that does not meet current crashworthy performance standards may be acceptable to remain until changes in risk factors would require upgrading.

"Monitor" – if risk is low (based on the GIP risk score), a barrier that does not meet current crashworthy performance standards may be acceptable to remain until changes in risk factors would require upgrading, however, if conditions exist that the park should monitor (e.g., erosion), then "monitor" can be selected as a recommended action.

"Repair" – considered when a barrier damaged by impact deteriorated due to age/weathering and the barrier is functionally sound in a low risk environment. The goal is to bring the barrier back to its "new" condition.

"Replacement/Reconstruction" - when a barrier poses an unacceptable safety risk:

- 1. If the risk score is less than 3 points above the risk threshold, determine if countermeasures can reduce risk so the barrier can be repaired.
- Determine if the barrier is warranted and either shielded hazard or barrier itself can be removed (only when barrier NOT considered historically/culturally significant)

For all barrier repair/replace/reconstruction recommendations, the NPS will vet the recommendations through a project selection, planning and design process, including compliance with:

National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

Aesthetic barriers are commensurate with an approved crashworthy design for the specific conditions at the barrier site as the basis for selecting a crashworthy structure. Types of barriers are generally selected based on emulating the existing types of barriers in the park.