


# Federal Lands Highway Road Inventory Program

Road Inventory and Condition Assessment




## Allegheny Portage Railroad National Historic Site ALPO

**Cycle 5 Report** 

Prepared By: Federal Highway Administration Road Inventory Program (RIP) Data Collected: 10/2013 Report Date: 05/2014

## Allegheny Portage Railroad National Historic Site in Pennsylvania






### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>SECTION</u>	PAGE
1.	INTRODUCTION	1 - 1
2.	PARK ROUTE INVENTORY	
	Route IDs, Subcomponents & Changes Report (As Applicable)	2 – 1
3.	PARK SUMMARY INFORMATION	
	Paved Route Miles and Percentages by Functional Class and PCR	3 - 1
	DCV Road Condition Summary	3 - 3
	Parkwide DCV Condition Summary	3 – 4
4.	PARK ROUTE LOCATION MAPS	
	Route Location Key Map	4 – 1
	Route Location Area Map Route Condition Key Map – PCR Mile by Mile	$4-2 \\ 4-5$
	Route Condition Area Map – PCR Mile by Mile	4-5 4-6
	Route condition rifed map - I ere while by white	4-0
5.	PAVED ROUTE CONDITION RATING SHEETS	
	CRS Pages	5 – 1
6.	MANUALLY RATED PAVED ROUTE CONDITION RATING SHEETS	
	MRR Pages	6 – 1
7.	PARKING AREA CONDITION RATING SHEETS	
	Paved Parking Area Pages	7 – 1
8.	PARKWIDE / ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES SUMMARIES	
	Parkwide Maintenance Features Summary	8 - 1
	DCV Route Maintenance Features Summary	8 - 2
	Structure List	8-3
9.	ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES ROAD LOGS	
	Route Maintenance Features Road Logs	9 – 1
10.	APPENDIX	
	Explanation of Changes to the RIP Index Equations and Determination of PCR	10 - 1
	Explanation of the Excellent, Good, Fair and Poor Condition Descriptions	10 - 2
	Description of Rating System	10 - 3
	Surface Distresses	10-5
	Index Formulas Data Collection Vehicle Subsystems	10 - 12 10 - 16
	Geodatabase – Background and Metadata	10 - 10 10 - 19
	Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations	10 - 20

# Section 1 Introduction





#### **INTRODUCTION**

The Federal Highway Administration, (FHWA), in the mid 1970s, was charged with the task of identifying surface condition deficiencies and corrective priorities on National Park Service (NPS) roads and parkways. Additionally, FHWA was tasked with establishing an integrated maintenance features inventory, locating features such as culverts, guardrails, and signs, among others, along NPS roads and parkways. As a result, in 1976 the NPS and FHWA entered into an MOA (Memorandum Of Agreement) which established the RIP (Road Inventory Program). This MOA was terminated and revised in 1980 to establish a new MOA aiming to update RIP data and develop a long-range program to improve and maintain NPS roads to designated condition standards and establish a maintenance management program.

The FHWA completed this initial phase of the RIP in the early 1980s. As a result of this effort, each NPS site included in the study received a RIP Report known as the "Brown Book" which included the information collected during this first RIP phase.

In the 1990s, the effort was again renewed to update and maintain the RIP data. By this time the computer age was upon us and a process was employed that relied heavily on electronic data collection and computer technology. A cyclical program was developed and the RIP completed two cycles of data collection from 1994 to 2001. Cycle 1, starting in 1994, was conducted in 44 "large parks" (parks containing 10 or more paved route miles). Cycle 2 began in 1997 and comprised 79 large parks and 5 small parks totaling 4,874 paved route miles. Each of these parks received a RIP Report known as the "Blue Book". Cycle 3, from 2001 to 2004, was conducted in all parks, large and small, that contained any paved routes, including parking areas and, again, each park received a RIP Report and associated electronic files.

Cycle 4 was initiated in the spring of 2006 covering 86 large parks and several associated small parks consisting of 5,553 paved route miles and 6,232 paved parking areas. Data collection has been completed for Cycle 4 and all data has been delivered to the NPS.

In 2005, the FHWA began implementing the use of a Pavement Management System (PMS) to assist the NPS in prioritizing Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities. The PMS used by FHWA is the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) and this software has the ability to store inventory and condition data from RIP and forecast future performance using prediction models. Outputs include performance and condition reports at the National, Regional, Park, or Route level. A regional prioritized list and optimization have been produced for most regions and the Federal Highway Deferred Maintenance is calculated via the HPMA.

In an effort to improve the accuracy of treatment recommendations and pavement condition descriptions, an extensive study was completed throughout 2010 that has resulted in changes to the RIP condition reporting method, specifically the distresses and indexes that comprise the Pavement Condition Rating (PCR). It was determined that a better representation of PCR could

be achieved by modifying the relative impact certain distresses would have on the overall rating. The changes that were implemented were endorsed by management at both the FHWA and NPS in October 2010. These changes will allow greater use of RIP and HPMA data for not simply condition data reporting, but also as a reliable tool for project identification and selection. Because of these changes, the PCR Condition ratings reported in Cycle 5 do not directly relate to the condition ratings reported in previous cycle RIP Reports. For more detailed information about the changes, see Section 3 and Section 10 in this RIP Report.

Cycle 5 has launched in the summer of 2010 and will again comprise all parks, large and small, that are served by paved roads and/or parking areas. For Cycle 5, the decision was made to collect condition data in large parks on Functional Class 1, 2, and 7 paved routes only, as well as any new routes that were previously not collected. In small parks, all paved routes and parking areas will be collected. As a result, this will include 81 large parks with 4,459 paved route miles and 231 small parks with 529 paved route miles and associated paved parking areas.

Since 1984, the Road Inventory Program has been funded through the Federal Lands Highway Park Roads and Parkways (PRP) Program. Currently, coordination of the RIP with FLH is under the NPS Washington Headquarters Park Facility Management Division. The FLH Washington office coordinates policy and prepares national reports and needs assessment studies for Congress.

In 1998, the Transportation Equity Act for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (TEA-21) amended Title 23 U.S.C., and inserted Section 204(a)(6) requiring the FHWA and NPS, to develop by rule, a Pavement Management System (PMS) applied to park roads and parkways serving the National Park System.

FLH is responsible for the accuracy of all data presented in this report. Any questions or comments concerning the contents of this report should be directed to the national RIP Coordinator located in Sterling, Virginia.

Respectfully,

FHWA RIP Team

FHWA/Eastern Federal Lands 21400 Ridgetop Circle Sterling, VA 20166 (703) 404-6371 FHWA/Central Federal Lands 12300 West Dakota Ave Lakewood, CO 80228 (720) 963-3556

# Section 2 Park Route Inventory





Road Inv	entory	Program O	5/15/2	2014	Cycle 5 NPS	S/RIP Rout		D Repor	t					Pa	age 1 of 3
Shadin	g Color K	Key: Wi	hite = Pa	aved Routes, DCV Driven	Yellow = Unpaved Rou	Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven         Blue = All Paved Parking Areas         Green = All Unpaved Parking				arking Areas					
	kt denote . mileage	Gr	ey = Pav	ved Routes, DCV not Driven	Black = State, Local or	Black = State, Local or Private non-NPS Routes		= Concession	Route Flag	ON					
			-	route data was obtained from ata Collection Vehicle	NPS and was not inventoried by NC - Not Collected	/ the Road Inventory Program	m (RIP).								
Al	.PO	A	LLEGI	HENY PORTAGE RAIL	ROAD NATIONAL HISTO	RIC SITE									
Rte. No.	Cycle Collected	FMSS No.	Concess Route	Route Name	Route Do From	escription To		Maint. District	Paved Miles	Un- Paved Miles	Total Route Length	Func. Class	Manual Rated SQ/FT	Surf. Type	Area Maps
0010	5	16274		VISITOR CENTER ROAD	FROM PARK BOUNDARY AT PAVEMENT CHANGE	TO ROUTE 0900 (VISITOR CENTER PARKING)		N/A	0.41	0.00	0.41	1		AS	2
0201	5	16281		SUMMIT PICNIC AREA ROAD	FROM OLD U.S. HIGHWAY 22 (ADMIRAL PEARY HIGHWAY)	TO ROUTE 0903 (SUMMIT PICNIC AREA PARKING)	A	N/A	0.27	0.00	0.27	3		AS	2
0401	5	16209		GALLITZIN ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0900 (VISITOR CENTER PARKING)	TO OLD U.S. HIGHWAY 22 (ADMIRAL PEARY HIGHWAY)		N/A	0.21	0.00	0.21	6		AS	2
0900	5	16275		VISITOR CENTER PARKING	FROM END OF ROUTE 0010 (VISITOR CENTER ROAD)	TO BEGINNING OF ROUTE 0401 (GALLITZI ROAD)	IN	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		59,732	AS	2
0901	NC	16304		UNPAVED MAINTENANCE PARKING AREA	FROM ROUTE 0902 (MAINTENANCE AND EMPLOYEE PARKING AREAS)	TO PARKING		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		3,000	GR	
0902	5	231672		MAINTENANCE AND EMPLOYEE PARKING AREAS	FROM ROUTE 0201 (SUMMIT PICNIC AREA ROAD)	TO ROUTE 0901 (UNPAVED MAINTENANCE PARKIN AREA)	IG	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		23,392	AS	2
0903	5	16282		SUMMIT PICNIC AREA PARKING	FROM END OF ROUTE 0201 (SUMMIT PICNIC AREA ROAD)	TO PARKING		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		60,848	AS	2
0904	5	236932		SKEW ARCH BRIDGE PARKING LOT	FROM OLD U.S. HIGHWAY 22 (ADMIRAL PEARY HIGHWAY)	TO OLD U.S. HIGHWAY 22 (ADMIRAL PEARY HIGHWAY)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		2,432	AS	2
0905	5	236934		6-10 COMFORT STATION PARKING LOT	FROM DRY RUN ROAD	TO DRY RUN ROAD		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		6,777	AS	3
0906	5	16255		STAPLE BEND PARKING (SBP)	FROM BEECH HILL ROAD	TO PARKING		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		17,745	AS	1
0907	5			RANGER STATION PARKING	FROM DRY RUN ROAD	TO PARKING		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,711	AS	3

Road Inventory Progra	-	cle 5 NPS/RI	P Route	ID Report		Page 2 of 3
Shading Color Key:	White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven	Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV r	not Driven Blu	ue = All Paved Parking Areas	Green = All Unpaved Parking Area	IS
Red text denotes approx. mileage	Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven	Black = State, Local or Private no	n-NPS Routes	= Concession Route Flag ON		
	*Unpaved route data was obtained from NPS and ** DCV - Data Collection Vehicle NC - N	was not inventoried by the Road ot Collected	Inventory Program (R	Ρ).		
	CYCLE 5 SUMMARY TOT	ALS FOR ALLEGHE	NY PORTAGE	RAILROAD NATIONAL HI	STORIC SITE	
	CYCLE 5 ROUTE TOTALS			CYCLE 5 CONCES	SION TOTALS	
	DCV Driven Route M	iles 0.90		Co	ncession Paved Route Miles	0.00
	Manually Rated Route M	iles 0.00		ession Unpaved Route Miles	0.00	
Т	OTAL PARK ROUTE MILES COLLECTED IN CYCL	E 5 0.90	TOTAL CONCESSION ROUTE MILES			0.00
	Manually Rated Routes (SQ	FT) 0		Concessio	on Paved Parking Area SQFT	0
	TOTAL UNPAVED PARK ROUTE MI	LES 0.00		Concession	Unpaved Parking Area SQFT	0
				TOTAL CONCES	SSION PARKING AREA SQFT	0
				Concession M	Ianually Rated Routes SQFT	0
	* CYCLE 5 PARKING AREA TOT	ALS		CYCLE 5 WEIGHTED AVE	RAGE PARK VALUES	
Paved Parking (SQFT)		FT) 172,637			DCV Driven PCR	78
	Unpaved Parking (SQ	FT) 3,000		**	Manually Rated Routes PCR	N/A
	TOTAL PARKING (SQ	FT) 175,637	**Parking PCR			
				***	Total Equivalent Lane Miles	4.55
			·		I	

\* - The Parking Area Totals SQFT value represents all parking areas collected in Cycle 5, both park and concessionaire.

\*\* - Parking and Manually Rated Routes are assigned the following PCR values based on their observed condition: Construction=-1, Excellent=97, Good=90, Fair=73, and Poor=45.

\*\*\* - Equivalent Lane Miles are calculated by route using the following equations : DCV and Manually Rated Lines Routes=(PAVE\_WIDTHxPAVED\_MI)/11 foot lane. Parking Areas=SQ\_FEET/5280/11. Manually Rated Polygons=SQ\_FEET/5280/11.

oad Inventory	y Progra	m 05/15/2014	Cycle 5 NPS/RIP Rou (Numerical By Rou		D Report		Pag
Shading Color		White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven	Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven	Blue =	All Paved Parking Areas	Green = All Unpaved Parking Areas	
Red text denot approx. mileag		Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven	Black = State, Local or Private non-NPS Routes		= Concession Route Flag ON		
	5-	•	and was not inventoried by the Road Inventory Prog C - Not Collected	ram (RIP).	_		
		<u>General Park Roa</u>	d Functional Classification Table			Surface Type Abbrev	iations
			he main access route, circulatory tour, or thoroughfare for park v mbered 1 - 9. State Routes Inventoried for Park. Route Numbers			AS - Asphaltic Concrete Pavement	
						CO - Portland Cement Concrete Pave	ment
		Koad (Public Roads) - Roads which provide access within a etc. Route Numbers 100-199.	park to areas of scenic, scientific, recreational or cultural interest,	such as overlo	oks,	BR - Brick or Pavers Road Bed	
Class 3 Spe	ecial Purpos	e Park Road (Public Roads) - Roads which provide circulatior	CB - Cobble Stone Road Bed				
con	concessionaire facilities, etc. These roads generally serve low-speed traffic and are often designed for one-way circulation. Route Numbers 200-299.						
		Roads (Public Roads) - Roads which provide circulation throu ly have no minimum design standards and their use may be	SA - Sand Road Bed				
		nal Classes 3 and 4 have the same route numbers because, h				NV - Native or Dirt Material Road Bee	ł
		Access Road (Administrative Roads) - All public roads intend ility areas. Route Numbers 400-499.	led for access to administrative developments or structures such a	s park offices,	employee	OT - Other Materials Road Bed	
Not	te: Functio	nal Classes 5 and 6 have the same route numbers because	ublic, including patrol roads, truck trails, and other similar roads. nistorically they were numbered similarly and often there is little c often closed to the public, this restriction would result in classific	istinction betw	een		
anı	urban area.		igh volumes of park and non-park related traffic and are restricted rkways which serve as gateways to our nation's capital. Other mathematical transmission of the serve as gateways to be a served as the server of the server o				
			tensions of the adjoining street system that are owned and maint pted local engineering practice and local conditions. Route Numb		itional Park		
			******				
			unit of the NPS which are administered by the NPS, or by the Ser sed on traffic volumes or design speed, but on the intended use o				
nationwide which	are designa		etive roads, and a 500 series for one-way roads. There are appro will be maintained for reporting consistency. However, since the s will be discontinued for future use.				
5000 route			y owned which border, traverse, or provide access to Park Facilitie	s or Locations.	5000 Routes		

are driven for GPS and Video Log only.

	ROUT	ES ADDED FROM PREVIOUS INVE	INTORY:
Route #	Route Name	Reason for Addition	Comments
0904	SKEW ARCH BRIDGE PARKING LOT	OTHER	PARKING AREA ADDED TO INVENTORY IN CYCLE 5.
0905	6-10 COMFORT STATION PARKING LOT	OTHER	PARKING AREA ADDED TO INVENTORY IN CYCLE 5.
0906 STAPLE BEN PARKING (SBP)		OTHER	PARKING AREA ADDED TO INVENTORY IN CYCLE 5.
0907	RANGER STATION PARKING	OTHER	PARKING AREA ADDED TO INVENTORY IN CYCLE 5. FMSS LOCATION NUMBER NOT AVAILABLE AT THE TIME OF THIS REPORT PUBLICATION.
	OTHEF	R CHANGES FROM PREVIOUS INV	ENTORY:
Route #	Route Name	Type of Change	Comments
0401	GALLITZIN ROAD	ROUTE NAME	ROUTE NAME CHANGED FROM "LEMON HOUSE SERVICE ROAD" TO "GALLITZIN ROAD" IN CYCLE 5.
0901 UNPAVED MAINTENANCE PARKING AREA		OTHER	CYCLE 3 ROUTE 0901B, CHANGED TO 0901 DURING 2011 ALIGNMENT AND CONFIRMED IN CYCLE 5. ADDED THE WORD "UNPAVED" TO THE ROUTE NAME.
0902	MAINTENANCE AND EMPLOYEE PARKING AREAS	ROUTES COMBINED	CYCLE 3 ROUTE 0901A WAS COMBINED INTO ROUTE 0902 IN CYCLE 5. ROUTE NAME UPDATED TO INCLUDE BOTH NAMES (MAINTENANCE AND EMPLOYEE).

# **Section 3** Park Summary Information





## ALPO: PAVED ROUTE MILES AND PERCENTAGES BY FUNCTIONAL CLASS AND PCR

	Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)								
	Poor (0-60)		Fair (6	1-84)	Good	(85-94)	Excellent	Excellent (95-100)	
F.C.	MILES	%	MILES	%	MILES	%	MILES	%	MILES
1							0.41	46.07%	0.41
2									
3	0.09	10.11%	0.12	13.48%	0.06	6.74%			0.27
4									
5									
6	0.13	14.61%	0.06	6.74%	0.02	2.25%			0.21
7									
8									
Totals	0.22	24.72%	0.18	20.22%	0.08	8.99%	0.41	46.07%	0.89


Note: The information in this table is derived from the PMS\_20 table in the Park database, which only contains processed data from routes collected with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV). Information for Manually Rated Routes (MRR) and Parking Areas is not reported in this table. Only Functional Class 1, 2, & 7 routes, and any new routes not previously collected by RIP, are collected in Large Parks.

#### **Explanation of the Excellent, Good, Fair and Poor Condition Descriptions**

In addition to the RIP Index changes that have been implemented in Cycle 5, we will also aim to provide greater assistance in translating excellent/good/fair/poor categories into pavement needs categories. The PCR can be used to indicate the place in the Pavement Life Cycle and the types of treatments that should be considered now and into the future.

- Excellent/New: PCR of 95-100. Pavements in this range will require only spot repairs
- Good: PCR of 85-94. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates for Preventive Maintenance. Examples include Chip and Slurry Seals, Micro Surfacing and Thin Overlays.
- Fair: PCR of 61-84. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Light Rehabilitation (L3R). Examples include single-lift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness, milling and overlays.
- Poor: PCR of 0-60. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Heavy Rehabilitation or Reconstruction (H3R or 4R). Examples include Pulverization, Multiple Lift Overlays, and Reconstruction.

At this time, specific Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities should be evaluated and recommended at the project level. Site-specific conditions that influence treatment type should be determined based on performing a subsurface investigation and/or pavement condition survey, and not be based solely on RIP data. Additionally, RIP produces a snapshot of conditions the year in which the data was collected. For further information or to obtain additional Pavement Management System's data from our Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) please contact the Eastern Federal Lands pavement team.




### 3-2

### ALPO: DCV ROAD CONDITION SUMMARY

DCV - Data Collection Vehicle

					AVERAGE SURFACE	AVERAGE PAVEMENT
ROUTE		FUNCT	PAVED	SURFACE	CONDITION	CONDITION
NUMBER	ROUTE NAME	CLASS	LENGTH	TYPE	RATING (SCR)	RATING (PCR)
0010	VISITOR CENTER ROAD	1	0.41	ASPHALT	99	99
0201	SUMMIT PICNIC AREA ROAD	3	0.27	ASPHALT	68	68
0401	GALLITZIN ROAD	6	0.21	ASPHALT	51	51




## ALPO: PARKWIDE DCV CONDITION SUMMARY

AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	
PAVEMENT	ROUGHNESS	SURFACE		ALLIGATOR	LONGITUDINAL	TRANSVERSE	AVERAGE
CONDITION	CONDITION	CONDITION	AVERAGE	CRACKING	CRACKING	CRACKING	PATCHING
RATING (PCR)	INDEX (RCI)	RATING (SCR)	RUT INDEX	INDEX	INDEX	INDEX	INDEX
78	N/A	78	94	98	81	93	98

All Index values are based on Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) driven roads that were collected in Cycle-5.

Roughness data is only collected on routes with lengths greater than 0.5 miles and a posted speed limit of 25 MPH or greater.




# Section 4 Park Route Location Maps






### Allegheny Portage Railroad National Historic Site Route Location Map Key Map




#### Allegheny Portage Railroad National Historic Site Route Location Map Area 1






#### Allegheny Portage Railroad National Historic Site Route Location Map Area 2




Miles

#### Allegheny Portage Railroad National Historic Site Route Location Map Area 3






#### Allegheny Portage Railroad National Historic Site Route Condition Map PCR - Mile by Mile Key Map



Miles

#### Allegheny Portage Railroad National Historic Site Route Condition Map PCR - Mile by Mile Area 2



# <u>Section 5</u> Paved Route Condition Rating Sheets







PCR	Poor		Fair	Good	Excellent	No Data
		(0 - 60)	(61 - 84)	) (85 - 94)	) (95 - 10	)0)
* If the PCI	R rating	is not availat	ole for a section, th	e SCR rating will be d	isplayed. See appendix for	or definitions and formulas.

#### ROUTE: 0010 VISITOR CENTER ROAD ALPO : ALLEGHENY PORTAGE RAILROAD NATIONAL HISTORIC SITE

#### ): ALLEGHENY PORTAGE RAILROAD NATIONAL HISTORIC SITE COLLECTED: 10/30/2013

			CO	LLECTED.	10/30/2013
NORTHEAST REGION	TOTAL LENGTH			LENGTH:	0.41 Miles
Section Number	0				
Section Length (mi)	0.41				
Cross Section Information					
Number of Lanes	2				
Paved Width (ft)	23				
Lane Width (ft)	11				
Roadway Condition Information					
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	99				
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	99				
Distress Index Values					
Structural Crack Index	100				
Transverse Cracking Index	100				
Patching Index	100				
Rutting Index	99				
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	NC				

NOTES:

Structural Crack Index is a combination of the Longitudinal Cracking Index and Alligator Cracking Index.

See Section 10 for explanation of SCR, PCR, & all Distress Index Values.

NC - Not Collected N/A - Not Applicable

**ROUTE: 0010 VISITOR CENTER ROAD** 

ſΝ



PCR	Poor	Fair Fair	Good	Excellent	No Data
	(0 -	60) (61 - 84)	(85 - 94)	(95 - 10	00)
* If the PCF	R rating is not a	available for a section, the	e SCR rating will be disp	played. See appendix fo	or definitions and formulas.

#### ROUTE: 0201 SUMMIT PICNIC AREA ROAD ALPO : ALLEGHENY PORTAGE RAILROAD NATIONAL HISTORIC SITE

		CO	LLECTED:	10/30/2013
NORTHEAST REGION		TOTAL	LENGTH:	0.27 Miles
Section Number	0			
Section Length (mi)	0.27			
Cross Section Information				
Number of Lanes	2			
Paved Width (ft)	19			
Lane Width (ft)	10			
Roadway Condition Information				
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	68			
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	68			
Distress Index Values				
Structural Crack Index	68			
Transverse Cracking Index	88			
Patching Index	95			
Rutting Index	94			
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	NC			

#### NOTES:

Structural Crack Index is a combination of the Longitudinal Cracking Index and Alligator Cracking Index.

See Section 10 for explanation of SCR, PCR, & all Distress Index Values.

**ROUTE: 0201 SUMMIT PICNIC AREA ROAD** 

ſŅ

10/20/2012



PCR	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	No Data
	(0 - 60)	(61 - 84)	(85 - 94)	(95 - 10	0)
* If the PC	R rating is not availa	ble for a section, the	SCR rating will be disp	played. See appendix for	r definitions and formulas.

#### ROUTE: 0401 GALLITZIN ROAD ALPO : ALLEGHENY PORTAGE RAILROAD NATIONAL HISTORIC SITE

		COL	LECTED:	10/30/2013
NORTHEAST REGION		TOTAL LENGTH:		0.21 Miles
Section Number	0			
Section Length (mi)	0.21			
Cross Section Information				
Number of Lanes	1			
Paved Width (ft)	13			
Lane Width (ft)	13			
Roadway Condition Information				
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	51			
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	51			
Distress Index Values				
Structural Crack Index	51			
Transverse Cracking Index	87			
Patching Index	96			
Rutting Index	86			
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	NC			

NOTES:

Structural Crack Index is a combination of the Longitudinal Cracking Index and Alligator Cracking Index.

See Section 10 for explanation of SCR, PCR, & all Distress Index Values.

NC - Not Collected N/A - Not Applicable

**ROUTE: 0401 GALLITZIN ROAD** 

ſΝ

# <u>Section 6</u> Manually Rated Paved Route Condition Rating Sheets





### MANUALLY RATED ROUTE CONDITION RATING SHEETS

No data available for this section.

# <u>Section 7</u> Parking Area Condition Rating Sheets





VISITOR CENTER PARKING FROM END OF ROUTE 0010 (VISITOR CENTER ROAD) TO BEGINNING OF ROUTE 0401 (GALLITZIN ROAD)


Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	<b>Date Visited</b>	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0900	PUBLIC	9/16/2013	59,732	1.03	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND	CONCRETE	
2	7	0	GUTTER	CURB	GOOD/90

\* Lane miles are based on 11' lane widths





Rie Ogo1







MAINTENANCE AND EMPLOYEE PARKING AREAS FROM ROUTE 0201 (SUMMIT PICNIC AREA ROAD) TO ROUTE 0901 (UNPAVED MAINTENANCE PARKING AREA)

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	<b>Date Visited</b>	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0902	NONPUBLIC	9/16/2013	23,392	0.40	AS
Culverts	Drop Inlets	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
0	0	1	GUTTER	NO CURB	POOR/45

\* Lane miles are based on 11' lane widths












SUMMIT PICNIC AREA PARKING FROM END OF ROUTE 0201 (SUMMIT PICNIC AREA ROAD) TO PARKING

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	<b>Date Visited</b>	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0903	PUBLIC	9/16/2013	60,848	1.05	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND	CONCRETE	
0	9	0	GUTTER	CURB	POOR/45

\* Lane miles are based on 11' lane widths











SKEW ARCH BRIDGE PARKING LOT FROM OLD U.S. HIGHWAY 22 (ADMIRAL PEARY HIGHWAY) TO OLD U.S. HIGHWAY 22 (ADMIRAL PEARY HIGHWAY)

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	<b>Date Visited</b>	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0904	PUBLIC	9/16/2013	2,432	0.04	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
0	0	0	GUTTER	NO CURB	GOOD/90

\* Lane miles are based on 11' lane widths





100

50

0








6-10 COMFORT STATION PARKING LOT FROM DRY RUN ROAD TO DRY RUN ROAD

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	<b>Date Visited</b>	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0905	PUBLIC	9/16/2013	6,777	0.12	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
0	0	0	GUTTER	NO CURB	GOOD/90

\* Lane miles are based on 11' lane widths











STAPLE BEND PARKING (SBP) FROM BEECH HILL ROAD TO PARKING

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	<b>Date Visited</b>	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0906	PUBLIC	9/16/2013	17,745	0.31	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
0	3	0	GUTTER	STONE CURB	GOOD/90

\* Lane miles are based on 11' lane widths











## ALLEGHENY PORTAGE RAILROAD NATIONAL HISTORIC SITE Route 0907

RANGER STATION PARKING FROM DRY RUN ROAD TO PARKING

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	<b>Date Visited</b>	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0907	NONPUBLIC	9/16/2013	1,711	0.03	AS
Culverts	Drop Inlets	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
0	0	0	GUTTER	NO CURB	FAIR/73

\* Lane miles are based on 11' lane widths











# <u>Section 8</u> Parkwide/Route Maintenance Features Summaries



# Allegheny Portage Railroad National Historic Site



## ALPO: PARKWIDE MAINTENANCE FEATURES SUMMARY Includes DCV, MRL, MRP & PKG routes collected in Cycle-5

Notice: Culverts and drop inlets were marked by NPS and inventoried by RIP in Cycle 5 on all DCV driven routes. Culverts, drop inlets, and gates were also collected on all Manually Rated Routes and Paved Parking areas. Those totals are reflected below.

FEATURE	LINEAR FEET	COUNT
BRIDGE		0
CATTLE GUARD		0
CULVERT		9
CURB	1,019	
DROP INLET		19
GATE		5
GUARD/GUIDE RAIL	0	
CABLE	0	
NON-CABLE	0	
GUARD/GUIDE WALL	0	
BOLLARD	0	
TEMPORARY BARRIER	0	
NON TEMP/BOLLARD	0	
INTERSECTION		9
LOW WATER CROSSING	0	0
MILE MARKER		0
OVERPASS		0
PARK BOUNDARY		1
PAVED DITCH	0	
PULLOUT	0	0
RAILROAD CROSSING		1
RETAINING WALL	0	0
SIGN		24
STATE BOUNDARY		0
TRAFFIC LIGHT		0
TUNNEL	0	0

# ALPO: DCV ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES SUMMARY

Notice: Culverts and drop inlets were marked by NPS and inventoried by RIP in Cycle 5.

FEATURE	ROUTE 0010 VISITOR CENTER ROAD	ROUTE 0201 SUMMIT PICNIC AREA ROAD	ROUTE 0401 GALLITZIN ROAD	UNIT
BRIDGE	0	0	0	EACH
CATTLE GUARD	0	0	0	EACH
CULVERT	2	4	1	EACH
CURB	1,019	0	0	LINEAR FEET
DROP INLET	0	0	0	EACH
GATE	1	1	2	EACH
GUARD/GUIDE RAIL	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
CABLE	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
NON-CABLE	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
GUARD/GUIDE WALL	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
BOLLARD	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
TEMPORARY BARRIER	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
NON TEMP/BOLLARD	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
INTERSECTION	2	4	3	EACH
LOW WATER CROSSING	0	0	0	EACH
LOW WATER CROSSING	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
MILE MARKER	0	0	0	EACH
OVERPASS	0	0	0	EACH
PARK BOUNDARY	1	0	0	EACH
PAVED DITCH	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
PULLOUT	0	0	0	EACH
PULLOUT	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
RAILROAD CROSSING	0	0	1	EACH
RETAINING WALL	0	0	0	EACH
RETAINING WALL	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
SIGN	13	9	2	EACH
STATE BOUNDARY	0	0	0	EACH
TRAFFIC LIGHT	0	0	0	EACH
TUNNEL	0	0	0	EACH
TUNNEL	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET

# **STRUCTURE LIST**

No data available for this section.

# Section 9 Route Maintenance Features Road Logs



# Allegheny Portage Railroad National Historic Site



## ALPO: ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES ROAD LOG ROUTE 0010: VISITOR CENTER ROAD

**Notice:** Culverts and drop inlets were marked by NPS and inventoried by RIP in Cycle 5 on all paved

FROM	ТО			inventoried by KIP in Cycle 5 on an paved
MILEPOST	MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.000	0.000	ROUTE BEGIN	N/A	FROM PARK BOUNDARY AT PAVEMENT CHANGE
0.000	0.000	PARK BOUNDARY	N/A	N/A
0.000	0.000	INTERSECTION	N/A	PAVED ROAD (TUNNEL HILL STREET / NON NPS)
0.004	0.004	SIGN	LEFT	GUIDE, FEDERAL PARK
0.007	0.007	SIGN	LEFT	GUIDE, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE
0.011	0.011	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR ALLEGHENY PORTAGE RAILROAD NATIONAL HISTORIC
0.013	0.013	GATE	N/A	N/A
0.014	0.014	SIGN	LEFT	GUIDE, NO PARKING
0.014	0.014	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, AREA CLOSED
0.031	0.031	SIGN	RIGHT	REGULATORY, SPEED LIMIT 15
0.069	0.069	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, PAY FEE AT VISITOR CENTER
0.069	0.069	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, ACTIVE MILITARY PASS FREE
0.069	0.069	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, PARK FEES
0.108	0.108	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, NO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES PERMITTED
0.108	0.108	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, PARK OPEN SUNRISE TO SUNSET
0.216	0.216	CULVERT	N/A	N/A
0.286	0.286	CULVERT	N/A	N/A
0.290	0.388	CURB	LEFT	N/A
0.292	0.387	CURB	RIGHT	N/A
0.369	0.369	SIGN	LEFT	REGULATORY, SPEED LIMIT 15
0.408	0.408	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0900 (VISITOR CENTER PARKING)
0.408	0.408	SIGN	RIGHT	REGULATORY, GRAPHIC SIGN NO TEXT
0.408	0.408	ROUTE END	N/A	TO ROUTE 0900 (VISITOR CENTER PARKING)

## ALPO: ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES ROAD LOG ROUTE 0201: SUMMIT PICNIC AREA ROAD

**Notice:** Culverts and drop inlets were marked by NPS and inventoried by RIP in Cycle 5 on all paved

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.000	0.000	ROUTE BEGIN	N/A	FROM OLD U.S. HIGHWAY 22 (ADMIRAL PEARY HIGHWAY)
0.000	0.000	INTERSECTION	LEFT	PAVED ROAD (OLD U.S. HIGHWAY 22 (ADMIRAL PEARY HIGHWAY) /NON NPS)
0.000	0.000	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	PAVED ROAD (OLD U.S. HIGHWAY 22 (ADMIRAL PEARY HIGHWAY) /NON NPS)
0.004	0.004	CULVERT	N/A	N/A
0.010	0.010	SIGN	LEFT	REGULATORY, STOP
0.014	0.014	GATE	N/A	N/A
0.015	0.015	SIGN	LEFT	GUIDE, UNABLE TO READ FROM VIDEO
0.015	0.015	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, SUMMIT PICNIC AREA
0.016	0.016	SIGN	LEFT	GUIDE, NO PARKING
0.016	0.016	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, AREA CLOSED
0.036	0.036	CULVERT	N/A	N/A
0.045	0.045	SIGN	RIGHT	REGULATORY, SPEED LIMIT 15
0.088	0.088	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, NO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES PERMITTED
0.088	0.088	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, PARK OPEN SUNRISE TO SUNSET
0.224	0.224	INTERSECTION	LEFT	ROUTE 0902 (MAINTENANCE AND EMPLOYEE PARKING AREAS)
0.224	0.224	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, MAINTENANCE ROAD
0.240	0.240	CULVERT	N/A	N/A
0.248	0.248	CULVERT	N/A	N/A
0.274	0.274	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0903 (SUMMIT PICNIC AREA PARKING)
0.274	0.274	ROUTE END	N/A	TO ROUTE 0903 (SUMMIT PICNIC AREA PARKING)

FROM

то

# ALPO: ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES ROAD LOG ROUTE 0401: GALLITZIN ROAD

**Notice:** Culverts and drop inlets were marked by NPS and inventoried by RIP in Cycle 5 on all paved

FDOM					
FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT	
0.000	0.000	ROUTE BEGIN	N/A	FROM ROUTE 0900 (VISITOR CENTER PARKING)	
0.000	0.000	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0900 (VISITOR CENTER PARKING)	
0.000	0.000	SIGN	N/A	GUIDE, VISITOR DROP OFF NO PARKING	
0.004	0.004	GATE	N/A	N/A	
0.122	0.122	RAILROAD CROSSING	N/A	N/A	
0.207	0.207	GATE	N/A	N/A	
0.207	0.207	SIGN	N/A	REGULATORY, NO PARKING	
0.210	0.210	CULVERT	N/A	N/A	
0.214	0.214	INTERSECTION	LEFT	PAVED ROAD (OLD U.S. HIGHWAY 22 (ADMIRAL PEARY HIGHWAY) /NON NPS)	
0.214	0.214	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	PAVED ROAD (OLD U.S. HIGHWAY 22 (ADMIRAL PEARY HIGHWAY) /NON NPS)	
0.214	0.214	ROUTE END	N/A	TO OLD U.S. HIGHWAY 22 (ADMIRAL PEARY HIGHWAY)	

# Section 10 Appendix



# Allegheny Portage Railroad National Historic Site



# Explanation of Changes to the RIP Index Equations and Determination of PCR

In 2005, the FHWA began implementing the use of a Pavement Management System to assist the National Park Service in prioritizing Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities. The PMS used by FHWA is the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) and this software has the ability to store inventory and condition data from RIP and forecast future performance using prediction models. Outputs include performance and condition reports at the National, Region, Park, or Route level. A regional prioritized list and optimization have been produced for most regions and the Federal Highway Deferred Maintenance is calculated via the HPMA as well.

In an effort to improve the accuracy of treatment recommendations and pavement condition descriptions in relation to the distresses and indexes that comprise the Pavement Condition Rating (PCR), an extensive study was completed throughout 2010 that resulted in changes to the Road Inventory Program condition reporting method and specifically, the calculation of PCR. It was determined that a better representation of PCR could be achieved by modifying the relative impact certain distresses would have on the overall rating.

Through the use of HPMA data, it was noted that false failure indicators existed with the existing PCR model, and that it would be necessary to reduce their impact. The distresses affected in this way were Rutting and Roughness. Conversely, experience showed that roadways with extensive cracking present were often shown to have a high PCR. Therefore, the crack index models were adjusted to be more sensitive to changes in crack severity or quantity. It was also determined that these issues were not due to a problem with data acquisition (i.e. the RIP "van"), but with the way the collected data was processed. The final change was to provide guidance on when to use the Roughness Condition Index (RCI) in the PCR calculation. Roughness data is of little value to determining overall condition on routes that, due to their length or geometrics, have lower vehicle operating speeds. Therefore, in Cycle 5, only routes that have lengths of one half mile or greater and posted speed limits of 25 mph or greater will have RCI reported and included in the PCR calculations.


The changes that were implemented were endorsed by management at both the FHWA and NPS. In order to show the effectiveness of these changes, several sites were ground truth tested to ensure that an improvement was achieved between the relationship of PCR and the actual Maintenance and Rehabilitation needs that were represented. These changes will allow greater use of RIP and HPMA data for not simply condition data reporting, but also as a reliable tool for project identification and selection.

# Explanation of the Excellent, Good, Fair and Poor Condition Descriptions

In addition to the RIP Index changes that were implemented in Cycle 5, we will provide greater assistance in translating good/fair/poor categories into pavement needs categories. The PCR can be used to indicate the place in the Pavement Life Cycle and the types of treatments that should be considered now and into the future.

- Excellent/New: PCR of 95-100. Pavements in this range will require only spot repairs.
- Good: PCR of 85-94. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates for Preventive Maintenance. Examples include Chip and Slurry Seals, Micro Surfacing and Thin Overlays.
- Fair: PCR of 61-84. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Light Rehabilitation (L3R). Examples include single-lift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness, milling and overlays.
- Poor: PCR of 60 or below. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Heavy Rehabilitation or Reconstruction (H3R or 4R). Examples include Pulverization, Multiple Lift Overlays, and Reconstruction.

Specific Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities should be evaluated and recommended at the project level. Site-specific conditions that influence treatment type should be determined based on performing a subsurface investigation and/or pavement condition survey, and not be based solely on RIP data. Additionally, RIP produces a snapshot of conditions the year in which the data was collected. For further information or to obtain additional Pavement Management System's data from our Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) please contact the Eastern Federal Lands pavement team.



#### **Pavement Age**

## **DESCRIPTION OF RATING SYSTEM**

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), National Park Service Road Inventory Program (NPS-RIP), collects condition data on paved roads, parkways, and parking areas in park units nationwide. Road surface condition data is collected using an automated Data Collection Vehicle (DCV). Roads having brick, cobblestone, or wood surfaces are not normally surveyed with the DCV, but are manually rated for the purpose of assigning a condition rating. Unpaved roads, parkways, and parking areas are not currently being evaluated for condition. Paved campground pads and driveways are also not currently being evaluated for condition.

The FHWA RIP is implemented based on the premise that an accurate pavement surface condition assessment can be accomplished using automated crack detection technology as applied to digital images. Various methods of pavement condition assessment have been developed over the years with varying degrees of accuracy and acceptance. The use of digital photography to record pavement images and subsequent crack detection and classification has undergone continuous improvements over the past decade. Digital cameras with increasingly superior resolution and high definition have been more affordable, and the proprietary programming code and algorithms have been improved in crack detection software.

With the use of high quality digital photography and automated crack detection software, FHWA RIP is tasked with executing a pavement condition assessment on about 5000 miles of National Park Service roads and parkways. Foremost in setting up the basis of pavement distress identification is employing the distress identification protocols used by FHWA. There is no single distress identification system that is universal among entities conducting a program of distress identification. For the purpose of the NPS-RIP, FHWA employs distress identification protocols that are specific to this program.

FHWA has referenced the "Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program", Publication No. FHWA-RD 03-031, June 2003, as the point-of-reference for distress types on NPS pavement. The FHWA RIP distress types are similar to those described in the LTPP manual with some modifications. The document, "Distress Identification Manual for the NPS Road Inventory Program, Cycle 5, 2010-2013" was developed using the "Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program" as a guideline. Definitions of severity levels based on crack width contained in this document adhere to the LTPP Distress ID Manual. Modifications have been made to the definition of Alligator and Longitudinal Cracking and determination of Alligator Cracking severity. This manual also addresses Rutting and Roughness and its application to NPS-RIP.

In 2010, FHWA RIP began the fifth cycle of data collection in national parks. For Cycle 5, data will be collected in approximately 81 large parks (10 or more paved route miles) on Functional Class 1, 2, and 7 routes plus any new routes or parking areas previously not collected, totaling an estimated 4,459 paved route miles. Additionally, 231 small parks will be collected comprising approximately 529 paved route miles and associated paved parking areas. The data is used to support the National Park Service road maintenance program and Pavement Management System (PMS) developed and maintained by FHWA.

This "Distress Identification Manual for the NPS Road Inventory Program, Cycle 5, 2010-2013" will be used as a reference resource in crack detection and classification, determination of distress severity and extent, and in the calculation of distress index values for the FHWA RIP Cycle 5.

## SURFACE DISTRESSES

#### **Surface Condition Rating - SCR**

Surface distresses are measured in the primary lane only. In the classification and measurement of all paved surface condition data, results will be reported in the database in record intervals of 0.02 miles (105.6 feet) (smallest granularity) along the route.

#### Surface distresses determined from digital images

- Transverse Cracks
- Longitudinal Cracks
- Alligator Cracks
- Patching/Potholes

# Surface distress measured by DCV (Data Collection Vehicle) LRMS (Laser Rut Measuring System)

• Rutting

# Each of the five surface distresses is assigned a computed surface distress index

- Transverse Crack Index
- Longitudinal Crack Index
- Alligator Crack Index
- Patching/Pothole Index
- Rutting Index

Surface distress data are classified as listed above, measured for severity, and quantified for extent. Classification, severity, and extent of these five surface distresses comprise the three main elements for calculation of SCR (Surface Condition Rating).

In addition to the five surface distresses, a **Structural Crack Index** is computed, which is a combination of the Longitudinal Crack Index and the Alligator Crack Index. The Structural Crack Index is then used in lieu of the LC and AC indices to compute SCR.

#### **Roughness Condition Index - RCI**

#### Additional condition data measured by DCV (lasers and accelerometers)

• Roughness (IRI)

Roughness is measured by FHWA's DCV and reported as International Roughness Index (IRI) in inches/mile. Using IRI, the Roughness Condition Index (RCI) is computed.

#### Pavement Condition Rating - PCR

Using the SCR (computed from the five surface distresses) and the RCI, an overall Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) is computed. The formula for PCR is:

**Asphalt PCR** = (0.60 \* SCR) + (0.40 \* RCI) **Concrete PCR** = RCI

A detailed description of each distress index formula, roughness index formula, SCR and PCR is provided in this document beginning on page 8.

Each classified surface distress will fall into one or more *severity*...LOW, MEDIUM, or HIGH based on criteria listed. For each severity, an *extent* is established based on the measured quantity of the distress within that severity. Within each *severity* individual distresses are assigned a *Maximum Allowable Extent* (MAE). For example, LOW severity transverse cracking may be allowed up to 21.1 cracks within a 0.02 interval before it reaches MAE and fails.

The index formulas are based on a scale of 0-100. A PCR index value of 100 would indicate a "new" road with no measurable distresses or rough ride. A PCR value of 60 is determined to be *terminable serviceability* and the road is considered failed. The range of index values with condition descriptors is:

POOR (<=60), FAIR (61 - 84), GOOD (85 - 94), EXCELLENT (95 - 100)

Index values are generally computed based on cumulative deducts of the measured severities. As shown in the index formulas below, as any single severity reaches or exceeds MAE, the index computes to a value of 60 or less, and the road fails for that 0.02 interval.

**Note:** As a result of a unique combination of measured surface distresses and IRI, index values occasionally compute to less than 0 or greater than 100. In this instance, an index value < 0 defaults to 0. Index values > 100 default to 100. For all indices, a higher value indicates a better road condition, and a lower value indicates a poorer road condition.

On the following page, Table 1 summarizes the different types of distresses measured.

Г

ASPHALT-SURFA	ASPHALT-SURFACED PAVEMENT DISTRESS TYPES with RUTTING and ROUGHNESS				
DISTRESS TYPE	UNIT OF MEASURE	CONVERTED TO	DEFINED SEVERITY LEVELS?	MEASURED BY	
Alligator Cracking	Square Feet	Percent of Lane Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	Digital Image Crack Detection Software	
Transverse Cracking	Linear Feet	Number of Cracks Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	Digital Image Crack Detection Software	
Longitudinal Cracking	Linear feet	Percent of Lane Length Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	Digital Image Crack Detection Software	
Patching/Potholes	Square Feet	Percent of Lane Per 0.02 Mile	No	Digital Image Crack Detection Software	
Rutting	Inches	Rut Depth Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	DCV – Laser Rut Measuring System (LRMS)	
Roughness	IRI	*RCI Per 0.02 Mile	No	DCV – Lasers /Accelerometers	

\*Note: Roughness is measured on concrete roadways, but surface distresses and rutting are not measured. For concrete, PCR = RCI

### ALLIGATOR CRACKING

#### **Description**

Alligator cracking is considered a combination of fatigue and block cracking. It is a series of interconnected cracks in various stages of development. Alligator cracking develops into a many-sided pattern that resembles chicken wire or alligator skin. It can occur anywhere in the road lane. Alligator cracking must have a quantifiable area.

#### Severity Levels

#### LOW

An area of cracks with no or very few interconnecting cracks and the cracks are not spalled. Cracks are  $\leq 0.25$  in (6mm) in mean width. Cracks in the pattern are no further apart than 1 foot (0.328 m). May be sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a crack width that cannot be determined.

#### **MEDIUM**

An area of interconnected cracks that form a complete pattern. Cracks may be slightly spalled. Cracks are >0.25 in. (6 mm) and <= 0.75 in. (19 mm) or any crack with a mean width <= 19 mm and adjacent low severity cracking. Cracks in the pattern are no further apart than 6 in. (150 mm).

#### HIGH

An area of interconnected cracks forming a complete pattern. Cracks are moderately or severely spalled. Cracks are >0.75 in (19mm) or any crack with a mean width  $\leq 0.75$  in (19mm) and adjacent medium to high severity random cracking.

A combination of observed crack width and crack pattern is used to determine overall severity of alligator cracking. Based on above description of each severity, the highest level of crack width and crack pattern determines overall severity. Table 2 illustrates this.

	Crack Pattern			
ALLIGATOR CRACKING SEVERITY LEVELS		LOW	MED	HIGH
	LOW	L	М	Н
ack idth	MED	М	М	Н
Cr.	HI	Н	Н	Н

 TABLE 2: Alligator Crack Severity Levels

#### LONGITUDINAL CRACKING

#### **Description**

Longitudinal cracking occurs predominantly parallel to the pavement centerline. It can occur anywhere within the lane. Longitudinal cracks occurring in the wheelpath may be noteworthy.

#### **Severity Levels**

#### LOW

Cracks with a mean width of < 0.25 in. (6 mm). Sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a width that cannot be determined.

#### MED

Cracks with a mean width > 0.25 in. (6 mm) and  $\leq 0.75$  in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width < 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random low severity cracking.

#### HIGH

Cracks with a mean width > 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width < 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random medium to high severity cracking.

#### TRANSVERSE CRACKING

#### **Description**

Transverse cracking occurs predominantly perpendicular to the pavement centerline. It can occur anywhere within the lane.

#### **Severity Levels**

#### LOW

Cracks with a mean width of < 0.25 in. (6 mm). Sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a width that cannot be determined.

#### MED

Cracks with a mean width > 0.25 in. (6 mm) and <= 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width < 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random low severity cracking.

#### HIGH

Cracks with a mean width > 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width < 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random medium to high severity cracking.

#### PATCHING AND POTHOLES

#### **Description**

Patching is an area of pavement surface that has been removed and replaced with patching material or an area of pavement surface that has had additional patching material applied. Patching may encompass partial-lane or full-lane width. On full-lane width patching; the total, contiguous length of a patch may not exceed 0.30 mi. (0.48 km). Any full-lane width patch exceeding 0.30 mi. in length is considered a pavement change, not a patch for the purposes of distress analysis. Patching must have a quantifiable area.

Potholes are bowl-shaped holes of various sizes occurring in the pavement surface.

#### **Severity Levels**

There are no stratified severities for Patching/Potholes. They either are present or they are not.

#### **RUTTING**

#### **Description**

Rutting is a longitudinal surface depression in the wheelpath.

#### **Severity Levels**

**LOW** Ruts with a measured depth  $\ge 0.20$ " and  $\le 0.49$ "

**MED** Ruts with a measured depth  $\ge 0.50$ " and  $\le 0.99$ "

#### HIGH

Ruts with a measured depth  $\geq 1.00$ "

Ruts < 0.20" are not included in the distress calculations.

#### **ROUGHNESS**

#### **Description**

Roughness is the measurement of the unevenness of the pavement in the direction of travel. It is measured in units of IRI (International Roughness Index), inches per mile, and is indicative of ride comfort.

#### **Severity Levels**

There are no stratified severity levels for roughness. The roughness (or smoothness) of a road surface can be defined by IRI in the following table.

TABLE 3: IRI				
IRI Descriptions				
Type of Road	Typical IRI ( in/mile )			
New Road, no noticeable roughness	<90			
Small level of roughness	90 - 126			
Road of average roughness	126 – 190			
Road with above average roughness	190 – 253			
Road with severe roughness	253 - 380			
Nearly impassable	>380			

## **INDEX FORMULAS**

Note: All index formulas listed below contain MAE applicable to 0.02 mile (105.6 feet) interval.

#### **Alligator Crack Index**

 $AC_INDEX = 100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 35) + (\%MED / 15) + (\%HI / 5)]$ 

Where:

The values %LOW, %MED and %HI report the percentage of the observed pavement (0.02 mile, primary lane) that contains alligator cracking within the respective severities. These values range from 0 to 100.

%LOW = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity %MED = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity %HI = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Percent of total area is computed as:

square foot area of alligator crack severity 0.02 mile \* lane width

In AC\_INDEX, the denominators 35, 15, and 5 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 35% of low severity alligator cracking for a 0.02 interval before failure, 15% for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

#### **Longitudinal Crack Index**

 $LC_{INDEX} = 100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 175) + (\%MED / 75) + (\%HI / 25)]$ 

Where:

The values %LOW, %MED, and %HI report the length of longitudinal cracking within each severity as a percent of the section length (0.02 mile, primary lane). These values are  $\geq 0$  and can exceed 100.

%LOW = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity %MED = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity %HI = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Percent of interval length is computed as: <u>length of respective longitudinal cracking</u> 0.02 mile (105.6 feet) In LC\_INDEX, the denominators 175, 75, and 25 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 175% of low severity alligator cracking for a 0.02 interval before failure, 75% for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

#### **Structural Crack Index**

**SC\_INDEX** = [100 - ((100 - AC\_INDEX) + (100 - LC\_INDEX))]

**Structural Crack Index** is a combination of Alligator Cracking and Longitudinal Cracking, and is used in the SCR formula in lieu of AC and LC separately.

#### **Transverse Crack Index**

 $TC_INDEX = 100 - 40 * [(LOW / 21.1) + (MED / 4.4) + (HI / 2.6)]$ 

Where:

The values *LOW*, *MED* and *HI* report a count of the total number of transverse cracks (reported to three decimals) within each severity level, where one transverse crack is equal to the lane width. These values are  $\geq 0$ .

LOW = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity MED = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity HI = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Number of cracks is computed as: <u>Total length of transverse cracks</u> Lane width

In TC\_INDEX, the denominators 21.1, 4.4, and 2.6 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 21.1 low severity transverse cracks for a 0.02 interval before failure, 4.4 cracks for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

#### **Patching Index**

#### **PATCH\_INDEX** = 100 - 40 \* (%PATCHING / 80)

Where:

The value *%PATCHING* reports the percentage of the observed pavement (0.02 mile, primary lane) that contains patching/potholes. This value ranges from 0 to 100.

%PATCHING = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length)

Percent of total area is computed as:

square foot area of patching/potholes 0.02 mile \* lane width

There are no severity levels for patching. It either exists or does not.

In PATCH\_INDEX, the denominator 80 is the Maximum Allowable Extent (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 80% patching for a 0.02 interval before failure. As you can see, if patching/potholes reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

#### **Rutting Index**

**RUT\_INDEX** = 100 - 40 \* [(% LOW / 535) + (% MED / 205) + (% HI / 40)]

Where:

20 rut depth measurements are taken per 0.02 interval for each of 2 wheel paths (left and right), resulting in a total of 40 measurements taken for both wheel paths. *Each wheelpath is analyzed independently for rut severities*. The values %LOW, %MED and %HI are a *total percentage* of left wheelpath percentage and right wheelpath percentage added together for the respective severity. These values range from 0 to 200.

%LOW = Percent of LOW ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of LOW ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

%MED = Percent of MED ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of MED ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

%HI = Percent of HI ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of HI ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

Percent of rut measurements within each severity can also be computed as:

In RUT\_INDEX, the denominators 535, 205, and 40 are the Maximum Allowable Extents for each severity. In other words, the formula allows up to 535% low severity

ruts for a 0.02 interval before. However, since 200 is the highest measurable percentage allowed, 535% is unattainable and therefore, no amount of LOW severity rutting will cause the RUT\_INDEX to fail a road. Similarly, since the MAE for MED severity rutting is 205, no amount of MED severity rutting will cause the RUT\_INDEX to reach 60 and fail the road. As you can see, LOW severity rutting reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure. This formula was intentionally designed to minimize the impact of LOW and MED severity rutting on RUT\_INDEX.

#### **Roughness Condition Index (Asphalt)**

$$\mathbf{RCI} = 32 * [5 * (2.718282 \land (-0.0041 * AVG IRI)))]$$

Where:

The value *AVG IRI* reports the average value of the Left IRI and Right IRI measurements for the interval (0.02 mile, primary lane). This value can range from approximately 40 to 999.0.

Average IRI is computed as:

Left wheelpath IRI + Right wheelpath IRI 2

There is no applicable threshold for failure for this index.

#### **Roughness Condition Index (Concrete)**

 $\mathbf{RCI} = -0.0012(\mathrm{IRI}^2) + 0.0499(\mathrm{IRI}) + 99.542$ 

For concrete, PCR = RCI

#### **Surface Condition Rating Index**

**SCR** = *Lowest* Index Value Of: [SC\_INDEX, TC\_INDEX, PATCH\_INDEX, RUT\_INDEX]

*Note:* The modified SCR equation above combines AC\_INDEX and LC\_INDEX, and considers that a single AC/LC index value of the Structural Crack Index (SC\_INDEX). The lowest of the four computed index values (SC\_INDEX, TC\_INDEX, PATCH\_INDEX, or RUT\_INDEX) becomes the SCR.

Where:

See above for determinations of SC\_INDEX, TC\_INDEX, PATCH\_INDEX and RUT\_INDEX.

The threshold for failure for this index is SCR = 60.

## **Data Collection Vehicle Subsystems**

Data on paved roads in Cycle 5 is collected by FHWA using a Pathway Services Inc. Data Collection Vehicle (DCV), called PathRunner. The DCV is driven in the primary-direction lane at posted speed limits and less.

#### **CAMERAS**

Forward-facing and rear-facing video is collected as .jpg digital imagery at a frequency of 26.4 feet.

Two forward-facing cameras are mounted above the vehicle cab, one pointed straight ahead and the other to the right shoulder providing seamless 120 degree viewing.

CAMERA SPECIFICATIONS		
<b>Two Forward/ One Rear Facing</b>		
Camera lens/type	FUJINON CCTV LENS H16x10B-Y41	
Focal length	10 mm – 160 mm	
Image size	8.8 mm x 6.6mm	
Image format	*.jpg	
Image resolution	HD 2000 X 1200	
Image pixel size	depends on distance	
Zoom ratio	16x	
Max Relative Aperture	1:2.5	
Iris range	F25-T800 (Equivalent to F800)	

Pavement images are created using a Laser Scan Imaging System. This system is composed of a single high resolution line-scan camera and two lasers configured to image an approximate 11-foot wide lane with 1 mm resolution.

CAMERA SPECIFICATIONS		
Pavement Line Scan		
Image size	4280 pixels/line	
Image width	4 meters (3950 mm nominal)	
Laser class	3B	
Power	250W	
Vehicle speed limitations	62 mph	
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night	
Sensor size (approx)	300 mm(H) x 375 mm(L) x 200 mm(D)	
Image frame length	26.4 feet	

#### **DMI (Distance Measuring Instrument)**

The DMI (Distance Measuring Instrument) obtains road length measurements that are accurate to 0.1% for speeds up to 60 mph. The DMI is connected to the hub of the rear wheel on the driver's side, and is calibrated to the revolutions of the rear vehicle axle on a regular basis.

#### ROUGHNESS (IRI)

The collection system includes a South Dakota type laser profiler manufactured based on active Class 1 ASTM E950 standards. The dynamic profile of the pavement surface is collected from which the IRI roughness data is computed. The sensors include one accelerometer on each wheelpath, one height sensor (laser) on each wheelpath, and a distance transducer.

IRI SPECIFICATIONS	
Reported IRI units	Inches/mile
Vehicle speed limitations	12-62 mph
IRI equipment certification	Texas Transportation Institute (TTI)
Wavelengths accommodated	6 in. – 300 feet
IRI computed & reported	World Bank Technical Paper Number 46
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night, above 32 degrees F
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E950-98 (2004), ASTM E 1926-08,
_	AASHTO MP 11-08, AASHTO PP 49-08

#### **RUTTING**

Rutting depths are measured using an INO Laser Rut Measurement System (LRMS). This system is a transverse profiling device that detects and characterizes pavement rutting. The LRMS can acquire full 4 meter width profiles of a pavement lane at normal traffic speeds and uses two laser profilers that digitize transverse sections of the pavement.

RUTTING SPECIFICATIONS	
Reported rut depth units	Inches
Vehicle speed limitations	Up to 62 mph
Sampling rate	30-150 profiles/second
Transverse resolution	1280 points/profile
Transverse field-of-view	4 m
Depth accuracy (nominal)	+/- 1 mm
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night, above 32 degrees F
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E1703M-95 (reapproved 2005)

#### **GPS & INERTIAL SYSTEMS**

GPS is collected by an onboard system employing OmniSTAR real-time correction and a gyroscope (spin-type) to provide accurate positioning data (pitch/roll/heading) in instances of satellite obstruction. All GPS coordinates are tied to image and linear distance measurements.

GPS SPECIFICATIONS	
Static accuracy	Sub-meter
Dynamic accuracy	2-3 meters
Receiver	12 satellite tracking
Coordinate system	Lat Lon WGS 84
Environment	Day or night
Cross-slope	+- 0.5 degrees
Grade	+- 0.5 degrees

#### GPS on Manually Rated Roads (MRR)

Parking areas, some roads, and other paved areas that are not fully drivable with the DCV are collected manually by field technicians. GPS is collected for these routes using portable Trimble GPS backpack units. Paved campground pads and driveways are not typically included in the inventory or GPS.

## **Geodatabase - Background and Metadata**

In addition to this park report, a *geodatabase* containing both tabular and spatial data specific to this park has been provided. All data disseminated in the preceding report has been obtained from the tables and fields within said geodatabase. The geodatabase can be referenced for tabular data via Microsoft Access or for both tabular and spatial data via ESRI's ArcGIS Suite of software which consists of; ArcMap, ArcCatalog and ArcExplorer. Consolidating the RIP data into one database creates a seamless relationship of tabular and geographic data. It will allow RIP to facilitate easier updates and enhancements in the future.

A geodatabase can be thought of as simply a database containing spatial data. Many different tables are contained with the park's geodatabase. A complete and thorough description of the tables and fields contained within this geodatabase can be found in the *metadata*. The metadata is attached directly within the geodatabase and can be accessed via ESRI's ArcCatalog. The metadata portion of the geodatabase also includes data dictionary report functionality that formats the metadata into an easy to read report.

#### **GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

# TERM ORABBREVIATIONDESCRIPTION OR DEFINITION

AC	Alligator Cracking
CRS	Condition Rating Sheets (Section 5)
DCV	Data Collection Vehicle
Excellent	Excellent rating with an index value of 95 to 100
Fair	Fair rating with an index value from 61 to 84
FUNCT_CLASS	Functional Classification (see Route ID, Section 2)
Good	Good rating with an index value from 85 to 94
IRI	International Roughness Index
Lane Width	Width from road centerline to fogline, or from centerline to edge- of-pavement when no fogline exists
LC	Longitudinal Cracking
MRR	Manually Rated Route
MRL	Manually Rated Line
MRP	Manually Rated Polygon
N/A	Not Applicable
NC	Not Collected
РАТСН	Patching and Potholes
Paved Width	Width from edge-of-pavement to edge-of-pavement
PCR	Pavement Condition Rating
PKG	Parking Area
Poor	Poor rating with an index value of 0 to 60
RCI	Roughness Condition Index
SC	Structural Cracking
SCR	Surface Condition Rating
TC	Transverse Cracking