

Road Inventory and Condition Assessment



Lake Meredith National Recreation Area LAMR - 7540

Cycle 5 Report

Prepared By: Federal Highway Administration

Road Inventory Program (RIP)

Data Collected: 01/2012 Report Date: 10/2012

Lake Meredith National Recreation Area in Texas





TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>SECTION</u>	PAGE
1.	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.	PARK ROUTE INVENTORY	
	Route IDs, Subcomponents & Changes Report (As Applicable)	2 – 1
3.	PARK SUMMARY INFORMATION	
	Paved Route Miles and Percentages by Functional Class and PCR	3 - 1
	DCV Road Condition Summary	3 – 3
4.	PARK ROUTE LOCATION MAPS	
	Route Location Key Map	4 – 1
	Route Location Area Map	4 - 2
	Route Condition Key Map – PCR Mile by Mile	4 - 4
	Route Condition Area Map – PCR Mile by Mile	4 – 5
5.	PAVED ROUTE CONDITION RATING SHEETS	
	CRS Pages	5 – 1
6.	MANUALLY RATED PAVED ROUTE CONDITION RATING SHEETS	
	MRR Pages	6 – 1
7.	PARKING AREA CONDITION RATING SHEETS	
	Paved Parking Area Pages	7 – 1
8.	ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES SUMMARIES	
	DCV Route Maintenance Features Summary	8 - 1
	Structure List	8-2
9.	ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES ROAD LOGS	
	Route Maintenance Features Road Logs	9 – 1
10.	APPENDIX	
	Explanation of Changes to the RIP Index Equations and Determination of PCR	10 - 1
	Explanation of the Excellent, Good, Fair and Poor Condition Descriptions	10 - 2
	Description of Rating System	10 - 3
	Surface Distresses	10 - 5
	Index Formulas	10 - 12
	Data Collection Vehicle Subsystems	10 – 16
	Geodatabase – Background and Metadata	10 - 19
	Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations	10 - 20

Section 1 Introduction



Lake Meredith National Recreation Area



INTRODUCTION

The Federal Highway Administration, (FHWA), in the mid 1970s, was charged with the task of identifying surface condition deficiencies and corrective priorities on National Park Service (NPS) roads and parkways. Additionally, FHWA was tasked with establishing an integrated maintenance features inventory, locating features such as culverts, guardrails, and signs, among others, along NPS roads and parkways. As a result, in 1976 the NPS and FHWA entered into an MOA (Memorandum Of Agreement) which established the RIP (Road Inventory Program). This MOA was terminated and revised in 1980 to establish a new MOA aiming to update RIP data and develop a long-range program to improve and maintain NPS roads to designated condition standards and establish a maintenance management program.

The FHWA completed this initial phase of the RIP in the early 1980s. As a result of this effort, each NPS site included in the study received a RIP Report known as the "Brown Book" which included the information collected during this first RIP phase.

In the 1990s, the effort was again renewed to update and maintain the RIP data. By this time the computer age was upon us and a process was employed that relied heavily on electronic data collection and computer technology. A cyclical program was developed and the RIP completed two cycles of data collection from 1994 to 2001. Cycle 1, starting in 1994, was conducted in 44 "large parks" (parks containing 10 or more paved route miles). Cycle 2 began in 1997 and comprised 79 large parks and 5 small parks totaling 4,874 paved route miles. Each of these parks received a RIP Report known as the "Blue Book". Cycle 3, from 2001 to 2004, was conducted in all parks, large and small, that contained any paved routes, including parking areas and, again, each park received a RIP Report and associated electronic files.

Cycle 4 was initiated in the spring of 2006 covering 86 large parks and several associated small parks consisting of 5,553 paved route miles and 6,232 paved parking areas. Data collection has been completed for Cycle 4 and all data has been delivered to the NPS.

In 2005, the FHWA began implementing the use of a Pavement Management System (PMS) to assist the NPS in prioritizing Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities. The PMS used by FHWA is the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) and this software has the ability to store inventory and condition data from RIP and forecast future performance using prediction models. Outputs include performance and condition reports at the National, Regional, Park, or Route level. A regional prioritized list and optimization have been produced for most regions and the Federal Highway Deferred Maintenance is calculated via the HPMA.

In an effort to improve the accuracy of treatment recommendations and pavement condition descriptions, an extensive study was completed throughout 2010 that has resulted in changes to the RIP condition reporting method, specifically the distresses and indexes that comprise the Pavement Condition Rating (PCR). It was determined that a better representation of PCR could

be achieved by modifying the relative impact certain distresses would have on the overall rating. The changes that were implemented were endorsed by management at both the FHWA and NPS in October 2010. These changes will allow greater use of RIP and HPMA data for not simply condition data reporting, but also as a reliable tool for project identification and selection. Because of these changes, the PCR Condition ratings reported in Cycle 5 do not directly relate to the condition ratings reported in previous cycle RIP Reports. For more detailed information about the changes, see Section 3 and Section 10 in this RIP Report.

Cycle 5 has launched in the summer of 2010 and will again comprise all parks, large and small, that are served by paved roads and/or parking areas. For Cycle 5, the decision was made to collect condition data in large parks on Functional Class 1, 2, and 7 paved routes only, as well as any new routes that were previously not collected. In small parks, all paved routes and parking areas will be collected. As a result, this will include 81 large parks with 4,459 paved route miles and 168 small parks with 529 paved route miles and associated paved parking areas.

Since 1984, the Road Inventory Program has been funded through the Federal Lands Highway Park Roads and Parkways (PRP) Program. Currently, coordination of the RIP with FLH is under the NPS Washington Headquarters Park Facility Management Division. The FLH Washington office coordinates policy and prepares national reports and needs assessment studies for Congress.

In 1998, the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) amended Title 23 U.S.C., and inserted Section 204(a)(6) requiring the FHWA and NPS, to develop by rule, a Pavement Management System (PMS) applied to park roads and parkways serving the National Park System.

FLH is responsible for the accuracy of all data presented in this report. Any questions or comments concerning the contents of this report should be directed to the national RIP Coordinator located in Sterling, Virginia.

Respectfully,

FHWA RIP Team

FHWA/Eastern Federal Lands 21400 Ridgetop Circle Sterling, VA 20166 (703) 404-6371 FHWA/Central Federal Lands 12300 West Dakota Ave Lakewood, CO 80228 (720) 963-3560

Section 2 Park Route Inventory



Lake Meredith National Recreation Area



Road Inventory Program 09/18/2012

(Numerical By Route #)

Shading Color Key:

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = All Paved Parking Areas

Green = All Unpaved Parking Areas

approx. mileage

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Bla

Black = State, Local or Private non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route Flag ON

*Unpaved route data was obtained from NPS and was not inventoried by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

** DCV - Data Collection Vehicle

*** Only Functional Class 1, 2, & 7 routes, and previously uncollected routes were collected in Cycle 5

LAMR

LAKE MEREDITH NATIONAL RECREATION AREA

Rte. No.	Cycle Collected	FMSS No.	Concess Route	Route Name	Route Des From	cription To	Maint. District	Paved Miles	Un- Paved Miles	Total Route Length	Func. Class	Manual Rated SQ/FT	Surf. Type	Area Maps
0010	5	83127		SANFORD YAKE ROAD	FROM EAST PARK BOUNDARY AT PAVEMENT CHANGE	TO PAVEMENT CHANGE AT BOAT RAMP	N/A	1.42	0.00	1.42	2		AS	1
0100	5	83128		FRITCH FORTRESS ROAD	FROM EAST PARK BOUNDARY AT PAVEMENT CHANGE	TO PAVEMENT CHANGE AT BOAT RAMP	N/A	1.33	0.00	1.33	2		AS	1
0101	5	83129		BLUE WEST ACCESS ROAD	FROM WEST PARK BOUNDARY AT PAVEMENT CHANGE	TO PAVEMENT CHANGE AT BOAT RAMP	N/A	1.37	0.00	1.37	2		AS	1
0102	5	83130		PLUM CREEK ACCESS ROAD	FROM NORTH PARK BOUNDARY AT PAVEMENT CHANGE	TO PAVEMENT CHANGE AT BOAT RAMP	N/A	1.76	0.00	1.76	2		AS	2
0103	5	83131		BATES CANYON ROAD	FROM CAS JOHNSON ROAD AT PAVEMENT CHANGE	TO PAVEMENT CHANGE AT BOAT RAMP	N/A	0.95	0.00	0.95	2		AS	2
0104	5	83132		HARBOR BAY ROAD	FROM EAST PARK BOUNDARY AT PAVEMENT CHANGE	TO PAVEMENT CHANGE AT BOAT RAMP	N/A	0.51	0.00	0.51	2		AS	1
0105	NC	83133		ROSITA AREA ACCESS ROAD	FROM EAST PARK BOUNDARY	TO BULTACO HILL	N/A	0.00	2.03	2.03	2		NV	
0106	5	104720		ALIBATES TOUR ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0103 (BATES CANYON ROAD) AT MP 0.27 (ON RIGHT)	TO END AT GATE	N/A	2.29	0.00	2.29	2		AS	2
0200	4	83134		FRITCH FORTRESS AMPHITHEATER ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0100 (FRITCH FORTRESS ROAD) AT MP 0.45 (ON RIGHT)	TO ROUTE 0100 (FRITCH FORTRESS ROAD) AT MP 0.96 (ON RIGHT)	N/A	0.76	0.00	0.76	3		AS	1
0201	4	83135		CEDAR CANYON ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010 (SANFORD YAKE ROAD) AT MP 0.25 (ON LEFT)	TO PAVEMENT CHANGE AT BOAT RAMP	N/A	0.52	0.00	0.52	3		AS	1
0202	4	83136		FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0100 (FRITCH FORTRESS ROAD) AT MP 0.96 (ON LEFT)	TO ROUTE 0100 (FRITCH FORTRESS ROAD) AT MP 0.84 (ON LEFT)	N/A	0.36	0.00	0.36	3		AS	1
0203	4	83137		SPRING CANYON STILLING BASIN ROAD	FROM STATE HIGHWAY 1319	TO END	N/A	1.06	0.00	1.06	3		AS	1
0204	4	83138		SPRING CANYON NORTH VIEW POINT ROAD	FROM STATE HIGHWAY 1319	TO ROUTE 0921 (SPRING CANYON NORTH VIEW POINT PARKING)	N/A	0.32	0.00	0.32	3		AS	1
0205	4	83139		BLUE WEST PICNIC ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0101 (BLUE WEST ACCESS ROAD) AT MP 0.97 (ON RIGHT)	TO ROUTE 0101 (BLUE WEST ACCESS ROAD) AT MP 1.17 (ON RIGHT)	N/A	0.72	0.00	0.72	3		AS	1

Page 1 of 9

Road Inventory Program 09/18/2012

(Numerical By Route #)

Green = All Unpaved Parking Areas

Page 2 of 9

Shading Color Key: Red text denotes approx. mileage

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven Blue = All Paved Parking Areas

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = State, Local or Private non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route Flag ON

LAMR

Rte. No.	Cycle Collected	FMSS No.	Concess Route	Route Name	Route Des From	scription To	Maint. District	Paved Miles	Un- Paved Miles	Total Route Length	Func. Class	Manual Rated SQ/FT	Surf. Type	Area Maps
0206	NC	83140		SPRING CANYON NORTH CANYON ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0204 (SPRING CANYON NORTH VIEW POINT ROAD)	TO END	N/A	0.00	0.92	0.92	3		NV	
0208	NC	83141		PLUM CREEK LOWER ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0102 (PLUM CREEK ACCESS ROAD)	TO END	N/A	0.00	2.45	2.45	3		NV	
0209	NC	83142		PLUM CREEK UPPER ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0102 (PLUM CREEK ACCESS ROAD)	TO END	N/A	0.00	2.02	2.02	3		NV	
0211	NC	83143		BLUE CREEK PICNIC ROAD	FROM STATE HIGHWAY 1913	TO END	N/A	0.00	0.76	0.76	3		NV	
0212	NC	83144		MCBRIDE RANCH ROAD	FROM WEST PARK BOUNDARY	TO END OF LOOP	N/A	0.00	3.38	3.38	3		NV	
0213	NC	83145		MCBRIDE LOWER ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0212 (MCBRIDE RANCH ROAD)	TO END	N/A	0.00	2.19	2.19	3		NV	
0217	4	83146		SANFORD YAKE CAMPGROUND LOOP	FROM ROUTE 0010 (SANFORD YAKE ROAD) AT MP 1.23 (ON LEFT)	TO END OF LOOP	N/A	0.60	0.00	0.60	3		AS	1
0218	NC	83147		CHIMNEY HOLLOW ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0101 (BLUE WEST ACCESS ROAD)	TO END	N/A	0.00	0.78	0.78	3		NV	
0219	4	83149		BUGBEE ACCESS ROAD	FROM NORTH PARK BOUNDARY AT PARK SIGN	TO END	N/A	0.53	0.00	0.53	3		AS	1
0220	NC	83150		BUGBEE FISHING ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0219 (BUGBEE ACCESS ROAD) AT MP 0.47 (ON RIGHT)	TO END	N/A	0.00	2.95	2.95	3		NV	
0221	4	83151		SPRING CANYON ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0203 (SPRING CANYON STILLING BASIN ROAD) AT MP 0.97 (ON RIGHT)	TO END	N/A	0.55	0.00	0.55	3		AS	1
0222	NC	83152		BATES CANYON DOLIMITE POINT ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0106 (ALIBATES TOUR ROAD) AT MP 1.70 (ON LEFT)	TO END	N/A	0.00	1.62	1.62	3		NV	
0223	4	102590		HARBOR BAY PICNIC LOOP ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0104 (HARBOR BAY ROAD) AT MP 0.20 (ON RIGHT)	TO END OF LOOP	N/A	0.14	0.00	0.14	3		AS	1
0224	NC	105492		SANFORD YAKE CAMPSITE ACCESS ROAD 1	FROM ROUTE 0217 (SANFORD YAKE CAMPGROUND LOOP) AT MP 0.12 (ON RIGHT)	TO ROUTE 0217 (SANFORD YAKE CAMPGROUND LOOP) AT MP 0.22 (ON RIGHT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	3		NV	
0225	NC	105493		SANFORD YAKE CAMPSITE ACCESS ROAD 2	FROM ROUTE 0217 (SANFORD YAKE CAMPGROUND LOOP) AT MP 0.25 (ON RIGHT)	TO END	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	3		NV	

^{*}Unpaved route data was obtained from NPS and was not inventoried by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

^{**} DCV - Data Collection Vehicle

^{***} Only Functional Class 1, 2, & 7 routes, and previously uncollected routes were collected in Cycle 5

Road Inventory Program 09/18/2012

(Numerical By Route #)

Page 3 of 9

Shading Color Key: Red text denotes approx. mileage White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = All Paved Parking Areas

Green = All Unpaved Parking Areas

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = State, Local or Private non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route Flag ON

*Unpaved route data was obtained from NPS and was not inventoried by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

*** Only Functional Class 1, 2, & 7 routes, and previously uncollected routes were collected in Cycle 5

LAMR

Rte. No.	Cycle Collected	FMSS No.	Concess Route	Route Name	Route Des From	cription To	Maint. District	Paved Miles	Un- Paved Miles	Total Route Length	Func. Class	Manual Rated SQ/FT	Surf. Type	Area Maps
0226	NC	105494		SANFORD YAKE CAMPSITE ACCESS ROAD 3	FROM ROUTE 0217 (SANFORD YAKE CAMPGROUND LOOP) AT MP 0.36 (ON RIGHT)	TO END	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	3		NV	
0227	NC	105495		SANFORD YAKE CAMPSITE ACCESS ROAD 4	FROM ROUTE 0217 (SANFORD YAKE CAMPGROUND LOOP) AT MP 0.41 (ON RIGHT)	TO END	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	3		NV	
0228	NC	105516		HARBOR BAY LAKESHORE ACCESS ROADS	FROM ROUTE 0104 (HARBOR BAY ROAD) AT MP 0.17 (ON LEFT)	TO ROUTE 0104 (HARBOR BAY ROAD) AT MP 0.41 (ON LEFT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	3		NV	
0400	4	105515		FRITCH FORTRESS AMPHITHEATER STAGE ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0901 (FRITCH FORTRESS AMPHITHEATER PARKING)	TO END	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	6,865	AS	1
0401	NC	105498		FRITCH FORTRESS COMFORT STATION MAINTENANCE ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0100 (FRITCH FORTRESS ROAD) AT MP 0.86 (ON LEFT)	TO ROUTE 0202 (FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC ROAD) AT MP 0.32 (ON RIGHT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	6		NV	
0402	NC	105522		SPRING CANYON NORTH CANYON ACCESS ROAD 2	FROM ROUTE 0221 (SPRING CANYON ROAD)	TO END	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	6		NV	
0403	NC	106949		SHOOTING RANGE ACCESS ROAD	FROM RANCH ROAD 687	TO SHOOTING RANGE	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	5		GR	
0900A	4	91765		HARBOR BAY PARKING A	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0104 (HARBOR BAY ROAD) AT MP 0.49 (ON LEFT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		3,054	AS	1
0900B	4	104417		HARBOR BAY PARKING B	FROM ROUTE 0104 (HARBOR BAY ROAD) AT MP 0.44 (ON RIGHT)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		57,218	AS	1
0901	4	91766		FRITCH FORTRESS AMPHITHEATER PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0200 (FRITCH FORTRESS AMPHITHEATER ROAD) AT MP 0.18 (ON RIGHT)	TO ROUTE 0200 (FRITCH FORTRESS AMPHITHEATER ROAD) AT MP 0.27 (ON RIGHT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		94,092	AS	1
0902	4	91767		FRITCH FORTRESS AMPHITHEATER OVERFLOW PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0200 (FRITCH FORTRESS AMPHITHEATER ROAD) AT MP 0.18 (ON LEFT)	TO ROUTE 0200 (FRITCH FORTRESS AMPHITHEATER ROAD) AT MP 0.27 (ON LEFT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		25,515	AS	1
0903A	4	91768		FRITCH FORTRESS COMFORT STATION PARKING A	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0202 (FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC ROAD) AT MP 0.34 (ON RIGHT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,928	AS	1

^{**} DCV - Data Collection Vehicle

Road Inventory Program 09/18/2012

(Numerical By Route #)

Page 4 of 9

Shading Color Key: Red text denotes approx. mileage White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = All Paved Parking Areas

Green = All Unpaved Parking Areas

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = State, Local or Private non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route Flag ON

*Unpaved route data was obtained from NPS and was not inventoried by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

** DCV - Data Collection Vehicle

*** Only Functional Class 1, 2, & 7 routes, and previously uncollected routes were collected in Cycle 5

LAMR

Rte.	e	FMSS	ess		Route Des	cription	Maint.	Paved	Un-	Total	Func.	Manual	Surf.	Area
No.	Cycle Collected	No.	Concess Route	Route Name	From	То	District	Miles	Paved Miles	Route Length	Class	Rated SQ/FT	Туре	Maps
0903B	4	104418		FRITCH FORTRESS COMFORT STATION PARKING B	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0202 (FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC ROAD) AT MP 0.34 (ON LEFT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		2,530	AS	1
0904	4	91769		FRITCH FORTRESS PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0100 (FRITCH FORTRESS ROAD) AT MP 1.30 (ON LEFT)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		58,567	AS	1
0905A	4	91770		FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC PARKING A	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0202 (FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC ROAD) AT MP 0.24 (ON RIGHT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,339	AS	1
0905B	4	104419		FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC PARKING B	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0202 (FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC ROAD) AT MP 0.22 (ON RIGHT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,366	AS	1
0905C	4	104420		FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC PARKING C	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0202 (FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC ROAD) AT MP 0.19 (ON RIGHT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,420	AS	1
0905D	4	104421		FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC PARKING D	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0202 (FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC ROAD) AT MP 0.14 (ON RIGHT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		955	AS	1
0905E	4	104422		FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC PARKING E	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0202 (FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC ROAD) AT MP 0.11 (ON RIGHT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,027	AS	1
0905F	4	104423		FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC PARKING F	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0202 (FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC ROAD) AT MP 0.08 (ON RIGHT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,358	AS	1
0905G	4	104424		FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC PARKING G	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0202 (FRITCH FORTRESS PICNIC ROAD) AT MP 0.03 (ON RIGHT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,892	AS	1
0906	4	91771		FRITCH FORTRESS DUMP STATION	FROM ROUTE 0100 (FRITCH FORTRESS ROAD) AT MP 0.62 (ON LEFT)	TO ROUTE 0100 (FRITCH FORTRESS ROAD) AT MP 0.65 (ON LEFT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		7,908	AS	1
0907	4	91772		PLUM CREEK PARKING	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0102 (PLUM CREEK ACCESS ROAD) AT MP 1.65 (ON LEFT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		82,765	AS	2
				J					j]			

Road Inventory Program 09/18/2012

(Numerical By Route #)

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven | Blue = All Paved Parking Areas | Green = All Unpaved Parking Areas

Shading Color Key: Red text denotes approx. mileage

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = State, Local or Private non-NPS Routes = Concession Route Flag ON

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

LAMR

LAKE MEREDITH NATIONAL RECREATION AREA

Rte. No.	Cycle Collected	FMSS No.	Concess Route	Route Name	Route Des From	cription To	Maint. District	Paved Miles	Un- Paved Miles	Total Route Length	Func. Class	Manual Rated SQ/FT	Surf. Type	Area Maps
0908	4	91773		CEDAR CANYON PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0201 (CEDAR CANYON ACCESS ROAD) AT MP 0.5 (ON LEFT)	TO ROUTE 0909 (CEDAR CANYON OVERFLOW PARKNG)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		62,373	AS	1
0909	4	91774		CEDAR CANYON OVERFLOW PARKNG	FROM ROUTE 0908 (CEDAR CANYON PARKING)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		53,022	AS	1
0910	4	91775		CEDAR CANYON RV DUMP STATION	FROM ROUTE 0010 (SANFORD YAKE ROAD) AT MP 0.18 (ON RIGHT)	TO ROUTE 0010 (SANFORD YAKE ROAD) AT MP 0.21 (ON RIGHT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		7,993	AS	1
0911	4	91776		CEDAR CANYON KIOSK PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0010 (SANFORD YAKE ROAD) AT MP 0.27 (ON LEFT)	TO ROUTE 0201 (CEDAR CANYON ACCESS ROAD) AT MP 0.03 (ON RIGHT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		3,682	AS	1
0912	4	91777		SANFORD YAKE UPPER MARINA PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0010 (SANFORD YAKE ROAD) AT MP 1.29 (ON LEFT)	TO ROUTE 0010 (SANFORD YAKE ROAD) AT MP 1.31 (ON LEFT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		139,699	AS	1
0913	5	91778		SANFORD YAKE LOWER MARINA PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0010 (SANFORD YAKE ROAD) AT MP 1.41 (ON RIGHT)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		40,141	AS	1
0914	4	91779		SANFORD YAKE COMFORT STATION PARKING	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0217 (SANFORD YAKE CAMPGROUND LOOP) AT MP 0.51 (ON LEFT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		6,639	AS	1
0915A	4	91780		SANFORD YAKE CAMPGROUND PARKING A	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0217 (SANFORD YAKE CAMPGROUND LOOP) AT MP 0.32 (ON RIGHT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		3,093	AS	1
0915B	4	104425		SANFORD YAKE CAMPGROUND PARKING B	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0217 (SANFORD YAKE CAMPGROUND LOOP) AT MP 0.45 (ON LEFT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		3,575	AS	1
0915C	4	104426		SANFORD YAKE CAMPGROUND PARKING C	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0217 (SANFORD YAKE CAMPGROUND LOOP) AT MP 0.56 (ON LEFT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,173	AS	1
0916	4	91782		CEDAR CANYON RANGER STATION PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0010 (SANFORD YAKE ROAD) AT MP 0.08 (ON LEFT)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		32,594	AS	1
0917	4	91783		CEDAR CANYON MAINTENANCE AREA	FROM ROUTE 0916 (CEDAR CANYON RANGER STATION PARKING)	TO MAINTENANCE AREA	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		41,106	AS	1
0918A	4	91784		SPRING CANYON PARKING A	FROM ROUTE 0221 (SPRING CANYON ROAD) AT MP 0.45 (ON LEFT)	TO ROUTE 0221 (SPRING CANYON ROAD) AT MP 0.53 (ON LEFT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		11,872	AS	1

Page 5 of 9

^{*}Unpaved route data was obtained from NPS and was not inventoried by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

^{**} DCV - Data Collection Vehicle

^{***} Only Functional Class 1, 2, & 7 routes, and previously uncollected routes were collected in Cycle 5

Road Inventory Program 09/18/2012

(Numerical By Route #)

Green = All Unpaved Parking Areas

Shading Color Key: Red text denotes approx. mileage

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven Blue = All Paved Parking Areas

Page 6 of 9

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = State, Local or Private non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route Flag ON

*** Only Functional Class 1, 2, & 7 routes, and previously uncollected routes were collected in Cycle 5

LAMR

Rte. No.	Cycle Collected	FMSS No.	Concess Route	Route Name	Route Des From	cription To	Maint. District	Paved Miles	Un- Paved Miles	Total Route Length	Func. Class	Manual Rated SQ/FT	Surf. Type	Area Maps
0918B	4	104434		SPRING CANYON PARKING B	FROM ROUTE 0221 (SPRING CANYON ROAD) AT MP 0.34 (ON LEFT)	TO ROUTE 0221 (SPRING CANYON ROAD) AT MP 0.36 (ON LEFT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		3,205	AS	1
0918C	4	104435		SPRING CANYON PARKING C	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0221 (SPRING CANYON ROAD) AT MP 0.29 (ON LEFT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		2,145	AS	1
0918D	4	104436		SPRING CANYON PARKING D	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0221 (SPRING CANYON ROAD) AT MP 0.20 (ON LEFT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,859	AS	1
0918E	4	104437		SPRING CANYON PARKING E	FROM ROUTE 0221 (SPRING CANYON ROAD) AT MP 0.02 (ON LEFT)	TO ROUTE 0221 (SPRING CANYON ROAD) AT MP 0.12 (ON LEFT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		12,790	AS	1
0918F	4	104438		SPRING CANYON PARKING F	FROM ROUTE 0203 (SPRING CANYON STILLING BASIN ROAD) AT MP 1.01 (ON RIGHT)	TO ROUTE 0203 (SPRING CANYON STILLING BASIN ROAD) AT MP 1.03 (ON RIGHT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		6,381	AS	1
0919	4	91787		SPRING CANYON KIOSK PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0203 (SPRING CANYON STILLING BASIN ROAD) AT MP 0.75 (ON RIGHT)	TO ROUTE 0203 (SPRING CANYON STILLING BASIN ROAD) AT MP 0.81 (ON RIGHT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		14,177	AS	1
0920	4	91788		BATES CANYON PARKING	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0103 (BATES CANYON ROAD) AT MP 0.85 (ON LEFT)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		41,368	AS	2
0921	4	91813		SPRING CANYON NORTH VIEW POINT PARKING	FROM END OF ROUTE 0204 (SPRING CANYON NORTH VIEW POINT ROAD)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		8,877	AS	1
0922	4	91814		BLUE WEST UPPER PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0205 (BLUE WEST PICNIC ROAD) AT MP 0.59 (ON RIGHT)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		87,545	AS	1
0923	NC	91815		BLUE WEST LOWER PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0101 (BLUE WEST ACCESS ROAD)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00			GR	
0924	4	91816		BUGBEE PICNIC AREA	FROM ROUTE 0219 (BUGBEE ACCESS ROAD) AT MP 0.26 (ON RIGHT)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,069	AS	1
0925	4	104449		PARK HEADQUARTERS VISITOR PARKING	FROM STATE HIGHWAY 136	TO ROUTE 0926 (PARK HEADQUARTERS ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		7,856	AS	1
0926	4	104450		PARK HEADQUARTERS ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0925 (PARK HEADQUARTERS VISITOR PARKING)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		12,960	AS	1

^{*}Unpaved route data was obtained from NPS and was not inventoried by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

^{**} DCV - Data Collection Vehicle

Road Inventory Program 09/18/2012

(Numerical By Route #)

Shading Color Key: White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = All Paved Parking Areas

Green = All Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven approx. mileage

Black = State, Local or Private non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route Flag ON

*** Only Functional Class 1, 2, & 7 routes, and previously uncollected routes were collected in Cycle 5

LAMR

LAKE MEREDITH NATIONAL RECREATION AREA

Rte.	le	FMSS	oncess Route	Davita Nama	Route Des	cription	Maint.	Paved	Un- Paved	Total Route	Func.	Manual	Surf.	Area
No.	Cycle	No.	Conc	Route Name	From	То	District	Miles	Miles	Length	Class	Rated SQ/FT	Туре	Maps
0928	4	91817		ALIBATES CONTACT STATION PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0106 (ALIBATES TOUR ROAD) AT MP 0.02 (ON RIGHT)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		24,277	AS	2
0929A	4	91819		HARBOR BAY PICNIC PARKING A	FROM ROUTE 0223 (HARBOR BAY PICNIC LOOP ROAD) AT MP 0.05 (ON RIGHT)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,180	AS	1
0929B	4	104439		HARBOR BAY PICNIC PARKING B	FROM ROUTE 0223 (HARBOR BAY PICNIC LOOP ROAD) AT MP 0.12 (ON RIGHT)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,049	AS	1
0930	4	91820		HARBOR BAY KIOSK PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0104 (HARBOR BAY ROAD) AT MP 0.02 (ON LEFT)	TO ROUTE 0104 (HARBOR BAY ROAD) AT MP 0.05 (ON LEFT)	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		3,913	AS	1

Page 7 of 9

^{*}Unpaved route data was obtained from NPS and was not inventoried by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

^{**} DCV - Data Collection Vehicle

Road Inventory Program 09/18/2012

(Numerical By Route #)

Shading Color Key: Red text denotes approx. mileage White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = All Paved Parking Areas

Green = All Unpaved Parking Areas

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = State, Local or Private non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route Flag ON

*Unpaved route data was obtained from NPS and was not inventoried by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

*** Only Functional Class 1, 2, & 7 routes, and previously uncollected routes were collected in Cycle 5

Page 8 of 9

CYCLE 5 COLLECTED SUMMARY TOTALS FOR LAKE MEREDITH NATIONAL RECREATION AREA **CYCLE 5 COLLECTED CONCESSION TOTALS CYCLE 5 COLLECTED ROUTE TOTALS Concession Paved Route Miles** 0.00 **DCV Driven Route Miles** 9.63 Concession Paved Parking Area SOFT **Manually Rated Route Miles** 0.00 **TOTAL PARK ROUTE MILES COLLECTED IN CYCLE 5** 9.63 **Concession Manually Rated Rotes SQFT** Manually Rated Routes (SQFT) 0 CYCLE 5 COLLECTED WEIGHTED AVERAGE PARK VALUES * CYCLE 5 COLLECTED PARKING AREA TOTALS **DCV Driven PCR** 90 Paved Parking (SQFT) 40,141 **Manually Rated Routes PCR N/A **Parking PCR 90 ***Total Equivalent Lane Miles 22.31

TOTAL PARK SUMMARY FOR LAKE	MEREDITH NATIONAL RECREATION AREA
ROUTE TOTALS	
TOTAL PAVED PARK ROUTE MILES 15.19	
TOTAL PAVED PARKING (SQFT) 980,547	

^{* -} The Parking Area Totals SQFT value represents all parking areas collected in Cycle 5, both park and concessionaire.

^{**} DCV - Data Collection Vehicle

^{** -} Parking and Manually Rated Routes are assigned the following PCR values based on their observed condition: Construction=-1, Excellent=97, Good=90, Fair=73, and Poor=45.

^{*** -} Equivalent Lane Miles are calculated by route using the following equations : DCV and Manually Rated Lines Routes=(PAVE_WIDTHxPAVED_MI)/11 foot lane. Parking Areas=SQ_FEET/5280/11. Manually Rated Polygons=SQ_FEET/5280/11.

Road Inventory Program 09/18/2012

(Numerical By Route #)

Shading Color Key: Red text denotes approx. mileage

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = All Paved Parking Areas

Green = All Unpaved Parking Areas

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = State, Local or Private non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route Flag ON

*Unpaved route data was obtained from NPS and was not inventoried by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

** DCV - Data Collection Vehicle

*** Only Functional Class 1, 2, & 7 routes, and previously uncollected routes were collected in Cycle 5

General Park Road Functional Classification Table

- Class 1 Principal Park Road/Rural Parkway (Public Roads) Roads which constitute the main access route, circulatory tour, or thoroughfare for park visitors. Route Numbers 1 99. Note: Rural parkways (e.g. Natchez Trace) are numbered 1 9. State Routes Inventoried for Park. Route Numbers 5000-5999
- Class 2 Connector Park Road (Public Roads) Roads which provide access within a park to areas of scenic, scientific, recreational or cultural interest, such as overlooks, camparounds, etc. Route Numbers 100-199.
- <u>Class 3</u> Special Purpose Park Road (Public Roads) Roads which provide circulation within public areas, such as campgrounds, picnic areas, visitor center complexes, concessionaire facilities, etc. These roads generally serve low-speed traffic and are often designed for one-way circulation. Route Numbers 200-299.
- Class 4 Primitive Park Roads (Public Roads) Roads which provide circulation through remote areas and/or access to primitive campgrounds and undeveloped areas. These roads frequently have no minimum design standards and their use may be limited to specially equipped vehicles. Route Numbers 200-299.

 Note: Functional Classes 3 and 4 have the same route numbers because, historically, they were numbered similarly.
- <u>Class 5</u> Administrative Access Road (Administrative Roads) All public roads intended for access to administrative developments or structures such as park offices, employee quarters, or utility areas. Route Numbers 400-499.
- Class 6

 Restricted Road (Administrative Roads) All roads normally closed to the public, including patrol roads, truck trails, and other similar roads. Route Numbers 400-499.

 Note: Functional Classes 5 and 6 have the same route numbers because historically they were numbered similarly and often there is little distinction between these routes. For example, because utility areas and employee housing are often closed to the public, this restriction would result in classification of FC 6 rather than FC 5.
- Class 7 Urban Parkway (Urban Parkways and City Streets) These facilities serve high volumes of park and non-park related traffic and are restricted, limited-access facilities in an urban area. This category of roads primarily encompasses the major parkways which serve as gateways to our nation's capital. Other major park roads or portions thereof, however, may be included in this category. Route Numbers 1-9.
- Class 8 City Streets (Urban Parkways and City Streets) City streets are usually extensions of the adjoining street system that are owned and maintained by the National Park Service. The construction and/or reconstruction should conform with accepted local engineering practice and local conditions. Route Numbers 600-699.

The historic route numbering system also included a 300 number series for interpretive roads, and a 500 series for one-way roads. There are approximately 250 roads nationwide which are designated by the 300 and 500 series. The numbers for these roads will be maintained for reporting consistency. However, since these interpretive and one-way routes are not as clearly tied to a specific functional class, the 300 and 500 series will be discontinued for future use.

5000 route numbers are assigned to Non-NPS Routes that are State, County or City owned which border, traverse, or provide access to Park Facilities or Assets. 5000 Routes are driven for GPS and Video Log only.

Surface Type Abbreviations:

Page 9 of 9

AS - Asphaltic Concrete Pavement

CO - Portland Cement Concrete Pavement

BR - Brick or Pavers Road Bed

CB - Cobble Stone Road Bed

GR - Gravel Road Bed

SA - Sand Road Bed


NV - Native or Dirt Material Road Bed

OT - Other Materials Road Bed

ROUTE IDENTIFICATION CHANGES TO PAVED ROUTES FROM PREVIOUS CYCLE - LAMR

	ROUTES	MODIFIED FROM PREVIOUS II	NVENTORY:
Route #	Route Name	Type of Modification	Comments
0106	ALIBATES TOUR ROAD	LENGTH CHANGE	THE LENGTH OF ROUTE 0106 HAS GOTTEN SHORTER DUE TO A GATE THAT SEPERATES NPS LAND FROM BLM LAND.
0913	SANFORD YAKE LOWER MARINA PARKING	RECONSTRUCTED	THIS PARKING LOT WAS RECONSTRUCTED AND EXPANDED IN CYCLE 5.

Section 3 Park Summary Information



Lake Meredith National Recreation Area



LAMR: PAVED ROUTE MILES AND PERCENTAGES BY FUNCTIONAL CLASS AND PCR

	Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)										
	Poor (0-60)	Fair (6	1-84)	Good	(85-94)	Excellent	(95-100)	TOTAL		
F.C.	MILES	%	MILES	%	MILES	%	MILES	%	MILES		
1											
2	0.28	2.91%	2.57	26.69%	3.30	34.27%	3.48	36.14%	9.63		
3											
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
Totals	0.28	2.91%	2.57	26.69%	3.30	34.27%	3.48	36.14%	9.63		

Note:

The information in this table is derived from the PMS_20 table in the Park database, which only contains processed data from routes collected with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV). Information for Manually Rated Routes (MRR) and Parking Areas is not reported in this table. Only Functional Class 1, 2, & 7 routes, and any new routes not previously collected by RIP, are collected in Large Parks.


Explanation of the Excellent, Good, Fair and Poor Condition Descriptions

In addition to the RIP Index changes that have been implemented in Cycle 5, we will also aim to provide greater assistance in translating excellent/good/fair/poor categories into pavement needs categories. The PCR can be used to indicate the place in the Pavement Life Cycle and the types of treatments that should be considered now and into the future.

- Excellent/New: PCR of 95-100. Pavements in this range will require only spot repairs
- Good: PCR of 85-94. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates for Preventive Maintenance. Examples include Chip and Slurry Seals, Micro Surfacing and Thin Overlays.
- Fair: PCR of 61-84. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Light Rehabilitation (L3R). Examples include single-lift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness, milling and overlays.
- Poor: PCR of 0-60. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Heavy Rehabilitation or Reconstruction (H3R or 4R). Examples include Pulverization, Multiple Lift Overlays, and Reconstruction.

At this time, specific Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities should be evaluated and recommended at the project level. Site-specific conditions that influence treatment type should be determined based on performing a subsurface investigation and/or pavement condition survey, and not be based solely on RIP data. Additionally, RIP produces a snapshot of conditions the year in which the data was collected. For further information or to obtain additional Pavement Management System's data from our Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) please contact the Eastern Federal Lands pavement team.

Condition Categories and Treatments




Pavement Age

LAMR: DCV ROAD CONDITION SUMMARY

DCV - Data Collection Vehicle


ROUTE NUMBER	ROUTE NAME	101.01	PAVED LENGTH		AVERAGE SURFACE CONDITION RATING (SCR)	AVERAGE PAVEMENT CONDITION RATING (PCR)
0010	SANFORD YAKE ROAD	2	1.42	ASPHALT	90	92
0100	FRITCH FORTRESS ROAD	2	1.33	ASPHALT	91	94
0101	BLUE WEST ACCESS ROAD	2	1.37	ASPHALT	90	87
0102	PLUM CREEK ACCESS ROAD	2	1.76	ASPHALT	88	83
0103	BATES CANYON ROAD	2	0.95	ASPHALT	74	78



LAMR: DCV ROAD CONDITION SUMMARY

DCV - Data Collection Vehicle

					AVERAGE	AVERAGE
					SURFACE	PAVEMENT
ROUTE		FUNCT	PAVED	SURFACE	CONDITION	CONDITION
NUMBER	ROUTE NAME	CLASS	LENGTH	TYPE	RATING (SCR)	RATING (PCR)
0104	HARBOR BAY ROAD	2	0.51	ASPHALT	95	95
0106	ALIBATES TOUR ROAD	2	2.29	ASPHALT	95	96




Section 4 Park Route Location Maps




Lake Meredith National Recreation Area




Lake Meredith National Recreation Area Route Location Map Key Map




Lake Meredith National Recreation Area Route Location Map Area 1



Routes Collected in Previous Cycle




Lake Meredith National Recreation Area Route Location Map Area 2




Routes Collected in Previous Cycle

Lake Meredith National Recreation Area Route Condition Map PCR - Mile by Mile




Note: Only routes collected by the DCV in Cycle-5 are displayed.

Lake Meredith National Recreation Area Route Condition Map PCR - Mile by Mile Area 1



Lake Meredith National Recreation Area Route Condition Map PCR - Mile by Mile Area 2




Section 5 Paved Route Condition Rating Sheets



Lake Meredith National Recreation Area






ROUTE: 0010 SANFORD YAKE ROAD

LAMR: LAKE MEREDITH NATIONAL RECREATION AREA

Section Number	0	1		
Section Length (mi)	1.00	0.42		
Cross Section Information				
Number of Lanes	2	2		
Paved Width (ft)	24	25		
Lane Width (ft)	10	10		
Roadway Condition Information				
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	90	91		
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	94	87		
Distress Index Values				
Structural Crack Index	92	93		
Transverse Cracking Index	90	91		
Patching Index	100	99		
Rutting Index	99	98		
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	100	82		




ROUTE: 0100 FRITCH FORTRESS ROAD

LAMR: LAKE MEREDITH NATIONAL RECREATION AREA

COLLECTED: 1/12/2012 INTERMOUNTAIN REGION TOTAL LENGTH: 1.33 Miles


II (I EIG I O CI (II III) ILEGIOI (TO THE ELITO	, 1 111	-		
Section Number	0	1			
Section Length (mi)	1.00	0.33			
Cross Section Information					
Number of Lanes	2	2			
Paved Width (ft)	30	30			
Lane Width (ft)	12	13			
Roadway Condition Information					
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	91	92			
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	95	89			
Distress Index Values					
Structural Crack Index	91	92			
Transverse Cracking Index	98	98			
Patching Index	100	100			
Rutting Index	100	99			
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	100	85			



ROUTE: 0101 BLUE WEST ACCESS ROAD

LAMR: LAKE MEREDITH NATIONAL RECREATION AREA

			CO	LLECTED:	1/12/2012
INTERMOUNTAIN REGION		TOTAL LENG		LENGTH:	1.37 Miles
Section Number	0	1			
Section Length (mi)	1.00	0.37			
Cross Section Information					
Number of Lanes	2	2			
Paved Width (ft)	23	29			
Lane Width (ft)	10	10			
Roadway Condition Information					
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	91	88			
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	89	82			
Distress Index Values					
Structural Crack Index	91	98			
Transverse Cracking Index	93	98			
Patching Index	100	100			
Rutting Index	91	88			
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	86	73			




ROUTE: 0102 PLUM CREEK ACCESS ROAD

LAMR: LAKE MEREDITH NATIONAL RECREATION AREA

INTERMOUNTAIN REGION COLLECTED: 1/12/2012 TOTAL LENGTH: 1.76 Miles

Section Number	0	1		
Section Length (mi)	1.00	0.76		
Cross Section Information				
Number of Lanes	2	2		
Paved Width (ft)	24	22		
Lane Width (ft)	10	10		
Roadway Condition Information				
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	90	86		
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	84	82		
Distress Index Values				
Structural Crack Index	98	99		
Transverse Cracking Index	96	95		
Patching Index	100	100		
Rutting Index	90	86		
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	74	77		



ROUTE: 0103 BATES CANYON ROAD

LAMR: LAKE MEREDITH NATIONAL RECREATION AREA

INTERMOUNTAIN REGION COLLECTED: 1/12/2012 TOTAL LENGTH: 0.95 Miles

Section Number	0		
Section Length (mi)	0.95		
Cross Section Information			
Number of Lanes	2		
Paved Width (ft)	29		
Lane Width (ft)	14		
Roadway Condition Information			
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	74		
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	78		
Distress Index Values			
Structural Crack Index	74		
Transverse Cracking Index	88		
Patching Index	100		
Rutting Index	99		
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	85		



PCR Poor Fair Good Excellent No Data (0 - 60) (61 - 84) (85 - 94) (95 - 100)


* If the PCR rating is not available for a section, the SCR rating will be displayed. See appendix for definitions and formulas.

ROUTE: 0104 HARBOR BAY ROAD

LAMR: LAKE MEREDITH NATIONAL RECREATION AREA

INTERMOUNTAIN REGION COLLECTED: 1/12/2012 TOTAL LENGTH: 0.51 Miles

11 (1211) 10 01 (1111) (112 010)		101112	- BB: (O I II)	010 1 1/11105
Section Number	0			
Section Length (mi)	0.51			
Cross Section Information				
Number of Lanes	2			
Paved Width (ft)	27			
Lane Width (ft)	12			
Roadway Condition Information				
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	95			
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	95			
Distress Index Values				
Structural Crack Index	96			
Transverse Cracking Index	95			
Patching Index	100			
Rutting Index	99			
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	NC			



ROUTE: 0106 ALIBATES TOUR ROAD

LAMR: LAKE MEREDITH NATIONAL RECREATION AREA

COLLECTED: 1/12/2012
INTERMOUNTAIN REGION TOTAL LENGTH: 2.29 Miles

Section Number	0	1	2	
Section Length (mi)	1.00	1.00	0.29	
Cross Section Information				
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	
Paved Width (ft)	21	22	22	
Lane Width (ft)	10	9	9	
Roadway Condition Information				
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	94	96	95	
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	96	98	93	
Distress Index Values				
Structural Crack Index	97	99	96	
Transverse Cracking Index	94	96	95	
Patching Index	100	100	100	
Rutting Index	100	100	99	
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	100	100	89	

NOTES:

Section 6 Manually Rated Paved Route Condition Rating Sheets



Lake Meredith National Recreation Area



MANUALLY RATED ROUTE CONDITION RATING SHEETS

This park is classified as a Large Park. Therefore, in Cycle 5, no manually rated routes were collected unless the route was modified or previously uncollected by RIP.

Section 7 Parking Area Condition Rating Sheets



Lake Meredith National Recreation Area



LAKE MEREDITH NATIONAL RECREATION AREA Route 0913

SANFORD YAKE LOWER MARINA PARKING FROM ROUTE 0010 (SANFORD YAKE ROAD) AT MP 1.41 (ON RIGHT) TO PARKING

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	Date Visited	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0913	PUBLIC	1/12/2012	40,141	0.69	AS
Culverts	Drop Inlets	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND	CONCRETE	
0	0	0	GUTTER	CURB	GOOD/90


^{*} Lane miles are based on 11' lane widths



700

350





700

Feet

Section 8 Route Maintenance Features Summaries



Lake Meredith National Recreation Area



DCV ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES SUMMARY

This park is classified as a Large Park. Therefore, in Cycle 5, no features asset inventory was conducted unless the route was modified or previously uncollected by RIP.

Section 9 Route Maintenance Features Road Logs



Lake Meredith National Recreation Area



ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES ROAD LOGS

This park is classified as a Large Park. Therefore, in Cycle 5, no features asset inventory was conducted unless the route was modified or previously uncollected by RIP.

Section 10 Appendix



Lake Meredith National Recreation Area



Explanation of Changes to the RIP Index Equations and Determination of PCR

In 2005, the FHWA began implementing the use of a Pavement Management System to assist the National Park Service in prioritizing Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities. The PMS used by FHWA is the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) and this software has the ability to store inventory and condition data from RIP and forecast future performance using prediction models. Outputs include performance and condition reports at the National, Region, Park, or Route level. A regional prioritized list and optimization have been produced for most regions and the Federal Highway Deferred Maintenance is calculated via the HPMA as well.

In an effort to improve the accuracy of treatment recommendations and pavement condition descriptions vis a vis the distresses and indexes that comprise the Pavement Condition Rating (PCR), an extensive study was completed throughout 2010 that has resulted in changes to the Road Inventory Program condition reporting method and specifically, the calculation of PCR. It was determined that a better representation of PCR could be achieved by modifying the relative impact certain distresses would have on the overall rating.

Through the use of HPMA data, it was noted that false failure indicators existed with the existing PCR model, and that it would be necessary to reduce their impact. The distresses affected in this way were Rutting and Roughness. Conversely, experience showed that roadways with extensive cracking present were often shown to have a high PCR. Therefore, the crack index models were adjusted to be more sensitive to changes in crack severity or quantity. It was also determined that these issues were not due to a problem with data acquisition (i.e. the RIP "van"), but with the way the collected data was processed. The final change was to provide guidance on when to use the Roughness Condition Index (RCI) in the PCR calculation. Roughness data is of little value to determining overall condition on routes that, due to their length or geometrics, have lower vehicle operating speeds. Therefore, in Cycle 5, only routes that have lengths of one half mile or greater and posted speed limits of 25 mph or greater will have RCI reported and included in the PCR calculations.

The changes that were implemented were endorsed by management at both the FHWA and NPS. In order to show the effectiveness of these changes, several sites were ground truth tested to ensure that an improvement was achieved between the relationship of PCR and the actual Maintenance and Rehabilitation needs that were represented. The changes will allow greater use of RIP and HPMA data for not simply condition data reporting, but also as a reliable tool for project identification and selection.


Explanation of the Excellent, Good, Fair and Poor Condition Descriptions

In addition to the RIP Index changes that will be implemented in Cycle 5, we will also aim to provide greater assistance in translating good/fair/poor categories into pavement needs categories. The PCR can be used to indicate the place in the Pavement Life Cycle and the types of treatments that should be considered now and into the future.

- Excellent/New: PCR of 95-100. Pavements in this range will require only spot repairs
- Good: PCR of 85-94. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates for Preventive Maintenance. Examples include Chip and Slurry Seals, Micro Surfacing and Thin Overlays.
- Fair: PCR of 61-84. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Light Rehabilitation (L3R). Examples include single-lift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness, milling and overlays.
- Poor: PCR of 60 or below. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Heavy Rehabilitation or Reconstruction (H3R or 4R). Examples include Pulverization, Multiple Lift Overlays, and Reconstruction.

At this time, specific Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities should be evaluated and recommended at the project level. Site-specific conditions that influence treatment type should be determined based on performing a subsurface investigation and/or pavement condition survey, and not be based solely on RIP data. Additionally, RIP produces a snapshot of conditions the year in which the data was collected. For further information or to obtain additional Pavement Management System's data from our Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) please contact the Eastern Federal Lands pavement team.

Condition Categories and Treatments



DESCRIPTION OF RATING SYSTEM

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Road Inventory Program (RIP) for the National Park Service (NPS), collects roadway condition data on paved surfaces (asphalt, concrete, brick, and cobblestone) on roads, parkways, and parking areas in national parks nationwide. The road surface condition data is collected using an automated Data Collection Vehicle (DCV). Roads having brick or cobblestone surfacing are not normally surveyed with the DCV, but are manually rated for condition rating.

The FHWA RIP is implemented based on the premise that an accurate pavement surface condition assessment can be accomplished using automated crack detection technology as applied to digital images. Various methods of pavement condition assessment have been developed over the years with varying degrees of accuracy and acceptance. The use of digital photography to record pavement images and subsequent crack detection and classification has undergone continuous improvements over the past decade. Digital cameras with increasingly superior resolution and high definition have become more affordable, and the proprietary programming code and algorithms have been improved in crack detection software.

With the use of quality digital photography and automated crack detection software, FHWA RIP is tasked with executing a pavement condition assessment on about 5000 miles of National Park Service roads and parkways. Foremost in setting up the basis of pavement distress identification is employing the distress identification protocols used by FHWA. There is no single distress identification system that is universal among entities conducting a program of distress identification. For the purpose of the NPS RIP, FHWA employs distress identification protocols that are specific to this program.

FHWA has referenced the "Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program", Publication No. FHWA-RD 03-031, June 2003, as the point-of-reference for distress types on NPS pavement. In truth, the FHWA RIP distress types are similar to those described in the LTPP manual with some modifications. This document, "Distress Identification Manual for the NPS Road Inventory Program, Cycle 5, 2010-2013" was developed using the "Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program" as a guideline. Definitions of severity levels based on crack width contained in this document adhere to the LTPP Distress ID Manual. Modifications have been made to the definition of Alligator and Longitudinal Cracking and determination of Alligator Cracking severity. This manual also addresses Rutting and Roughness and its application to RIP.

In 2010, FHWA RIP began the fifth cycle of data collection in national parks. For Cycle 5, data will be collected in approximately 81 large parks (10 or more paved route miles) on Functional Class 1, 2, and 7 routes plus any new routes or parking areas previously not collected, totaling an estimated 4,459 paved route miles. Additionally, 168 small parks will be collected comprising approximately 529 paved route miles and associated paved parking areas. The data is used to support the National Park Service road maintenance program and Pavement Management System (PMS) developed and maintained by FHWA.

This "Distress Identification Manual for the NPS Road Inventory Program, Cycle 5, 2010-2013" will be used as a reference resource in crack detection and classification, determination of distress severity and extent, and in the calculation of distress index values for the FHWA RIP Cycle 5.

SURFACE DISTRESSES

Surface Condition Rating - SCR

Surface distresses are measured in the primary lane only. In the classification and measurement of all paved surface condition data, results will be reported in the database in record intervals of 0.02 miles (105.6 feet) (smallest granularity) along the route.

Surface distresses determined from digital images

- Transverse Cracks
- Longitudinal Cracks
- Alligator Cracks
- Patching/Potholes

Surface distress measured by DCV (Data Collection Vehicle) LRMS (Laser Rut Measuring System)

Rutting

Each of the five surface distresses is assigned a computed surface distress index

- Transverse Crack Index
- Longitudinal Crack Index
- Alligator Crack Index
- Patching/Pothole Index
- Rutting Index

Surface distress data are classified as listed above, measured for severity, and quantified for extent. Classification, severity, and extent of these five surface distresses comprise the three main elements for calculation of SCR (Surface Condition Rating).

In addition to the five surface distresses, a **Structural Crack Index** is computed, which is a combination of the Longitudinal Crack Index and the Alligator Crack Index. The Structural Crack Index is then used in lieu of the LC and AC indices to compute SCR.

Roughness Condition Index - RCI

Additional condition data measured by DCV (lasers and accelerometers)

• Roughness (IRI)

Roughness is measured by FHWA's DCV and reported as International Roughness Index (IRI) in inches/mile. Using IRI, the Roughness Condition Index (RCI) is computed.

Pavement Condition Rating - PCR

Using the SCR (computed from the five surface distresses) and the RCI, an overall Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) is computed. The formula for PCR is:

Asphalt PCR =
$$(0.60 * SCR) + (0.40 * RCI)$$

Concrete PCR = RCI

A detailed description of each distress index formula, roughness index formula, SCR and PCR is provided in this document beginning on page 23.

Each classified surface distress will fall into one or more severity...LOW, MEDIUM, or HIGH based on criteria listed. For each severity, an extent is established based on the measured quantity of the distress within that severity. Within each severity individual distresses are assigned a Maximum Allowable Extent (MAE). For example, LOW severity transverse cracking may be allowed up to 21.1 cracks within a 0.02 interval before it reaches MAE and fails.

The index formulas are based on a scale of 0-100. A PCR index value of 100 would indicate a "new" road with no measurable distresses or rough ride. A PCR value of 60 is determined to be *terminable serviceability* and the road is considered failed. The range of index values with condition descriptors is:

Index values are generally computed based on cumulative deducts of the measured severities. As shown in the index formulas below, as any single severity reaches or exceeds MAE, the index computes to a value of 60 or less, and the road fails for that 0.02 interval.

Note: As a result of a unique combination of measured surface distresses and IRI, index values occasionally compute to less than 0 or greater than 100. In this instance, an index value < 0 defaults to 0. Index values > 100 default to 100. For all indices, a higher value indicates a better road condition, and a lower value indicates a poorer road condition.

On the following page, Table 1 summarizes the different types of distresses measured.

TABLE 1: Distress Summary

ASPHALT-SURFACED PAVEMENT DISTRESS TYPES with RUTTING and ROUGHNESS				
DISTRESS TYPE	UNIT OF MEASURE	CONVERTED TO	DEFINED SEVERITY LEVELS?	MEASURED BY
Alligator Cracking	Square Feet	Percent of Lane Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	Digital Image Crack Detection Software
Transverse Cracking	Linear Feet	Number of Cracks Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	Digital Image Crack Detection Software
Longitudinal Cracking	Linear feet	Percent of Lane Length Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	Digital Image Crack Detection Software
Patching/Potholes	Square Feet	Percent of Lane Per 0.02 Mile	No	Digital Image Crack Detection Software
Rutting	Inches	Rut Depth Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	DCV – Laser Rut Measuring System (LRMS)
Roughness	IRI	*RCI Per 0.02 Mile	No	DCV – Lasers /Accelerometers

*Note: Roughness is measured on concrete roadways, but surface distresses and rutting are not measured. For concrete, PCR = RCI

ALLIGATOR CRACKING

Description

Alligator cracking is considered a combination of fatigue and block cracking. It is a series of interconnected cracks in various stages of development. Alligator cracking develops into a many-sided pattern that resembles chicken wire or alligator skin. It can occur anywhere in the road lane. Alligator cracking must have a quantifiable area.

Severity Levels

LOW

An area of cracks with no or very few interconnecting cracks and the cracks are not spalled. Cracks are ≤ 0.25 in (6mm) in mean width. Cracks in the pattern are no further apart than 1 foot (0.328 m). May be sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a crack width that cannot be determined.

MEDIUM

An area of interconnected cracks that form a complete pattern. Cracks may be slightly spalled. Cracks are >0.25 in. (6 mm) and <=0.75 in. (19 mm) or any crack with a mean width <=19 mm and adjacent low severity cracking. Cracks in the pattern are no further apart than 6 in. (150 mm).

HIGH

An area of interconnected cracks forming a complete pattern. Cracks are moderately or severely spalled. Cracks are >0.75 in (19mm) or any crack with a mean width <= 0.75 in (19mm) and adjacent medium to high severity random cracking.

A combination of observed crack width and crack pattern is used to determine overall severity of alligator cracking. Based on above description of each severity, the highest level of crack width and crack pattern determines overall severity. Table 2 illustrates this.

TABLE 2: Alligator Crack Severity Levels

ALLIGATOR CRACKING SEVERITY LEVELS		Crack Pattern		
		LOW	MED	HIGH
	LOW	L	M	Н
ack	MED	M	M	Н
C _r	HI	Н	Н	Н

LONGITUDINAL CRACKING

Description

Longitudinal cracking occurs predominantly parallel to the pavement centerline. It can occur anywhere within the lane. Longitudinal cracks occurring in the wheelpath may be noteworthy.

Severity Levels

LOW

Cracks with a mean width of < 0.25 in. (6 mm). Sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a width that cannot be determined.

MED

Cracks with a mean width > 0.25 in. (6 mm) and <= 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width < 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random low severity cracking.

HIGH

Cracks with a mean width > 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width < 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random medium to high severity cracking.

TRANSVERSE CRACKING

Description

Transverse cracking occurs predominantly perpendicular to the pavement centerline. It can occur anywhere within the lane.

Severity Levels

LOW

Cracks with a mean width of < 0.25 in. (6 mm). Sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a width that cannot be determined.

MED

Cracks with a mean width > 0.25 in. (6 mm) and <= 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width < 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random low severity cracking.

HIGH

Cracks with a mean width > 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width < 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random medium to high severity cracking.

PATCHING AND POTHOLES

Description

Patching is an area of pavement surface that has been removed and replaced with patching material or an area of pavement surface that has had additional patching material applied. Patching may encompass partial lane or full lane width On full lane width patching; the total, contiguous length of patch may not exceed 0.30 mi. (0.48 km). (Any full-lane patch exceeding 0.30 mi. in length is considered a pavement change). Patching must have a quantifiable area.

Potholes are bowl-shaped holes of various sizes occurring in the pavement surface.

Severity Levels

There are no stratified severities for Patching/Potholes. They either are present or they are not.

RUTTING

Description

Rutting is a longitudinal surface depression in the wheelpath.

Severity Levels

LOW

Ruts with a measured depth ≥ 0.20 " and ≤ 0.49 "

MED

Ruts with a measured depth ≥ 0.50 " and ≤ 0.99 "

HIGH

Ruts with a measured depth ≥ 1.00 "

Ruts < 0.20" are not included in the distress calculations.

ROUGHNESS

Description

Roughness is the measurement of the unevenness of the pavement in the direction of travel. It is measured in units of IRI (International Roughness Index), inches per mile, and is indicative of ride comfort.

Severity Levels

There are no stratified severity levels for roughness. The roughness (or smoothness) of a road surface can be defined by IRI in the following table.

TABLE 3: IRI

IRI Descriptions	
Type of Road	Typical IRI (in/mile)
New Road, no noticeable roughness	<90
Small level of roughness	90 – 126
Road of average roughness	126 – 190
Road with above average roughness	190 – 253
Road with severe roughness	253 – 380
Nearly impassable	>380

INDEX FORMULAS

Note: All index formulas listed below contain MAE applicable to 0.02 mile (105.6 feet) interval.

Alligator Crack Index

$$AC_{INDEX} = 100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 35) + (\%MED / 15) + (\%HI / 5)]$$

Where:

The values %LOW, %MED and %HI report the percentage of the observed pavement (0.02 mile, primary lane) that contains alligator cracking within the respective severities. These values range from 0 to 100.

%LOW = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity %MED = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity %HI = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Percent of total area is computed as:

square foot area of alligator crack severity
0.02 mile * lane width

In AC_INDEX, the denominators 35, 15, and 5 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 35% of low severity alligator cracking for a 0.02 interval before failure, 15% for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Longitudinal Crack Index

LC INDEX =
$$100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 175) + (\%MED / 75) + (\%HI / 25)]$$

Where:

The values %LOW, %MED, and %HI report the length of longitudinal cracking within each severity as a percent of the section length (0.02 mile, primary lane). These values are ≥ 0 and can exceed 100.

%LOW = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity %MED = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity %HI = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Percent of interval length is computed as:

length of respective longitudinal cracking 0.02 mile (105.6 feet)

In LC_INDEX, the denominators 175, 75, and 25 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 175% of low severity alligator cracking for a 0.02 interval before failure, 75% for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Structural Crack Index

$$SC_INDEX = [100 - ((100 - AC_INDEX) + (100 - LC_INDEX))]$$

Structural Crack Index is a combination of Alligator Cracking and Longitudinal Cracking, and is used in the SCR formula in lieu of AC and LC separately.

Transverse Crack Index

$$TC_{INDEX} = 100 - 40 * [(LOW / 21.1) + (MED / 4.4) + (HI / 2.6)]$$

Where:

The values LOW, MED and HI report a count of the total number of transverse cracks (reported to three decimals) within each severity level, where one transverse crack is equal to the lane width. These values are ≥ 0 .

LOW = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity MED = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity HI = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Number of cracks is computed as:

Total length of transverse cracks
Lane width

In TC_INDEX, the denominators 21.1, 4.4, and 2.6 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 21.1 low severity transverse cracks for a 0.02 interval before failure, 4.4 cracks for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Patching Index

PATCH INDEX =
$$100 - 40 * (\%PATCHING / 80)$$

Where:

The value %PATCHING reports the percentage of the observed pavement (0.02 mile, primary lane) that contains patching/potholes. This value ranges from 0 to 100.

%PATCHING = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length)

Percent of total area is computed as:

square foot area of patching/potholes
0.02 mile * lane width

There are no severity levels for patching. It either exists or does not.

In PATCH_INDEX, the denominator 80 is the Maximum Allowable Extent (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 80% patching for a 0.02 interval before failure. As you can see, if patching/potholes reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Rutting Index

RUT_INDEX =
$$100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 535) + (\%MED / 205) + (\%HI / 40)]$$

Where:

20 rut depth measurements are taken per 0.02 interval for each of 2 wheel paths (left and right), resulting in a total of 40 measurements taken for both wheel paths. *Each wheelpath is analyzed independently for rut severities*. The values %LOW, %MED and %HI are a *total percentage* of left wheelpath percentage and right wheelpath percentage added together for the respective severity. These values range from 0 to 200.

%LOW = Percent of LOW ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of LOW ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

%MED = Percent of MED ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of MED ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

%HI = Percent of HI ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of HI ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

Percent of rut measurements within each severity can also be computed as:

total number of ruts within each severity in both wheelpaths 20 * 100

In RUT_INDEX, the denominators 535, 205, and 40 are the Maximum Allowable Extents for each severity. In other words, the formula allows up to 535% low severity

ruts for a 0.02 interval before. However, since 200 is the highest measurable percentage allowed, 535% is unattainable and therefore, no amount of LOW severity rutting will cause the RUT_INDEX to fail a road. Similarly, since the MAE for MED severity rutting is 205, no amount of MED severity rutting will cause the RUT_INDEX to reach 60 and fail the road. As you can see, LOW severity rutting reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure. This formula was intentionally designed to minimize the impact of LOW and MED severity rutting on RUT INDEX.

Roughness Condition Index (Asphalt)

$$RCI = 32 * [5 * (2.718282 \land (-0.0041 * AVG IRI))]$$

Where:

The value AVG IRI reports the average value of the Left IRI and Right IRI measurements for the interval (0.02 mile, primary lane). This value can range from approximately 40 to 999.0.

Average IRI is computed as:

There is no applicable threshold for failure for this index.

Roughness Condition Index (Concrete)

$$\mathbf{RCI} = -0.0012(\mathbf{IRI}^2) + 0.0499(\mathbf{IRI}) + 99.542$$

For concrete, PCR = RCI

Surface Condition Rating Index

SCR = Lowest Index Value Of: [SC_INDEX, TC_INDEX, PATCH_INDEX, RUT INDEX]

Note: The modified SCR equation above combines AC_INDEX and LC_INDEX, and considers that a single AC/LC index value of the Structural Crack Index (SC_INDEX). The lowest of the four computed index values (SC_INDEX, TC_INDEX, PATCH_INDEX, or RUT_INDEX) becomes the SCR.

Where:

See above for determinations of SC_INDEX, TC_INDEX, PATCH_INDEX and RUT INDEX.

The threshold for failure for this index is SCR = 60.

Data Collection Vehicle Subsystems

Data on paved roads in Cycle 5 is collected by FHWA using a Pathway Services Inc. Data Collection Vehicle (DCV), called PathRunner. The DCV is driven in the primary-direction lane at posted speed limits and less.

CAMERAS

Forward-facing and rear-facing video is collected as .jpg digital imagery at a frequency of 26.4 feet.

Two forward-facing cameras are mounted above the vehicle cab, one pointed straight ahead and the other to the right shoulder providing seamless 120 degree viewing.

CAMERA SPECIFICATIONS		
Two Forward/ One Rear Facing		
Camera lens/type	FUJINON CCTV LENS H16x10B-Y41	
Focal length	10 mm – 160 mm	
Image size	8.8 mm x 6.6mm	
Image format	*.jpg	
Image resolution	HD 2000 X 1200	
Image pixel size	depends on distance	
Zoom ratio	16x	
Max Relative Aperture	1:2.5	
Iris range	F25-T800 (Equivalent to F800)	

Pavement images are created using a Laser Scan Imaging System. This system is composed of a single high resolution line-scan camera and two lasers configured to image an approximate 11-foot wide lane with 1 mm resolution.

CAMERA SPECIFICATIONS		
Pavement Line Scan		
Image size	4280 pixels/line	
Image width	4 meters (3950 mm nominal)	
Laser class	3B	
Power	250W	
Vehicle speed limitations	62 mph	
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night	
Sensor size (approx)	300 mm(H) x 375 mm(L) x 200 mm(D)	
Image frame length	26.4 feet	

DMI (Distance Measuring Instrument)

The DMI (Distance Measuring Instrument) obtains road length measurements that are accurate to 0.1% for speeds up to 60 mph. The DMI is connected to the hub of the rear wheel on the driver's side, and is calibrated to the revolutions of the rear vehicle axle on a regular basis.

ROUGHNESS (IRI)

The collection system includes a South Dakota type laser profiler manufactured based on active Class 1 ASTM E950 standards. The dynamic profile of the pavement surface is collected from which the IRI roughness data is computed. The sensors include one accelerometer on each wheelpath, one height sensor (laser) on each wheelpath, and a distance transducer.

IRI SPECIFICATIONS	
Reported IRI units	Inches/mile
Vehicle speed limitations	12-62 mph
IRI equipment certification	Texas Transportation Institute (TTI)
Wavelengths accommodated	6 in. – 300 feet
IRI computed & reported	World Bank Technical Paper Number 46
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night, above 32 degrees F
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E950-98 (2004), ASTM E 1926-08,
	AASHTO MP 11-08, AASHTO PP 49-08

RUTTING

Rutting depths are measured using an INO Laser Rut Measurement System (LRMS). This system is a transverse profiling device that detects and characterizes pavement rutting. The LRMS can acquire full 4 meter width profiles of a pavement lane at normal traffic speeds and uses two laser profilers that digitize transverse sections of the pavement.

RUTTING SPECIFICATIONS	
Reported rut depth units	Inches
Vehicle speed limitations	Up to 62 mph
Sampling rate	30-150 profiles/second
Transverse resolution	1280 points/profile
Transverse field-of-view	4 m
Depth accuracy (nominal)	+/- 1 mm
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night, above 32 degrees F
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E1703M-95 (reapproved 2005)

GPS & INERTIAL SYSTEMS

GPS is collected by an onboard system employing Omnistar real time correction and a gyroscope Inertial Measuring Unit (IMU) to provide accurate positioning data in instances of satellite obstruction. All GPS coordinates are tied to image and linear distance measurements.

GPS SPECIFICATIONS	
Static accuracy	Sub-meter
Dynamic accuracy	2-3 meters
Receiver	12 satellite tracking
Coordinate system	Lat Lon WGS 84
Environment	Day or night
Cross-slope	+- 0.1 degrees
Grade	+- 0.1 degrees

GPS on Manually Rated Roads (MRR)

Parking areas, some roads, and other paved areas that are not fully drivable with the DCV are collected manually by field technicians. GPS is collected for these routes using portable Trimble GPS backpack units.

Geodatabase - Background and Metadata

In addition to this park report, a *geodatabase* containing both tabular and spatial data specific to this park has been provided. All data disseminated in the preceding report has been obtained from the tables and fields within said geodatabase. The geodatabase can be referenced for tabular data via Microsoft Access or for both tabular and spatial data via ESRI's ArcGIS Suite of software which consists of; ArcMap, ArcCatalog and ArcExplorer. Consolidating the RIP data into one database creates a seamless relationship of tables and geographic data. It will allow RIP to facilitate easier updates and enhancements in the future.

A geodatabase can be thought of as simply a database containing spatial data. Many different tables are contained with the park's geodatabase. A complete and thorough description of the tables and fields contained within this geodatabase can be found in the *metadata*. The metadata is attached directly within the geodatabase and can be accessed via ESRI's ArcCatalog.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

TERM OR

ABBREVIATION DESCRIPTION OR DEFINITION

AC Alligator Cracking

CRS Condition Rating Sheets (Section 5)

DCV Data Collection Vehicle

Excellent rating with an index value of 95 to 100

Fair Fair rating with an index value from 61 to 84

FUNCT CLASS Functional Classification (see Route ID, Section 2)

Good Good rating with an index value from 85 to 94

IRI International Roughness Index

Lane Width Width from road centerline to fogline, or from centerline to edge-

of-pavement when no fogline exists

LC Longitudinal Cracking

MRR Manually Rated Route

MRL Manually Rated Line

MRP Manually Rated Polygon

N/A Not Applicable

NC Not Collected

PATCH Patching and Potholes

Paved Width Width from edge-of-pavement to edge-of-pavement

PCR Pavement Condition Rating

PKG Parking Area

Poor Poor rating with an index value of 0 to 60

RCI Roughness Condition Index

SC Structural Cracking

SCR Surface Condition Rating

TC Transverse Cracking