
GRTE GIP Report

NPS Guardwall/Rail Inventory Program Grand Teton National Park







Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

Prepared By:

Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division Road Inventory Program (RIP)

Data Collection Date: July 2010 Report Date: November 2015

Grand Teton National Park in Wyoming






Table of Contents

SEC	TION	PAGE NO
1.	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.	PARK BARRIER LOCATION MAPS	
	Retaining Barrier Location Maps	2 - 1
3.	TIER 1 - PARK BARRIER OVERVIEW	3-1
4.	TIER 2 - ROUTE BARRIER OVERVIEW	4 - 1
5.	TIER 3 - BARRIER DETAILS	5 - 1
6.	APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF GIP DEFINITIONS	A - 1

Introduction



Grand Teton National Park



Introduction

In support of the NPS Facility Management Software System (FMSS) asset management program, FHWA- contracted staff completed the Guardwall/Rail Inventory Program (GIP) inspections within selected National Park Service (NPS) units between 2010 and 2011. This inventory provides static information to FMSS regarding barrier characteristics such as height, length and location, as well as dynamic information about the condition of the barrier. In addition, when barrier deficiencies were identified, repair recommendations and estimated costs, suitable for use as FMSS work orders, were generated to bring the barrier back to its "new" condition.

In over 30 parks, numerous crashworthy barriers inspected maybe in poor condition by simply applying a new overlay of asphalt without milling previous layers. In instances such as this, basically the critical element of barrier height decreased as the elevation of the roadway increased. Resulting work orders were drafted to raise w-beam barriers or to remove and reset stone masonry barriers to their original design height.

This inventory provides static information and a condition assessment of each barrier inventoried. In addition, when barrier deficiencies were identified, repair recommendations and estimated costs were drafted to bring the barrier back to its "new" condition.


Drafted work orders have been classified as being either deferred maintenance or capital improvement. This classification is based on the type of work recommended, as defined below.

- *Deferred Maintenance* can be classified as repair or replace in kind. Work done to the barrier does not include any upgrading.
- *Capital Improvement* can be classified as upgrading part of or the entire existing barrier. Typically the upgrade will be from a non-crashworthy to a crashworthy device. Other examples of capital improvements would be the addition of a curb to improve drainage.

Care was taken to maintain the cultural significance of historic barriers located in the NPS. While historic traffic barriers likely would not withstand current crashworthiness performance criteria, they are considered by the NPS to be important resources for the historic and/or cultural value. Historic barriers may be "character defining features" that contribute to the cultural significance of historic roadways. As such, these barriers have resource value in and of themselves which may be somewhat independent from their functionality as barriers as previously defined. The consideration of both the crashworthiness and resource value of historic barriers was a significant challenge for the NPS and the FHWA when designing the GIP, to the point that for historic stone masonry barriers, the barrier height had to be more than 6-in below its design height before any work would be considered to deal with height issues. To preserve historic stone masonry barriers, typical drafted work orders for historic barriers were to remove and reset the barrier to the barrier's original design height on a concrete footer, as compared to replacing it with a similar crashworthy barrier.

This report is organized in a tiered approach from the broad park overview perspective (Tier 1) to a route overview perspective (Tier 2), then down to the details of each barrier (Tier 3). Tier 1 presents park barrier location maps and an overall park-specific summary narrative of the results of the guardwall/rail inventory program. Tier 2 presents route overview maps with associated barrier summary information. Tier 3 presents individual barrier information in a one-page detailed format, including a photograph of each barrier. Appendix A provides a condensed summary of guardwall/rail inventory definitions and assessment categories to assist in reading this report.


Park Barrier Location Maps



Grand Teton National Park



BARRIER LOCATION MAP Key Map




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

RIP Collected Routes

	Miles	
0	5	10



BARRIER LOCATION MAP Map 1




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community







BARRIER LOCATION MAP Map 2




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community







BARRIER LOCATION MAP Map 3



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier Locations

RIP Collected Routes





Tier 1 Park Barrier Overview



Grand Teton National Park



Parkwide Summary: Grand Teton National Park

Initial barrier inspections were conducted at Grand Teton National Park in 2010, and encompassed all known barriers associated with Park roadways. In general, walls are not included in this assessment, but were inspected under a separate effort as part of the Retaining Wall Inventory Program (WIP).

All paved roadways and parking areas listed in the RIP Route Identification Report were inspected for barriers.

The following tables provide an overview of the findings of this inspection and assessment effort. In all, 43 barriers were inventoried on the routes listed below.

Table 1: Number of Barriers by Route

Route Number	oute Number Route Name	
0010	U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191	31
0011	TETON PARK ROAD	10
0114	PACIFIC CREEK ROAD	1
0212	JACKSON LAKE DAM FISHING ACCESS ROAD	1

Due to the different GIP assessment criteria of barriers based on their intended use, barriers were classified as being either traffic barriers or non-traffic barriers.

- *Traffic* barriers are physical devices intended to keep vehicles or people from straying into dangerous or off-limits areas. For the purpose of this inventory, a traffic barrier is categorized as roadside hardware placed longitudinally, excluding pedestrian railing and fencing.
- Non-traffic barriers provide a physical delineation between public access areas and restricted or protected areas in locations such as a parking lot, viewpoint or turnout. Non-traffic barriers which inhibit access of vehicles are included in this report; non-traffic barriers which only inhibit access of pedestrians or bicyclists are not included. For the purpose of this inventory, non-traffic barriers are guidewalls and guiderails. Note: rocks, stones, boulders, fences or curbs were excluded from this inventory.

There are instances in parks where a single barrier can switch between being classified as a traffic barrier and a non-traffic barrier. Such instances typically occur at pullouts, where a traffic barrier along the road will continue through the pullout without interruption. In such instances, the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier were assessed using different criteria. Due to the different criteria, the GIP database was designed to record the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier as multiple distinct barriers, even though to the eye, they appear as one barrier. Other instances where a single barrier is split into multiple barriers would be when the barrier is placed continuously along two legs of an intersection, so that one portion of the barrier may be on one road and the remaining portion of the barrier is on a different road.

Table 2: Number of Barriers by Function

Barrier Function	No. of Barriers
NON-TRAFFIC	1
TRAFFIC	42

The following table shows the barrier types that were inventoried and assessed.

Table 3: Number of Barriers by Type

Primary Barrier Type	No. of Barriers
Concrete Barrier	4
W-Beam Weak Post	3
W-Beam Strong Post	36

The following table shows the number of barriers by one of four categories of recommended action along with associated work order costs and the number of barriers that are in each recommended action. All work order information is presented for individual barriers, even though some work orders were not accepted by the Park. Some work orders were later combined to simplify route deferred maintenance requests.

Table 4: Number of Barriers by Recommended Action and Associated 2008 Cost

Recommended Action	Repair Costs*	No. of Barriers
No Action	\$0	16
Monitor	\$0	0
Repair	\$130,377	22
Replace	\$68,042	5
Totals	\$198,419	43

^{*2008} cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.

The following table categorizes the number of barriers that fall into one of ten cost ranges, based on the prepared work orders. The locations, work descriptions, and cost of the recommended repairs for these barriers are listed by individual barrier in Tier 3 of this report.

Table 5: Number of Barriers Grouped by Associated 2008 Cost

Cost Range*	No. of Barriers
\$0	16
\$1 - \$25,000	26
\$25,001 - \$50,000	1
\$50,001 - \$100,000	0
\$100,001 - \$250,000	0
\$250,001 - \$500,000	0
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	0
\$1,000,001 - \$2,000,000	0
\$2,000,001 - \$3,000,000	0
\$3,000,001 - \$4,000,000	0
Total Number of Barriers	43

^{*2008} cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.

Data for end terminals was collected on the GIP data collection form and indicates if an end terminal meets current crashworthiness standards. End terminals are specially designed barrier ends that attenuate impacts to the ends of barriers. This is supplemental information that WASO designed into the inventory program.

A total of 49 end terminals were found on barriers at the Park. There are generally a greater number of end treatments than actual barriers because end treatments are located at both the beginning and end of each barrier.

Tier 2 Route Barrier Overview



Grand Teton National Park




ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End Treatment		*Repair	
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost	
GRTE-0010-0.006-R 7/29/2010	73	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	\$0.00	
GRTE-0010-1.948-R 7/30/2010	160	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	NONE	\$4,378.00	
GRTE-0010-1.957-L 7/30/2010	65	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BCT	\$5,632.00	
GRTE-0010-2.018-L 7/30/2010	66	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	NONE	\$3,267.00	
GRTE-0010-2.018-R 7/30/2010	65	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BCT	\$3,564.00	
*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.						


ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End Treatment		*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Type	Begin	End	Cost
GRTE-0010-2.188-L	64	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BCT	\$3,421.00
7/30/2010					
GRTE-0010-2.190-R	66	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	NONE	\$3,575.00
7/30/2010					
GRTE-0010-2.213-L	63	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	NONE	\$3,410.00
7/30/2010					
GRTE-0010-2.216-R	66	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BCT	\$3,575.00
7/30/2010					
GRTE-0010-9.049-L	607	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	\$2,723.00
7/29/2010			550 COM EMANT	550 COM EMANT	
*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.					


ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier Length Barrier Barrier End Treatm	Barrier End Treatment		*Repair	
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Type	Begin	End	Cost	
GRTE-0010-9.051-R 7/29/2010	603	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	\$2,723.00	
GRTE-0010-16.698-L 7/29/2010	1635	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$22,363.00	
GRTE-0010-16.699-R 7/29/2010	1640	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BCT	\$14,460.00	
GRTE-0010-17.660-R 7/28/2010	635	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BURIED END	\$15,092.00	
GRTE-0010-17.864-R 7/28/2010	813	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BURIED END	W-BEAM BURIED END	\$12,161.00	
*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.						

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End Treatment		*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GRTE-0010-17.934-L 7/28/2010	449	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BURIED END	W-BEAM BCT	\$4,109.00
GRTE-0010-18.061-R 7/28/2010	580	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BURIED END	W-BEAM BCT	\$10,670.00
GRTE-0010-18.075-L 7/28/2010	766	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BURIED END	W-BEAM BURIED END	\$8,960.00
GRTE-0010-18.281-L 7/28/2010	915	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BURIED END	W-BEAM BCT	\$16,566.00
GRTE-0010-18.311-R 7/28/2010	792	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$16,957.00
*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.					

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End Treatment		*Repair	
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Type	Begin	End	Cost	
GRTE-0010-18.597-L	986	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$26,587.00	
7/28/2010						
GRTE-0010-21.652-L	128	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$0.00	
7/28/2010						
GRTE-0010-21.655-R	129	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	W-BEAM BCT	\$0.00	
7/28/2010						
GRTE-0010-22.016-L	40	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM FLARED	\$0.00	
7/28/2010				350 COMPLIANT		
GRTE-0010-22.018-R	41	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM FLARED	NONE	\$0.00	
7/28/2010			350 COMPLIANT			
*2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.						


ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, Mapmylndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	l Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GRTE-0010-22.062-L 7/28/2010	40	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	NONE	\$0.00
GRTE-0010-22.063-R 7/28/2010	40	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	\$33.00
GRTE-0010-25.656-R 7/29/2010	38	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	NONE	\$0.00
GRTE-0010-25.657-L 7/29/2010	39	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	\$66.00
GRTE-0010-25.718-L 7/29/2010	39	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	NONE	\$0.00
	*2008 cost estimate (A	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GRTE-0010-25.718-R 7/29/2010	41	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM FLARED 350 COMPLIANT	NONE	\$0.00
	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair cos	ts only.	•


ROUTE 0011: TETON PARK ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	l Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GRTE-0011-3.812-L	79	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BCT	\$4,406.00
7/29/2010					
GRTE-0011-3.812-R	80	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	NONE	\$0.00
7/29/2010					
GRTE-0011-3.845-L	78	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	NONE	\$1,931.00
7/29/2010					
GRTE-0011-3.845-R	79	W-BEAM STRONG POST	NONE	W-BEAM BCT	\$1,898.00
7/29/2010					
GRTE-0011-19.554-L	120	CONCRETE BARRIER	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
7/27/2010					
k	2008 cost estimate (A	STM Class D), preliminary for co	emparison to other repair co	sts only.	


ROUTE 0011: TETON PARK ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, Mapmylndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier End	l Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Type	Begin	End	Cost
GRTE-0011-19.561-R	76	W-BEAM STRONG POST	W-BEAM BCT	NONE	\$3,746.00
7/27/2010					
GRTE-0011-19.616-L	283	CONCRETE BARRIER	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
7/27/2010					
GRTE-0011-19.616-R	994	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
7/27/2010					
GRTE-0011-19.669-L	121	CONCRETE BARRIER	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
7/27/2010					
GRTE-0011-19.752-L	704	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
7/27/2010					
a a	*2008 cost estimate (A	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair cos	sts only.	


ROUTE 0114: PACIFIC CREEK ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier En	d Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GRTE-0114-1.779-R 7/27/2010	202	CONCRETE BARRIER	NONE	NONE	\$0.00
	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for co	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0212: JACKSON LAKE DAM FISHING ACCESS ROAD



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Barrier ID	Barrier Length	Barrier	Barrier En	d Treatment	*Repair
Inspection Date	(Ft.)	Туре	Begin	End	Cost
GRTE-0212-0.198-R	130	W-BEAM WEAK POST	NONE	NONE	\$2,146.00
7/27/2010					
	*2008 cost estimate (AS	STM Class D), preliminary for c	omparison to other repair co	sts only.	

Tier 3 Barrier Details



Grand Teton National Park



Ba	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-0.006-R				
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/201	0 Barrier Rating:		21.10		
Barrier Descripti	on						
Type: W-BEAM		STRONG POST	Barrio	er Function:	TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	GALVANI	ZED STEEL	Po	st Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		:	Length (ft.):	73	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	55			cement with ect to Road:	TANGENT	Γ
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	LOW					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I 350 COMP		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES	/ES		
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.3
Height (In.): 26.2			Lateral Offset (In.):	66.0		rade (%):	2.50
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27	7-in. design heigh	t.	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No major breaking or cracl	king of barrier.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements in bar	rrier.			
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of ba	rrier.			
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27	7-in. design heigh	t.	
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No major breaking or crack	king of barrier.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements in bar	rrier.			
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of ba	rrier.			

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-0.006-R				
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	IWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/2010)		Barrier Rating:	21.10	
Repair Recomme	endations	;					
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparis	son to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191

Barrier Condition Photos



GRTE_0010_0.006_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-1.948-R				
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	40.90	
Barrier Descripti	on						
Type: W-BEAM		STRONG POST Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		L	ength (ft.):	160	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	55			ement with et to Road:	TANGENT	,
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach ion Type:	RIGID W-BEAM - W-BEAM
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0		cing (In.):	76.0
Height (In.):	21.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	117.5	Road G	rade (%):	0.30
Physical Condition		ment and	Alignment is acceptable. I	Barrier height is low by 4-7	in from the des	ign height of	27 in.
	·g	Height:					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No major cracking or breat	king of the barrier. One bro	ken block.		
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing Barrier elemen	ts.			
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of ba	rrier.			
	Align	ment and Height:	The end treatment alignme design height of 27 ines.	nt is acceptable. The end tr	eatment height	is low by 4 in	or less from the
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No major cracking or breal	king of end treatment.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements in the	end treatment.			
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of the	e end treatment.			

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	GRTE-0010-1.948-R						
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	J.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/201	0	Barri	er Rating:	40.90			
Repair Recomme	endations	;							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$4378		
Brief Workorder:	Raise 160ft.	of barrier up to	o 27-in design height. Repla	ce broken block.					
Workorder:	Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 160 LF = \$1600. Raise 160-ft. of barrier up to 27-in design height. Replace Block at \$30- per -Each for 1 Block(s) = \$30. Replace broken block. High Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$2350.								
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.			

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191

Barrier Condition Photos



GRTE_0010_1.948_R_1.JPG



GRTE_0010_1.948_R_2.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-1.957-L				
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/2010	0	Barrier Rating:		38.40	
Barrier Descripti					an g		
•		STRONG POST Barrier Function		Function:	TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	65	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	55			ment with to Road:	TANGENT	•
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	LOW					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	RIGID W-BEAM - W-BEAM
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.): 27			Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	74.5
Height (In.):	22.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	91.6		rade (%):	0.70
Physical Condition	n						
	Align	ment and Height:	End terminal and transition	only.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End terminal and transition	only.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	End terminal and transition	only.			
		osion and eathering:	End terminal and transition	only.			
	Align	ment and Height:	Height is more than 3 in be block.	low 27-in. design height. In	npact to trailing	g end treatmer	nt. One tilted
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken end	treatment elements.			
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.			
		osion and eathering:	Moderate corrosion of end	treatment elements.			

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	GRTE-0010-1.957-L						
Rot	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	J.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/201	0	Barrier	r Rating:	38.40			
Repair Recomme	endations	;							
Repair Action:	REPLACE			CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT		Repair Cost:	\$5632		
Brief Workorder:	Replace BCT	end terminal	remove gravel from face of	barrier and raise transition sec	ction to 27-ir	n. design height.			
Workorder:	Labor at \$60 Adjust Guard	W-beam Trailing End at \$2500- per -Each for 1 Unit(s) = \$2500. Replace BCT end terminal. abor at \$60- per -Hour for 2 Hrs = \$120. Remove gravel from in front of barrier. Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 15 LF = \$150. Raise 15-ft. of barrier up to 27-in design height. High Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$2350.							
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to othe	er repair co	sts only.			

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191

Barrier Condition Photos



GRTE_0010_1.957_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-2.018-L				
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	38.40	
Barrier Descripti							
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD	
Blockout WOOD Type:		WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	66	
Speed Lim	Speed Limit (MPH): 55				ment with to Road:	TANGENT	
Hazard Behind Barrier: LOW							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM BCT		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO	1	Approach ion Type:	RIGID W-BEAM - W-BEAM
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	76.0
Height (In.):	22.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	101.6		rade (%):	0.60
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	End terminal and transition only.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End terminal and transition only.				
	Missing 1	Elements:	End terminal and transition	only.			
		osion and eathering:	End terminal and transition	only.			
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of end treatmen Gravel piled up in front of	t is acceptable. Height is m barrier.	ore than 3 in bo	elow 27-in. de	sign height.
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken end treatment elements.				
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.			
		osion and eathering:	Moderate corrosion and we	eathering of end treatment e	lements.		

В	arrier ID:	rier ID: GRTE-0010-2.018-L								
Rou	Route Name: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191									
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/2010		Barrier Ra	ting:	38.40				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$3267			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 50-ft.	of barrier up to	27-in design height and rer	nove gravel from face of barrier an	d right t	tilted blocks.				
Workorder:	Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 50 LF = \$500. Raise 50-ft. of barrier up to 27-in design height. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 2 Hrs = \$120. Remove gravel from face of barrier and right tilted blocks. High Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$2350.									
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to other re	pair co	ests only.				

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_2.018_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	RTE-0010-2.018-R						
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	42.70			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO			WOOD				
	Blockout Type: WOOD			Le	ngth (ft.):	65			
Speed Lim	Speed Limit (MPH): 55				ment with to Road:	TANGENT			
Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH									
Barrier Crashworthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	RIGID W-BEAM - W-BEAM		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt W-BEAM BCT			NO					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.0		
Height (In.):	22.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	103.5		rade (%):	0.60		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	End treatment and transition	n only.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End treatment and transition	n only.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	End treatment and transition	n only.					
		osion and eathering:	End treatment and transition	on only. Chip seal sweeping	piled up in from	nt of barrier.			
	Align	ment and Height:	The end treatment alignme design height of 27 ines.	nt is acceptable. The end tre	atment height	is low by 4 in	or less from the		
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	No major cracking or breaking of the end treatment.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements in the	end treatment.					
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of the	e end treatment.					

В	arrier ID:	arrier ID: GRTE-0010-2.018-R								
Rou	Route Name: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191									
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	42.70				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$3564			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 65-ft.	of barrier up to	27-in design height and rer	nove chip seal sweepings fro	m front of rail	l.				
Workorder:	Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 65 LF = \$650. Raise 65-ft. of barrier up to 27-in design height. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 4 Hrs = \$240. Remove chip seal sweepings from in front of rail. High Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$2350.									
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	ner repair co	ests only.				

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_2.018_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	RTE-0010-2.188-L					
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191					
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/2010	0	Barrio	er Rating:	38.40		
Barrier Descripti	ion							
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD		
Blockout WOOD Type:		WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	64		
Speed Lim	Speed Limit (MPH): 55				ment with to Road:	TANGENT	`	
Hazard Behind Barrier: LOW								
Barrier Crashworthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	RIGID W-BEAM - W-BEAM	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt W-BEAM BCT			NO				
Average Measure	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	74.0	
Height (In.):	22.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	108.3		rade (%):	0.70	
Physical Condition	on							
	Align	ment and Height:	End terminal and transition	only.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End terminal and transition	only.				
	Missing 1	Elements:	End terminal and transition	only.				
		osion and eathering:	End terminal and transition	only.				
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of end treatmen design height. Gravel piled	t is acceptable. Height of erd up in front of barrier.	nd treatment is	more than 3 i	n below 27-in.	
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:						
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.				
		osion and eathering:	Moderate corrosion and we	eathering of end treatment.				

В	arrier ID:	rier ID: GRTE-0010-2.188-L								
Rou	ite Name:	: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191								
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/2010		Barrier I	Rating:	38.40				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$3421			
Brief Workorder:	Remove grav	vel from face of	f barrier and raise 64-ft. of l	oarrier up to 27-in design height	t.					
Workorder:	Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 64 LF = \$640. Raise 64-ft. of barrier up to 27-in design height. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 2 Hrs = \$120. Remove gravel from face of barrier. High Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$2350.									
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to other	repair cos	sts only.				

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_2.188_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	RTE-0010-2.190-R					
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191					
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	40.90		
Barrier Descripti	ion							
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST Barr		Function: TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO			WOOD			
	Blockout WOOD Type:			Le	ength (ft.):	66		
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	55			ment with to Road:	TANGENT	`	
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashworthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM BCT		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach ion Type:	RIGID W-BEAM - W-BEAM	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt NONE			N/A				
Average Measure	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	74.0	
Height (In.):	21.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	105.0		rade (%):	0.50	
Physical Condition	on							
	Align	ment and Height:	End treatment and transition	n only.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End treatment and transition	n only.				
	Missing 1	Elements:	End treatment and transition	n only.				
		osion and eathering:	End treatment and transition	n only.				
	Align	ment and Height:	The end treatment alignme design height of 27 ines.	nt is acceptable. The end tre	atment height	is low by 7 in	or less from the	
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No major cracking or breaking of the end treatment.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements in the	end treatment.				
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of the	e end treatment.				

В	arrier ID:	rrier ID: GRTE-0010-2.190-R								
Rou	Route Name: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191									
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/201	0	Barrier F	Rating:	40.90				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$3575			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 66-ft.	of barrier up to	27-in design height clean c	hip seal sweepings from front of	f barrier.					
Workorder:	Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 66 LF = \$660. Raise 66-ft. of barrier up to 27-in design height. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 4 Hrs = \$240. remove chip seal sweepings from front of rail. High Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$2350.									
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to other	repair cos	sts only.				

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_2.190_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	RTE-0010-2.213-L					
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191					
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/201	0	Barri	er Rating:	29.80		
Barrier Descripti	ion							
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST Barrier F		Function:	TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD		
Blockout WOOD Type:		WOOD		L	ength (ft.):	63		
Speed Lim	Speed Limit (MPH): 55				ement with	TANGENT		
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: LOW							
Barrier Crashworthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM BCT		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO	1	Approach ion Type:	RIGID W-BEAM - W-BEAM	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt NONE			N/A				
Average Measure	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.0	
Height (In.):	23.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	98.3		rade (%):	1.30	
Physical Condition	on							
	Align	ment and Height:	End terminal and transition	only.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End terminal and transition	only.				
	Missing 1	Elements:	End terminal and transition	only.				
		osion and eathering:	End terminal and transition	only.				
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of end treatmen Gravel piled in front of bar	t is acceptable. Height is mrier.	nore than 3 in b	elow 27-in. de	esign height.	
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken end treatment elements.					
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.				
		osion and eathering:	Moderate corrosion and we	eathering of end treatment e	lements.			

В	arrier ID:	er ID: GRTE-0010-2.213-L								
Rou	ite Name:	me: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191								
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/2010		Barrie	r Rating:	29.80				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$3410			
Brief Workorder:	Remove grav	vel from face of	f barrier and raise 63-ft. of l	oarrier up to 27-in design hei	ght.					
Workorder:	Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 63 LF = \$630. Raise 63-ft. of barrier up to 27-in design height. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 2 Hrs = \$120. Remove excess gravel from face of barrier. High Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$2350.									
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	er repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_2.213_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	RTE-0010-2.216-R						
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/2010	0	Barrie	er Rating:	40.90			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST Barrie		Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type: WOOD			Le	ngth (ft.):	66			
Speed Lim	Speed Limit (MPH): 55				ment with to Road:	TANGENT	,		
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: MEDIUM								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A	I	Approach ion Type:	RIGID W-BEAM - W-BEAM		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt W-BEAM BCT			NO					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.0		
Height (In.):	21.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	102.0		rade (%):	1.40		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	End treatment and transition	n only.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End treatment and transition	n only.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	End treatment and transition	n only.					
		osion and eathering:	End treatment and transition	on only.					
	Align	ment and Height:	The end treatment alignme design height of 27 ines.	nt is acceptable. The end tre	atment height	is low by 8 in	or less from the		
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	No major cracking or breaking of the end treatment.						
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in the	end treatment.					
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of the	e end treatment					

В	arrier ID:	rrier ID: GRTE-0010-2.216-R								
Rou	Route Name: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191									
Inspec	tion Date:	07/30/2010		Barrier Rating:		40.90				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$3575			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 66-ft.	of barrier up to	27-in design height remove	chip seal sweepings from fro	ont of rail.					
Workorder:	Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 66 LF = \$660. Raise 66-ft. of barrier up to 27-in design height. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 4 Hrs = \$240. Remove chip seal sweepings from front of rail. High Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$2350.									
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	er repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_2.216_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-9.049-L				
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/201	0	Barri	er Rating:	28.20	
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	607	
Speed Limit (MPH): 55		55			ment with to Road:	TANGENT	•
Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH							
Barrier Crashwo	Barrier Crashworthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I 350 COMP		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES	1	Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt W-BEAM FLARED Type: 350 COMPLIANT			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.3
Height (In.):	27.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	84.3		rade (%):	0.10
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-in	n. design heigh	t.	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken barri	er elements.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing barrier element	ts.			
		osion and eathering:	Gravel piled up in front of	barrier. No corrosion or we	athering of bar	rier elements.	
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-in	n. design heigh	t.	
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken end treatment elements.				
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g of end treatment elements.			

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-9.049-L					
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191					
Inspec	Inspection Date: 07/29/2010			Barrier Rating: 28.20				
Repair Recomme	endations							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$2723	
Brief Workorder:	Remove exce	ess gravel fron	n face of barrier.					
Workorder:	Grader at \$125- per -Hour for 1 Hrs = \$125. Remove gravel from face of barrier. High Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$2350.							
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	sts only.		

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_9.049_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-9.051-R				
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	28.20	
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier	Function:	TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ngth (ft.):	603	
Speed Limit (MPH): 55		55			ment with to Road:	TANGENT	
Hazard Behine	d Barrier:	HIGH			•		
Barrier Crashwo	Barrier Crashworthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:			Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES	1	Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt	W-BEAM	FLARED	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES	Transit	ion Type.	
Type: 350 COMPLIANT Average Measurements			Crushii worthy				
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Sna	cing (In.):	75.3
Height (In.):	26.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	85.3		rade (%):	0.20
Physical Condition	on						
		ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-in	. design heigh	t.	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken barri	er elements.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing barrier element	ts.			
		osion and eathering:	Gravel piled up in front of	barrier. No corrosion or wea	thering of barr	rier elements.	
	Alignment and Height:			Height is within 1-in of 27-in	. design heigh	t.	
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken end	treatment elements.			
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.			
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g of end treatment elements.			

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-9.051-R				
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191				
Inspection Date: 07/29/2010			Barrie	er Rating:	28.20		
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$2723
Brief Workorder:	Remove exce	ess gravel fron	n face of barrier.				
Workorder:	Grader at \$125- per -Hour for 1 Hrs = \$125. Remove excess gravel from face of barrier. High Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$2350.						
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_9.051_R_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	rier ID: GRTE-0010-16.698-L							
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191						
Inspect	tion Date:	07/29/2010	0		Barrier Rating:	41.20			
Barrier Descripti	on								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO			WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	WOOD			Length (ft.):	1635			
		55			Placement with Respect to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE		
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	HIGH							
Barrier Crashwo	Barrier Crashworthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	Ending End Trtmt W-BEAM BCT			NO					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.0		
Height (In.):	27.5		Lateral Offset (In.):	54.7		rade (%):	4.20		
Physical Condition	n								
	Align	ment and Height:	Barrier alignment is accept from the design height of 2		*	_	ow 4 in or less		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Severe cracking of 6 block	s and minor crac	king on several posts and	blocks in barr	ier.		
	Missing	Elements:	There are 8 missing blocks	in barrier.					
		osion and eathering:	Minor weathering of posts	and blocks in ba	rrier.				
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within	l-in of 27-in. design heigh	t.			
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	One impacted BCT.						
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion/weathering o	f end treatment e	elements.				

Ba	arrier ID:	rier ID: GRTE-0010-16.698-L								
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191							
Inspect	tion Date:	07/29/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	41.20				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$22363			
Brief Workorder:		hove reset and Replace W- beam in impact zones replace 14 blocks raise 160-ft. of barrier up to 27-in design height and by echip seal sweeping from front of barrier.								
Workorder:										
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_16.698_L_1.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-16.699-R				
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191				
Inspect	tion Date:	07/29/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	39.90	
Barrier Descripti		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			g		
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO			Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	1640	
Speed Limit (MPH): 55		55			ment with to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE
Hazard Behind	l Barrier:	HIGH					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type: W-BEAM BCT			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	Design Height (In.): 27		Width (In.):	0.0		cing (In.):	75.3
Height (In.):	27.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	57.5	Road G	rade (%):	4.40
Physical Condition		ment and Height:		66ft. of barrier was 1-3in. beloted rails = 2 each major. T			Minor impacted
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Cracked blocks = 5 each. 1/4 in).	Loose bolts = 3 each. Minor	cracking of so	ome barrier bl	ocks and posts (<
	Missing 1	Elements:	Missing barrier blocks = 5	each.			
		osion and eathering:		ier rail. Minor weathering of Gravel built up in front of e	-	ocks. Severe e	erosion at one post
	Align	ment and Height:		I is acceptable. Height of tra end treatment height is withi	-		
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	Trailing end treatment imp	acted.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	Approach end treatment is	missing 4 blocks (minor imp	pact).		
		osion and eathering:	Minor corrosion and weath	ering of end treatment element	ents.		

В	arrier ID:	rier ID: GRTE-0010-16.699-R								
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191							
				-						
Inspec	ction Date: 07/29/2010			Barrio	er Rating:	39.90				
Repair Recomme	endations	\$								
Repair	REPLACE		FMSS	CAPITAL		Repair	\$14460			
Action:			Work Type:	IMPROVEMENT		Cost:				
Brief Workorder:		place 26 feet of damaged rails 14 blocks replace ending end terminal install asphalt curb for 150 lf at trailing end and raise ft. of guardrail.								
Workorder:				ded post right tilted block an place 2 impacted rails (26 lf	_					
				0. Replace 14 cracked and m	·					
	Grader at \$12	25- per -Hour	for 1 Hrs = \$125. Remove g	ravel from face of barrier.						
				Install 150 lf of asphalt curb	_	d to control rui	noff.			
	1	_		= \$2500. Replace trailing e		. 14				
	1 -		er -Lin. Ft. for 36 LF = \$360 l at \$2350- per -Day for 3 D	Raise 36ft. of guardrail to 2 $av(s) = 7050	2/-in. design h	eignt.				
				ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_16.699_R_1.JPG



GRTE_0010_16.699_R_2.JPG

Ba	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-17.660-R				
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/2010	0		Barrier Rating:	51.40	
Barrier Descripti							
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO			Post Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	WOOD	Length (ft.		Length (ft.):	635	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	55			Placement with despect to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	HIGH					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	I	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt W-BEAM BURIED Type: END			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):				0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.1
Height (In.):	24.5		Lateral Offset (In.):	96.5	Road G	rade (%):	1.40
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of barrier is acceptable for most of it's length - one impact area. 7-ft of barrier was between 1 and 3-in below the 27-in. design height and 565-ft was more than 3-in below the design height. 6 tilted blocks 1 impacted rail (12 L.F.).				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Cracked posts = 1 each. C	racked blocks = 4 ea	ich.		
	Missing 1	Elements:	Missing blocks = 2 each.				
		osion and eathering:	Moderate corrosion of rails posts.	s and weathering of b	barrier posts. No soil e	erosion compr	omising barrier
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I height.	Height of approach e	nd treatment is more th	han 3 in belov	v the 27-in. design
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken end	treatment elements.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.			
		osion and eathering:	Moderate corrosion of rails	and weathering of e	end treatment posts.		

Ba	arrier ID:	rier ID: GRTE-0010-17.660-R							
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191						
Inspect	tion Date:	07/28/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	51.40			
Repair Recomme	endations	;							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$15092		
Brief Workorder:	Replace 16 b	lace 16 broken blocks and 1post adjust tilted blocks and raise 572ft. of guardrail to 27-in. design height.							
Workorder:									
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	ner repair co	sts only.			

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_17.660_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-17.864-R				
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	42.50	
Barrier Descripti	ion						
·	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Lo	ength (ft.):	813	
Speed Limit (MPH):		55			ment with t to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:		BURIED	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES		Approach ion Type:	NONE
_	Ending End Trtmt W-BEAM BURIED Type: END			YES			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.3
Height (In.):	25.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	90.0		rade (%):	4.90
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. 5	338 LF of barrier is up to 3 i	n below the 27	in design heig	ght.
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No tears in beam cracking	in 4 blocks and 15 LF of ba	dly bent W-bea	nm in barrier.	
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing barrier element	is.			
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of ba	rrier. Chip seal sweepings p	iling up in fror	nt of barrier.	
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-in	n. design heigh	t.	
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No major breaking or cracking of the end treatment.				
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements in the	end treatment.			
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of the	e end treatments.			

Ba	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-17.864-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/201	07/28/2010 Barrier Rating:			42.50			
Inspection Date: 07/28/2010 Repair Recommendations					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$12	2161	
Brief Workorder:	Replace 15ft	place 15ft. of bent rail 4 cracked blocks and raise 538-ft. of barrier up to 27-in design height.							
Workorder:	der: Replace Rail at \$25- per -Lin. Ft. for 15 LF = \$375. replace badly bent section of rail Replace Block at \$30- per -Each for 4 Block(s) = \$120. replace cracked blocks Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 538 LF = \$5380. Raise 538-ft. of barrier up to 27-in design height. Labor at \$60- per -Hour for 8 Hrs = \$480. clean chip seal sweepings from front of rail. High Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$4700.								
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	er repair co	sts only.			

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_17.864_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	TE-0010-17.934-L							
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191							
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/2010	0	Barr	ier Rating:	42.90				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
·	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Pos	t Material:	WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		L	ength (ft.):	449				
Speed Limit (MPH): 55		55			ement with ct to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE			
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	HIGH								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:		BURIED	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt Type: W-BEAM BCT			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO						
Average Measure	Average Measurements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.6			
Height (In.):	24.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	83.3		rade (%):	4.20			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. 4 than 3in. below the design				and 80ft. was more			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Loose bolts = 2 each. No o	cracked or broken barrier el	ements.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing barrier element	ts.						
		osion and eathering:	Moderate corrosion of rails	s and weathering of barrier	posts/blocks. C	Gravel built up	in front of barrier.			
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment of end treatmen	ts is good. Trailing end is	more than 3in. I	below the 27-i	n. design height.			
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No broken or cracked end treatment elements.							
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.						
		osion and eathering:	Moderate corrosion of rails	s and weathering of end trea	atment posts.					

В	arrier ID:	rier ID: GRTE-0010-17.934-L								
Roi	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191							
Inspection Date: 07/28/2010			0	Barrie	r Rating:	42.90				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPAIR	AIR FMSS DEFERRED Repair \$4 Work Type: MAINTENANCE Cost:								
Brief Workorder:	Remove exce height.	ess gravel fron	n face of barrier right tilted b	olocks and tighten bolts and ra	aise 120ft. of	guardrail to 27-in	. design			
Workorder:	Labor at \$60 Adjust Guard	ader at \$125- per -Hour for 1 Hrs = \$125. Remove gravel from face of barrier. bor at \$60- per -Hour for 1 Hrs = \$60. Right tilted blocks and tighten bolts. ljust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 120 LF = \$1200. Raise 120ft. of guardrail to 27-in. design height. gh Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$2350.								
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.									

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_17.934_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	TE-0010-18.061-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/2010	0	Barr	ier Rating:	35.40			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
·	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Pos	t Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Length (ft.):		580			
Speed Lim	Speed Limit (MPH): 55 Hazard Behind Barrier: MEDIUM				ement with ct to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	Appropriate Test Level: TL-3 Bar Test Level: Test Level				1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:		BURIED	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES		Approachtion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt W-BEAM BCT Type:			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO					
Average Measurements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.3		
Height (In.):	25.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	85.3		rade (%):	1.70		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Barrier alignment is accept	able. Barrier height is low	by 3 in or less	from design h	eight of 27 in.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Major cracking in 2 blocks	no tears in barrier rail.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	Two missing barrier blocks	S.					
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of ba	rrier. Gravel buildup in fro	nt of barrier.				
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-	in. design heigh	ıt.			
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No major breaking or crack	king of the end treatments.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements in the	e end treatments.					
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of the	e end treatments.					

В	Barrier ID: GRTE-0010-18.061-R								
Roi	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	IWAY 26/89/191						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/201	0	Barrier 1	Rating:	35.40			
Repair Recomme	endations	;							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$10670		
Brief Workorder:	Raise 440ft.	of guardrail to	27-in. design height replace	4 blocks and clean chip seal sw	weepings fr	om front of barrier.			
Workorder:	Adjust Guard Labor at \$60	eplace Block at \$30- per -Each for 4 Block(s) = \$120. Replace 2 missing and 2 cracked blocks Hjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 440 LF = \$4400. Raise 440ft. of guardrail to 27-in. design height. Bor at \$60- per -Hour for 8 Hrs = \$480.Remove chip seal sweepings from front of barrier. By Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$4700.							
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to other	r repair co	sts only.			

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_18.061_R_1.JPG

	rrier ID:	QVIE-001	TE-0010-18.075-L							
Rout	e Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191							
Inspection	on Date:	07/28/2010	0	Barrie	er Rating:	41.20				
Barrier Descriptio	n									
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier M	Aaterial:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD				
I	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Length (ft.):		766				
Speed Limit (MPH): 55		55			ment with to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE			
Hazard Behind	Barrier:	HIGH								
Barrier Crashwor	thiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	-			TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
1 ~ 1	W-BEAM I END	BURIED	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES		Approach ion Type:	NONE			
Ending End Trtmt W-BEAM BURIED Type: END			Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES						
Average Measurements										
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.6			
Height (In.):	26.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	120.3	Road G	rade (%):	1.00			
Physical Condition	n									
	Align	ment and Height:	Barrier alignment is acceptable. Height of barrier was 1-3in. below the 27-in. design height for 145ft. and more than 3-in below the design height for 163ft. Tilted blocks = 4 each. Minor impact to two sections of rail.							
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Cracked blocks = 7 each. 1	Minor cracking of most post	s and blocks (<	< 1/4 in).				
	Missing I	Elements:	Missing blocks = 1 each.							
		osion and eathering:	Minimal corrosion of rails	and weathering of posts and	blocks. Grave	el piled up in 1	front of barrier.			
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-in	. design heigh	t.				
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	end treatments.						
	Missing I	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g of end treatments.						

В	arrier ID:	er ID: GRTE-0010-18.075-L								
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191							
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/201	0	Barrie	r Rating:	41.20				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$8960			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 308ft.	of guardrail to	27-in. design height remove	e excess gravel from face of b	parrier and rep	blace 8 cracked m	nissing blocks.			
Workorder:	Replace Bloc Adjust Guard	Grader at \$125- per -Hour for 1 Hrs = \$125. Remove excess gravel from face of barrier. Replace Block at \$30- per -Each for 8 Block(s) = \$240. Replace cracked and missing blocks. Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 308 LF = \$3080. Raise 308ft. of guardrail to 27-in. design height. High Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$4700.								
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.									

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_18.075_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-18.281-L				
Rou	ute Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/201	0	Barri	er Rating:	42.40	
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Lo	ength (ft.):	915	
Speed Limit (MPH): 55		55			ment with to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM					
Barrier Crashwo	orthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	I .	BURIED	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.1
Height (In.):	25.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	59.5	Road G	rade (%):	0.40
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Barrier alignment is accept 27-in. design height for 42:			_	
Barrier	1	aking and Cracking:	Barrier has 6 badly cracked	l or broken blocks and 50 L	F of bent and to	orn W beam.	
	Missing 1	Elements:	Two missing blocks in barr	ier.			
	1	osion and eathering:	Minor weathering of posts	and blocks in barrier. Grave	el built up in fr	ont of barrier.	
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-i	n. design heigh	t.	
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	end treatments.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.			
	1	osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g of end treatments.			

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-18.281-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	42.40			
Repair Recomme	endations	;							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:		\$16566	
Brief Workorder:			to 27-in. design height replays from front of barrier.	ace 6 blocks 50ft. of bent W	- beam remov	e and adjust in	npact zone and		
Workorder:	Replace Bloc Replace Rail Adjust Guard Labor at \$60	move & Reset Guardrail at \$25- per -Lin. Ft. for 50 LF = \$1250. Remove and reset posts in impact zone. place Block at \$30- per -Each for 6 Block(s) = \$180. Replace cracked and broken blocks. place Rail at \$25- per -Lin. Ft. for 50 LF = \$1250. Replace bent and torn rail in impact zone. giust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 720 LF = \$7200. Raise 770ft. of guardrail up to 27-in. design height. poor at \$60- per -Hour for 8 Hrs = \$480. Remove chip seal sweepings from front of barrier. The Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 2 Day(s) = \$4700.							
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to otl	her repair co	sts only.			

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_18.281_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-18.311-R				
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	43.90	
Barrier Descripti							
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier	Function:	TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Length (ft.):		792	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	55			ement with et to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier nworthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.4
Height (In.):	24.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	61.5		rade (%):	1.80
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Barrier alignment is accept more than 3-in below the d impacts.	able. Barrier height is 1-3ir lesign height for 67ft. Tilte		_	•
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Cracked blocks = 1 each.	Minor breaking and crackin	g of blocks and	l posts (<1/4 in	n).
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing barrier element	ts.			
		osion and eathering:	Minor corrosion and weath	pering of barrier elements.	Gravel piled in	front of barrie	r.
	Align	ment and Height:	Trailing and approach end	treatments are more than 3i	n. below the 27	7-in. design he	ight.
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	Impact at trailing end treat	ment.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.			
		osion and eathering:	Minor corrosion and weath	ering of end treatment elen	nents.		

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-18.311-R							
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191								
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/201	0	Barri	er Rating:	43.90				
Repair Recomme	endations	;								
Repair Action:	REPLACE			CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT		Repair Cost:	\$16957			
Brief Workorder:			to 27-in. design height replace one cracked block.	ace trailing end treatment re	emove excess g	gravel from face	e of barrier			
Workorder:	Grader at \$12 Labor at \$60 Replace Bloo Adjust Guard	eam Trailing End at \$2500- per -Each for 1 Unit(s) = \$2500. Replace trailing end treatment. der at \$125- per -Hour for 1 Hrs = \$125. Remove excess gravel from face of barrier. or at \$60- per -Hour for 2 Hrs = \$120. Right tilted blocks. lace Block at \$30- per -Each for 1 Block(s) = \$30. Replace one cracked block. ast Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 559 LF = \$5590. Raise 559ft. of guardrail up to 27-in. design height. a Speed Traffic Control at \$2350- per -Day for 3 Day(s) = \$7050.								
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_18.311_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-18.597-L				
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/2010	0	Barrie	er Rating:	52.40	
Barrier Descripti		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			g		
	Туре:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO	T USE THERET MILE		WOOD		
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Length (ft.):		986	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	55			ment with to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO			
Average Measure	ements						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0		cing (In.):	74.4
Height (In.):	24.3		Lateral Offset (In.):	55.7	Road G	rade (%):	1.80
Physical Condition		ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. T more than 3-in below the d	he barrier height is 1-3in. be esign height for 256ft.	elow the 27-in.	design height	for 730ft. and
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	There is minor cracking of	posts and blocks with 3 bro	ken posts and 3	3 cracked or b	roken blocks.
	Missing 1	Elements:	There are 3 missing blocks	in the barrier.			
		osion and eathering:	There is no major weatheri	ng of the barrier			
	Align	ment and Height:		reatments is acceptable. Ali eatments are more than 3in.			
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken end	treatment elements.			
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.			
		osion and eathering:	Minimal corrosion/weather	ring of end treatment elemen	its.		

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-18.597-L							
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191							
T	· D ·	07/20/201	0	D .	D. C	52.40				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	52.40				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPLACE			CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT		Repair Cost:		\$26587		
Brief Workorder:		se 861ft. of guardrail up to 27-in. design height replace end treatment replace 25ft. of rail 6 blocks 3 posts and remove chip I sweepings from front of barrier.								
Workorder:	Adjust Guard Replace Bloc Replace Post Labor at \$60 Remove Gua W-Beam Stro W-beam flaro W-beam flaro	drail at \$10- per ck at \$30- per at \$100- per c- per -Hour for rdrail at \$10- pong Post at \$3.0 complied end treatmet	in. Ft. for 25 LF = \$625. Re er -Lin. Ft. for 861 LF = \$86 -Each for 6 Block(s) = \$180. Each for 3 Post(s) = \$300. Re as Hrs = \$480. Remove chipper -Lin. Ft. for 125 LF = \$15 - per -Lin. Ft. for 95 LF = \$15 ant at \$3500 - per -Each for 125 LF = \$15 - per -Lin. Ft. for 95 LF = \$15 - per	10. Raise 861ft. of guardrail Replace cracked and missing teplace cracked and broken poseal sweepings from face of 250. Remove approach end 63325. Install 95ft. of W-beat Unit(s) = \$3500. Replace of	up to 27-in. d ng blocks. posts. f barrier and r treatment. m strong post	ight turned blo				
			ASTM Class D), prelimin		ier repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_18.597_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	RTE-0010-21.652-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	20.70			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Length (ft.): 128		128			
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	55			ment with t to Road:	TANGENT			
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	mt NO Approach NONE					
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	74.3		
Height (In.):	27.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	70.5	Road G	rade (%):	1.20		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-ii	n. design heigh	t.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking or	ı barrier.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing barrier element	ts.					
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of ba	rrier.					
	ment and Height:	Height is within 1-in of 27-in	n. design heigh	t.					
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking: No cracked or broken end treatment elements.									
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.					
		osion and eathering:	Minimal corrosion/weather	ring of end treatment elemen	nts.				

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-21.652-L				
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	IWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/2010	0	Barr	ier Rating:	20.70	
Repair Recomme	endations	;					
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC)N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to o	ther repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_21.652_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	RTE-0010-21.655-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	20.70			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	129			
Speed Lim		55		Placement with Respect to Road: TANGENT					
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	emt NO Approach NONE					
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.5		
Height (In.):	27.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	56.5		rade (%):	1.20		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-in	n. design heigh	t.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	Ebarrier.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing barrier element	ts.					
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of ba	rrier.					
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-in	n. design heigh	t.			
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking: No cracked or broken end treatment elements.									
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.					
		osion and eathering:	Minimal corrosion/weather	ring of end treatment elemer	nts.				

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-21.655-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	J.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/2010)		Barrier Rating:	20.70			
Repair Recomme					9				
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0		
Brief Workorder:	N/A								
Workorder:									
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparis	on to other repair co	sts only.			

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_21.655_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-22.016-L						
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	IWAY 26/89/191						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/2010	0	Barrie	er Rating:	20.70			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier	Function:	TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ngth (ft.):	40			
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	55		Placement with Respect to Road:			?		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A	1	Approach ion Type:	BRIDGE RAIL W-BEAM		
Ending End Trtmt	W-BEAM I		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	tmt YES					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	48.5		
Height (In.):	27.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	83.0		rade (%):	1.30		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	End treatment and transition	on only.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End treatment and transition	on only.					
	Missing	Elements:	End treatment and transition	on only.					
		osion and eathering:	End treatment and transition	on only.					
	Alignment and Height: Alignment is acceptable. Height is within 1-in of 27-in. design height.								
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken end	broken end treatment elements.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g of end treatment elements.	No erosion co	ompromising	posts.		

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-22.016-L				
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	IWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/2010	0	Barr	ier Rating:	20.70	
Repair Recomme	endations	;					
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC)N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to o	ther repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_22.016_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-22.018-R							
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191							
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/201	0	Barri	er Rating:	20.70				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	ONG POST Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post Material:						
	Blockout Type:									
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	55		Placement with Respect to Road: TANGENT						
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I 350 COMP		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	tmt YES Approach BRIDGE RAIL						
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	50.5			
Height (In.):	27.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	71.0		rade (%):	1.10			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	End treatment and transition	n only.						
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End treatment and transition	n only.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	End treatment and transition	on only.						
		osion and eathering:	End treatment and transition	n only.						
	Alignment and Height: Alignment is acceptable. Height is within 1-in of 27-in. design height.									
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken end	racked or broken end treatment elements.						
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g of end treatment elements.	No erosion co	ompromising p	posts.			

Ba	arrier ID:	GRTE-0010	0-22.018-R				
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	IWAY 26/89/191				
Inspect	tion Date:	07/28/2010)		Barrier Rating:	20.70	
Repair Recomme					8		
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A				·		
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for compar	ison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_22.018_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	GRTE-0010-22.062-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/201	0	Barri	er Rating:	20.70			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	TRONG POST Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC				
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post Material:					
Blockout Type: WOOD Length (ft.): 40									
Speed Lim		55		Placement with Respect to Road:					
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM							
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I 350 COMP		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	nd Trtmt YES Approach BRIDGE RAIL					
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	51.5		
Height (In.):	28.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	74.3		rade (%):	1.40		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	End treatment and transition	on only.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End treatment and transition	n only.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	End treatment and transition	n only.					
		osion and eathering:	End treatment and transition	on only.					
	Alignment and Height: Alignment is acceptable. Height is within 1-in of 27-in. design height.								
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken end treatment elements.						
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.					
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g of end treatment elements.	No erosion co	ompromising p	posts.		

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-22.062-L				
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	IWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/2010)		Barrier Rating:	20.70	
Repair Recomme	endations	;					
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for compari	ison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_22.062_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	RTE-0010-22.063-R							
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191							
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/201	0	Barri	er Rating:	20.70				
Barrier Descripti	ion									
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC					
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Length (ft.): 40						
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	55		Placement with Respect to Road:						
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness									
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-3		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES			
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:				
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I 350 COMP		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES						
Average Measure	ements									
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	50.0			
Height (In.):	27.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	79.0	Road G	rade (%):	1.60			
Physical Condition	on									
	Align	ment and Height:	End treatment and transition	on only.						
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End treatment and transition	on only.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	End treatment and transition	on only.						
		osion and eathering:	End treatment and transition	on only.						
	Alignment and Height: Alignment is acceptable. Height is within 1-in of 27-in. design height.									
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken end	No cracked or broken end treatment elements.						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.						
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g of end treatment elements.	No erosion co	ompromising j	posts.			

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-22.063-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/28/201	0	Barri	er Rating:	20.70			
Repair Recomme	endations								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$33		
Brief Workorder:	Replace brok	en block.							
Workorder:	Replace Bloo	ek at \$30- per	-Each for 1 Block(s) = $$30$.	Replace broken block.					
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.								

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_22.063_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	GRTE-0010-25.656-R					
Rou	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191					
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/2010	0	Barrie	er Rating:	20.70		
Barrier Descripti	ion							
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	STRONG POST Barrier Function		TRAFFIC		
Barrier Material: WEATHER STEEL/CO				WOOD				
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ngth (ft.):	38		
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	45			ment with to Road:	TANGENT	,	
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM						
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness							
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I 350 COMP		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES	-		CONC/MASON W-BEAM	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A				
Average Measure	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	56.5	
Height (In.):	27.6		Lateral Offset (In.):	71.0		rade (%):	0.80	
Physical Condition								
	Align	ment and Height:	End treatment only.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End treatment only.					
	Missing	Elements:	End treatment only.					
		osion and eathering:	End treatment only.					
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-in	ı. design heigh	t.		
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No major breaking or crack	king of end treatment.				
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.				
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of en	d treatment.				

Barrier ID:	GRTE-0010)-25.656-R				
Route Name:	U.S. HIGH	WAY 26/89/191				
Inspection Date:	07/29/2010		В	Barrier Rating:	20.70	
Repair Recommendation	S					
Repair NO ACTION ACTION ACTION	ON	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder: N/A						
Workorder:						
2008 c	ost astimata (A)	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison	to other reneir co	ete only	

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_25.656_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	GRTE-0010-25.657-L					
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191					
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	31.20		
Barrier Descripti	ion							
Type: W-BEAM S		STRONG POST	STRONG POST Barrier Function		TRAFFIC			
Barrier Material: WEATHER STEEL/CC				Post	Material:	WOOD		
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ngth (ft.):	39		
Speed Lim		45			ment with to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE	
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	HIGH						
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness							
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	I	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A	·		NONE	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I 350 COMP		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES				
Average Measure	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	50.5	
Height (In.):	27.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	84.3		rade (%):	0.40	
Physical Condition								
	Align	ment and Height:	End treatment only.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End treatment only.					
	Missing	Elements:	End treatment only.					
		osion and eathering:	End treatment only.					
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-in	ı. design heigh	t. One tilted b	lock.	
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	No cracked or broken end	treatment elements.				
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.				
		osion and eathering:	Minimal corrosion and wea	athering of end treatment ele	ments.			

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	GRTE-0010-25.657-L						
Rot	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191						
		05/00/00/	^		D (1)	21.20			
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/2010 Barrier Rating		Rating:	31.20				
Repair Recomme	endations								
Repair	REPAIR		FMSS	DEFERRED		Repair	\$66		
Action:			Work Type:	MAINTENANCE		Cost:			
Brief	Right one tilt	ed block.							
Workorder:									
W de - de -	Labor at \$60	nor Hour for	r 1 Hrs = \$60. 1 hour of labo	er to right a tilted blook					
Workorder:	Labor at 500	- per -110ur 10	1 1 1115 – \$60. 1 110ul 01 1a00	it to right a tifted block.					
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to othe	er repair co	sts only.			

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_25.657_L_1.JPG

Route Name: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191 Inspection Date: 07/29/2010 Barrier Rating: 31.20 Barrier Description Type: W-BEAM STRONG POST Barrier Function: TRAFFIC Barrier Material: WEATHERING STEEL/CORTEN Post Material: WOOD Length (ft.): 39 Curve Speed Limit (MPH): 45 Placement with Respect to Road: Placement with Respect to Road: Type: HIGH Barrier Crashworthiness Appropriate Test TL-2 Barrier TL-3 Is Barrier YES Crashworthy?: Crashworthy?: Crashworthy?: Test Level: Crashworthy?: Test Level: Test Level: Test Level: Test Level: Test Level: Transition Type: NONE Transition Type: NONE Transition Type: Average Measurements Design Height (In.): 27 Width (In.): 0.0 Post Spacing (In.): 49.5 Height (In.): 27.2 Lateral Offset (In.): 77.3 Road Grade (%): 0.70 Physical Condition Alignment and Height: End treatment only.	Barrier II): GRTE-0010-25.718-L				
Barrier Description Type: W-BEAM STRONG POST Barrier Function: TRAFFIC Barrier Material: WEATHERING STEEL/CORTEN Blockout Type: WOOD Blockout Type: Speed Limit (MPH): 45 Placement with Respect to Road: Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH Barrier Crashworthiness Appropriate Test Level: TL-2 Barrier Test Level: Crashworthy?: Seg. End Trtmt Type: 150 COMPLIANT Crashworthy?: Test Level: Transition Type: Post Specing (In.): NONE Ending End Trtmt Type: NONE Transition Type: None Beign Height (In.): 27 Width (In.): 0.0 Post Spacing (In.): 49.5 Height (In.): 27.2 Lateral Offset (In.): 77.3 Road Grade (%): 0.70 Physical Condition Alignment and End treatment only.	Route Nam	U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/1	91			
Barrier Description Type: W-BEAM STRONG POST Barrier Function: TRAFFIC Barrier Material: WEATHERING STEEL/CORTEN Blockout Type: WOOD Blockout Type: Speed Limit (MPH): 45 Placement with Respect to Road: Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH Barrier Crashworthiness Appropriate Test Level: TL-2 Barrier Test Level: Crashworthy?: Seg. End Trtmt Type: 150 COMPLIANT Crashworthy?: Test Level: Transition Type: Post Specing (In.): NONE Ending End Trtmt Type: NONE Transition Type: None Beign Height (In.): 27 Width (In.): 0.0 Post Spacing (In.): 49.5 Height (In.): 27.2 Lateral Offset (In.): 77.3 Road Grade (%): 0.70 Physical Condition Alignment and End treatment only.	Inspection Dat	e: 07/29/2010		Barrier Rating:	31.20	
Type: W-BEAM STRONG POST Barrier Function: TRAFFIC Barrier Material: WEATHERING STEEL/CORTEN Blockout Type: WOOD Length (ft.): 39 Speed Limit (MPH): 45 Placement with Respect to Road: UTSIDE OF CURVE Respect to Road: Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH Barrier Crashworthiness Appropriate Test Level: TL-2 Barrier Test Level: Crashworthy?: Test Level: Crashworthy?: Test Level: Test Level: Test Level: Test Level: Test Level: Test Level: Transition Type: NONE Transition Type: NONE Transition Type: Tending End Trtmt Type: Tending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?: Transition Type: Tending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?: Transition Type: Tending End Trtmt Type: Tending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?: Transition Type: Tending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?: Transition Type: Tending End End Indicate Type: Tending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?: Transition Type: Tending End End Indicate Type:	_			5		
STEEL/CORTEN WOOD Length (ft.): 39	•	e: W-BEAM STRONG POST	STRONG POST Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Type: Speed Limit (MPH): 45 Respect to Road: Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH Barrier Crashworthiness Appropriate Test Level: Test Level: Crashworthy?: Beg. End Trtmt Type: S50 COMPLIANT Crashworthy?: Transition Type: Ending End Trtmt Type: NONE Ending End Trtmt Type: NONE Crashworthy?: None Cra	Barrier Materia					
Respect to Road:		-*		Length (ft.):	39	
Barrier Crashworthiness Appropriate Test Level: Beg. End Trtmt Type: Beg. End Trtmt Type: Bed. Ending End Trtmt Type: Bed. Ending End Trtmt Type: Bed. Ending End Trtmt Type: Ending End Trtmt Type: Who Ending End Trtmt Crashworthy?: Ending End Trtmt Type: Who Ending End Trtmt Crashworthy?: Ending End Trtmt Type: Who Ending End Trtmt Crashworthy?: Ending End Trtmt Type: Average Measurements Design Height (In.): 27 Width (In.): Who Ending End Trtmt Crashworthy?: Average Measurements Design Height (In.): Alignment and End treatment only.	Speed Limit (MPH): 45			OUTSIDE	OF CURVE
Appropriate Test Level: Beg. End Trtmt Type: Beg. End Trtmt Type: NONE Ending End Trtmt Type: NONE Crashhworthy?: Ending End Trtmt Type: NONE Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?: NONE Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?: Average Measurements Design Height (In.): 27 Width (In.): 0.0 Post Spacing (In.): 49.5 Height (In.): Alignment and End treatment only.	Hazard Behind Barrie	HIGH				
Level: Test Level: Crashworthy?: Beg. End Trtmt Type: 350 COMPLIANT Ending End Trtmt Type: NONE Ending End Trtmt Type: Crashhworthy?: N/A Ending End Trtmt Type: NONE Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?: N/A Average Measurements Design Height (In.): 27 Width (In.): 0.0 Post Spacing (In.): 49.5 Height (In.): 27.2 Lateral Offset (In.): 77.3 Road Grade (%): 0.70 Physical Condition Alignment and End treatment only.	Barrier Crashworthine	S				
Beg. End Trtmt Type: 350 COMPLIANT Ending End Trtmt Type: NONE Ending End Trtmt Type: NONE Ending End Trtmt Type: NONE Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?: N/A Average Measurements Design Height (In.): 27 Width (In.): 0.0 Post Spacing (In.): 49.5 Height (In.): 27.2 Lateral Offset (In.): 77.3 Road Grade (%): 0.70 Physical Condition Alignment and End treatment only.						YES
Ending End Trtmt Type: Average Measurements Design Height (In.): 27 Width (In.): 0.0 Post Spacing (In.): 49.5 Height (In.): 27.2 Lateral Offset (In.): 77.3 Road Grade (%): 0.70 Physical Condition Alignment and End treatment only.	Beg. End Trtmt W-BEA	M FLARED Is Beg. End	Trtmt YES	Approach NONE		NONE
Design Height (In.): 27 Width (In.): 0.0 Post Spacing (In.): 49.5 Height (In.): 27.2 Lateral Offset (In.): 77.3 Road Grade (%): 0.70 Physical Condition Alignment and End treatment only.	Ending End Trtmt NONE				· · · · ·	
Design Height (In.): 27 Width (In.): 0.0 Post Spacing (In.): 49.5 Height (In.): 27.2 Lateral Offset (In.): 77.3 Road Grade (%): 0.70 Physical Condition Alignment and End treatment only.	Average Measurements					
Height (In.): 27.2 Lateral Offset (In.): 77.3 Road Grade (%): 0.70 Physical Condition Alignment and End treatment only.		Width	(In.): 0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	49.5
Alignment and End treatment only.		Lateral Offset	t (In.): 77.3			0.70
	Physical Condition					
	Al		y.			
Breaking and Cracking: End treatment only.			y.			
Missing Elements: End treatment only	Missir	g Elements: End treatment onl	y			
Corrrosion and Weathering: End treatment only.			y.			
Alignment and Height: Alignment is acceptable. Height is within 1-in of 27-in. design height.	Al	5	eptable. Height is within	n 1-in of 27-in. design heigh	t.	
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking: No breaking or cracking of end treatment elements.		- · · · · · ·	acking of end treatment	t elements.		
Missing Elements: No missing end treatment elements.	Missir	g Elements: No missing end tr	reatment elements.			
Corrrosion and Winimal corrosion and weathering of end treatment elements. Weathering:			n and weathering of end	d treatment elements.		

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-25.718-L								
Rot	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	HWAY 26/89/191								
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	31.20					
Repair Recomme	endations										
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:		\$0			
Brief Workorder:	N/A										
Workorder:											
	2008 cost estimate (ASTM Class D), preliminary for comparison to other repair costs only.										

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_25.718_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	GRTE-0010-25.718-R					
Rou	ite Name:	U.S. HIGH	IWAY 26/89/191					
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	20.70		
Barrier Descripti	ion							
	Type:	W-BEAM S	TRONG POST Barrier Function:			TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Barrier Material: WEATHE STEEL/CO			2 050 1/2000 2010		WOOD		
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	41		
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	45			ment with to Road:	TANGENT	,	
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM						
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness							
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I 350 COMP		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	YES		Approach ion Type:	NONE	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A				
Average Measure	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	58.0	
Height (In.):	28.2		Lateral Offset (In.): 66.3 Road Grade (%): 0.10					
Physical Condition	on							
	Align	ment and Height:						
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End treatment only.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	End treatment only.					
		osion and eathering:	End treatment only.					
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is 0-2in. above the 27	-in. design hei	ght.		
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No major breaking or crack	xing of the end treatment.				
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.				
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of the	e end treatment.				

Ba	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	0-25.718-R				
Rot	ıte Name:	U.S. HIGH	IWAY 26/89/191				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/2010)		Barrier Rating:	20.70	
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparis	son to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0010: U.S. HIGHWAY 26/89/191



GRTE_0010_25.718_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	GRTE-0011-3.812-L					
Rou	ite Name:	TETON P.	ARK ROAD					
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	18.00		
Barrier Descripti	ion							
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO	1 050 1/11/01		WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	WOOD		Le	ength (ft.):	79		
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	30			ment with to Road:	TANGENT		
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM						
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness							
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A	-		CONC/MASON W-BEAM	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO				
Average Measure	ements							
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	74.5	
Height (In.): 26.7 Lateral Offset (In.):				63.2		rade (%):	0.20	
Physical Condition								
	Align	ment and Height:	Barrier consists of transition	on and end terminal only.				
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Barrier is transition and end	d terminal only.				
	Missing 1	Elements:	Barrier is transition and end	d terminal only.				
	1	osion and eathering:	Barrier is transition and end	d terminal only.				
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-in	n. design heigh	t.		
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	Trailing end treatment imp	acted. Broken blocks - 1 ea	ch.			
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.				
		osion and eathering:	Minimal corrosion and wea	athering of end treatment ele	ements.			

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	1-3.812-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	TETON P	ETON PARK ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/201	0	Barri	er Rating:	18.00			
Repair Recomme	endations	}							
Repair Action:	REPLACE			CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT		Repair Cost:	\$4406		
Brief Workorder:	Replace trail	Replace trailing end terminal and 1 broken block.							
Workorder:	order: W-beam Trailing End at \$2500- per -Each for 1 Unit(s) = \$2500. Replace trailing end terminal. Replace Block at \$30- per -Each for 1 Block(s) = \$30. Replace broken block. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.								
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to o	ther repair co	osts only.			

ROUTE 0011: TETON PARK ROAD



GRTE_0011_3.812_L_1.JPG

Inspection Date: 07/2 Barrier Description	TON PARK ROAD 29/2010 BEAM STRONG POST	Barrier Ra	ating: 17.80	
Barrier Description		Barrier Ra	ating: 17.80	
Barrier Description				
-	BEAM STRONG POST		9	
Type. W-B		Barrier Fund	ction: TRAFFIC	
I I	EATHERING EEL/CORTEN	Post Mate	erial: WOOD	
Blockout Type:	OOD	Length	(ft.): 80	
Speed Limit (MPH): 45		Placement Respect to F	l	Γ
Hazard Behind Barrier: MED	DIUM			
Barrier Crashworthiness				
Appropriate Test Level:	Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	Is Barrier Crashworthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt W-BEAM BCT Type:	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO	Approach CONC/MASO Transition Type: W-BEAM	
Ending End Trtmt NONE Type:	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		
Average Measurements				
Design Height (In.): 27	Width (In.):	0.0 Pos	st Spacing (In.):	74.0
Height (In.): 26.2	Lateral Offset (In.):		oad Grade (%):	
Physical Condition				
Alignment He	eight:	n and end terminal only.		
Barrier Breaking Cracl	g and End treatment and Transition	on only.		
Missing Element	nents: End treatment and Transition	on only.		
Corrrosion Weather	l	on only.		
Alignment He	Alignment is acceptable. Feight:	Height is within 1-in of 27-in. desig	gn height.	
End Treatments Breaking Crack	g and No major breaking or crack cking:	cing of the end treatment.		
Missing Eleme	nents: No missing elements in the	end treatment.		
Corrrosion Weather	l	e end treatment.		

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	1-3.812-R				
Rou	ute Name:	TETON PA	ARK ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/2010)		Barrier Rating:	17.80	
Repair Recommo	endations	\$					
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	DN	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 со	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for compa	rison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0011: TETON PARK ROAD



GRTE_0011_3.812_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	RTE-0011-3.845-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	TETON P.	ARK ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/2010	0	Barrie	er Rating:	18.00			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post Material:		WOOD			
Blockout Type:			Le	ngth (ft.):	78				
Speed Limit (MPH): 30		30			ment with to Road:	TANGENT			
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: MEDIUM								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach ion Type:	CONC/MASON W-BEAM		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	75.0		
Height (In.):	25.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	63.2		rade (%):	0.20		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Barrier consists of transition	n and end terminal only.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Barrier is end treatment and	d transition only.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	Barrier is end treatment and	d transition only.					
		osion and eathering:	Barrier is end treatment and	d transition only.					
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable.	Approach end treatment is 1-	3in. below the	27-in. design	height.		
End Treatments		Breaking and Cracking: No cracked or broken end treatment elements.							
	Missing	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.					
		osion and eathering:	Minimal corrosion and wea	athering of end treatment.					

Ba	arrier ID:	rrier ID: GRTE-0011-3.845-L								
Rou	ite Name:	TETON P	TETON PARK ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	07/29/201	0	Barrier Rating: 18.00						
Repair Recomme	endations	}								
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$1931			
Brief Workorder:	Raise 28ft. o	f guardrail up	to 27-in. design height.							
Workorder:	Workorder: Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 28 LF = \$280. Raise 28ft. of guardrail up to 27-in. design height. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.									
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0011: TETON PARK ROAD



GRTE_0011_3.845_L_1.JPG

Ba	rrier ID:	GRTE-001	1-3.845-R				
Rou	te Name:	TETON P.	ARK ROAD				
Inspect	ion Date:	07/29/201	0	Barrie	er Rating:	18.00	
Barrier Description	on						
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC	
Barrier I	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD	
	Blockout WOOD Type:			Le	ength (ft.):	79	
Speed Limit (MPH): 30					ment with to Road:	TANGENT	
Hazard Behind	Barrier:	MEDIUM					
Barrier Crashwoi	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	nt N/A Approach CONC/M			
Ending End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM I	ВСТ	Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO			
Average Measure	ments						
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	74.0
Height (In.):	25.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	67.3		rade (%):	0.40
Physical Conditio	n						
	Align	ment and Height:	Barrier consists of transition	n and end terminal only.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	End treatment and transition	n only.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	End treatment and transition	n only.			
		osion and eathering:	End treatment and transition	n only.			
	Align	ment and Height:	End treatment alignment is ines.	acceptable. The end treatme	ent is low by 4	in from the d	esign height of 27
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:	No major breaking or crack	xing of the end treatment			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing end treatment of	elements.			
		osion and eathering:	No Major weathering of th	e end treatment.			

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	GRTE-0011-3.845-R							
Rou	ıte Name:	TETON P	ETON PARK ROAD							
T										
Inspection Date: 07/29/2010				Barrie	er Rating:	18.00				
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair	REPAIR		FMSS	DEFERRED		Repair	\$1898			
Action:				MAINTENANCE		Cost:				
Brief	Raise 25ft. o	f guardrail up	to 27-in. design height.							
Workorder:										
Workorder:	Adjust Guardrail at \$10- per -Lin. Ft. for 25 LF = \$250. Raise 25ft. of guardrail up to 27-in. design height. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.									
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0011: TETON PARK ROAD



GRTE_0011_3.845_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	RTE-0011-19.554-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	TETON P.	ARK ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/27/2010	0	Barr	ier Rating:	14.00			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	CONCRET	E BARRIER	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	CONCRET	Е	Pos	t Material:	N/A			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Length (ft.):		120			
Speed Limit (MPH): 25				ement with ct to Road:	TANGENT				
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	32		Width (In.):	8.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	32.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	31.6		rade (%):	1.00		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Barrier alignment is accept	able. Barrier height is with	hin 1in. of the 3	2in. design he	ight.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	`barrier.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing barrier element	ts					
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of ba	rrier.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing 1	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

Ba	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	1-19.554-L				
Rou	ite Name:	TETON PA	ARK ROAD				
Inspect	tion Date:	07/27/2010)		Barrier Rating:	14.00	
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 cos	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for compa	rison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0011: TETON PARK ROAD



GRTE_0011_19.554_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	RTE-0011-19.561-R						
Rou	ite Name:	TETON P.	ARK ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/27/201	0	Barr	ier Rating:	22.80			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM S	STRONG POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post Material:		WOOD			
Blockout Type:			L	ength (ft.):	76				
Speed Limit (MPH): 25		25			ement with ct to Road:	TANGENT			
Hazard Behind	Hazard Behind Barrier: EXTREM								
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	W-BEAM	ВСТ	Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	NO		Approach ion Type:	CONC/MASON W-BEAM		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	74.3		
Height (In.):	27.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	23.2		rade (%):	1.30		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-	in. design heigh	t.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Some cracking of posts and	l blocks entire barrier rail s	section bent and	torn.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing barrier element	ts.					
		osion and eathering:	Minor weathering of barrie	er posts and blocks					
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1-in of 27-	in. design heigh	t.			
End Treatments	1	aking and Cracking:	No major breaking or cracking of the end treatment						
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing elements in the	end treatment.					
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of the	e end treatment.					

В	arrier ID:	rier ID: GRTE-0011-19.561-R								
Rou	ıte Name:	TETON P	ETON PARK ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	07/27/201	0	Barrier Rating: 22.80						
Repair Recomme	endations									
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$3746			
Brief Workorder:	Replace 76ft	of barrier and	l 1 block.							
Workorder:	Workorder: Replace Rail at \$25- per -Lin. Ft. for 76 LF = \$1900. Replace 76ft. of barrier. Replace Block at \$30- per -Each for 1 Block(s) = \$30. Replace broken block. Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.									
	2008 со	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.				

ROUTE 0011: TETON PARK ROAD



GRTE_0011_19.561_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	RTE-0011-19.616-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	TETON P.	ARK ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/27/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	19.70			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	CONCRET	E BARRIER	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	CONCRET	E	Post	Material:	N/A			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		Length (ft.):		283			
Speed Limit (MPH): 25		25			ment with to Road:	TANGENT			
Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3	1	Is Barrier worthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	nding End Trtmt NONE			N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	32		Width (In.):	8.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0		
Height (In.):	32.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	38.0		rade (%):	0.40		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1in. of the 3	2-in. design he	eight.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	barrier elements.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing barrier element	S.					
		osion and eathering:	No major weathering of ba	rrier elements.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	1-19.616-L				
Rou	ute Name:	TETON PA	ARK ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/27/2010)		Barrier Rating:	19.70	
Repair Recommo	endations	\$					
Repair Action:	NO ACTIO	DN	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 со	st estimate (A	STM Class D), prelimin	ary for compa	rison to other repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0011: TETON PARK ROAD



GRTE_0011_19.616_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	RTE-0011-19.616-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	TETON P.	ARK ROAD						
Inspec	tion Date:	07/27/2010	0	Barr	ier Rating:	26.80			
Barrier Descripti	ion								
	Type:	W-BEAM V	WEAK POST	Barrier Function:		TRAFFIC			
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Pos	t Material:	WOOD			
	Blockout Type:	N/A		L	ength (ft.):	994			
Speed Limit (MPH): 25		25			ement with ct to Road:	INSIDE OF	FCURVE		
Hazard Behind Barrier: HIGH									
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness								
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-2		Is Barrier nworthy?:	YES		
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach	NONE		
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A					
Average Measure	ements								
Design Height (In.):	27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	76.1		
Height (In.):	28.7		Lateral Offset (In.):	33.0		rade (%):	3.60		
Physical Condition	on								
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is 1-3in. above the 2	7-in. design hei	ght.			
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	Ebarrier elements.					
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing barrier element currently being built.)	ts except bolts which will b	e installed whe	n barrier is co	mpleted. (Barrier		
		osion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g of barrier elements.					
	Align	ment and Height:							
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:							
	Missing	Elements:							
		osion and eathering:							

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	1-19.616-R				
Rou	ite Name:	TETON P.	ARK ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/27/2010)	Barri	er Rating:	26.80	
Repair Recomme	endations						
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A						
Workorder:							
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0011: TETON PARK ROAD



GRTE_0011_19.616_R_1.JPG

B	arrier ID:	GRTE-0011-19.669-L					
Rou	ıte Name:	TETON P.	ARK ROAD				
Inspec	tion Date:	07/27/2010	0	Bai	rrier Rating:	7.00	
Barrier Descripti	ion						
	Type:	CONCRET	E BARRIER	Barri	er Function:	NON-TRA	FFIC
Barrier	Material:	CONCRET	E	Pe	ost Material:	N/A	
	Blockout Type:	N/A			Length (ft.):	121	
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25			ncement with ect to Road:	NON-TRA	FFIC BARRIER
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	N/A					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness						
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	N/A		Is Barrier worthy?:	N/A
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A			
Average Measurements							
Design Height (In.): 32			Width (In.):	8.0	Post Space	cing (In.):	0.0
Height (In.): 33.0		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	0.00	
Physical Condition	on						
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is within 1in. of th	ne 32-in. design he	eight.	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	`barrier.			
	Missing 1	Elements:	No missing barrier elements.				
	1	osion and eathering:	No major weathering of ba	rrier.			
	Align	ment and Height:					
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:					
	Missing 1	Elements:					
	1	osion and eathering:					

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	GRTE-0011-19.669-L					
Rou	ite Name:	TETON PA	ARK ROAD					
Inspec	tion Date:	07/27/2010)	Barri	er Rating:	7.00		
Repair Recomme	endations							
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:		\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A							
Workorder:								
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	her repair co	sts only.		

ROUTE 0011: TETON PARK ROAD



GRTE_0011_19.669_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-0011-19.752-L						
Rou	ıte Name:	TETON P.	TETON PARK ROAD					
Inspec	tion Date:	07/27/201	0	Bar	rier Rating:	23.70		
Barrier Descripti	ion							
	Type:	W-BEAM	WEAK POST	Barri	er Function:	TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Po	ost Material:	WOOD		
	Blockout Type:	N/A			Length (ft.):	704		
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	25			cement with ect to Road:	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE	
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM						
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness							
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-2		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A				
Average Measurements								
Design Height (In.): 27		Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.0		
Height (In.): 30.5		Lateral Offset (In.):	40.5		rade (%):	2.40		
Physical Condition	on							
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I built and road needs to be p		27-in. design hei	ght. However	barrier is still being	
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	No breaking or cracking of	barrier elements.				
	Missing	Elements:	No missing elements excep	ot bolts. Bolts will be inst	alled upon compl	etion of the ba	nrrier.	
		rosion and eathering:	No corrosion or weathering	g of barrier elements.				
	Align	ment and Height:						
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:						
	Missing 1	Elements:						
		osion and eathering:						

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-001	GRTE-0011-19.752-L					
Rou	ite Name:	TETON P.	ARK ROAD					
Inspec	tion Date:	07/27/2010	0	В	Barrier Rating:	23.70		
Repair Recomme	endations	;						
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:	,	\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A							
Workorder:								
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison	to other repair co	sts only.		

ROUTE 0011: TETON PARK ROAD



GRTE_0011_19.752_L_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-0114-1.779-R						
Rou	ıte Name:	PACIFIC	PACIFIC CREEK ROAD					
Inspec	tion Date:	07/27/2010	0	Bai	rrier Rating:	22.70		
Barrier Descripti	ion							
·	Type:	CONCRET	E BARRIER	Barri	er Function:	TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	CONCRET	E	Po	ost Material:	N/A		
	Blockout Type:	N/A			Length (ft.):	202		
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	35			cement with ect to Road:	TANGENT	,	
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	EXTREME	,					
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness							
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-2		Barrier Test Level:	TL-3		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A		Approach ion Type:	NONE	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A				
Average Measurements								
Design Height (In.): 32			Width (In.):	6.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	0.0	
Height (In.): 31.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	0.0		rade (%):	1.50		
Physical Condition	on							
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. Minor misalignment of trailing and approach ends - appears to be as constructed. Height is within 1in. of the 32-in. design height.					
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	Minor cracking/chipping o	f concrete at the base of	barrier.			
	Missing	Elements:	Barrier is missing 8 reflectors.					
		osion and eathering:	Barrier exhibits no corrosio	on or weathering.				
	Align	ment and Height:						
End Treatments		aking and Cracking:						
	Missing 1	Elements:						
		osion and eathering:						

Ba	arrier ID:	GRTE-011	GRTE-0114-1.779-R					
Rou	ite Name:	PACIFIC (CREEK ROAD					
Inspect	tion Date:	07/27/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	22.70		
Repair Recomme	endations							
Repair Action:	NO ACTIC	N	FMSS Work Type:	N/A		Repair Cost:		\$0
Brief Workorder:	N/A							
Workorder:								
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to ot	ther repair co	sts only.		

ROUTE 0114: PACIFIC CREEK ROAD



GRTE_0114_1.779_R_1.JPG

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-021	2-0.198-R					
Rou	ıte Name:	JACKSON	JACKSON LAKE DAM FISHING ACCESS ROAD					
Inspec	tion Date:	07/27/2010	0	Barri	er Rating:	20.70		
Barrier Descripti	ion							
	Type:	W-BEAM	WEAK POST	Barrier	Function:	TRAFFIC		
Barrier	Material:	WEATHER STEEL/CO		Post	Material:	WOOD		
	Blockout Type:	N/A		L	ength (ft.):	130		
Speed Lim	it (MPH):	15			ement with	OUTSIDE	OF CURVE	
Hazard Behind	d Barrier:	MEDIUM						
Barrier Crashwo	rthiness							
Appropriate Test Level:	TL-1		Barrier Test Level:	TL-2		Is Barrier worthy?:	YES	
Beg. End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Is Beg. End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A	·		NONE	
Ending End Trtmt Type:	NONE		Ending End Trtmt Crashhworthy?:	N/A				
Average Measurements								
Design Height (In.):			Width (In.):	0.0	Post Spa	cing (In.):	75.3	
Height (In.): 27.2		Lateral Offset (In.):	42.0		rade (%):	1.30		
Physical Condition	on							
	Align	ment and Height:	Alignment is acceptable. I	Height is 1-3in. above the 2	7-in. design hei	ght.		
Barrier		aking and Cracking:	One cracked and broken po	ost. One badly bent beam (15 lf).			
	Missing	Elements:	No missing barrier element	ts.				
		osion and eathering:	No major corrosion/ minor	weathering of barrier posts				
	Align	ment and Height:						
End Treatments Breaking and Cracking:								
	Missing 1	Elements:						
		osion and eathering:						

В	arrier ID:	GRTE-0212-0.198-R					
Route Name: JACKSON LAKE DAM FISHING ACCESS ROAD							
Inspec	tion Date:	07/27/2010		Barrier Rating:		20.70	
Repair Recommendations							
Repair Action:	REPAIR			DEFERRED MAINTENANCE		Repair Cost:	\$2146
Brief Workorder:	Replace 15 I	Replace 15 LF of rail and 1 post.					
Workorder:	Workorder: Replace Rail at \$25- per -Lin. Ft. for 15 LF = \$375. Replace 1 section of rail at impact zone Replace Post at \$100- per -Each for 1 Post(s) = \$100. Replace 1 post at impact zone Low Speed Traffic Control at \$1475- per -Day for 1 Day(s) = \$1475.						
	2008 co	st estimate (A	ASTM Class D), prelimin	ary for comparison to oth	ier repair co	sts only.	

ROUTE 0212: JACKSON LAKE DAM FISHING ACCESS ROAD



GRTE_0212_0.198_R_1.JPG

Appendix A Summary of GIP Definitions and Assessment



Grand Teton National Park



Appendix A:

Guardwall/Rail Inventory Program (GIP) EXPLANATION OF REPORT TERMS

The Guardwall/rail Inventory Program (GIP) was commissioned by WASO to identify deferred maintenance related to barriers in National Parks that have more than one mile of guardwall or guardrail. GIP was designed jointly by the NPS and FHWA and the inventory process records both static characteristics of the barrier (e.g., length, height, etc.) as well as dynamic information about the condition of the barrier.

Barriers that traverse bridges are not included in this inventory, these barriers are covered in FHWA's Bridge Inventory Program (BIP); however, barriers that are approaches to bridges were part of this inventory.

The following discussion highlights each of the elements found in the reports.

Static Barrier Characteristics

BARRIER TYPE

Refers to both the design and the construction materials used:

- W-Beam, Strong Post
- W-Beam, Weak Post
- Thrie Beam/Modified Thrie Beam
- Box Beam
- Steel-Backed Timber, w/ Blockout
- Steel-Backed Timber, w/o Blockout
- Steel-Backed Log Rail
- High Tension Cable
- Three-Strand Cable

- Stone Masonry, w/o Concrete Core Wall
- Stone Masonry, w/ Concrete Core Wall
- Random Rubble Cavity Wall
- Concrete Barrier
- Concrete, with Simulated Stone Face
- W-Beam (Double Face), Strong Post
- Steel-Backed Timber (Double Face)
- Other: Completed by field crew

BARRIER MATERIAL

The type of material of which the barrier is composed:

- Cable
- Concrete
- Galvanized Steel
- Log/Timber/Wood

- Steel-Backed Timber/Log
- Weathering Steel/Corten
- Stone
- Other: Completed by field crew

LENGTH

The longitudinal distance between the beginning and end of the barrier. It should include the length of end treatments in the overall length of the barrier. For roadside barriers, this can be calculated from the start and end locations.

BARRIER FUNCTION: Traffic or Non-Traffic Barrier.

Due to the different GIP assessment criteria of barriers based on their intended use, barriers were classified as being either traffic barriers or non-traffic barriers.

Traffic barriers are physical devices intended to keep vehicles or people from straying into dangerous or off-limits areas. For the purpose of this inventory and assessment, a traffic barrier is categorized as roadside hardware placed longitudinally, excluding pedestrian railing and fencing.

Non-traffic barriers provide a physical delineation between public access areas and restricted or protected areas in locations such as a parking lot, viewpoint or turnout. Non-traffic barriers which inhibit access of vehicles are included in this report; non-traffic barriers which only inhibit access of pedestrians or bicyclists are not included. For the purpose of this inventory, non-traffic barriers are guidewalls and guiderails. Note: rocks, stones, boulders, fences or curbs were excluded from this inventory.

There are instances in parks where a single barrier can switch between being classified as a traffic barrier and a non-traffic barrier. Such instances typically occur at pullouts, where a traffic barrier along the road will continue through the pullout without interruption. In such instances, the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier were assessed using different criteria. Due to the different criteria, the GIP database was designed to record the traffic barrier and non-traffic barrier as two distinct barriers, even though to the eye, they appear as one barrier. Other instances where a single barrier is split into multiple barriers would be when the barrier is placed continuously along two legs of an intersection, so that one portion of the barrier may be on one road and the remaining portion of the barrier is on a different road.

POST MATERIAL

The type or material that the barrier's supporting posts are made of:

Galvanized Steel
 Other: Completed by field crew

Wood • N/A

Corten

BLOCKOUT TYPE

The type of blockout or of what it is comprised:

WoodSteelPlasticN/A

BARRIER PLACEMENT WITH RESPECT TO ROADWAY

To identify the roadway alignment the barrier is located upon:

Tangent
 Both Inside and Outside of Curve

Inside of Curve • Outside of Curve

POSTED SPEED LIMIT

The posted speed limit of the roadway section.

HAZARD BEHIND BARRIER

A qualitative description of the severity of the hazard behind the barrier:

Lov

• High

Medium

• Extreme

APPROPRIATE TEST LEVEL (TL) FOR ROAD

Based on the posted speed limit, the NCHRP 350 Crashworthiness test level appropriate for the roadway.

• TL-1, 30 mph and lower

• TL-3, 50 mph and higher

• TL-2, 35-45 mph

BARRIER TEST LEVEL (TL)

A traffic barrier is crashworthy if it was successfully crash tested under *NCHRP Report 350* at speeds along the park road or parkway or if it was accepted through analysis by FHWA, based on similarity to other crashworthy critical design element features. Non-traffic barriers are classified at N/A.

• TL-1

• No

• TL-2

• N/A – Non-Traffic Barrier

• TL-3

IS BARRIER CRASHWORTHY

This compared the appropriate crashworthy test level required for the posted speed limit to the barrier's test level.

Yes

No

BEGINNING END TREATMENT TYPE

An end treatment is safety hardware that mitigates impacts to the ends of a barrier. Most common end treatments are for w-beam systems. Note that stonemasonry barriers typically do not have end treatments.

The beginning end treatment is based on the travel lane closest to the barrier. A vehicle traveling in the lane closest to the barrier will encounter the barrier's beginning end treatment first. It is not based on the RIP primary direction. Identifies the barrier's beginning end treatment type:

- W-Beam Flared 350 Compliant
- W-Beam Tangent 350 Complaint
- W-Beam Buried End
- W-Beam Trailing End/CRG
- W-Beam BCT, Flared
- W-Beam, Turn Down
- SBT/Log, Flared

- SBT/Log, Buried
- Median Treatments
- Box Beam
- Cable
- Crash Cushions/Attenuator
- Other: Completed by field crew
- None

IS BEGINNING END TREATMENT CRASHWORTHY

Identifies if the barrier's beginning end treatment (based on direction of travel for the travel lane closest to barrier) is crashworthy, based on NCHRP-350.

• Yes

N/A

• No

APPROACH TRANSITION TYPE

A transition is safety hardware designed to be placed between two different types of barrier. Most common transition types are between bridge rail and w-beam systems.

This identifies the barrier's transition type:

- Bridge Rail, W-Beam
- Bridge Rail, SBT
- Rigid W-Beam, W-Beam
- Rigid SBT (Wall), SBT
- Concrete/Masonry, W-Beam

- Concrete/Masonry, SBT
- Concrete/Masonry, Thrie Beam
- Other: Completed by field crew
- None

ENDING END TREATMENT TYPE

The ending end treatment is based on the travel lane closest to the barrier. A vehicle traveling in the lane closest to the barrier will encounter the barrier's ending end treatment last, after passing the rest of the barrier. It is not based on the RIP primary direction. Identifies the barrier's ending end treatment type:

- W-Beam Flared 350 Compliant
- W-Beam Tangent 350 Complaint
- W-Beam Buried End
- W-Beam Trailing End/CRG
- W-Beam BCT, Flared
- W-Beam, Turn Down
- SBT/Log, Flared

- SBT/Log, Buried
- Median Treatments
- Box Beam
- Cable
- Crash Cushions/Attenuator
- Other: Completed by field crew
- None

IS ENDING END TREATMENT CRASHWORTHY

Identifies if the barrier's ending end treatment (based on direction of travel for the travel lane closest to barrier) is crashworthy, based on NCHRP-350.

- Yes
- No

N/A

BARRIER DESIGN HEIGHT

Identifies the barrier's original "as-built" design height:

- 27-in, W-beam, Steel-Backed Timber, Stone Masonry w/ Concrete Core Wall
- 24-in, Stone Masonry w/o Concrete Core Wall, Log on Log
- 20-in, Timber on Wood Posts, Timber on Concrete Posts, Timber on Granite Posts
- 18/24-in, Crenellated Stone Masonry Barrier
- 18/24-in, Dry Stack Stone Wall

- 31-in, Steel-Backed Log
- 32-in, Jersey Barrier

AVERAGE MEASUREMENTS

Minimum of three measurements taken on each barrier.

First measurement approximately 50-ft from the beginning of the barrier, measured from the extreme ends of the barrier's end treatment/transition. Do not take a measurement along the end treatment Measure and record measurement every 200-ft thereafter for the run of barrier

Last measurement approximately 50-ft from the end of the barrier. Do not take a measurement along the end treatment

If a barrier is less than 300-ft, even say 45-ft, a minimum of three measurements were still taken.

AVERAGE WIDTH

The width of the barrier. Only recorded for guardwalls; not guardrail.

AVERAGE POST SPACING

The spacing of the barrier's (not the end treatments') posts. Only recorded for guardrails; not guardwalls or non-traffic barriers.

AVERAGE BARRIER HEIGHT

The average barrier height. If the barrier has crenellations, the height is measured in the non-crenellated sections of the barrier. If the average lateral offset is less than or equal to 4-ft, average barrier height is measured from the roadway; if the average lateral offset is greater than 4-ft, average barrier height is measured at the barrier face.

AVERAGE LATERAL OFFSET

Determine the average distance between the barrier and the edge of roadway. If a white edgeline is present on the roadway, average lateral offset is measured from the outside edge of the white line to the barrier face. If no white edgeline is present, average lateral offset is measured from the edge of pavement to the barrier face.

AVERAGE ROAD GRADE and UPHILL OR DOWNHILL

Determine an average roadway grade at each barrier location, based on the direction of travel in the lane closest to the barrier.

DYNAMIC BARRIER CHARACTERISTICS – CONDITION ASSESSMENT NARRATIVES

Field crews were directed to write a narrative of the barrier's physical condition. To keep consistency between field crews, all narratives were based on severity and distress criteria, which were developed jointly by the NPS and FHWA. Condition assessments were based on barrier type and can be found directly after this description of report elements.

BARRIER ALIGNMENT/HEIGHT

Narrative completed by field crew describing the barrier's alignment and height. Height comments are based on the barrier's original "as-built" design height.

BARRIER BREAKING/CRACKING

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier breaking or cracking found during the inspection.

BARRIER MISSING ELEMENTS

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier missing elements encountered during the inspection.

BARRIER CORROSION/WEATHERING

Narrative completed by field crew describing and corrosion or weathering issues associated with the barrier.

END TREATMENTS ALIGNMENT/HEIGHT

Narrative completed by field crew describing the barrier end treatment's alignment and height, when present. Height comments are based on the end treatment's original "as-built" design height.

END TREATMENTS BREAKING/CRACKING

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier end treatment's breaking or cracking found during the inspection.

END TREATMENTS MISSING ELEMENTS

Narrative completed by field crew describing any barrier end treatment missing elements encountered during the inspection.

END TREATMENTS CORROSION/WEATHERING

Narrative completed by field crew describing and corrosion or weathering issues associated with the barrier's end treatments.

BARRIER PHOTOGRAPHS

During the inspection, the field crews photographed the beginning end (based on the closest lane's direction of travel) of each barrier. Additional photographs were taken of any unusual deficiencies encountered. Up to two photographs of the barrier are included in this report.

CONDITION AND SEVERITY DISTRESS TABLES

Due to the extreme number of possible conditions of the barrier, transition and end treatment, the following descriptions and matrices are guidelines created to help classify the condition of the element. While the distinction between good and fair is needed, the distinction between fair and poor is much more important since this is the threshold that defines if the element is slightly compromised or is not functional.

In all likelihood, according to these guidelines different portions of an element (most likely a barrier) may be classified differently; however, a single classification will need to be provided for the element. The survey team will use their professional judgment to determine this single classification. The single classification of each element should be considered an index value that provides a general indicator of overall performance, but not necessarily indicate that a specific treatment is warranted. The specific work order that is prepared based on the observed deficiencies will be a much more definitive indicator of the appropriate treatment based on existing distresses. The overall condition will be used as part of the risk assessment tool to evaluate the risk to driver safety associated with the physical condition of the barrier.

GOOD

<u>The barrier performs as intended.</u> The barrier is in fairly straight alignment but may have some small amount that is slightly out of alignment. While the height of the barrier may vary over its run, the height is relatively consistent and is close to its original "as-built" design height. Minor cracks may be visually observed on some the posts, though these cracks are neither long nor deep and the only hardware missing are isolated nuts and bolts. Minor surface corrosion on small portions of the surface is visible but there is no decay associated with connections.

<u>The end treatment performs as intended.</u> The end treatment is in good alignment and tension is acceptable. While the end treatment may exhibit some dents, there are no cracked rails, posts, blocks or any missing elements. Corrosion and erosion, while present, are at a minimum.

In general, all distresses observed, either in isolation or in combination, do not seriously affect the ability of the element to serve the intended functions of protecting drivers from a roadside hazard and/or contributing to the cultural value of the roadway corridor. Keep in mind that "intended function" is a relative term. In many cases, older designs were "intended" to protect drivers but would not be considered fully functional in that regard by today's standards.

FAIR

<u>The barrier is slightly compromised.</u> The barrier is noticeably out of alignment and the height along the run of barrier varies considerably. Cracks and broken elements are visible from the roadside. The barrier may be missing elements, such as nuts, bolts, blockouts or even a post. Surface corrosion is visible on a fair amount of the barrier but connections will still provide element interlock. Decay and minor erosion, while not always visible, may begin to reduce element strength and individual post stability.

<u>The end treatment is slightly compromised.</u> The end treatment may be somewhat out of alignment, have low cable anchor tension or isolated broken or cracked rail, posts or blocks. Corrosion and erosion are evident.

In general, the distresses observed, either in isolation or combination, may generate unpredictable outcomes related to the functions of the element stated above.

POOR

<u>The barrier is not functional.</u> The barrier will not function as intended. Any of the following could mean that the barrier is in poor condition: The barrier has fallen out of alignment or its height varies greatly from the designed height. Cracks and broken elements are visible from the roadside. The barrier is missing several elements, such as nuts, bolts, blockouts or consecutive posts. Corrosion, causing structural compromise is significant and obvious. Erosion around posts will reduce the barrier's strength and capacity.

<u>The end treatment is not functional.</u> The end treatment does not function as intended. There is no tension in the cable anchor. A significant portion of the end treatment has broken, cracked or dented elements. Elements are missing and corrosion or erosion is significant.

In general, the distresses observed clearly illustrate the inability of the element to perform the intended functions.

CONDITION AND SEVERITY DISTRESS TABLES – BARRIERS

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Semi-Rigid Barriers (including barriers with posts, rail elements and blocks).

and blocks).	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Design H	leight		
	• Alignment off by less than 6"	• Alignment off by 6"-12"	Alignment off by more than 12"
	Within 1" of <u>design</u> height	• Less than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>	Greater than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>
Breaking/Cracking,	an member, post or rail – o	due to impact loading	
	Metal – no twisting/bending, tears or cracking	Metal – no cracking or tearing (but minor twisting/bending is ok)	Metal – any cracks or tears
	Wood – no impact related cracking	Wood – maybe cracked but retains original cross section	Wood – cracks or tears that deform original section
	Isolated broken blocks	Two Consecutive broken blocks	Consecutive broken blocks (three or more consecutive)
Missing Elements			
	No bolts and nuts missing	One or two bolt/nut missing at one rail/rail connection	Three or more bolts/nuts missing at one rail/rail connection
	• n/a	Two consecutive missing blocks	Three or more consecutive missing blocks
	• n/a	• n/a	One missing rail element or post
Corrosion/Decay/W	eathering, all posts, rails an		
	Loss of 5% or less of cross section	Loss of 5% to 50% of cross section	Loss of 50% or more of cross section
	Erosion (less than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around posts (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline) for one	Erosion around consecutive posts (more than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Rigid Concrete Barriers (including pre-cast).

	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Design	Height		
	Alignment off by less than 6"	• Alignment off by 6"-12"	Alignment off by more than 12"
	• Within 1" of <u>design</u> height	• Less than 3" lower than <i>design height</i>	Greater than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>
Breaking/Cracking	g– due to impact loading		
	• Minor cracks (less than 1/4") present	Cracking present ¼" or greater but no displacement or discontinuity in face	Barrier displaced and/or discontinuous
	• n/a	Pieces broken from barrier 3" deep or less without exposing rebar	Cracking exposes rebar
	• n/a	• n/a	Pieces broken from face greater than 3" deep
Missing Elements			
	• n/a	• n/a	• n/a
Corrosion/Decay/V	Veathering – due to aging		
	Surface corrosion on less than 5% of the run	• Surface corrosion on between 5-25% of the run	Surface corrosion on more than 25% of the run
	• n/a	• Spalling 3" deep or less without exposing rebar	• Spalling greater than 3" deep
	Erosion (less than 8" below groundline) around base	Erosion (8" or more below groundline) around base	Erosion (8" or more below groundline)
	• n/a	Less than 50% undermined (less than half barrier width)	• 50% or more undermined (less than half barrier width)

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Rigid Stone/Masonry Barriers (including all types of stone or masonry barriers).

masonry barriers).								
	GOOD	FAIR	POOR					
Alignment/Design H	leight							
	• Alignment (off by less than 6")	• Alignment (off by 6"-12")	• Alignment (off by more than 12")					
	• Within 3" of <u>design</u> <u>height</u>	• Between 3.1 - 6" lower than <i>design height</i>	• Greater than 6.1" lower than <i>design height</i>					
Breaking/Cracking	– due to impact loading							
	• Minor cracks (less than 1/4") present	• Cracks, less than ½" present	• Cracks greater than ½" present					
		Stones broken/displaced extending less than 1/3 of width of barrier	Stones broken/displaced extending 1/3 width or more through the barrier					
Missing Elements								
	• n/a	• n/a	• n/a					
Corrosion/Decay/W	eathering – due to aging							
	Cracks in mortar joints 1/4" or less and/or single loose or missing stones	Mortar joints deteriorated resulting in two - three loose or missing adjacent stones (without impact)	Mortar joints deteriorated resulting in more than three continuous/adjacent loose or missing stones (without impact)					
	Erosion (less than 8" below groundline) around base	Erosion (8" or more below groundline) around base	Erosion (8" or more below groundline)					
	• n/a	Less than 50% undermined (less than half barrier width)	50% or more undermined (less than half barrier width)					

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Flexible Barriers, (including cable barriers and weak-post systems designed without blocks).

designed without blocks	S).		
	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Tension/	Design Height		
	No bent posts	Bent posts; one to three consecutive posts	Bent posts; four or more consecutive posts
	Cable has tension	Cable under- tensioned/sagging	No cable tension
	Less than 1" too low	• 1-3" too low	Greater than 3" too low
Breaking/Cracking			
	No cracked or broken posts	One to three isolated broken posts	Four or more consecutive broken posts
	• n/a	Cable frayed	Cable broken or severed
Missing Elements			
	No bolts and nuts missing at anchors	• n/a	Bolts and nuts missing or loose at anchors
	• n/a	• n/a	Any missing posts or cable for any length of run
Corrosion/Decay/W	eathering – due to aging		
	Loss of 5% or less of cable cross section	Loss of 5% to 15% of cable cross section	Loss of 15% or more of cross section
	Erosion (less than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around one post (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around consecutive posts (more than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)

CONDITION AND SEVERITY DISTRESS TABLES – END TREATMENTS

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Flexible End Treatments, (including cable end terminals).

Condition and Severity Distri	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Alignment/Tension			
	Alignment off by less than 4"	Alignment off by 4"-8"	Alignment off by more than 8"
	Adequate cable tension	Low cable anchor tension	No cable anchor tension
Breaking/Cracking – due	to impact loading		
	No broken or cracked elements	Minor cable fraying but still with adequate tension	Broken or cracked cables or posts
	No damage to posts, cable or anchor	Slight damage to posts without cracking or tearing (but minor twisting/bending on isolated posts is OK)	Cable broken or severed on any cable
Missing Elements			
	No bolts and nuts missing at anchors; No missing cables	• n/a	Any missing element (post, cable, bolts, nuts, or anchor)
Corrosion/Decay/Weathe	ring – due to aging		
	Loss of 5% or less of cable cross section	Loss of 5% to 15% of cable cross section	Loss of 15% or more of cross section
	Connections weathered but still provide element interlock on less than 5% of the end treatment	Connections weathered but still provide element interlock on between 5% to 15% of the end treatment	Connections weathered but still provide element interlock on more than 15% of the end treatment

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Semi-Rigid End Treatments, including Flared and Tangent

Condition and Severity Distress Table for Semi-Rigid End Treatments, including Flared and Tangent				
	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	
Alignment/Tension				
	Alignment of flares and offsets off by less than 4"	Alignment of flares and offsets off by 4"-8"	Alignment of flares and offsets off by more than 8"	
	Within 1" of <u>design</u> <u>height</u>	• Less than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>	Greater than 3" lower than <u>design height</u>	
For Aesthetic Barriers (i.e. – SBT and SBL guardrail) that do not have crashworthy terminals:	Approach barrier terminals are buried, anchored, and flared away from the travel lane	Approach barrier terminals are buried, anchored, and flared away from the travel lane	Approach barrier ends are NOT buried, anchored, nor flared away from the travel lane	
Breaking/Cracking -	- due to impact loading			
	Metal – no twisting/bending, tears or cracking	Metal – no cracking or tearing (but minor twisting or bending is ok)	Metal – any cracks or tears	
	Wood – no impact related cracking	Wood – maybe cracked but retains original cross section	Wood – cracks or tears that deform original section	
	No broken blocks	One broken block	Two consecutive broken blocks	
Missing Elements				
	No missing elements, including breakaway cables and struts	Isolated bolts, nuts, or blocks loose on non- consecutive posts	Any missing element, including blocks, rails, posts cables, or struts	
	No bolts, nuts, or blocks missing or loose	Breakaway strut present but vertical height off by more than 2"	Missing nuts / bolts on consecutive posts	
Corrosion/Decay/We	eathering – due to aging			
	Surface corrosion / decay / connections weathered with a loss of 5% or less of cross section of interlocking elements	Surface corrosion / decay / connections weathered with between 5-25% loss of cross section along transition interlocking elements	Surface corrosion / decay / connections weathered with more than 25% loss of cross section along transition interlocking elements	
	Erosion (less than 8" of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around 1 post (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline)	Erosion around consecutive posts (8" or more of post exposed below original groundline)	

SPECIFIC RISK ELEMENTS

The potential risk to a motorist after a vehicle impacts a traffic barrier depends on the crashworthiness of the traffic barrier as well as traffic exposure factors. Variables relating to the roadside, the traffic barrier's crashworthiness and traffic data include the following:

ADT. The number of vehicles (in both directions) that travel the roadway on which the traffic barrier is located.

Barrier Crashworthy. A traffic barrier is crashworthy if it was successfully crash tested under NCHRP Report 350 at speeds along the park road or parkway or if it was accepted through analysis by FHWA, based on similarity to other crashworthy critical design element features. If crashworthy, the appropriate test level also needs to be recorded. For crashworthy barriers, the barrier test level will be compared to the test level appropriate for the roadway (based solely on posted speed limit). The intent is to record situations in which a crashworthy barrier of a lower test level is installed on a roadway which should have a barrier of a higher test level.

Barrier Height. Determined from barrier height as collected in the physical condition assessment. The database will compare this value to the NCHRP test level height that is appropriate for the posted speed of the road and barrier type.

End Treatment Crashworthy. An end treatment is crashworthy if it has been successfully crash tested. This is for the approach end treatment, which is defined as the end treatment which a vehicle will first pass when traveling on the same side of the road as the barrier.

Existing Roadway Features. The list of roadway features is limited to the following, all of which have a documented history of reducing the number of crashes, and are found later in the GIP as possible countermeasures.

Centerline pavement markings Grooved pavement surface
Edgeline pavement markings Delineators on curve and tangent

Wider centerline Chevrons
Wider edgeline Warning sign

Centerline rumble strips Flashing beacon on warning sign

Shoulder rumble strips Lighting

Barrier reflectors Speed feedback sign

Factored Crash Rate. The average annual number of crashes (on the overall road and by barrier segment), over the last 5 years. If the road has an ADT of less than 1000, evaluate a minimum of

7 to 10 years of crash data, if available.

Lateral Offset of Barrier from Edge of Traveled Way. The distance from the edge of traveled way to the face of the barrier is useful for determining impact to asset during different types of construction. Two or three measurements will be taken – beginning, middle and end of barrier run (not including the end treatments) – and the average will be used.

Posted Speed Limit. The posted speed limit(s) of the roadway section.

Roadway Grade and Uphill or Downhill. Is refers to the grade of the roadway, in the direction of travel closest to the barrier.

Severity of the Hazard behind Barrier. A rating system based on photos will be used to rate the severity of the hazard behind the barrier. Choices include:

- Low
- Medium
- High
- Extreme

RISK ASSESSMENT AND RISK SCORE

The following table shows the variables relating to the overall roadway safety in the vicinity of barriers. In addition, the table illustrates the range of values considered for each variable and associated levels of risk. For categorization purposes, variables have been placed into one of three categories: segment, site or barrier variables. The "Associated Risk" column identifies the relative risk posed by each variable. This looks at the relative risk of the each variable itself and is only a cursory evaluation.

A Risk Score or Rating ("Barrier Rating" on Tier 3 Barrier page) was created for each barrier based on the table values. The level of risk tolerated is dependent on the category of road, which will be discussed in subsequent pages.

Once the inventory has been conducted, a total risk value can be assigned to each barrier. A comparison of the relative risk to an acceptable risk threshold will be performed in order to analyze the overall risk of a given barrier.

Variable and Associated Levels of Risk

VARIABLE	RANGE	ASSOCIATED RISK
SEGMENT VARIABLES		
ADT	0 – 1000	0.0
	1001 - 4000	2.9
	4001 - 8000	5.7
	8001 - 20,000	7.1
	20,001 and greater	8.6
Crash Factor	0	0.0
	0.1 - 5.0	4.2
	5.1 - 20.0	8.7
	20.1 - 30.0	17.1
	30.1 - 75.0	25.8
	75.1 and greater	34.2
Posted Speed Limit	15 – 25 mph	0.0
	30 - 40 mph	4.3
	45 and higher	8.6
SITE VARIABLES		
Barrier Placement w/ Respect to	Tangent	0.0
Roadway Geometry	Inside of curve	2.9
	Both inside and outside of curve	8.6
	Outside of curve	8.6
Severity of Hazard behind the Barrier	Low severity	2.6
	Medium severity	5.1
	High severity	6.9
	Extreme severity	8.6
Longitudinal Length of Barrier	1 - 250-ft	0.0
	251 – 750-ft	2.9
	751 – ft and greater	5.7
Lateral Offset of Barrier from Edge of	4.1 – ft and greater	0.0
Traveled Way	2-4-ft	2.9
	less than 2-ft	5.7
Roadway Grade	Uphill/level/downgrade less than 3%	0.0
	Mild downgrade (3 – 6%)	4.3
	Steep downgrade (greater than 6%)	8.6
BARRIER VARIABLES		
Actual Barrier Height (compared to	0 – 1-in lower	0.0
test level height)	1.1 – 4-in lower	4.4
	4.1 – 7-in lower	12.9
	7.1 – 12-in lower	19.4
	12.1-in and greater lower	21.5
Dynamic Barrier Condition Rating	0-25	0.0
(based on design height)	26 – 200	4.4
	201 – 400	8.6
	401 – 600	12.9
	601 – 800	17.1
	801 and above	21.5
Barrier Conformance with Current	Yes	0.0
Crashworthiness Criteria	No	5.7
-	Maximum Total Possible Risk Score	100

REPLACEMENT/REPAIR STRATEGIES

Information is integrated by combining static data on barrier type, materials, dimensions, etc. with the condition and risk assessments, and the asset management roadway categories (which include cultural and historic resource considerations) to come up with actionable repair strategies for barriers. In addition, repair costs are accounted for so that estimates can be made for repair actions identified. Costed repair estimates, or work orders, then form the basis for estimating deferred maintenance associated with roadside barriers. Repair recommendations generated by this assessment are intended to provide an estimated cost of deferred maintenance of barriers. As such, the evaluation is not rigorous and may be changed when a more detailed review and assessment at a project level is completed. In addition, any repairs or replacements that are recommended by this inventory and assessment process must be vetted through a project selection, planning and design process, including compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

Many park barriers are located in harsh environments where freeze-thaw cycles, avalanche impacts, surface erosion, rockfall and vehicle impacts damage them; consequently, they are showing signs of fatigue, at times serious. Whenever possible, historic barriers are repaired or rehabilitated in place so that the historic significance can be preserved; however, removal or reconstruction, which is typically the least preferred alternative, is at times necessary.

Barrier deficiencies can generally be categorized into one of two categories:

- Barriers that pose an unacceptable risk to the traveling public (as determined by the risk assessment methods described in Chapter Seven and including standards found in NCHRP Report 350), or
- Damaged barriers, due to either crash impacts, other loadings (e.g., snow / avalanche, etc) or deteriorated parts (from age / weathering).

Outside of the national park system, barriers that do not meet NCHRP Report 350 crashworthiness standards are typically removed and a barrier of a crashworthy design is constructed in its place. However given the sensitive natural and cultural environments found within the national park system, deficient barriers not meeting national crashworthiness standards may warrant no action, particularly where risk is low.

The type of repair strategy is often dependent on the barrier deficiency and its cultural context. Typically barriers that do not meet current crashworthiness criteria may be replaced while damaged or deteriorated barriers can be repaired. However, under unique situations found in certain national parks and as evaluated using the risk assessment and asset management roadway categories, some barriers that do not meet current crashworthiness criteria may warrant no action being taken for their replacement or repair.

Risk assessment and asset management roadway categories are integrated in the following table, which establishes different risk thresholds within each roadway category. In essence, a higher level of risk will be tolerated in Asset Management Roadway Category A, as demonstrated by the higher risk threshold (90), while less risk will be tolerated in Roadway Category B (70) and even less risk in Roadway Category C (50).

Asset Management Roadway Categories, Risk Thresholds and Treatment Recommendations.

ASSET MANAGEMENT ROADWAY CATEGORY	RISK THRESHOLD	PROGRAM-LEVEL TREATMENT RECOMMENDATION
A	90-100	 Identify measures other than barrier replacement that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineering countermeasures). Corrective action (including reconstruct/replacement, if necessary) needed to reduce risk below 90.
	Below 90	 Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). Identify repairs needed to improve physical condition/maintain historic integrity. When condition is good and risk is acceptable, no action is necessary.
В	70-100	 Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). Corrective action (including reconstruct/replacement, if necessary) needed to reduce risk below 70.
	Below 70	1. Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). 2. Identify repairs needed to improve physical condition/maintain historic integrity. 3. When condition is good and risk is acceptable, no action is necessary.
С	50-100	 Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). Corrective action (including reconstruct/replacement, if necessary) needed to reduce risk below 50.
	Below 50	 Identify measures that could be taken to reduce risk (including engineered countermeasures). Identify repairs needed to improve physical condition/maintain historic integrity. When condition is good and risk is acceptable, no action is necessary.

Fourteen engineering countermeasures have been specifically selected for use with the GIP risk assessment tool, and are show in the next table. This is an all-inclusive list of available countermeasures for the risk assessment toll; countermeasures not on the list should not be considered.

The concept of employing countermeasures is evident with barriers that have a risk score just above the risk threshold. For such barriers, installing countermeasures should reduce the future number of crashes by a given amount, based on the countermeasure. Depending on the factored crash rate, reducing the number of crashes will lower the overall risk score. Thus, barriers that were classified as "reconstruct/replace" may be able to be reclassified as "repair".

The decision to include any of the engineering countermeasures can be done only when the risk score is over the risk threshold by three points or less. When countermeasures are employed to reduce the risk score, they must be based on engineering judgment. The GIP database will allow the user to select up to three countermeasures to reduce the risk score under the threshold, based on crash reduction factors from the FHWA publication "Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors" FHWA-SA-07-015.

Proposed Countermeasures.

COUNTERMEASURE	CRASH REDUCTION FACTOR	
Speed Feedback Signs	0.46	
Flashing Beacons On Warning Signs	0.30	
Centerline Pavement Marking	0.30	
Lighting	0.25	
Chevrons	0.20	
Warning Signs	0.20	
Barrier Reflectors	0.16	
Grooved Pavement Surface	0.15	
Edgeline Pavement Marking	0.12	
Shoulder Rumble Strips	0.12	
Delineators on Curve and Tangent	0.05	
Centerline Rumble Strips	0.04	
Wider Edgeline	0.02	
Wider Centerline	0.02	

Maintaining Barriers As Is

Individual barrier elements and roadside conditions are interrelated. Sometimes, barrier deficiencies will be obvious and the best course of action is apparent; however, in context sensitive environments barrier deficiencies may be marginal and a decision will be based on judgment.

If risk is low (as determined by the assessment of variables such as traffic speeds, volumes), it may be acceptable for an historical or culturally significant barrier that does not meet current crashworthiness standards to remain until changes in risk factors would require an upgrading.

If the maintaining barrier as is alternative is the preferred choice through this approach, low cost mitigation measures may be considered to improve safety, such as improving roadside delineation (e.g., pavement markings / rumble strip(e)s, etc.), improving visibility (e.g., advance warning signs, increased sign size, etc.), upgrading the roadway shoulder, or improving skid resistance of the road surface. Although these measures will not reduce crash severity of an errant vehicle impact, these improvements have been tried or proven to reduce the frequency or probability of a vehicle striking the barrier.

Barrier Repair

If a barrier has been damaged due to a crash or there are parts that have deteriorated due to age or weathering but the majority of the barrier meets current crashworthiness standards and is functionally sound, repairing the system can be considered a viable option. Examples of these improvements include replacing damaged timber rail, removing a corroded, weathered steel post and replacing with new, upgraded guardrail blockouts to meet standards on high speed facilities or repointing, resetting or replacing loose or missing stones on the concrete corewalls of stone masonry guardwalls. Pursuing a repair approach should be the first consideration for Roadway Category A and B road assets.

For barriers that do not meet crashworthiness criteria but are functionally sound and have been determined good candidates to be maintained as-is based on the risk assessment and application of asset management roadway categories, repair could include measures such as repointing deteriorated masonry, re-setting or replacing loose, broken or missing stones, restoring walls to their original height (by adding a concrete footing, for example), restoring or improving drainage through or under walls or restoring wall foundations. Alterations to improve safety may also be considered, such as adding or changing end treatments or other mitigation measures as mentioned above.

For historic, stone masonry barriers that have a risk score below the threshold, it is possible that portions of the barrier need to be removed and reset in order increase the height of the barrier. The following guidelines are provided to assist in determining when this should be done and to what height the barrier should be rebuilt:

- 1. If all or a portion of stone masonry guardwall has a deficient height based upon the Severity Description Charts, that is, at worst, within the fair category, do not raise it. (Other work besides raising the barrier can be specified.)
- 2. If a portion of a stone masonry guardwall has a deficiency in height based upon the Severity Description Charts, considered "poor" (assumed typically to be less than 18-in) write a work order to raise the poor segment to the height of the adjacent barrier with a non-poor height.
- 3. If the entire stone masonry guardwall is in poor condition due to height based upon the Severity Description Charts— write a work order to raise the entire segment to its design height (assumed typically to be 24-in).

For aesthetic barrier systems used on many park roads and parkways, there is not a sufficient bid history database for estimating costs to repair or replace individual elements of the system, such as posts or rail. Usually repair of an aesthetic barrier system, such as steel-backed timber guardrail consists of removing and resetting the post or rail section or raising the guardrail to meet standard height requirements.

Barrier Replacement/Reconstruction

If the risk analysis, including the application of asset management roadway categories, indicates the barrier poses an unacceptable safety risk, the first step should be an analysis to determine if there are mitigating measures that can be applied to reduce the risk to an acceptable level without the need to reconstruct the barrier. A second step is to determine if the barrier is needed. If it is practical to eliminate the shielded hazard (by removal, relocation or redesign) removal of the barrier should be considered. However, if the shielded hazard cannot be eliminated or if it is determined inappropriate to remove the barrier (e.g., it is historically significant and/or contributes to the historical or aesthetic significance of the associated road, district or landscape), reconstruction or replacement of the barrier to meet current criteria for crashworthiness may be the appropriate recommended treatment.

The typical reconstruction option used by the NPS for stone masonry guardwalls is to document then dismantle the existing barrier, construct a concrete core and build a stone masonry veneer around the concrete core using the original wall materials and using stone masonry designs that are compatible with the historic road, district or landscape. A number of concrete core stone masonry barrier types have been designed for use in national parks, including 18-in, 22-in, 24-in and 27-in barriers; however, not all have been crash tested or otherwise determined to meet current criteria for crashworthiness.

WORK ORDERS

Work order preparation is essentially determining and documenting the repair actions needed to correct the deficiencies observed during the condition assessment. Barriers are relatively simple structures so this determination can be made by trained inspectors. Keep in mind that this is not a design environment and that more rigorous analysis (if needed) may change the work that is actually performed. The intent of this effort is to prepare a credible estimate of deferred maintenance that may or may not be directly actionable. Simple repairs and/or those that require no compliance with environmental policies (which may be a large percentage of the work orders) can probably be executed without modification.

Once a repair strategy is determined, a cost must be developed for the proposed action. Work orders will be classified as being either deferred maintenance or capital improvement. This classification is based on the type of work recommended, as defined below.

Definition: *Deferred Maintenance* can be classified as repair or replace in kind. Work done to the barrier does not include any upgrading.

Definition: *Capital Improvement* can be classified as upgrading existing barrier. Typically the upgrade will be from a non-crashworthy to a crashworthy device. Other examples of capital improvements would be the addition of a curb to improve drainage or the inclusion of any countermeasure.

There are four types of work:

- No Action
- Monitor
- Repair
- Replace

"No Action" – if risk is low (based on the GIP risk score), a barrier that does not meet current crashworthy performance standards may be acceptable to remain until changes in risk factors would require upgrading.

"Monitor" – if risk is low (based on the GIP risk score), a barrier that does not meet current crashworthy performance standards may be acceptable to remain until changes in risk factors would require upgrading, however, if conditions exist that the park should monitor (e.g., erosion), then "monitor" can be selected as a recommended action.

"Repair" – considered when a barrier damaged by impact deteriorated due to age/weathering and the barrier is functionally sound in a low risk environment. The goal is to bring the barrier back to its "new" condition.

"Replacement/Reconstruction" - when a barrier poses an unacceptable safety risk:

- 1. If the risk score is less than 3 points above the risk threshold, determine if countermeasures can reduce risk so the barrier can be repaired.
- Determine if the barrier is warranted and either shielded hazard or barrier itself can be removed (only when barrier NOT considered historically/culturally significant)

For all barrier repair/replace/reconstruction recommendations, the NPS will vet the recommendations through a project selection, planning and design process, including compliance with:

National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

Aesthetic barriers are commensurate with an approved crashworthy design for the specific conditions at the barrier site as the basis for selecting a crashworthy structure. Types of barriers are generally selected based on emulating the existing types of barriers in the park.