

The Road Inventory of Oregon Caves National Monument ORCA - 9340



national park service



Road Inventory Program

Prepared By: Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division Cycle 3



Oregon Caves National Monument in Oregon






TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION		PAGE
1.	INTRODUCTION	1 - 1
2.	PARK SUMMARY INFORMATION National Park Summaries Cost to Improve Based on Historical and Estimated Data Paved Route Miles and Percentages by Functional Class and PCR	2 – 1 2 – 2 2 – 3
3.	PARK SUMMARY MAPS Route Location Key Map Route Condition Key Map – PCR Mile by Mile	3 – 1 3 – 3
4.	PARK ROUTE INVENTORY Route Identification Lists (Numeric and Alphabetic)	4 – 1
5.	PAVED ROUTE CONDITION RATING SHEETS	5 – 1
6.	MANUALLY RATED PAVED ROUTE CONDITION RATING SHEETS	6 – 1
7.	PARKING LOT CONDITION RATING SHEETS Paved parking Areas	7 – 1
8.	PARKWIDE / ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES SUMMARY	8 – 1
9.	PARK ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES ROAD LOG	9 – 1
10.	APPENDIX A. Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations B. Description of Rating System C. Digital Image Information D. Metadata 	10 – 1 10 – 3 10 – 7 10 – 8

INTRODUCTION

Background: In July 1976, the National Park Service (NPS) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) entered into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA), establishing the Road Inventory Program (RIP). In 1980, the NPS and the FHWA terminated the 1976 MOA and entered into a new MOA that provided for the completion of the initial phase of the RIP. The purpose of the RIP, per the 1980 MOA, was to maintain and update RIP data in order to develop long-range and short-range costs and programs to bring National Park Service (NPS) roads up to, or to maintain, designated standards, and to establish a maintenance management program.

The FHWA's Federal Lands Highway (FLH) was assigned the task of identifying condition deficiencies and corrective priorities along with associated corrective costs, inventorying maintenance features (e.g., culverts, signs, guardrail, etc.), summarizing the data and findings in a report, and providing a photographic record of the road system.

The FLH completed the initial phase of the RIP in the early 1980's. As a result of this effort, each park received a RIP book, also known as the "Brown Book," that included the information collected during this initial RIP phase.

In an effort to maintain and update the RIP data, a cyclical data collection and reporting process was reestablished in the 1990's. The FLH completed two cycles of RIP data collection between 1994 and 2001. Cycle 1 data was collected in 44 large parks from 1994 to 1995. This data was found to be unusable for comparison to future cycles. Cycle 2 data was collected from March 1997 to January 2001 in 79 large parks and 5 small parks containing 4,874 route miles. Each park received a copy of a Cycle 2 RIP Report, also known as the "Blue Book."

Since 1984, the RIP Program has been funded through the Federal Lands Highway Program's Park Roads and Parkways (PRP) Program. Currently, the NPS Washington Headquarters' Park Facility Management Division is responsible for coordinating the RIP program with the FLH. The FLH Washington office coordinates policy and prepares national reports and needs assessment studies for Congress.

In 1998, the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) amended Title 23 U.S.C., and inserted Section 204(a)(6) which requires the Federal Highway Administration and the National Park Service, to develop, by rule, a Pavement Management System (PMS) for the park roads and parkways serving the National Park System. As a result of the requirements in TEA-21, the NPS and the FHWA are in the process of developing a PMS. The PMS will assist the decision-makers in effectively spending limited PRP Program funds. The PMS will provide information for planning and programming road maintenance, rehabilitation, and reconstruction activities. RIP data will provide the basic information for this system.

Key information included in the RIP is the mileage inventory and condition assessments accomplished by the RIP Program. The mileage and condition data are used in the current allocation formula of PRP Program funds.

<u>RIP Cycle 3</u>: A third RIP cycle was initiated in 2001. Data was collected from March 2001 to July 2004, and is included in the Cycle 3 Reports. Cycle 3 includes 254 large and small parks with a combined total of 5,455 route miles.

In the Cycle 3 Reports, a general condition rating of excellent, good, fair and poor is ascribed to each onemile section of paved roadway, and to each paved parking area. This condition rating system provides a realistic means of assessing the general funding needs for road improvements. Along with these descriptive condition ratings, a numerical rating between 0 and 100 is ascribed to each mile of road and to each parking area.. This numerical rating is called a Pavement Condition Rating (PCR). The PCR rating system is described in Section 10 of this report.

All of the fieldwork required for obtaining inventory, condition, and maintenance feature information is coordinated with each park and the regional offices to ensure that the information in the RIP reports is accurate.

The FLH is responsible for all of the data presented in this report. Anyone having questions or comments regarding the contents of this report is encouraged to contact the FHWA RIP Coordinator. It is our aim to provide exceptional customer satisfaction in our delivery of the RIP program.

FHWA RIP Coordinator:

James A. Amenta FHWA/EFLHD Technical Services, HTS-15 21400 Ridgetop Circle Sterling, VA 20166 (703) 404-6366

Oregon Ca e Na onal onu en u ar e

O erall Park leage u ary

PRTOT URIT	тот	DT
Paved ARAN Driven Route Miles	0.83	6/6/2003
Unpaved Estimated Route Miles	0.01	6/6/2003
Paved ARAN and Unpaved Route Miles	0.84	
Paved ARAN Driven Lane Miles	1.55	6/6/2003
Paved MRR Lane Miles	0.00	
Parking Lot Lane Miles	1.34	6/6/2003
Total Paved Lane Miles	2.89	

Notes: Total Paved Lane Miles includes the sum of Paved ARAN Driven Lane Miles, Paved MRR Lane Miles, and Parking Lot Lane Miles

Unpaved Route Miles are estimates, they have not been inventoried by the Roadway Inventory Program (RIP)

Oregon Caves National Monument Summaries

Cost to Improve to "Excellent" Condition

SOURCE	WORK PERFORMED	COST PER MILE	INITIAL CONDITION
FHWA Awarded Projects	Surface Maintenance	\$30,000	Excellent
FHWA Awarded Projects	3-R (Resurfacing)	\$110,000	Good
FHWA Awarded Projects	3-R (Resurfacing, Restoration, and Rehabilitation) Projects	\$560,000	Fair
FHWA Awarded Projects	4-R (Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation, and Reconstruction) Projects	\$1,540,000	Poor

Based on the above table, the cost to improve ARAN driven paved road condition miles to "Excellent" PCR are:

Existing Condition	Existing Miles	Estimated Cost to Improve
Excellent	0.20	\$6,000
Good	0.63	\$69,300
Fair	0.00	\$0
Poor	0.00	\$0
Totals	0.83	\$75,300

The above numbers include the 35% PE, CE and contingency costs and are national averages. The cost estimates were used in the calculations for the 2004 Reauthorization Bill to determine the level of funding required to bring all the NPS roads into a Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) of Good (85).


These numbers are for preliminary planning purposes only and should not be used for project level proposals. For park planning level analysis, apply your park multiplier for more accurate regional costs.

Oregon Ca e Na onal onu en u ar e


Pa ed Rou e le and Percen age y unc onal Cla and PCR or R N Dr en Pa ed Road

		Pa e en Cond on Rang							
	Poor		ar		ood		cellen		тот
С	1		1 I I		- I		1 I -		1 I.
1	0.41	49.40%	0.10	12.05%					0.51
2									
3									
4									
5									
6	0.22	26.51%	0.10	12.05%					0.32
7									
8									
To al	3								3


Oregon Caves National Monument Route Location Key Map



Oregon Caves National Monument Route Location Map Area Map 1



Oregon Caves National Monument Route Condition Key Map PCR - Mile by Mile



NPS/RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Route #)

Page 1 of 2

Shading Color Key:
Red text denotes
approx. mileageWhite = Paved Routes, ARAN DrivenYellow = Unpaved Routes, ARAN not DrivenGrey = Paved Routes, ARAN not DrivenRed =

Black = Paved State, Local or Private non-NPS Routes, ARAN Driven Purple =

Green = All Unpaved Parking Areas

ORCA

Oregon Caves National Monument


Rte.	FMSS	Route Name	Route Des	cription	Paved	Un- Paved	Rte.	Func.	Rte.	Manual	Surf.
#	Asset #	Koute Name	From	То	Miles	Miles	Lgth	Class	Lanes	Rated SQ/FT	Туре
0010	42987	ENTRANCE ROAD	FROM PARK BOUNDARY	TO END (GARAGE)	0.51	0.00	0.51	1	2	0	AS
0400	42994	RESIDENCE AREA ROAD	FROM STATE HIGHWAY 46	TO ROUTE 0904	0.11	0.00	0.11	6	1	0	AS
0401		SERVICE ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0901	TO SEWER SYSTEM	0.00	0.01	0.01	5	1	0	GR
0402		FIRE ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010	TO PARK BOUNDARY	0.07	0.00	0.07	6	2	0	AS
0403		CHATEAU SERVICE ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0901B	TO CHATEAU	0.04	0.00	0.04	6	1	0	AS
0404		MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0400	TO ROUTE 0903	0.10	0.00	0.10	6	2	0	AS
0900A		VISITOR CENTER PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0010	TO PARKING	0.00	0.00	0.00	9		9,797	AS
0900B		VISITOR CENTER PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0010	TO PARKING	0.00	0.00	0.00	9		877	AS
0900C		VISITOR CENTER PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0010	TO PARKING	0.00	0.00	0.00	9		2,239	AS
0900D		VISITOR CENTER PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0010	TO PARKING	0.00	0.00	0.00	9		6,784	AS
0901A		CHATEAU PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0010	TO PARKING	0.00	0.00	0.00	9		5,055	AS
0901B		CHATEAU PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0010	TO PARKING	0.00	0.00	0.00	9		1,776	AS
0901C		CHATEAU PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0010	TO PARKING	0.00	0.00	0.00	9		1,875	AS
0902		HANDICAP PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0010	TO PARKING	0.00	0.00	0.00	9		1,636	AS
0903		MAINTENANCE/ EMPLOYEE PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0404	TO PARKING	0.00	0.00	0.00	9		4,512	AS
0904		ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0400	TO PARKING	0.00	0.00	0.00	9		8,110	AS
0905		TOUR PARKING	FROM STATE HIGHWAY 46	TO PARKING	0.00	0.00	0.00	9		34,937	AS
				Totals	0.83	0.01	0.84			77,595	

NPS/RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Route #)

Page 2 of 2

Shadi	ng Color Key:	White = Paved Routes, ARAN Driven	Yellow = Unpaved Routes, ARAN not Dr	iven Blue = All Paved Parking Areas		
Red text denotes approx. mileage		Grey = Paved Routes, ARAN not Driven	Red =	Green = All Unpaved Parking Areas		
		Black = Paved State, Local or Private non-I	NPS Routes, ARAN Driven Purple =			
	Ge	neral Park Road Functional Classific	cation Table	Surface Type Abbreviations:		
Class 1 Class 2	or thoroughfare numbered 1 - 9. Connector Park	oad/Rural Parkway (Public Roads) Roads which cons for park visitors. Route Numbers 1 - 99. Note: Run State Routes Invetoried for Park. Route Numbers 5 Road (Public Roads) - Roads which provide access wi ultural interest, such as overlooks, campgrounds, et	ral parkways (e.g. Natchez Trace) are 3000-5999 ithin a park to areas of scenic, scientific,	AS - Asphaltic Concrete Pavement CO - Portland Cement Concrete Pavement NC - New Chip Seal Pavement (Under 5 Years) OC - Old Chip Seal Pavement (5 Years and Greate		
Class 3	campgrounds, p	Park Road (Public Roads) - Roads which provide circ icnic areas, visitor center complexes, concessionaire traffic and are often designed for one-way circulatio	facilities, etc. These roads generally serve	SS - Slurry Seal Pavement GR - Gravel Road Bed BR - Brick or Pavers Road Bed		
Class 4	primitive campg their use may be	bads (Public Roads) - Roads which provide circulatio rounds and undeveloped areas. These roads frequer e limited to specially equipped vehicles. Route Numb inctional Classes 3 and 4 have the same route number similarly.	ntly have no minimum design standards and pers 200-299.	CB - Cobble Stone Road Bed SA - Sand Road Bed DT - Dirt or Native Material Road Bed OT - Other Materials Road Bed		
Class 5		ccess Road (Administrative Roads) - All public roads r structures such as park offices, employee quarters,				
Class 6	trails, and other Note: Fu similarly a	(Administrative Roads) - All roads normally closed t similar roads. Route Numbers 400-499. nctional Classes 5 and 6 have the same route numb nd often there is little distinction between these rout housing are often closed to the public, this restriction	ers because historically they were numbered es. For example, because utility areas and			
Class 7	related traffic ar encompasses th	(Urban Parkways and City Streets) - These facilities of d are restricted, limited-access facilities in an urban e major parkways which serve as gateways to our na , however, may be included in this category. Route l	area. This category of roads primarily ation's capital. Other major park roads or			
Class 8	that are owned a	oan Parkways and City Streets) - City streets are usu and maintained by the National Park Service. The c cepted local engineering practice and local conditions	onstruction and/or reconstruction should			
Class 9		ublic and Administrative) Route Numbers 800-899. Public and Administrative) Route Numbers 900-1999	Э.			
A park by the NP	road system cont S, or by the Servic	**************************************	other unit of the NPS which are administered nt of a functional classification (FC) to a park			
way roads these road	 There are appro s will be maintain 	ering system also included a 300 number series for ir ximately 250 roads nationwide which are designated ed for reporting consistency. However, since these i tional class, the 300 and 500 series will be discontin	by the 300 and 500 series. The numbers for interpretive and one-way routes are not as			
		outes were added from FMSS Database. Final Route for Cycle 4 data collection.	Number and Functional Class will be			



Pacific West Region ORCA: Oregon Caves National Monument


ROUTE: 0010 Entrance Road

ROUTE: 0010 Entrance Road	TOTAL LENGTH: 0.51 Miles	
Section Number	0	
Section Length (mi)	0.51	
AADT	**	
SADT	**	
ADT Date	**	
Cross Section Information		
Number of Lanes	2	
Paved Width (ft)	20	
Lane Width (ft)	9	
Shoulder Width (ft)	0	
Roadway Condition Information		
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	48	
RCI (Roughness Condition Index)	61	
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	49	
Alligator Cracking Index	96	
Rutting Index	53	
Patching Index	99	
Tranverse Cracking Index	99	
Longitudinal Cracking Index	99	
Shoulder Condition Rating	N/A	
Drainage Condition Rating	GOOD	

* NC designates data not collected NA designates not applicable

** See website for traffic data: http://www.efl.fhwa.dot.gov/nps/index.htm

ROUTE: 0010 Entrance Road




Pacific West Region ORCA : Oregon Caves National Monument

ROUTE: 0400 Residence Area	Road	TOTAL LENGTH: 0.11 Miles
Section Number	0	
Section Length (mi)	0.11	
AADT	**	
SADT	**	
ADT Date	**	
Cross Section Information		
Number of Lanes	2	
Paved Width (ft)	18	
Lane Width (ft)	9	
Shoulder Width (ft)	0	
Roadway Condition Information		
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	39	
RCI (Roughness Condition Index)	33	
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	43	
Alligator Cracking Index	89	
Rutting Index	57	
Patching Index	96	
Tranverse Cracking Index	97	
Longitudinal Cracking Index	97	
Shoulder Condition Rating	N/A	
Drainage Condition Rating	GOOD	

ROUTE: 0400 Residence Area Road

* NC designates data not collected NA designates not applicable

** See website for traffic data: http://www.efl.fhwa.dot.gov/nps/index.htm



Pacific West Region ORCA : Oregon Caves National Monument


ROUTE: 0402 Fire Access Road			TOTAL LENGTH: 0.07 Miles			
Section Number	0					
Section Length (mi)	0.07					
AADT	**					
SADT	**					
ADT Date	**					
Cross Section Information						
Number of Lanes	1					
Paved Width (ft)	13					
Lane Width (ft)	13					
Shoulder Width (ft)	0					
Roadway Condition Information						
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	58					
RCI (Roughness Condition Index)	NC					
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	58					
Alligator Cracking Index	98					
Rutting Index	62					
Patching Index	100					
Tranverse Cracking Index	99					
Longitudinal Cracking Index	98					
Shoulder Condition Rating	N/A					
Drainage Condition Rating	GOOD					

ROUTE: 0402 Fire Access Road

* NC designates data not collected NA designates not applicable

** See website for traffic data: http://www.efl.fhwa.dot.gov/nps/index.htm

ſΝ



Pacific West Region ORCA : Oregon Caves National Monument


ROUTE: 0403 Chateau Service Road

ROUTE: 0403 Chateau Service	TOTAL LENGTH: 0.04 Miles	
Section Number	0	
Section Length (mi)	0.04	
AADT	**	
SADT	**	
ADT Date	**	
Cross Section Information		
Number of Lanes	1	
Paved Width (ft)	9	
Lane Width (ft)	9	
Shoulder Width (ft)	0	
Roadway Condition Information		
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	13	
RCI (Roughness Condition Index)	NC	
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	13	
Alligator Cracking Index	90	
Rutting Index	27	
Patching Index	100	
Tranverse Cracking Index	99	
Longitudinal Cracking Index	96	
Shoulder Condition Rating	N/A	
Drainage Condition Rating	GOOD	

ROUTE: 0403 Chateau Service Road

* NC designates data not collected NA designates not applicable

** See website for traffic data: http://www.efl.fhwa.dot.gov/nps/index.htm



Pacific West Region ORCA: Oregon Caves National Monument

ROUTE: 0404 Maintenance Area Access Road			ΤΟΤΑ	L LENGTH	: 0.10 Miles
Section Number	0				
Section Length (mi)	0.10				
AADT	**				
SADT	**				
ADT Date	**				
Cross Section Information					
Number of Lanes	2				
Paved Width (ft)	17				
Lane Width (ft)	8				
Shoulder Width (ft)	0				
Roadway Condition Information					
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	42				
RCI (Roughness Condition Index)	NC				
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	42				
Alligator Cracking Index	96				
Rutting Index	52				
Patching Index	95				
Tranverse Cracking Index	98				
Longitudinal Cracking Index	99				
Shoulder Condition Rating	N/A				
Drainage Condition Rating	GOOD				

* NC designates data not collected NA designates not applicable

** See website for traffic data: http://www.efl.fhwa.dot.gov/nps/index.htm

ORC : anually Ra ed Pa ed Rou e Cond on Ra ng ee

No data available for this section

Visitor Center Parking FROM ROUTE 0010

ſ		Public /	Date		Lane	Surface	
	Route	NonPublic	Visited	Area (sq ft)	Miles *	Туре	Condition / PCR
ĺ	0900A	Public	6/6/2003	9797	0.17	AS	GOOD / 90

* Lane miles are based on 11' lane widths



Rte 0010








Visitor Center Parking FROM ROUTE 0010

	Public /	Date		Lane	Surface	
Route	NonPublic	Visited	Area (sq ft)	Miles *	Туре	Condition / PCR
0900B	Public	6/6/2003	877	0.02	AS	GOOD / 90



Visitor Center Parking FROM ROUTE 0010

	Public /	Date		Lane	Surface	
Route	NonPublic	Visited	Area (sq ft)	Miles *	Туре	Condition / PCR
0900C	Public	6/6/2003	2239	0.04	AS	GOOD / 90










Visitor Center Parking FROM ROUTE 0010

	Public /	Date		Lane	Surface	
Route	NonPublic	Visited	Area (sq ft)	Miles *	Туре	Condition / PCR
0900D	Public	6/6/2003	6784	0.12	AS	GOOD / 90



Chateau Parking FROM ROUTE 0010

	Public /	Date		Lane	Surface	
Route	NonPublic	Visited	Area (sq ft)	Miles *	Туре	Condition / PCR
0901A	Public	6/6/2003	5055	0.09	AS	GOOD / 90













Chateau Parking FROM ROUTE 0010

	Public /	Date		Lane	Surface	
Route	NonPublic	Visited	Area (sq ft)	Miles *	Туре	Condition / PCR
0901B	Public	6/6/2003	1776	0.03	AS	GOOD / 90




Chateau Parking FROM ROUTE 0010

	Public /	Date		Lane	Surface	
Route	NonPublic	Visited	Area (sq ft)	Miles *	Туре	Condition / PCR
0901C	Public	6/6/2003	1875	0.03	AS	GOOD / 90



Handicap Parking FROM ROUTE 0010

	Public /	Date		Lane	Surface	
Route	NonPublic	Visited	Area (sq ft)	Miles *	Туре	Condition / PCR
0902	Public	6/6/2003	1636	0.03	AS	GOOD / 90










Maintenance/ Employee Parking FROM ROUTE 0404

	Public /	Date		Lane	Surface	
Route	NonPublic	Visited	Area (sq ft)	Miles *	Туре	Condition / PCR
0903	NonPublic	6/6/2003	4512	0.08	AS	FAIR / 73










Administrative Parking FROM ROUTE 0400

	Public /	Date		Lane	Surface	
Route	NonPublic	Visited	Area (sq ft)	Miles *	Туре	Condition / PCR
0904	NonPublic	6/6/2003	8110	0.14	AS	FAIR / 73



Tour Parking FROM STATE HIGHWAY 46

	Public /	Date		Lane	Surface	
Route	NonPublic	Visited	Area (sq ft)	Miles *	Туре	Condition / PCR
0905	Public	6/6/2003	34937	0.60	AS	FAIR / 73

* Lane miles are based on 11' lane widths



7-11

Feet

ORCA: PARKWIDE MAINTENANCE FEATURES SUMMARY

FEATURE	PARK TOTAL	UNIT
BRIDGE	0	EACH
CATTLE GUARD	0	EACH
CULVERT	10	EACH
CURB	1,674	LINEAR FEET
DROP INLET	2	EACH
GUARD WALL	0	LINEAR FEET
GUARDRAIL	0	LINEAR FEET
INTERSECTION	20	EACH
LOW WATER CROSSING	0	EACH
OVERHEAD SIGN	0	EACH
PARK BOUNDARY	0	EACH
PAVED DITCH	0	LINEAR FEET
PULLOUT	0	EACH
RAILROAD CROSSING	0	EACH
RETAINING WALL	0	EACH
STATE BOUNDARY	0	EACH
TRAFFIC LIGHT	0	EACH
TUNNEL	0	EACH
TURNOUT	0	LINEAR FEET

ORCA: ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES SUMMARY

BRIDGE 0 0 0 0 EACH CATTLE GUARD 0 0 0 0 0 EACH	
CATTLE GUARD 0 0 0 0 EACH	
CULVERT 5 3 0 1 1 EACH	
CURB 1,674 0 0 0 0 LINEAR F	FEET
DROP INLET 2 0 0 0 EACH	
GUARD WALL 0 0 0 0 0 LINEAR F	FEET
GUARDRAIL 0 0 0 0 0 LINEAR F	FEET
INTERSECTION 12 3 1 1 3 EACH	
LOW WATER CROSSING 0 0 0 0 0 EACH	
OVERHEAD SIGN 0 0 0 0 0 EACH	
PARK BOUNDARY 0 0 0 0 0 EACH	
PAVED DITCH 0 0 0 0 LINEAR F	FEET
PULLOUT 0 0 0 0 EACH	
RAILROAD CROSSING 0 0 0 0 0 EACH	
RETAINING WALL 0 0 0 0 0 EACH	
STATE BOUNDARY 0 0 0 0 0 EACH	
TRAFFIC LIGHT 0 0 0 0 0 EACH	
TUNNEL 0 0 0 0 0 EACH	
TURNOUT 0 0 0 0 0 LINEAR F	

ROUTE 0010 : ENTRANCE ROAD

FROM MILEDOST	TO MILEPOST			
MILEPOST	MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.000	0.000			ROUTE BEGINS AT PARK BOUNDARY
0.064	0.064	INTERSECTION	LEFT	RTE 402
0.105	0.338	CURB	RIGHT	
0.156	0.156	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	RTE 900A
0.163	0.163	INTERSECTION	LEFT	RTE 900D
0.176	0.204	CURB	LEFT	
0.208	0.208	INTERSECTION	LEFT	RTE 010
0.212	0.215	CURB	LEFT	
0.217	0.217	INTERSECTION	LEFT	RTE 010
0.351	0.404	CURB	RIGHT	
0.364	0.364	INTERSECTION	LEFT	
0.374	0.374	INTERSECTION	LEFT	
0.387	0.387	INTERSECTION	LEFT	RTE 902
0.434	0.434	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	RTE 901A
0.451	0.451	INTERSECTION	LEFT	RTE 901C
0.453	0.453	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	RTE 901B & RTE 403
0.510	0.510			ROUTE ENDS AT END (GARAGE)
0.516	0.516	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	END (GARAGE)

ROUTE 0400 : RESIDENCE AREA ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.000	0.000			ROUTE BEGINS AT STATE HWY 46
0.001	0.001	INTERSECTION	LEFT	STATE HWY 46
0.053	0.053	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	RTE 404
0.110	0.110			ROUTE ENDS AT ROUTE 904
0.111	0.111	INTERSECTION	LEFT	RTE 904

ROUTE 0402 : FIRE ACCESS ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.000	0.000			ROUTE BEGINS AT ROUTE 010
0.001	0.001	INTERSECTION	LEFT	RTE 010
0.070	0.070			ROUTE ENDS AT PARK BOUNDARY

ROUTE 0403 : CHATEAU SERVICE ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.000	0.000			ROUTE BEGINS AT ROUTE 901B
0.038	0.038	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	CHATEAU
0.040	0.040			ROUTE ENDS AT CHATEAU

ORCA: ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES ROAD LOG

ROUTE 0404 : MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.000	0.000			ROUTE BEGINS AT ROUTE 400
0.002	0.002	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	RTE400
0.065	0.065	INTERSECTION	LEFT	NPS GRAVEL
0.097	0.097	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	RTE 903
0.100	0.100			ROUTE ENDS AT ROUTE 903

APPENDIX A: GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

TERM ORABBREVIATIONDESCRIPTION OR DEFINITION

9340	Numeric Code for Oregon Caves National Monument
AADT	Annually Adjusted Daily Traffic. Average daily traffic adjusted for the term period comprising 80% of annual visitation
CRS	Condition Rating Sheets. (Section 5)
Drainage Condition Rating	A visual rating (Good, Poor) of the drainage condition. (see Section 10)
Excellent	Excellent rating with an index value of 95 or greater
Fair	Fair rating with an index value between 61 and 84
Func. Class	Functional Classification (see Route ID, Section 4)
Good	Good rating with an index value between 85 and 94
IRI	International Roughness Index
Lane Width	Distance from road centerline to fogline, or from centerline to edge-of-pavement when no fogline exists
MRR	Manually Rated Route
NA	Not Applicable
NC	Not Collected
ORCA	Alpha Code for Oregon Caves National Monument
Paved Width	Distance from edge-of-pavement to edge-of-pavement
PCR	Pavement Condition Rating (see Section 10)

Poor	Poor Rating with an index value of 60 or less
RCI	Roughness Condition Index
SADT	Seasonal Annual Daily Traffic. Average daily traffic for the total defined "season"
SCR	Surface Condition Rating (see Section 10)
Shoulder Condition Rating	Visual rating (Good, Poor) of the condition of shoulder. (see Section 10)
Shoulder Width	Distance from fogline to hinge point, or if no fogline, from edge-of-pavement to hinge point

APPENDIX B: DESCRIPTION OF RATING SYSTEM

A numerical roadway rating system is used to describe the overall condition of the paved roadways and paved parking areas. In this system, a numerical rating between 1 and 100 is ascribed to each 0.02 miles of road. This numerical rating is called a Pavement Condition Rating (PCR). A "perfect" road, newly constructed with no surface distresses and a smooth surface, would be assigned a PCR rating of 100. Based on the type, severity, and extent of surface distresses points are deducted from 100 to arrive at the final PCR.

Data is collected on the following distresses and conditions:

- **Alligator Cracking** a series of interconnecting cracks resembling alligator skin or chicken wire, which can ocurr anywhere in the lane.
- **Longitudinal Cracking** cracks which are parallel to the pavement centerline or asphalt lay-down direction.
- **Transverse Cracking** cracks perpendicular to the pavement centerline.
- **Pothole (patch)** a bowl-shaped hole in the pavement surface. May be patched or not.
- **Rutting** surface depressions in the wheel paths.

Roughness is collected as International Roughness Index (IRI) and is used in the PCR formula. Roughness is measured in inches of vertical displacement of the vehicle per mile traveled.

A Distress Rating Index value is calculated for each of the individual distresses at the 0.02 mile, or every 105.6 feet.

Rating Index Formulas

Alligator Cracking Index = 100 - [40 * (% low/70 + % medium/30 + % high/10)]Longitudinal Cracking Index = 100 - [40 * (% low/350 + % medium/200 + % high/75)]Transverse Cracking Index = 100 - [(20 * (low/15.1 + medium/7.5)) + (40 * (high/1.9))]Patching Index = 100 - [40 * (% patching / 80)]Rutting Index: $100-[40^*((low/160) + (med/80) + (high/40))]$ Roughness Condition Index: (RCI) = $32 * [5 * e^{(-0.0041 * average |RI)}]$

These 0.02 Distress Rating Index values are then averaged over one mile sections for the mile-by-mile Disitress Rating Indexes, Surface Condition Rating (SCR) and Pavement Condition Rating (PCR).

Surface Condition Rating (SCR) = $100 - [(100 - AC_INDEX) + (100 - LC_INDEX) + (100 - TC_INDEX) + (100 - RUT_INDEX)]$

Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) = (SCR * 0.60) + (RCI * 0.40)

NOTE: Collection of roughness data is dependent on the data collection vehicle traveling at a minimum speed of 12 mph. In the event that a route cannot be safely traveled at this minimum speed, and results in no roughness data, the SCR only will be calculated.

Parking Lot and Manually Rated Road Condition Rating

Surface Condition Distresses- Chip Seal:

Raveling – loss of surface rock chips revealing previous surface Bleeding – asphalt or tar is bleeding through to the surface where surface looks slick with asphalt Rutting Potholes/Patching

Ratings - Chip Seal:

Excellent – None of the surface affected by the above (recently constructed) Good – Less than 10% of surface affected by the above Fair – Between 10% and 40% of surface affected by the above Poor – More than 40% of surface affected by the above

Surface Condition - Asphalt:

Cracking of any type Rutting Potholes/Patching

Ratings - Asphalt:

Excellent – None of the surface affected by the above (recently constructed) Good – Less than 10% of surface affected by the above Fair – Between 10% and 40% of surface affected by the above Poor – More than 40% of surface affected by the above

Index Values of Visual Ratings on Parking Lots and Manually Rated Roads

Excellent97Good90Fair73Poor45

Drainage Condition Rating Definitions

- **Good**: Minimal overall drainage problems. If funding were available for pavement maintenance, 25% or less is estimated to correct drainage deficiencies.
- **Poor:** Problems exist that jeopardizes the integrity of the road in this section. If funding were available for pavement maintenance, 50% to 100% is estimated to correct drainage deficiencies.

Drainage Condition Rating Criteria

The following are examples of basic criteria to help the rater to identify the different drainage ratings. While in the field, many other flaws will be discovered, but these criteria should give a feel for where the flaws would apply in the ratings.

Good Drainage

Most water clears the road prism adequately with little concern of base saturation.

- X Pavement has minor deficiencies that interrupt water flow.
- X Shoulders are mostly adequate as they relate to surrounding terrain. Shoulder design generally coincides with the drainage design.
- X Curbs have deficiencies, but still function without erosion.
- X Down drains are placed properly, but show signs of some deterioration.
- X Culverts are adequate in numbers and size however, minor deficiencies are evident.
- X Ditches are not paved, but solid and have enough area to maintain and carry required volume of water.

Poor Drainage

This section has areas of inadequate drainage ability that is causing base saturation that could cause a road failure.

- X Pavement grade is irregular and holds dangerous amounts of water (hydroplaning is a concern), or shows massive alligator cracking.
- X Shoulder design induces ponding that encroaches on the pavement (drivers try to avoid ponds).
- X Portions of curbs are missing, allowing water to escape causing erosion.
- X Drop inlets, due to various reasons, are only able to drain 50% or less efficiently.
- X Down drains show signs of water exiting in areas by the down drain causing erosion.
- X Culverts are functionally deficient including size, installation, location, or grade giving water opportunity to saturate the road base.
- X Ditches allow water opportunity to saturate the road base through various reasons such as low places in ditch where design has not allowed for water to drain, little or no room in the road prism for a needed ditch, or water is disappearing within the ditch.

Shoulder Condition Rating Definitions

- **Good**: The shoulder is generally in good functional condition. If curbs are present, they are functional.
- **Poor**: There is no shoulder because erosion has removed it. If curbs are present, they need to be replaced.

Shoulder Rating Criteria

The following are examples of basic criteria to help the rater to identify the different shoulder ratings. While in the field, many other flaws will be discovered, but these criteria should give a feel for where the flaws would apply in the ratings.

Good Shoulders

- X If shoulder is unpaved drop-offs are less than 1", but grading is required.
- X If shoulder is paved rut depth is less than 1/2", sealed cracks are present, and grading is required.
- X If curbs are present they are functional.

Poor Shoulder

- X If shoulder is unpaved drop-offs are greater than 4" and erosion has removed the shoulder.
- X If shoulder is paved rut depth is greater than 1". Open cracks are greater than 1/4" deep, and erosion has removed the shoulder.
- X If curbs are present they need replacement.
- X If curbs are present they need repairs, and there is erosion behind the curb.

APPENDIX C: DIGITAL IMAGE INFORMATION

All images collected in Cycle 3 are digital images. These images provide the best resolution for identifying sign inventories and pavement evaluations. The images can be viewed with an interactive software program called **Visi-Data**. Each park will have a copy of the Visi-Data program installed in the park for park personnel to access and use.

Only Cycle 3 data can be queried and reviewed using the Visi-Data software program. This program is a multimedia data presentation and analysis tool that can be accessed either at the individual park, park region or at NPS headquarters. The data is organized in a hierarchical manner and presented in tabular and graphical formats. The user is able to perform queries and drill down through the data to find the particular information they are trying to query. Associated digital right-of-way images from the either the LAN, USB port, individual DVD, or from the Visi-web application, can be presented along with the GPS locations.

APPENDIX D: METADATA

ARAN ROUTE GPS DATA

Background information of route spatial data.

GPS Records: GPS data for NPS routes is stored in the MS Access database for the park. The coordinates of the road traces are stored in the '**PMS_20**' table in the '**GPS_LAT**' and '**GPS_LON**' fields.

Data Collection Device:

Vehicle Information:	Ford Van
Type of GPS Unit:	NovAtel MiLLennium, 12 channel, dual frequency L1/L2, DGPS ready receiver w/MiLLennium 502 GPS antenna and OmniSTAR System 3000 LR
Inertial System:	Applanix POS LV

Accuracy: Expected ground accuracy is 1 meter *

*The above accuracy assumes good GPS mission planning resulting in maximum GPS satellite observation and ideal environmental conditions. Due to less than ideal satellite and environmental conditions, some routes may lack the expected ground accuracy.

Geographic Datum: WGS 1984

Post Collection GPS Correction: Due to unanticipated GPS collection inaccuracies, some route locations have been digitized using DOQQ's and other data sources.

FHWA – NPS Road Inventory Program Cycle 3 Metadata for the Park Database

The purpose of these sheets is to provide users of the Road Inventory Program's data with data accuracies and tolerances to help users define ways in which the RIP data can and cannot be used. For further information on specifics of data collection equipment, data collection procedures, equipment calibrations, or quality control/quality assurance procedures, please contact Jim Kennedy, Project Manager, Data Quality Assurance, at 720-963-3560 or jim.kennedy@fhwa.dot.gov.

All Road Inventory Program data undergoes quality control and quality assurance testing. This document represents the known data accuracies and tolerances for the data collection equipment, data collection procedures, and data processing procedures currently in use. Many additional tests conducted on the park databases during the quality assurance phase to ensure data integrity are not listed as a part of this document. Before it is delivered, a park database undergoes a large set of table design consistency, field data format consistency, data completeness, uniqueness of key fields, data reasonableness, acceptable data range, within-field data consistency, between-field data consistency, and between-table data consistency tests. Additional data sampling checks are conducted to ensure proper data upload from raw files into the park database and to quality check the pavement crack analysis. Further information is detailed in the FHWA – NPS RIP Quality Assurance Manual, available upon request.

This description of metadata includes only the known accuracies with which a data field matches its expected value. The tables that follow this page show each database field's:

- Field field name
- Format data type and number of characters of field
- Expected Value meaning of value assigned to field
- Source when in process field value obtained
- Validation how field value obtained
- Expected Accuracy accuracy with which contents of field match Expected Value

Verifying and continually improving the accuracy of Road Inventory Program data is an ongoing goal of the Federal Highway Administration and the National Park Service. Field testing and post-collection analysis of ARAN (Automatic Road ANalyzer) -collected data will continue in Cycle 4. Data quality is expected to improve as the FHWA – NPS Road Inventory Program continues to operate, due to the fact that future data collection cycles will consist in large part of data updates. Also, technological improvements are expected to render the data increasingly consistent with actual roadway conditions as data collection cycles progress.

Specific Caveats

- Three canned reports are titled "Features in Good Condition", "Features in Fair Condition," and "Features in Poor Condition." These titles could be misleading. In Cycle 3, condition assessments have been conducted on **signs only**. Condition assessments have not been conducted on non-sign features, such as culverts, guardrails, pullouts, etc. Although the database and canned reports might report a default value of "good" for un-assessed features, these condition values are not valid for import into FMSS.
- Database records that show a concrete surface type sometimes include index values that seem to show a perfect roadway (e.g., a Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) of 100). The Road Inventory Program does not actually conduct condition assessments of concrete surfaces. The perfect values are just default values assigned to unassessed sections of pavement and do not represent an assessment of the roadway surface's quality.
- On the USB drive, in the Database folder, parks are provided with intersection lists and exceptions lists. These documents should be treated as raw files and are **not accurate**. Refer to the final database for accurately post-processed intersection data.
- Most roadway data is collected in the primary direction lane of a roadway. To save data storage

space and to reduce data analysis efforts, the assumption was made that the paved surface condition of a route's primary lane adequately represents the surface condition of the full roadway. Therefore, in the database, opposite-direction records in the PMS_Visidata table do not include assessed values for roadway surface distresses. Values such as 0, N/A, -1, or a repeat of the primary-direction assessed value indicate that no assessment was performed. The PMS_20 and PMS_Mile tables simply exclude all opposite routes.

• Most roadway features are collected relative to the primary direction lane of a roadway, using the primary-direction video. Signs are the only features collected using the opposite-direction video.

Key to Notes in Tables

(1): Note that only one value fits in field, so even if this value varies throughout the route, only one value is recorded here.

(2): Note that some MP values listed here are estimates recorded during the Route ID process for use by the data collection crew (e.g. "FROM ROUTE 0010 AT MILEPOST 30.3"). They are estimates only and are not expected to match the more accurate milepost values included elsewhere in the database in the BEG_MP, END_MP, and MP fields.

(3): Mileage is measured by the ARAN (Automatic Road ANalyzer) data collection vehicle out to the 0.001 decimal place. The DMI (distance measuring instrument) is very accurate, with extremely slight variations in measurement due to air temperature, tire inflation, curves, hills, and equipment calibration.

(4): Features are measured differently depending on whether they are visible in the forward-facing video of the roadway, but every feature milepost measurement depends on the baseline measurement of the data collection vehicle's mileage. The ARAN (Automatic Road ANalyzer) data collection vehicle's mileage is measured by the DMI (distance measuring instrument) out to the 0.001 decimal place. The DMI is very accurate, with extremely slight variations in measurement due to air temperature, tire inflation, curves, hills, and equipment calibration. If a feature will not be visible in the forward-facing video, its milepost is determined by the data collectors' key press tagging the milepost when the ARAN passes the feature. Key presses are entered into the ARAN software when the vehicle travels typically between 15 and 45 miles/hour, so a delay of a single second as the vehicle passes a feature would result in an inaccuracy of 0.004 miles (22 feet) to 0.012 miles (66 feet). If a feature is visible in the video, its milepost is determined during post-processing using a video measurement software called Surveyor. Features along the side of a roadway that are measured using the Surveyor software might not be located very accurately. Surveyor is known to be most accurate when measuring quantities near the center of the video frame, as opposed to in the edges of the video image.

(5): Only signs are evaluated for condition. No other features' conditions are assessed, so "N/A" was originally intended to be the default value for unassessed features. However, some non-sign features do have condition ratings in the database. These are not accurate, because no assessment was ever done on non-sign features.

(6): Condition assessments are not conducted on concrete (CO) surface types. Perfect values for concrete road sections are default values and do not represent a condition assessment of the concrete surfaces.

(7): Roadway cracking presence, type, severity, and extent are determined by filming the roadway in the primary lane continuously with two overlapping analog cameras of 640 x 480 resolution. The images from both cameras are stitched together in real time to create a continuous strip image of the roadway pavement in the primary lane. Cracks 3 mm or greater in width are visible in this video. A semi-automatic process running the WiseCrax software with additional input by human operators provides the cracking quantities recorded in these database fields. Quality checks have determined that a consistent 80% or better of the visible cracks are recorded.

Access Database Metadata

<u>Master Table Metadata:</u>

	TAMACT				
			300000	VALIDATION	
RIP_CYCLE	×	3, for data collection cycle 3	Route ID Meeting	FHWA Determination	100%
STATE	XX	State where route is located	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Determination	Untested. (1)
PARK_ALPHA	XXXX	Park alpha code	Route ID Meeting	NPS References	Untested
PARK_NO	XXXX	Park numeric code	Route ID Meeting	NPS References	Untested
RTE_NO	XXXXXX	Route number	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Classification	Untested
RTE_NAME	(Text)	Route name	Route ID Meeting	Park Input	Untested. 50 characters fit in field
FUNCT_CLAS S	X	Route functional classification	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Classification	Untested
DIRECTION	XXX	Survey lane: PRI (primary) or OPP (opposite)	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Determination	Untested
BEG_MP_EST	999.999 (miles)	Estimated starting MP	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Determination	Estimated before data collected
END_MP_EST	999.999 (miles)	Estimated ending MP	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Determination	Estimated before data collected
RTE_LENGTH	999.999 (miles)	Collected route length	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	100%
FROM_DESC	(Text)	Beginning terminus of route	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Determination	Estimated before data collected. (2)
TO_DESC	(Text)	Ending terminus of route	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Determination	Estimated before data collected. (2)
NO_LANES	×	Number of lanes in route	ARAN Data Collection	Survey Crew Input	Untested. (1)
SURF_TYPE	××	Surface type of route	ARAN Data Collection	Survey Crew Input	Untested. (1)
COMP_DIR	XX	Compass direction of route's primary lane (nearest cardinal direction)	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Determination	Untested
COMMENTS	(Text)	Special information, if any	Contractor Post-processing	Contractor Input	Untested
FILENAME	XXXXXXXX	Filename of raw data files	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	100%
SECTION	XXXXXX	Route section ID	Route ID Meeting/ARAN Data Collection	Survey Crew Input/Automatic Output	100%
FKEY	6666666	Unique record ID	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100%
DATE	DD/MM/YY	Data collection date	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	100%
BEG_MP	999.999 (miles)	Beginning MP collected	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	100% (3)
END_MP	999.999 (miles)	Ending MP collected	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	100% (3)

PMS Feature Table Metadata:

FIELD	FORMAT	EXPECTED VALUE	SOURCE	VALIDATION	EXPECTED ACCURACY
RIP_CYCLE	×	3, for data collection cycle 3	Route ID Meeting	FHWA Determination	100%
STATE	XX	State where route is located	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Determination	Untested. (1)
PARK_ALPHA	XXXX	Park alpha code	Route ID Meeting	NPS References	Untested
PARK_NO	XXXX	Park numeric code	Route ID Meeting	NPS References	Untested
RTE_NO	XXXXXX	Route number	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Classification	Untested
FUNCT_CLAS S	×	Route functional class	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Classification	Untested
DIRECTION	XXX	Survey lane: PRI (primary) or OPP (opposite)	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Determination	Untested
MP	999.999 (miles)	Feature location along route	ARAN Data Collection/Contractor Post- processing	Survey Crew Input/Video Processing	Untested (4)
EVENT	XXXX	Event category of feature	Contractor Post-processing	Video Processing	Untested
EVENT_CODE	XXXX	Event sub-category of feature	Contractor Post-processing	Video Processing	Untested
EVENT_DESC	(Text)	Description of feature/contents of sign	Contractor Post-processing	Video Processing	Untested
MUTCD	"N/A"	N/A. Intended to be sign MUTCD code	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Values inaccurate, defaulted to N/A
CONDITION	XXX	Sign condition (G-D, F-R, P-R, N/A)	Contractor Post-processing	Video Processing	Untested (5)
COMMENT	(Text)	Sign label, intersecting route, etc.	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested
OFFSET	"N/A"	N/A. Intended to be offset from pavement edge	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Values inaccurate, defaulted to N/A
SIDE	XXX	Side of route; "N/A" if not on one side	Contractor Post-processing	Video Processing	Untested
STR_NUMBER	XXXXXXXXXX	FHWA bridge structure number	FHWA Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested
GPS_LAT	"N/A"	N/A. Intended to be latitude coordinate	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Values inaccurate, defaulted to N/A
GPS_LON	"Y/N"	N/A. Intended to be longitude coordinate	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Values inaccurate, defaulted to N/A
GPS_ELEV	"A/A"	N/A. Intended to be elevation	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Values inaccurate, defaulted to N/A
GPS_MODE	"A/A"	N/A. Intended to be GPS mode	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Values inaccurate, defaulted to N/A
VIDEO	< <i>Park</i> >C03VID<# >	Removable USB video hard drive number	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested
IMAGE	(Text)	Filename of .jpg image showing feature	Contractor Post-processing	Automatic Output	Untested
DATE	DD/MM/YY	Data collection date	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	100%
FILENAME	XXXXXXX	Filename of raw data files	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	100%
SECTION	XXXXXX	Route section ID	Route ID Meeting/ARAN Data Collection	Survey Crew Input/Automatic Output	100%
FKEY	6666666	Unique record ID	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100%
VISI_FROM	999999 (millimiles)	Raw MP of first video frame showing feature	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested
VISI_TO	999999 (millimiles)	Raw MP of last video frame showing feature	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested

10 - 12

FIELD	FORMAT	EXPECTED VALUE	SOURCE	VALIDATION	EXPECTED ACCURACY
IDKEY	(Text)	Unique record ID used by VisiData	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested
MP_REF	(Text)	Range of mileage to play in VisiData	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested

PMS 20, PMS Mile & PMS Visidata Tables Metadata:

FIELD	FORMAT	EXPECTED VALUE	SOURCE	VALIDATION	EXPECTED ACCURACY
RIP_CYCLE	×	3, for data collection cycle 3	Route ID Meeting	FHWA Determination	100%
STATE	XX	State where route is located	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Determination	Untested. (1)
PARK_ALPHA	XXXX	Park alpha code	Route ID Meeting	NPS References	Untested
PARK_NO	XXXX	Park numeric code	Route ID Meeting	NPS References	Untested
RTE_NO	XXXXXX	Route number	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Classification	Untested
FUNCT_CLASS	×	Route functional class	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Classification	Untested
DIRECTION	XXX	Survey lane: PRI (primary) or OPP (opposite)	Route ID Meeting	Park Input/FHWA Determination	Untested
BEG_MP	999.999 (miles)	_	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100% (3)
END_MP	999.999 (miles)	MP at end of road interval described by database record	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100% (3)
INT_LENGTH	999.9 (ft)	Length of road interval as aggregated for data table	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100%
RTE_LENGTH	999.999 (miles)	Collected route length	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	100%
NO_LANES	×	Number of lanes in route	ARAN Data Collection	Survey Crew Input	Untested. (1)
LANE_NO	×		Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested
WX_LANE_WID TH	(11) (11)	WiseCrax (crack detection software) analysis width	Contractor Post-processing	Automatic Output	Untested
LANE_WIDTH	99.999 (ft)	Width of lane	Contractor Post-processing	Video Processing	Untested
PAVE_WIDTH	99.999 (ft)	Full pavement width	Contractor Post-processing	Video Processing	Untested
SHLD_WIDTH_L	99.999 (ft)	Left shoulder width	Contractor Post-processing	Video Processing	Untested
SHLD_WIDTH_ R	(11) (11) (14)	Right shoulder width	Contractor Post-processing	Video Processing	Untested
SHLD_COND_L	XXXX	Left shoulder condition	ARAN Data Collection	Survey Crew Input	Untested
SHLD_COND_R	XXXX	Right shoulder condition	ARAN Data Collection	Survey Crew Input	Untested
DRAIN_COND_L	XXXX	Left drainage condition	ARAN Data Collection	Survey Crew Input	Untested
DRAIN_COND_ R	XXXX	Right drainage condition	ARAN Data Collection	Survey Crew Input	Untested
SURF_TYPE	XX	Surface type of route	ARAN Data Collection	Survey Crew Input	Untested. (1)
PCR	666	Pavement Condition Rating	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100% for calculation (6)
RCI	666	Roughness Condition Index; -1 if invalid IRI	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100% for calculation

10 - 13

FIELD	FORMAT	EXPECTED VALUE	SOURCE	VALIDATION	EXPECTED ACCURACY
SCR.			Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100% for calculation (b)
IRI_AVG	999.9 (inches/mile)	Average IRI	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested
IRI_SD	999.9 (inches/mile)	IRI standard deviation	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested
IRI_L	999.9 (inches/mile)	Left wheel path IRI	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	Untested
IRI_R	999.9 (inches/mile)	Right wheel path IRI	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	Untested
IRI_FLAG	0 or -1	-1 if invalid IRI data	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested
RUT_INDEX	666	Rut index	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100% for calculation (6)
RUT_AVG	99.99 (inches)	Average rut depth of both wheelpaths	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested (6)
RUT_MAX	99.99 (inches)	Maximum rut depth of both wheelpaths	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested (6)
RUT_SD	9.9	Rut depth standard deviation	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested (6)
RUT_LOW	(%) 666	Percent of low severity ruts (on a 0-200% scale) in both wheelpaths	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested (6)
RUT_MED	(%) 666	Percent of medium severity ruts (on a 0-200% scale) in both wheelpaths	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested (6)
RUT_HI	(%) 666	Percent of high severity ruts (on a 0-200% scale) in both wheelpaths	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested (6)
XFALL	999.9 (% slope)	Cross fall at start of road interval	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	Precise but inaccurate. Not reported in Cycle 4
GRADE	999.9 (% slope)	Grade at start of road interval	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	Precise but inaccurate. Not reported in Cycle 4
AC_INDEX	666	Alligator cracking index	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100% for calculation (6)
AC_LOW	(%) 6666.666	Percent of WiseCrax measured lane area with low-severity alligator cracking	Contractor Post-processing	Automatic Output	(6) (7)
AC_MED	(%) 6666.666	Percent of WiseCrax measured lane area with medium-severity alligator cracking	Contractor Post-processing	Automatic Output	(6) (7)
AC_HI	(%) 6666.666	Percent of WiseCrax measured lane area with high-severity alligator cracking	Contractor Post-processing	Automatic Output	(6) (7)
LC_INDEX	666	Longitudinal cracking index	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100% for calculation (6)
LC_LOW	(%) 66.666	Low-severity longitudinal cracking in lane as a percentage of road interval length	Contractor Post-processing	Automatic Output	(6) (7)
LC_MED	(%) 66.666	Medium-severity longitudinal cracking in lane as a percentage of road interval length	Contractor Post-processing	Automatic Output	(6) (7)
LC_HI	666.66 (%)	High-severity longitudinal cracking in lane as a percentage of road interval length	Contractor Post-processing	Automatic Output	(6) (7)
TC_INDEX	666	Transverse cracking index	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100% for calculation (6)
TC_LOW	999.99 (cracks)	Count of low-severity transverse cracks, where one crack unit equals the WiseCrax measured lane width	Contractor Post-processing	Automatic Output	(6) (7)
TC_MED	999.99 (cracks)	Count of medium-severity transverse cracks, where one crack unit equals the WiseCrax measured lane width	Contractor Post-processing	Automatic Output	(6) (7)
TC_HI	999.99 (cracks)	Count of high-severity transverse cracks, where one crack unit equals the WiseCrax measured lane width	Contractor Post-processing	Automatic Output	(6) (7)
PATCH_INDEX	666	Patching index	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100% for calculation (6)

10 - 14

FIELD	FORMAT	EXPECTED VALUE	SOURCE	VALIDATION	EXPECTED ACCURACY
PATCHING	(%) 6666.666	Percent of WiseCrax measured lane area affected by patching	Contractor Post-processing	Manual Pavement Video Processing	Untested (6)
GPS_LAT	666666.666	Latitude coordinate	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	See GPS Metadata sheet distributed with data
GPS_LON	-999.999999	Longitude coordinate	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	See GPS Metadata sheet distributed with data
GPS_ELEV	6.66666	Elevation	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	See GPS Metadata sheet distributed with data
GPS_MODE	XXX	GPS mode during collection	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	See GPS Metadata sheet distributed with data
VIDEO	<park>C03VID<#></park>	Removable USB video hard drive number	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested
IMAGE	(Text)	Filename of .jpg image showing road interval	Contractor Post-processing	Automatic Output	Untested
SPEED	999 (miles/hour)	Average ARAN speed during data collection	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	Untested
BRIDGE_FLAG	0 or 1	Flag indicating presence of bridge in interval	ARAN Data Collection	Survey Crew Input	Untested
CONSTR_FLAG	0 or 1	Flag indicating construction in interval	ARAN Data Collection	Survey Crew Input	Untested
LANEDEV_FLA G	0 or 1	Flag indicating lane deviation in interval	ARAN Data Collection	Survey Crew Input	Untested
DATE	DD/MM/YY	Data collection date	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	100%
NODISTRESS	0 OR 1	Flag indicating absence of pavement distress	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100%
FILENAME	XXXXXXX	Filename of raw data files	ARAN Data Collection	Automatic Output	100%
SECTION	XXXXXX	Route section ID	Route ID Meeting/ARAN Data Collection	Survey Crew Input/Automatic Output	100%
FKEY	6666666	Unique record ID	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	100%
VISI_FROM	999999 (millimiles)	Raw MP of first video frame in section	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested
VISI_TO	999999 (millimiles)	Raw MP of last video frame in section	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested
IDKEY	(Text)	Unique record ID used by VisiData	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested
MP_REF	(Text)	Range of mileage to play in VisiData	Contractor Post-processing	Database Processing	Untested

Cycle 3 a e le e ada a

Metadata is provided for all shapefiles used for the creation of RIP report documents. The metadata for each shapefile associated with the park can be found in ection 10 of the PD report provided on your park D.

All shapefiles have the following spatial characteristics:

Geographic_Coordinate_Units: Decimal degrees Spheroid: 1984