

## Federal Lands Highway Road Inventory Program

Road Inventory and Condition Assessment




### **Oregon Caves National Monument** ORCA - 9340

## **Cycle 5 Report**

Prepared By: Federal Highway Administration Road Inventory Program (RIP) Data Collection Date: 7/2010 Report Date: 2/2012

## Oregon Caves National Monument in Oregon





### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>SECTION</u>	PAGE
1.	INTRODUCTION	1 - 1
2.	PARK ROUTE INVENTORY	
	Route IDs, Subcomponents & Changes Report (As Applicable)	2 – 1
3.	PARK SUMMARY INFORMATION	
	Paved Route Miles and Percentages by Functional Class and PCR	3 – 1
	DCV Road Condition Summary	3 – 3
	Parkwide DCV Condition Summary	3 – 4
4.	PARK ROUTE LOCATION MAPS	
	Route Location Key Map	4 – 1
	Route Location Area Map	4 - 2
	Route Condition Key Map – PCR Mile by Mile	4 – 5
	Route Condition Area Map – PCR Mile by Mile	4 – 6
5.	PAVED ROUTE CONDITION RATING SHEETS	
	CRS Pages	5 – 1
6.	MANUALLY RATED PAVED ROUTE CONDITION RATING SHEETS	
	MRR Pages	6 – 1
7.	PARKING AREA CONDITION RATING SHEETS	
	Paved Parking Area Pages	7 – 1
8.	<b>PARKWIDE / ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES SUMMARIES</b>	
	Parkwide Maintenance Features Summary	8 – 1
	DCV Route Maintenance Features Summary	8 - 2
	Structure List	8-3
9.	ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES ROAD LOGS	
	Route Maintenance Features Road Logs	9 – 1
10.	APPENDIX	
	Explanation of Changes to the RIP Index Equations and Determination of PCR	10 – 1
	Explanation of the Excellent, Good, Fair and Poor Condition Descriptions	10 - 2
	Description of Rating System	10 - 3
	Surface Distresses	10 - 5
	Index Formulas	10 - 12
	Data Collection Vehicle Subsystems	10 – 16
	Geodatabase – Background and Metadata	10 – 19
	Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations	10 - 20

# Section 1 Introduction





#### **INTRODUCTION**

The Federal Highway Administration, (FHWA), in the mid 1970s, was charged with the task of identifying surface condition deficiencies and corrective priorities on National Park Service (NPS) roads and parkways. Additionally, FHWA was tasked with establishing an integrated maintenance features inventory, locating features such as culverts, guardrails, and signs, among others, along NPS roads and parkways. As a result, in 1976 the NPS and FHWA entered into an MOA (Memorandum Of Agreement) which established the RIP (Road Inventory Program). This MOA was terminated and revised in 1980 to establish a new MOA aiming to update RIP data and develop a long-range program to improve and maintain NPS roads to designated condition standards and establish a maintenance management program.

The FHWA completed this initial phase of the RIP in the early 1980s. As a result of this effort, each NPS site included in the study received a RIP Report known as the "Brown Book" which included the information collected during this first RIP phase.

In the 1990s, the effort was again renewed to update and maintain the RIP data. By this time the computer age was upon us and a process was employed that relied heavily on electronic data collection and computer technology. A cyclical program was developed and the RIP completed two cycles of data collection from 1994 to 2001. Cycle 1, starting in 1994, was conducted in 44 "large parks" (parks containing 10 or more paved route miles). Cycle 2 began in 1997 and comprised 79 large parks and 5 small parks totaling 4,874 paved route miles. Each of these parks received a RIP Report known as the "Blue Book". Cycle 3, from 2001 to 2004, was conducted in all parks, large and small, that contained any paved routes, including parking areas and, again, each park received a RIP Report and associated electronic files.

Cycle 4 was initiated in the spring of 2006 covering 86 large parks and several associated small parks consisting of 5,553 paved route miles and 6,232 paved parking areas. Data collection has been completed for Cycle 4 and all data has been delivered to the NPS.

In 2005, the FHWA began implementing the use of a Pavement Management System (PMS) to assist the NPS in prioritizing Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities. The PMS used by FHWA is the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) and this software has the ability to store inventory and condition data from RIP and forecast future performance using prediction models. Outputs include performance and condition reports at the National, Regional, Park, or Route level. A regional prioritized list and optimization have been produced for most regions and the Federal Highway Deferred Maintenance is calculated via the HPMA.

In an effort to improve the accuracy of treatment recommendations and pavement condition descriptions, an extensive study was completed throughout 2010 that has resulted in changes to the RIP condition reporting method, specifically the distresses and indexes that comprise the Pavement Condition Rating (PCR). It was determined that a better representation of PCR could

be achieved by modifying the relative impact certain distresses would have on the overall rating. The changes that were implemented were endorsed by management at both the FHWA and NPS in October 2010. These changes will allow greater use of RIP and HPMA data for not simply condition data reporting, but also as a reliable tool for project identification and selection. Because of these changes, the PCR Condition ratings reported in Cycle 5 do not directly relate to the condition ratings reported in previous cycle RIP Reports. For more detailed information about the changes, see Section 3 and Section 10 in this RIP Report.

Cycle 5 has launched in the summer of 2010 and will again comprise all parks, large and small, that are served by paved roads and/or parking areas. For Cycle 5, the decision was made to collect condition data in large parks on Functional Class 1, 2, and 7 paved routes only, as well as any new routes that were previously not collected. In small parks, all paved routes and parking areas will be collected. As a result, this will include 81 large parks with 4,459 paved route miles and 168 small parks with 529 paved route miles and associated paved parking areas.

Since 1984, the Road Inventory Program has been funded through the Federal Lands Highway Park Roads and Parkways (PRP) Program. Currently, coordination of the RIP with FLH is under the NPS Washington Headquarters Park Facility Management Division. The FLH Washington office coordinates policy and prepares national reports and needs assessment studies for Congress.

In 1998, the Transportation Equity Act for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (TEA-21) amended Title 23 U.S.C., and inserted Section 204(a)(6) requiring the FHWA and NPS, to develop by rule, a Pavement Management System (PMS) applied to park roads and parkways serving the National Park System.

FLH is responsible for the accuracy of all data presented in this report. Any questions or comments concerning the contents of this report should be directed to the national RIP Coordinator located in Sterling, Virginia.

Respectfully,

FHWA RIP Team

FHWA/Eastern Federal Lands 21400 Ridgetop Circle Sterling, VA 20166 (703) 404-6371 FHWA/Central Federal Lands 12300 West Dakota Ave Lakewood, CO 80228 (720) 963-3560

# Section 2 Park Route Inventory





Shadii	ng Colo	r Key: Whi	te = P	aved Routes, DCV Driven	Yellow = Unpaved Ro	utes, DCV not Driven	e = All Paved Parki	ng Areas	G	ireen = All	Unpaved	Parking Area	s	
approx	c. milea	ge Gre *Un ** D	paved	aved Routes, DCV not Driv route data was obtained f Data Collection Vehicle	Pen Black = State, Local of Black = State, Local of Fourthermal of the State, Local of NC - Not Collected	or Private non-NPS Routes ried by the Road Inventory Pr	ogram (RIP).	sion Route F	ag ON					
Ur	RCA			ON CAVES NATION	AL MONUMENT						1 1		1	
Rte. No.	Cycle Collected	FMSS No.	Concess Route	Route Name	Route Des From	scription To	Maint. District	Paved Miles	Un- Paved Miles	Total Route Length	Func. Class	Manual Rated SQ/FT	Surf. Type	Area Map
0010	5	42987		ENTRANCE ROAD	FROM PARK BOUNDARY AT END OF ROUTE 5000 (STATE HIGHWAY 46)	TO DEAD END AT GARAGE	N/A	0.53	0.00	0.53	1	0	AS	3
0400	5	42994		RESIDENCE AREA ROAD	FROM ROUTE 5000 (STATE HIGHWAY 46)	TO ROUTE 0904 (ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING)	N/A	0.11	0.00	0.11	6	0	AS	2
0401	NC	104730		SERVICE ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO SEWER SYSTEM	N/A	0.00	0.01	0.01	5	0	GR	3
0402	5	104797		FIRE ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO PARK BOUNDARY	N/A	0.07	0.00	0.07	6	0	AS	3
0403	5	104975		CHATEAU SERVICE ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0901B (CHATEAU PARKING B)	TO DEAD END AT CHATEAU	N/A	0.04	0.00	0.04	6	1,901	AS	3
0404	5	104767		MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0400 (RESIDENCE AREA ROAD)	TO ROUTE 0903 (MAINTENANCE/ EMPLOYEE PARKING)	N/A	0.10	0.00	0.10	6	0	AS	2
0900A	5	43001		VISITOR CENTER PARKING A	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) ON RIGHT		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		9,758	AS	3
0900B	5	104774		VISITOR CENTER PARKING B	FROM END OF ROUTE 0900D (VISITOR CENTER PARKING D)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		3,783	AS	3
0900C	5	104792		VISITOR CENTER PARKING C	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) ON LEFT		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		2,001	AS	3
0900D	5	104794		VISITOR CENTER PARKING D	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) ON LEFT		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		6,642	AS	3
0901A	5	105005		CHATEAU PARKING A	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) ON RIGHT		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		4,973	AS	3
0901B	5	104799		CHATEAU PARKING B	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) ON RIGHT		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,779	AS	3
0901C	5	104803		CHATEAU PARKING C	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) ON LEFT		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,889	AS	3
0902	5	104754		HANDICAP PARKING	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) ON LEFT		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,723	AS	3

Shadir	a Colo	r Kev: Wh	ite = P	aved Routes. DCV Driver	Yellow = Unpaved R	outes, DCV not Driven	ue = All Paved Parking	Areas	G	reen = All	Unpaved	Parking Area	s	
Red te	xt deno	otes		aved Routes, DCV not Dri	·	lack = State, Local or Private non-NPS Routes = Concession Route Flag ON								
approx	. milea	ge	,	,		and was not inventoried by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).								
				Data Collection Vehicle	NC - Not Collected	, ,	<b>ö</b> ( )							
OF														
		• 0	REG	ON CAVES NATION	AL MONUMENT									
Dia	e ted	FMCC	e		Route De	scription	Maint.	Paved	Un-	Total	Func.	Manual	Surf.	Are
Rte. No.	Cycle Collected	FMSS No.	Concess Route	Route Name	From	То	District	Miles	Paved Miles	Route Length	Class	Rated SQ/FT	Туре	Ma
0903	5	104764		MAINTENANCE/	FROM END OF ROUTE		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		4,541	AS	2
	Ū			EMPLOYEE PARKING	0404 (MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD)		N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		4,541	AS	2
0904	5	104820		ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING	FROM END OF ROUTE 0400 (RESIDENCE AREA ROAD)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		8,096	AS	2
0905	5	104823		TOUR PARKING	FROM STATE HIGHWAY 46	TO STATE HIGHWAY 46	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		34,964	AS	1
906ZZ	5	104817		ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING AREAS	FROM ROUTE 0400 (RESIDENCE AREA ROAD) AND ROUTE 0404 (MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD)	TO PARKING	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00		1,860	AS	2
5000	5			STATE HIGHWAY 46	FROM INTERSECTION WITH CAVE STREET CAMPGROUND AND STATE HIGHWAY 46	TO PARK BOUNDARY AT START OF ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD)	N/A	3.54	0.00	3.54		0	AS	2,

Road Inventory Pro	ogram 02/27/2012		P Rou	te ID Report		Page 3 of 4			
Shading Color Key:	White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven Yello	w = Unpaved Routes, DC	V not Driven	Blue = All Paved Parking Areas	Green = All Unpaved Parking	Areas			
Red text denotes approx. mileage	Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven Blac	<pre>x = State, Local or Private</pre>	non-NPS Route	= Concession Route Flag ON					
	*Unpaved route data was obtained from NPS and ** DCV - Data Collection Vehicle NC - Not C		e Road Invento	ry Program (RIP).					
CYCLE 5 SUMMARY TOTALS FOR OREGON CAVES NATIONAL MONUMENT									
	CYCLE 5 ROUTE TOTALS			CYCLE 5 CONCES	SSION TOTALS				
	DCV Driven Route Miles	0.80		0.00					
	Manually Rated Route Miles	0.04		Concessi	on Unpaved Route Miles	0.00			
TOTAL PAR	RK ROUTE MILES COLLECTED IN CYCLE 5	0.84		TOTAL CON	ICESSION ROUTE MILES	0.00			
	Manually Rated Routes (SQFT)	1,901		aved Parking Area SQFT	0				
	TOTAL UNPAVED PARK ROUTE MILES	0.01		Concession Unpa	aved Parking Area SQFT	0			
				TOTAL CONCESSIO	N PARKING AREA SQFT	0			
				Concession Man	ually Rated Rotes SQFT	0			
* <u>C</u>	YCLE 5 PARKING AREA TOT	ALS	<u>C</u>	YCLE 5 WEIGHTED AV	ERAGE PARK VAL	UES			
	Paved Parking (SQFT)	82,009			DCV Driven PCR	89			
	Unpaved Parking (SQFT)	0		**Man	ually Rated Routes PCR	45			
	TOTAL PARKING (SQFT)	82,009			**Parking PCR	63			
				* * * Tota	al Equivalent Lane Miles	2.99			

\* - The Parking Area Totals SQFT value represents **all** parking areas collected in Cycle 5, both park and concessionaire.

\*\* - Parking and Manually Rated Routes are assigned the following PCR values based on their observed condition: Construction=-1, Excellent=97, Good=90, Fair=73, and Poor=45.

\*\*\* - Equivalent Lane Miles are calculated by route using the following equations : DCV and Manually Rated Lines Routes=(PAVE\_WIDTHxPAVED\_MI)/11 foot lane. Parking Areas=SQ\_FEET/5280/11. Manually Rated Polygons=SQ\_FEET/5280/11.

Shading	Color Key:	White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven	Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven	Blue =	All Paved Parking Areas	Green = All Unpaved Parking Areas
Red text approx. n		Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven	Black = State, Local or Private non-NPS Route	es	= Concession Route Flag ON	
		•	NPS and was not inventoried by the Road Invento IC - Not Collected	ry Progra	ım (RIP).	
		General Park	Road Functional Classification T	able		Surface Type Abbreviations
Class 1			ich constitute the main access route, circulatory tour, or the Trace) are numbered 1 - 9. State Routes Inventoried for P			AS - Asphaltic Concrete Pavement
lass 2	Connector Pa	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ccess within a park to areas of scenic, scientific, recreationa			CO - Portland Cement Concrete Pavemen BR - Brick or Pavers Road Bed
lass 3			vide circulation within public areas, such as campgrounds, p -speed traffic and are often designed for one-way circulation			CB - Cobble Stone Road Bed GR - Gravel Road Bed
lass 4	roads freque	ntly have no minimum design standards and the	rculation through remote areas and/or access to primitive of ir use may be limited to specially equipped vehicles. Route ers because, historically, they were numbered similarly.			SA - Sand Road Bed NV - Native or Dirt Material Road Bed
lass 5		ve Access Road (Administrative Roads) - All publ utility areas. Route Numbers 400-499.	ic roads intended for access to administrative development	s or struct	ures such as park offices, employee	OT - Other Materials Road Bed
<u>lass 6</u>	Note: Funct	tional Classes 5 and 6 have the same route num	closed to the public, including patrol roads, truck trails, and bers because historically they were numbered similarly and ee housing are often closed to the public, this restriction we	d often the	e is little distinction between	
<u>lass 7</u>	an urban are		cilities serve high volumes of park and non-park related tra s the major parkways which serve as gateways to our natio umbers 1-9.			
lass 8			are usually extensions of the adjoining street system that a form with accepted local engineering practice and local con-			
			a park or other unit of the NPS which are administered by rk road is not based on traffic volumes or design speed, bu			
ationwide	e which are des	signated by the 300 and 500 series. The number	eries for interpretive roads, and a 500 series for one-way ro rs for these roads will be maintained for reporting consister 00 and 500 series will be discontinued for future use.			
	0 route number for GPS and V		e, County or City owned which border, traverse, or provide	access to	Park Facilities or Assets. 5000 Routes	

### **NPS/RIP Subcomponent Details for ORCA**

Road Inv	entory P	ogra	am 02/15/2012	(Numerical By Sub	ocomponent #)						Page 1 of 1	
	Color Key:	W	/hite = Paved Routes, DCV Driven	Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven	Blue = All Paved Parking Are	eas	G	reen = All Un	paved Parl	king Areas		
Red tex approx.	t denotes mileage	G	rey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven	Black = State, Local or Private non-NPS Routes = Concession Route Flag ON								
		*L	Inpaved route data was obtained from NP	S and was not inventoried by the Road Inve	ntory Program (RIP).							
OF	RCA		OREGON CAVES NATIONAL	MONUMENT								
Asset	Entere	ed	in FMSS System									
Rte. No.	FMSS No.	Cycle Collected	Route Name	Route Desci From	ription To	Concess Route	Func. Class	Paved Miles	Un- Paved Miles	Total Route Length	Manual Rated SQ/FT	
0906ZZ	104817	5	ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING AREAS	FROM ROUTE 0400 (RESIDENCE AREA ROAD) AND ROUTE 0404 (MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD)	TO PARKING		10	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,860	
Asset	ORCA	-09	06ZZ Subcomponent	Breakdown					-			
Rte. No.	FMSS No.	Cycle Collecte	Route Name	Route Desci From	ription To	Concess Route	Func. Class	Paved Miles	Un- Paved Miles	Total Route Length	Manual Rated SQ/FT	
0906AZ	104817	5	HANDICAP ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0400 (RESIDENCE AREA ROAD)				0.00	0.00	0.00	218	
0906BZ	104817	5	MAIN ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0400 (RESIDENCE AREA ROAD)				0.00	0.00	0.00	561	
0906CZ	104817	5	OVERFLOW ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0404 (MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD)	TO PARKING			0.00	0.00	0.00	1,081	

	ROUTES	SADDED FROM PREVIOUS IN	VENTORY:
Route #	Route Name	Reason for Addition	Comments
0906ZZ	ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING AREAS	OTHER	ADDED IN CYCLE 5 (CONTAINS SUBCOMPONENT ROUTES 0906AZ, 0906BZ AND 0906CZ)
5000	STATE HIGHWAY 46	OTHER	ADDED TO THE INVENTORY IN CYCLE 5
	ROUTES	MODIFIED FROM PREVIOUS II	NVENTORY:
Route #	Route Name	Type of Modification	Comments
0900B	VISITOR CENTER PARKING B	SQ FEET CHANGE	SECTION ADDED TO PARKING AREA
0901C	CHATEAU PARKING C	SQ FEET CHANGE	ADJUSTMENT MADE TO SHAPE TO REFLECT PARKING LOT GEOMETRY ACCURATELY
	OTHER C	CHANGES FROM PREVIOUS IN	IVENTORY:
Route #	Route Name	Type of Change	Comments
0403	CHATEAU SERVICE ROAD	COLLECTION METHOD CHANGE	ROUTE WAS MANUALLY RATED IN CYCLE 5 BECAUSE THE SLOPE WAS VERY STEEP. IN CYCLE 3 WAS COLLECTED WITH THE DATA COLLECTION VEHICLE

# <u>Section 3</u> Park Summary Information





### ORCA: PAVED ROUTE MILES AND PERCENTAGES BY FUNCTIONAL CLASS AND PCR

	Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)									
	Poor ((	)-60)	Fair (6	1-84)	Good (85-94)		Excellent (95-100		TOTAL	
F.C.	MILES	%	MILES	%	MILES	%	MILES	%	MILES	
1	0.04	5.00%	0.14	17.50%	0.10	12.50%	0.24	30.00%	0.52	
2										
3										
4										
5										
6	0.05	6.25%	0.06	7.50%	0.09	11.25%	0.08	10.00%	0.28	
7										
8										
Totals	0.09	11.25%	0.20	25.00%	0.19	23.75%	0.32	40.00%	0.80	


Note: The information in this table is derived from the PMS\_20 table in the Park database, which only contains processed data from routes collected with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV). Information for Manually Rated Routes (MRR) and Parking Areas is not reported in this table. Only Functional Class 1, 2, & 7 routes, and any new routes not previously collected by RIP, are collected in Large Parks.

#### **Explanation of the Excellent, Good, Fair and Poor Condition Descriptions**

In addition to the RIP Index changes that have been implemented in Cycle 5, we will also aim to provide greater assistance in translating excellent/good/fair/poor categories into pavement needs categories. The PCR can be used to indicate the place in the Pavement Life Cycle and the types of treatments that should be considered now and into the future.

- Excellent/New: PCR of 95-100. Pavements in this range will require only spot repairs
- Good: PCR of 85-94. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates for Preventive Maintenance. Examples include Chip and Slurry Seals, Micro Surfacing and Thin Overlays.
- Fair: PCR of 61-84. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Light Rehabilitation (L3R). Examples include single-lift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness, milling and overlays.
- Poor: PCR of 0-60. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Heavy Rehabilitation or Reconstruction (H3R or 4R). Examples include Pulverization, Multiple Lift Overlays, and Reconstruction.

At this time, specific Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities should be evaluated and recommended at the project level. Site-specific conditions that influence treatment type should be determined based on performing a subsurface investigation and/or pavement condition survey, and not be based solely on RIP data. Additionally, RIP produces a snapshot of conditions the year in which the data was collected. For further information or to obtain additional Pavement Management System's data from our Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) please contact the Eastern Federal Lands pavement team.




#### **Condition Categories and Treatments**

### **ORCA: DCV ROAD CONDITION SUMMARY**

DCV - Data Collection Vehicle

ROUTE NUMBER	ROUTE NAME	FUNCT CLASS	ROUTE LENGTH		AVERAGE SURFACE CONDITION RATING (SCR)	AVERAGE PAVEMENT CONDITION RATING (PCR)
0010	ENTRANCE ROAD	1	0.53	ASPHALT	91	91
0400	RESIDENCE AREA ROAD	6	0.11	ASPHALT	79	79
0402	FIRE ACCESS ROAD	6	0.07	ASPHALT	92	92
0404	MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD	6	0.10	ASPHALT	85	85




### **ORCA: PARKWIDE DCV CONDITION SUMMARY**

AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	
PAVEMENT	ROUGHNESS	SURFACE		ALLIGATOR	LONGITUDINAL	TRANSVERSE	AVERAGE
CONDITION	CONDITION	CONDITION	AVERAGE	CRACKING	CRACKING	CRACKING	PATCHING
RATING (PCR)	INDEX (RCI)	RATING (SCR)	RUT INDEX	INDEX	INDEX	INDEX	INDEX
89	NC	89	93	98	91	96	100

All Index values are based on Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) driven roads that were collected in Cycle-5.

Roughness data is only collected on routes with lengths greater than 0.5 miles and a posted speed limit of 25 MPH or greater.




# <u>Section 4</u> Park Route Location Maps







### Oregon Caves National Monument Route Location Map Key Map




### Oregon Caves National Monument Route Location Map Area 1








### Oregon Caves National Monument Route Location Map Area 2







### **Oregon Caves National Monument Route Location Map** Area 3




Unique colors used to differentiate routes

02	0.1	0	0.2	
0.2	0.1	0	0.2	NI
			Miles	IN
				•

4-4


### Oregon Caves National Monument Route Condition Map PCR - Mile by Mile Key Map



Note: Only routes collected by the DCV in Cycle-5 are displayed.



#### Oregon Caves National Monument Route Condition Map PCR - Mile by Mile Area 2





#### Oregon Caves National Monument Route Condition Map PCR - Mile by Mile Area 3






# <u>Section 5</u> Paved Route Condition Rating Sheets







PCR	Poor 📕	Fai	r 📃	Good	Excellent	No Data
	((	) - 60)	(61 - 84)	(85 - 94)	(95 - 100)	)
* If the PC	R rating is n	ot available for	a section, the	SCR rating will be dis	played. See appendix for	definitions and formulas.

LIECTED

7/10/2010

#### ROUTE: 0010 ENTRANCE ROAD ORCA : OREGON CAVES NATIONAL MONUMENT

			COLLEC	TED:	//19/2010
PACIFIC WEST REGION		TOTAL LENGT		GTH:	0.53 Miles
Section Number	0				
Section Length (mi)	0.53				
Cross Section Information					
Number of Lanes	2				
Paved Width (ft)	24				
Lane Width (ft)	11				
Roadway Condition Information					
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	91				
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	91				
Distress Index Values					
Structural Crack Index	91				
Transverse Cracking Index	97				
Patching Index	100				
Rutting Index	92				
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	NC				

**ROUTE: 0010 ENTRANCE ROAD** 

#### NOTES:

Structural Crack Index is a combination of the Longitudinal Cracking Index and Alligator Cracking Index.



7/10/2010

LIECTED

PCR	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	No Data
	(0 - 60)	(61 - 84)	(85 - 94)	(95 - 100	
* If the PCI	R rating is not avail	able for a section, the	SCR rating will be dis	played. See appendix for	definitions and formulas.

#### ROUTE: 0400 RESIDENCE AREA ROAD ORCA : OREGON CAVES NATIONAL MONUMENT

			COL	LECTED:	7/19/2010
PACIFIC WEST REGION		TOTAL LENGTI			0.11 Miles
Section Number	0				
Section Length (mi)	0.11				
Cross Section Information					
Number of Lanes	2				
Paved Width (ft)	15				
Lane Width (ft)	7				
Roadway Condition Information					
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	79				
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	79				
Distress Index Values					
Structural Crack Index	79				
Transverse Cracking Index	94				
Patching Index	100				
Rutting Index	95				
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	NC				

ROUTE: 0400 RESIDENCE AREA ROAD

#### NOTES:

Structural Crack Index is a combination of the Longitudinal Cracking Index and Alligator Cracking Index.



PCR	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	No Data
	(0 - 60	) (61 - 84)	) (85 - 94)	(95 - 10	0)
* If the PCI	R rating is not avai	lable for a section, th	e SCR rating will be di	splayed. See appendix for	r definitions and formulas.

#### **ROUTE: 0402 FIRE ACCESS ROAD ORCA: OREGON CAVES NATIONAL MONUMENT**

PACIFIC WEST REGION			LLECTED: L LENGTH:	7/19/2010 0.07 Miles
Section Number	0			
Section Length (mi)	0.07			
Cross Section Information				
Number of Lanes	2			
Paved Width (ft)	17			
Lane Width (ft)	9			
Roadway Condition Information				
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	92			
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	92			
Distress Index Values				
Structural Crack Index	94			
Transverse Cracking Index	94			
Patching Index	100			
Rutting Index	92			
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	NC			

**ROUTE: 0402 FIRE ACCESS ROAD** 

ψ

#### NOTES:

Structural Crack Index is a combination of the Longitudinal Cracking Index and Alligator Cracking Index.



l			ľ	
l		ľ	l	
		•	ľ	

7/10/2010

LECTED

PCR	Poor 📕	Fair Fair	Good Good	Excellent	No Data
	(0 -	60) (61 - 84	) (85 - 94)	(95 - 10	0)
* If the	PCR rating is not	available for a section, th	ne SCR rating will be dis	splayed. See appendix fo	r definitions and formulas.

#### **ROUTE: 0404 MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD ORCA: OREGON CAVES NATIONAL MONUMENT**

			COL	LECTED:	//19/2010
PACIFIC WEST REGION			TOTAL	LENGTH:	0.10 Miles
Section Number	0				
Section Length (mi)	0.10				
<b>Cross Section Information</b>					
Number of Lanes	2				
Paved Width (ft)	18				
Lane Width (ft)	9				
Roadway Condition Information					
SCR (Surface Condition Rating)	85				
PCR (Pavement Condition Rating)	85				
Distress Index Values					
Structural Crack Index	85				
Transverse Cracking Index	97				
Patching Index	100				
Rutting Index	96				
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	NC				

**ROUTE: 0404 MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD** 

#### NOTES:

Structural Crack Index is a combination of the Longitudinal Cracking Index and Alligator Cracking Index.

# <u>Section 6</u> Manually Rated Paved Route Condition Rating Sheets






### OREGON CAVES NATIONAL MONUMENT Route 0403

CHATEAU SERVICE ROAD FROM ROUTE 0901B (CHATEAU PARKING B) TO DEAD END AT CHATEAU

Route	Public /			Lane	MR	L
Number	NonPublic	Date Visited	Area (sq ft)	Miles *	Length (mi)	Width (ft)
0403	NONPUBLIC	6/15/2010	1,901	0.03	0.04	9
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR	Surface Type
			NO CURB AND			
0	0	0	GUTTER	NO CURB	POOR/45	AS

\* Lane miles are based on 11' lane widths









# <u>Section 7</u> Parking Area Condition Rating Sheets






### OREGON CAVES NATIONAL MONUMENT Route 0900A

#### VISITOR CENTER PARKING A ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) ON RIGHT

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	Date Visited	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0900A	PUBLIC	6/15/2010	9,758	0.17	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
0	0	0	GUTTER	NO CURB	FAIR/73

\* Lane miles are based on 11' lane widths












### OREGON CAVES NATIONAL MONUMENT Route 0900B

VISITOR CENTER PARKING B FROM END OF ROUTE 0900D (VISITOR CENTER PARKING D) TO PARKING

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	<b>Date Visited</b>	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0900B	PUBLIC	6/15/2010	3,783	0.07	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
0	0	0	GUTTER	NO CURB	FAIR/73

\* Lane miles are based on 11' lane widths



#### VISITOR CENTER PARKING C ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) ON LEFT

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	Date Visited	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0900C	PUBLIC	6/15/2010	2,001	0.03	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND	CONCRETE	
0	0	0	GUTTER	CURB	FAIR/73










#### VISITOR CENTER PARKING D ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) ON LEFT

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	Date Visited	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0900D	PUBLIC	6/15/2010	6,642	0.11	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
0	0	0	GUTTER	NO CURB	FAIR/73

\* Lane miles are based on 11' lane widths





0



310

155








#### CHATEAU PARKING A ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) ON RIGHT

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	Date Visited	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0901A	PUBLIC	6/15/2010	4,973	0.09	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
0	2	0	GUTTER	NO CURB	GOOD/90










#### CHATEAU PARKING B ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) ON RIGHT

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	Date Visited	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0901B	PUBLIC	6/15/2010	1,779	0.03	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
0	0	0	GUTTER	NO CURB	GOOD/90










#### CHATEAU PARKING C ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) ON LEFT

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	Date Visited	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0901C	PUBLIC	6/15/2010	1,889	0.03	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
0	0	0	GUTTER	NO CURB	GOOD/90








#### HANDICAP PARKING ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) ON LEFT

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	Date Visited	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0902	PUBLIC	6/15/2010	1,723	0.03	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
0	1	0	GUTTER	NO CURB	GOOD/90

Rte 0403		
Rte 0901A	Rte 0010	
	Rte 0902	









MAINTENANCE/ EMPLOYEE PARKING FROM END OF ROUTE 0404 (MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD) TO PARKING

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	<b>Date Visited</b>	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0903	NONPUBLIC	6/15/2010	4,541	0.08	AS
Culverts	Drop Inlets	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND	CONCRETE	
0	0	0	GUTTER	CURB	FAIR/73






ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING FROM END OF ROUTE 0400 (RESIDENCE AREA ROAD) TO PARKING

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	<b>Date Visited</b>	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0904	NONPUBLIC	6/15/2010	8,096	0.14	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
0	0	0	GUTTER	NO CURB	FAIR/73





#### TOUR PARKING FROM STATE HIGHWAY 46 TO STATE HIGHWAY 46

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	Date Visited	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0905	PUBLIC	6/15/2010	34,964	0.60	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND	CONCRETE	
0	2	0	GUTTER	CURB	POOR/45












ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING AREAS

FROM ROUTE 0400 (RESIDENCE AREA ROAD) AND ROUTE 0404 (MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD)

TO PARKING Summary Record


Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	Date Visited	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0906ZZ	PUBLIC	6/15/2010	1,860	0.03	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
1		_	GUTTER	NO CURB	SUMMARY/82



HANDICAP ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0400 (RESIDENCE AREA ROAD)

Subcomponent Record							
Route	Public /						
Number	NonPublic	<b>Date Visited</b>	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type		
0906AZ	PUBLIC	6/15/2010	218	0.00	AS		
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR		
			NO CURB AND				
0	1	0	GUTTER	NO CURB	GOOD/90		






MAIN ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0400 (RESIDENCE AREA ROAD)

Subcomponent Record						
Route	Public /					
Number	NonPublic	<b>Date Visited</b>	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type	
0906BZ	PUBLIC	6/15/2010	561	0.01	AS	
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR	
Culverts	Drop Inlets	Gates	Curb & Gutter NO CURB AND	Curb	PCR	






OVERFLOW ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING FROM ROUTE 0404 (MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD) TO PARKING

Subcomponent Record

Route	Public /				
Number	NonPublic	Date Visited	Area (sq ft)	Lane Miles *	Surface Type
0906CZ	PUBLIC	6/15/2010	1,081	0.02	AS
Culverts	<b>Drop Inlets</b>	Gates	Curb & Gutter	Curb	PCR
			NO CURB AND		
0	0	0	GUTTER	NO CURB	FAIR/73



# <u>Section 8</u> Parkwide/Route Maintenance Features Summaries



# **Oregon Caves National Monument**



# **ORCA: PARKWIDE MAINTENANCE FEATURES SUMMARY** Includes DCV, MRL, MRP & PKG routes collected in Cycle-5

Notice: Culverts and drop inlets were NOT marked by NPS in Cycle 5 along DCV driven routes, therefore the culvert and drop inlet counts below reflect only on Manually Rated Routes and Paved Parking areas in Cycle 5.

FEATURE	LINEAR FEET	COUNT
BARRIER	121	
BOLLARD	84	
BRIDGE		0
CABLE	0	
CATTLE GUARD		0
CULVERT		0
CURB	1,170	
DROP INLET		6
GATE		3
GUARD/GUIDE RAIL	26	
GUARD/GUIDE WALL	95	
INTERSECTION		26
LOW WATER CROSSING	0	0
MILE MARKER		0
OVERPASS		0
OVERHEAD SIGN		0
PARK BOUNDARY		2
PAVED DITCH	0	
PULLOUT	0	0
RAILROAD CROSSING		0
RETAINING WALL	95	4
SIGN		14
STATE BOUNDARY		0
TEMPORARY BARRIER	0	
TRAFFIC LIGHT		0
TUNNEL	0	0

# **ORCA: DCV ROUTE MAINTENANCE FEATURES SUMMARY**

FEATURE	ROUTE 0010 ENTRANCE ROAD	ROUTE 0400 RESIDENCE AREA ROAD	ROUTE 0402 FIRE ACCESS ROAD	ROUTE 0404 MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD	UNIT
BARRIER	37	84	0	0	LINEAR FEET
BOLLARD	0	84	0	0	LINEAR FEET
BRIDGE	0	0	0	0	EACH
CABLE	0	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
CATTLE GUARD	0	0	0	0	EACH
CULVERT	0	0	0	0	EACH
CURB	1,170	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
DROP INLET	0	0	0	0	EACH
GATE	1	1	1	0	EACH
GUARD/GUIDE RAIL	26	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
GUARD/GUIDE WALL	11	84	0	0	LINEAR FEET
INTERSECTION	12	6	3	5	EACH
LOW WATER CROSSING	0	0	0	0	EACH
LOW WATER CROSSING	0	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
MILE MARKER	0	0	0	0	EACH
OVERHEAD SIGN	0	0	0	0	EACH
OVERPASS	0	0	0	0	EACH
PARK BOUNDARY	1	0	1	0	EACH
PAVED DITCH	0	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
PULLOUT	0	0	0	0	EACH
PULLOUT	0	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
RAILROAD CROSSING	0	0	0	0	EACH
RETAINING WALL	3	0	0	1	EACH
RETAINING WALL	84	0	0	11	LINEAR FEET
SIGN	11	1	2	0	EACH
STATE BOUNDARY	0	0	0	0	EACH
TEMPORARY BARRIER	0	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET
TRAFFIC LIGHT	0	0	0	0	EACH
TUNNEL	0	0	0	0	EACH
TUNNEL	0	0	0	0	LINEAR FEET

Notice: Culverts and drop inlets were NOT marked by NPS in Cycle 5. However a culvert could appear below if it has a BIP structure number associated with it.

# **STRUCTURE LIST**

No data available for this section.

# <u>Section 9</u> Route Maintenance Features Road Logs



# Oregon Caves National Monument



#### **ROUTE 0010: ENTRANCE ROAD**

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.000	0.000	ROUTE BEGIN	N/A	FROM PARK BOUNDARY AT END OF ROUTE 5000 (STATE HIGHWAY 46)
0.000	0.000	PARK BOUNDARY	N/A	N/A
0.000	0.000	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 5000 (STATE HIGHWAY 46)
0.025	0.025	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE OREGON CAVES NATIONAL MONUMENT DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR
0.072	0.072	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, U.S. FEE AREA
0.072	0.072	SIGN	RIGHT	REGULATORY, SPEED LIMIT 10
0.082	0.082	INTERSECTION	LEFT	ROUTE 0402 (FIRE ACCESS ROAD)
0.099	0.099	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, GRAPHIC SIGN NO TEXT
0.099	0.099	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, NO NAME TRAIL
0.150	0.150	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	ROUTE 0900A (VISITOR CENTER PARKING A)
0.155	0.155	INTERSECTION	LEFT	ROUTE 0900D (VISITOR CENTER PARKING D)
0.181	0.181	INTERSECTION	LEFT	ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) OPPOSITE LANE
0.181	0.211	CURB	N/A	N/A
0.204	0.220	CURB	RIGHT	N/A
0.213	0.213	INTERSECTION	LEFT	ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) CUT-THRU
0.216	0.219	CURB	N/A	N/A
0.218	0.218	SIGN	N/A	GUIDE, PARKING AHEAD FOR OVERNIGHT GUESTS
0.218	0.218	SIGN	N/A	GUIDE, NO BUSES OR MOTORHOMES BEYOND THIS POINT
0.219	0.219	INTERSECTION	LEFT	ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD) OPPOSITE LANE
0.220	0.343	CURB	RIGHT	N/A
0.222	0.230	RETAINING WALL	LEFT	N/A
0.224	0.224	SIGN	RIGHT	GUIDE, CAVE TOURS WALK 900 FT.
0.224	0.224	SIGN	RIGHT	REGULATORY, SPEED LIMIT 10
0.225	0.225	SIGN	LEFT	REGULATORY, YIELD
0.230	0.230	GATE	N/A	N/A
0.231	0.231	SIGN	LEFT	WARNING, GRAPHIC SIGN NO TEXT
0.355	0.374	CURB	RIGHT	N/A
0.371	0.373	GUARD/GUIDE WALL	LEFT	N/A
0.373	0.376	RETAINING WALL	LEFT	N/A

#### **ROUTE 0010: ENTRANCE ROAD**

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.378	0.383	GUARD/GUIDE RAIL	LEFT	N/A
0.380	0.396	CURB	RIGHT	N/A
0.392	0.392	INTERSECTION	LEFT	ROUTE 0902 (HANDICAP PARKING)
0.398	0.413	CURB	RIGHT	N/A
0.425	0.425	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	ROUTE 0901A (CHATEAU PARKING A)
0.454	0.454	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	ROUTE 0901B (CHATEAU PARKING B)
0.454	0.454	INTERSECTION	LEFT	ROUTE 0901C (CHATEAU PARKING C)
0.520	0.525	RETAINING WALL	LEFT	N/A
0.525	0.525	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0401 (SERVICE ROAD)
0.525	0.525	ROUTE END	N/A	TO DEAD END AT GARAGE

#### **ROUTE 0400: RESIDENCE AREA ROAD**

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.000	0.000	ROUTE BEGIN	N/A	FROM ROUTE 5000 (STATE HIGHWAY 46)
0.000	0.000	INTERSECTION	LEFT	ROUTE 5000 (STATE HIGHWAY 46)
0.000	0.000	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 5000 (STATE HIGHWAY 46)
0.018	0.018	SIGN	LEFT	GUIDE, SERVICE AREA
0.031	0.031	GATE	N/A	N/A
0.049	0.049	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	ROUTE 0404 (MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD)
0.087	0.087	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	ROUTE 0906AZ (HANDICAP ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING)
0.088	0.104	GUARD/GUIDE WALL	LEFT	N/A
0.092	0.092	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	ROUTE 0906BZ (MAIN ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING)
0.107	0.107	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0904 (ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING)
0.107	0.107	ROUTE END	N/A	TO ROUTE 0904 (ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING)

#### **ROUTE 0402: FIRE ACCESS ROAD**

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.000	0.000	ROUTE BEGIN	N/A	FROM ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD)
0.000	0.000	INTERSECTION	LEFT	ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD)
0.000	0.000	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0010 (ENTRANCE ROAD)
0.018	0.018	GATE	N/A	N/A
0.019	0.019	SIGN	RIGHT	WARNING, GRAPHIC SIGN NO TEXT
0.020	0.020	SIGN	RIGHT	REGULATORY, ROAD CLOSED
0.070	0.070	INTERSECTION	N/A	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.070	0.070	PARK BOUNDARY	N/A	N/A
0.070	0.070	ROUTE END	N/A	TO PARK BOUNDARY

#### **ROUTE 0404: MAINTENANCE AREA ACCESS ROAD**

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.000	0.000	ROUTE BEGIN	N/A	FROM ROUTE 0400 (RESIDENCE AREA ROAD)
0.000	0.000	INTERSECTION	LEFT	ROUTE 0400 (RESIDENCE AREA ROAD)
0.000	0.000	INTERSECTION	RIGHT	ROUTE 0400 (RESIDENCE AREA ROAD)
0.026	0.026	INTERSECTION	LEFT	ROUTE 0906CZ (OVERFLOW ADMINISTRATIVE PARKING)
0.069	0.069	INTERSECTION	LEFT	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.096	0.098	RETAINING WALL	LEFT	N/A
0.098	0.098	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0903 (MAINTENANCE/ EMPLOYEE PARKING)
0.098	0.098	ROUTE END	N/A	TO ROUTE 0903 (MAINTENANCE/ EMPLOYEE PARKING)

# Section 10 Appendix



# Oregon Caves National Monument



# Explanation of Changes to the RIP Index Equations and Determination of PCR

In 2005, the FHWA began implementing the use of a Pavement Management System to assist the National Park Service in prioritizing Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities. The PMS used by FHWA is the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) and this software has the ability to store inventory and condition data from RIP and forecast future performance using prediction models. Outputs include performance and condition reports at the National, Region, Park, or Route level. A regional prioritized list and optimization have been produced for most regions and the Federal Highway Deferred Maintenance is calculated via the HPMA as well.

In an effort to improve the accuracy of treatment recommendations and pavement condition descriptions vis a vis the distresses and indexes that comprise the Pavement Condition Rating (PCR), an extensive study was completed throughout 2010 that has resulted in changes to the Road Inventory Program condition reporting method and specifically, the calculation of PCR. It was determined that a better representation of PCR could be achieved by modifying the relative impact certain distresses would have on the overall rating.

Through the use of HPMA data, it was noted that false failure indicators existed with the existing PCR model, and that it would be necessary to reduce their impact. The distresses affected in this way were Rutting and Roughness. Conversely, experience showed that roadways with extensive cracking present were often shown to have a high PCR. Therefore, the crack index models were adjusted to be more sensitive to changes in crack severity or quantity. It was also determined that these issues were not due to a problem with data acquisition (i.e. the RIP "van"), but with the way the collected data was processed. The final change was to provide guidance on when to use the Roughness Condition Index (RCI) in the PCR calculation. Roughness data is of little value to determining overall condition on routes that, due to their length or geometrics, have lower vehicle operating speeds. Therefore, in Cycle 5, only routes that have lengths of one half mile or greater and posted speed limits of 25 mph or greater will have RCI reported and included in the PCR calculations.


The changes that were implemented were endorsed by management at both the FHWA and NPS. In order to show the effectiveness of these changes, several sites were ground truth tested to ensure that an improvement was achieved between the relationship of PCR and the actual Maintenance and Rehabilitation needs that were represented. The changes will allow greater use of RIP and HPMA data for not simply condition data reporting, but also as a reliable tool for project identification and selection.

# Explanation of the Excellent, Good, Fair and Poor Condition Descriptions

In addition to the RIP Index changes that will be implemented in Cycle 5, we will also aim to provide greater assistance in translating good/fair/poor categories into pavement needs categories. The PCR can be used to indicate the place in the Pavement Life Cycle and the types of treatments that should be considered now and into the future.

- Excellent/New: PCR of 95-100. Pavements in this range will require only spot repairs
- Good: PCR of 85-94. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates for Preventive Maintenance. Examples include Chip and Slurry Seals, Micro Surfacing and Thin Overlays.
- Fair: PCR of 61-84. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Light Rehabilitation (L3R). Examples include single-lift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness, milling and overlays.
- Poor: PCR of 60 or below. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Heavy Rehabilitation or Reconstruction (H3R or 4R). Examples include Pulverization, Multiple Lift Overlays, and Reconstruction.

At this time, specific Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities should be evaluated and recommended at the project level. Site-specific conditions that influence treatment type should be determined based on performing a subsurface investigation and/or pavement condition survey, and not be based solely on RIP data. Additionally, RIP produces a snapshot of conditions the year in which the data was collected. For further information or to obtain additional Pavement Management System's data from our Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) please contact the Eastern Federal Lands pavement team.



**Pavement Age** 

# **DESCRIPTION OF RATING SYSTEM**

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Road Inventory Program (RIP) for the National Park Service (NPS), collects roadway condition data on paved surfaces (asphalt, concrete, brick, and cobblestone) on roads, parkways, and parking areas in national parks nationwide. The road surface condition data is collected using an automated Data Collection Vehicle (DCV). Roads having brick or cobblestone surfacing are not normally surveyed with the DCV, but are manually rated for condition rating.

The FHWA RIP is implemented based on the premise that an accurate pavement surface condition assessment can be accomplished using automated crack detection technology as applied to digital images. Various methods of pavement condition assessment have been developed over the years with varying degrees of accuracy and acceptance. The use of digital photography to record pavement images and subsequent crack detection and classification has undergone continuous improvements over the past decade. Digital cameras with increasingly superior resolution and high definition have been more affordable, and the proprietary programming code and algorithms have been improved in crack detection software.

With the use of quality digital photography and automated crack detection software, FHWA RIP is tasked with executing a pavement condition assessment on about 5000 miles of National Park Service roads and parkways. Foremost in setting up the basis of pavement distress identification is employing the distress identification protocols used by FHWA. There is no single distress identification system that is universal among entities conducting a program of distress identification. For the purpose of the NPS RIP, FHWA employs distress identification protocols that are specific to this program.

FHWA has referenced the "Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program", Publication No. FHWA-RD 03-031, June 2003, as the point-ofreference for distress types on NPS pavement. In truth, the FHWA RIP distress types are similar to those described in the LTPP manual with some modifications. This document, "Distress Identification Manual for the NPS Road Inventory Program, Cycle 5, 2010-2013" was developed using the "Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program" as a guideline. Definitions of severity levels based on crack width contained in this document adhere to the LTPP Distress ID Manual. Modifications have been made to the definition of Alligator and Longitudinal Cracking and determination of Alligator Cracking severity. This manual also addresses Rutting and Roughness and its application to RIP.

In 2010, FHWA RIP began the fifth cycle of data collection in national parks. For Cycle 5, data will be collected in approximately 81 large parks (10 or more paved route miles) on Functional Class 1, 2, and 7 routes plus any new routes or parking areas previously not collected, totaling an estimated 4,459 paved route miles. Additionally, 168 small parks will be collected comprising approximately 529 paved route miles and associated paved parking areas. The data is used to support the National Park Service road maintenance program and Pavement Management System (PMS) developed and maintained by FHWA.

This "Distress Identification Manual for the NPS Road Inventory Program, Cycle 5, 2010-2013" will be used as a reference resource in crack detection and classification, determination of distress severity and extent, and in the calculation of distress index values for the FHWA RIP Cycle 5.

# SURFACE DISTRESSES

#### **Surface Condition Rating - SCR**

Surface distresses are measured in the primary lane only. In the classification and measurement of all paved surface condition data, results will be reported in the database in record intervals of 0.02 miles (105.6 feet) (smallest granularity) along the route.

#### Surface distresses determined from digital images

- Transverse Cracks
- Longitudinal Cracks
- Alligator Cracks
- Patching/Potholes

# Surface distress measured by DCV (Data Collection Vehicle) LRMS (Laser Rut Measuring System)

• Rutting

# Each of the five surface distresses is assigned a computed surface distress index

- Transverse Crack Index
- Longitudinal Crack Index
- Alligator Crack Index
- Patching/Pothole Index
- Rutting Index

Surface distress data are classified as listed above, measured for severity, and quantified for extent. Classification, severity, and extent of these five surface distresses comprise the three main elements for calculation of SCR (Surface Condition Rating).

In addition to the five surface distresses, a **Structural Crack Index** is computed, which is a combination of the Longitudinal Crack Index and the Alligator Crack Index. The Structural Crack Index is then used in lieu of the LC and AC indices to compute SCR.

#### **Roughness Condition Index - RCI**

#### Additional condition data measured by DCV (lasers and accelerometers)

• Roughness (IRI)

Roughness is measured by FHWA's DCV and reported as International Roughness Index (IRI) in inches/mile. Using IRI, the Roughness Condition Index (RCI) is computed.

## Pavement Condition Rating - PCR

Using the SCR (computed from the five surface distresses) and the RCI, an overall Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) is computed. The formula for PCR is:

**Asphalt PCR** = (0.60 \* SCR) + (0.40 \* RCI) **Concrete PCR** = RCI

A detailed description of each distress index formula, roughness index formula, SCR and PCR is provided in this document beginning on page 23.

Each classified surface distress will fall into one or more *severity*...LOW, MEDIUM, or HIGH based on criteria listed. For each severity, an *extent* is established based on the measured quantity of the distress within that severity. Within each *severity* individual distresses are assigned a *Maximum Allowable Extent* (MAE). For example, LOW severity transverse cracking may be allowed up to 21.1 cracks within a 0.02 interval before it reaches MAE and fails.

The index formulas are based on a scale of 0-100. A PCR index value of 100 would indicate a "new" road with no measurable distresses or rough ride. A PCR value of 60 is determined to be *terminable serviceability* and the road is considered failed. The range of index values with condition descriptors is:

POOR (<=60), FAIR (61 - 84), GOOD (85 - 94), EXCELLENT (95 - 100)

Index values are generally computed based on cumulative deducts of the measured severities. As shown in the index formulas below, as any single severity reaches or exceeds MAE, the index computes to a value of 60 or less, and the road fails for that 0.02 interval.

**Note:** As a result of a unique combination of measured surface distresses and IRI, index values occasionally compute to less than 0 or greater than 100. In this instance, an index value < 0 defaults to 0. Index values > 100 default to 100. For all indices, a higher value indicates a better road condition, and a lower value indicates a poorer road condition.

On the following page, Table 1 summarizes the different types of distresses measured.

ASPHALT-SURFACED PAVEMENT DISTRESS TYPES with RUTTING and ROUGHNESS					
DISTRESS TYPE	UNIT OF MEASURE	CONVERTED TO	DEFINED SEVERITY LEVELS?	MEASURED BY	
Alligator Cracking	Square Feet	Percent of Lane Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	Digital Image Crack Detection Software	
Transverse Cracking	Linear Feet	Number of Cracks Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	Digital Image Crack Detection Software	
Longitudinal Cracking	Linear feet	Percent of Lane Length Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	Digital Image Crack Detection Software	
Patching/Potholes	Square Feet	Percent of Lane Per 0.02 Mile	No	Digital Image Crack Detection Software	
Rutting	Inches	Rut Depth Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	DCV – Laser Rut Measuring System (LRMS)	
Roughness	IRI	*RCI Per 0.02 Mile	No	DCV – Lasers /Accelerometers	

\*Note: Roughness is measured on concrete roadways, but surface distresses and rutting are not measured. For concrete, PCR = RCI

## **ALLIGATOR CRACKING**

#### **Description**

Alligator cracking is considered a combination of fatigue and block cracking. It is a series of interconnected cracks in various stages of development. Alligator cracking develops into a many-sided pattern that resembles chicken wire or alligator skin. It can occur anywhere in the road lane. Alligator cracking must have a quantifiable area.

#### Severity Levels

#### LOW

An area of cracks with no or very few interconnecting cracks and the cracks are not spalled. Cracks are  $\leq 0.25$  in (6mm) in mean width. Cracks in the pattern are no further apart than 1 foot (0.328 m). May be sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a crack width that cannot be determined.

#### MEDIUM

An area of interconnected cracks that form a complete pattern. Cracks may be slightly spalled. Cracks are >0.25 in. (6 mm) and <= 0.75 in. (19 mm) or any crack with a mean width <= 19 mm and adjacent low severity cracking. Cracks in the pattern are no further apart than 6 in. (150 mm).

#### HIGH

An area of interconnected cracks forming a complete pattern. Cracks are moderately or severely spalled. Cracks are >0.75 in (19mm) or any crack with a mean width  $\leq 0.75$  in (19mm) and adjacent medium to high severity random cracking.

A combination of observed crack width and crack pattern is used to determine overall severity of alligator cracking. Based on above description of each severity, the highest level of crack width and crack pattern determines overall severity. Table 2 illustrates this.

		Crack Patt	ern	
ALLIGATOR CRACKING SE LEVELS	LOW	MED	HIGH	
	LOW	L	М	Н
rack /idth	MED	М	М	Н
Cr. Wi	HI	Н	Н	Н

#### TABLE 2: Alligator Crack Severity Levels

## **LONGITUDINAL CRACKING**

#### **Description**

Longitudinal cracking occurs predominantly parallel to the pavement centerline. It can occur anywhere within the lane. Longitudinal cracks occurring in the wheelpath may be noteworthy.

#### **Severity Levels**

#### LOW

Cracks with a mean width of < 0.25 in. (6 mm). Sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a width that cannot be determined.

#### MED

Cracks with a mean width > 0.25 in. (6 mm) and  $\leq 0.75$  in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width < 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random low severity cracking.

#### HIGH

Cracks with a mean width > 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width < 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random medium to high severity cracking.

#### TRANSVERSE CRACKING

#### **Description**

Transverse cracking occurs predominantly perpendicular to the pavement centerline. It can occur anywhere within the lane.

#### **Severity Levels**

#### LOW

Cracks with a mean width of < 0.25 in. (6 mm). Sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a width that cannot be determined.

#### MED

Cracks with a mean width > 0.25 in. (6 mm) and  $\leq 0.75$  in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width < 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random low severity cracking.

#### HIGH

Cracks with a mean width > 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width < 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random medium to high severity cracking.

### PATCHING AND POTHOLES

#### **Description**

Patching is an area of pavement surface that has been removed and replaced with patching material or an area of pavement surface that has had additional patching material applied. Patching may encompass partial lane or full lane width On full lane width patching; the total, contiguous length of patch may not exceed 0.30 mi. (0.48 km). (Any full-lane patch exceeding 0.30 mi. in length is considered a pavement change). Patching must have a quantifiable area.

Potholes are bowl-shaped holes of various sizes occurring in the pavement surface.

#### Severity Levels

There are no stratified severities for Patching/Potholes. They either are present or they are not.

#### **RUTTING**

#### **Description**

Rutting is a longitudinal surface depression in the wheelpath.

#### **Severity Levels**

**LOW** Ruts with a measured depth  $\ge 0.20$ " and  $\le 0.49$ "

**MED** Ruts with a measured depth  $\ge 0.50$ " and  $\le 0.99$ "

#### HIGH

Ruts with a measured depth  $\geq 1.00$ "

Ruts < 0.20" are not included in the distress calculations.

### **ROUGHNESS**

#### **Description**

Roughness is the measurement of the unevenness of the pavement in the direction of travel. It is measured in units of IRI (International Roughness Index), inches per mile, and is indicative of ride comfort.

#### **Severity Levels**

There are no stratified severity levels for roughness. The roughness (or smoothness) of a road surface can be defined by IRI in the following table.

TABLE 3: IRI				
IRI Descriptions				
Type of Road	Typical IRI ( in/mile )			
New Road, no noticeable roughness	<90			
Small level of roughness	90 - 126			
Road of average roughness	126 – 190			
Road with above average roughness	190 – 253			
Road with severe roughness	253 - 380			
Nearly impassable	>380			

## **INDEX FORMULAS**

Note: All index formulas listed below contain MAE applicable to 0.02 mile (105.6 feet) interval.

#### **Alligator Crack Index**

 $AC_INDEX = 100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 35) + (\%MED / 15) + (\%HI / 5)]$ 

Where:

The values %LOW, %MED and %HI report the percentage of the observed pavement (0.02 mile, primary lane) that contains alligator cracking within the respective severities. These values range from 0 to 100.

%LOW = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity %MED = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity %HI = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Percent of total area is computed as:

square foot area of alligator crack severity 0.02 mile \* lane width

In AC\_INDEX, the denominators 35, 15, and 5 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 35% of low severity alligator cracking for a 0.02 interval before failure, 15% for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

#### **Longitudinal Crack Index**

 $LC_INDEX = 100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 175) + (\%MED / 75) + (\%HI / 25)]$ 

Where:

The values %LOW, %MED, and %HI report the length of longitudinal cracking within each severity as a percent of the section length (0.02 mile, primary lane). These values are  $\geq 0$  and can exceed 100.

%LOW = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity %MED = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity %HI = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Percent of interval length is computed as: <u>length of respective longitudinal cracking</u> 0.02 mile (105.6 feet) In LC\_INDEX, the denominators 175, 75, and 25 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 175% of low severity alligator cracking for a 0.02 interval before failure, 75% for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

#### **Structural Crack Index**

 $SC_{INDEX} = [100 - ((100 - AC_{INDEX}) + (100 - LC_{INDEX}))]$ 

**Structural Crack Index** is a combination of Alligator Cracking and Longitudinal Cracking, and is used in the SCR formula in lieu of AC and LC separately.

#### **Transverse Crack Index**

 $TC_INDEX = 100 - 40 * [(LOW / 21.1) + (MED / 4.4) + (HI / 2.6)]$ 

Where:

The values *LOW*, *MED* and *HI* report a count of the total number of transverse cracks (reported to three decimals) within each severity level, where one transverse crack is equal to the lane width. These values are  $\geq 0$ .

LOW = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity MED = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity HI = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Number of cracks is computed as: <u>Total length of transverse cracks</u> Lane width

In TC\_INDEX, the denominators 21.1, 4.4, and 2.6 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 21.1 low severity transverse cracks for a 0.02 interval before failure, 4.4 cracks for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

#### **Patching Index**

#### **PATCH\_INDEX** = 100 - 40 \* (%PATCHING / 80)

Where:

The value *%PATCHING* reports the percentage of the observed pavement (0.02 mile, primary lane) that contains patching/potholes. This value ranges from 0 to 100.

%PATCHING = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length)

Percent of total area is computed as:

square foot area of patching/potholes 0.02 mile \* lane width

There are no severity levels for patching. It either exists or does not.

In PATCH\_INDEX, the denominator 80 is the Maximum Allowable Extent (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 80% patching for a 0.02 interval before failure. As you can see, if patching/potholes reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

#### **Rutting Index**

**RUT\_INDEX** = 100 - 40 \* [(% LOW / 535) + (% MED / 205) + (% HI / 40)]

Where:

20 rut depth measurements are taken per 0.02 interval for each of 2 wheel paths (left and right), resulting in a total of 40 measurements taken for both wheel paths. *Each wheelpath is analyzed independently for rut severities*. The values %LOW, %MED and %HI are a *total percentage* of left wheelpath percentage and right wheelpath percentage added together for the respective severity. These values range from 0 to 200.

%LOW = Percent of LOW ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of LOW ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

%MED = Percent of MED ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of MED ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

%HI = Percent of HI ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of HI ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

Percent of rut measurements within each severity can also be computed as:

In RUT\_INDEX, the denominators 535, 205, and 40 are the Maximum Allowable Extents for each severity. In other words, the formula allows up to 535% low severity

ruts for a 0.02 interval before. However, since 200 is the highest measurable percentage allowed, 535% is unattainable and therefore, no amount of LOW severity rutting will cause the RUT\_INDEX to fail a road. Similarly, since the MAE for MED severity rutting is 205, no amount of MED severity rutting will cause the RUT\_INDEX to reach 60 and fail the road. As you can see, LOW severity rutting reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure. This formula was intentionally designed to minimize the impact of LOW and MED severity rutting on RUT\_INDEX.

#### **Roughness Condition Index (Asphalt)**

$$\mathbf{RCI} = 32 * [5 * (2.718282 \land (-0.0041 * AVG IRI)))]$$

Where:

The value *AVG IRI* reports the average value of the Left IRI and Right IRI measurements for the interval (0.02 mile, primary lane). This value can range from approximately 40 to 999.0.

Average IRI is computed as:

Left wheelpath IRI + Right wheelpath IRI 2

There is no applicable threshold for failure for this index.

#### **Roughness Condition Index (Concrete)**

 $\mathbf{RCI} = -0.0012(\mathbf{IRI}^2) + 0.0499(\mathbf{IRI}) + 99.542$ 

For concrete, PCR = RCI

#### **Surface Condition Rating Index**

**SCR** = *Lowest* Index Value Of: [SC\_INDEX, TC\_INDEX, PATCH\_INDEX, RUT\_INDEX]

*Note:* The modified SCR equation above combines AC\_INDEX and LC\_INDEX, and considers that a single AC/LC index value of the Structural Crack Index (SC\_INDEX). The lowest of the four computed index values (SC\_INDEX, TC\_INDEX, PATCH\_INDEX, or RUT\_INDEX) becomes the SCR.

Where:

See above for determinations of SC\_INDEX, TC\_INDEX, PATCH\_INDEX and RUT\_INDEX.

The threshold for failure for this index is SCR = 60.

# **Data Collection Vehicle Subsystems**

Data on paved roads in Cycle 5 is collected by FHWA using a Pathway Services Inc. Data Collection Vehicle (DCV), called PathRunner. The DCV is driven in the primary-direction lane at posted speed limits and less.

#### **CAMERAS**

Forward-facing and rear-facing video is collected as .jpg digital imagery at a frequency of 26.4 feet.

Two forward-facing cameras are mounted above the vehicle cab, one pointed straight ahead and the other to the right shoulder providing seamless 120 degree viewing.

CAMERA SPECIFICATIONS	
Two Forward/ One Rear Facing	
Camera lens/type	FUJINON CCTV LENS H16x10B-Y41
Focal length	10 mm – 160 mm
Image size	8.8 mm x 6.6mm
Image format	*.jpg
Image resolution	HD 2000 X 1200
Image pixel size	depends on distance
Zoom ratio	16x
Max Relative Aperture	1:2.5
Iris range	F25-T800 (Equivalent to F800)

Pavement images are created using a Laser Scan Imaging System. This system is composed of a single high resolution line-scan camera and two lasers configured to image an approximate 11-foot wide lane with 1 mm resolution.

CAMERA SPECIFICATIONS		
Pavement Line Scan		
Image size	4280 pixels/line	
Image width	4 meters (3950 mm nominal)	
Laser class	3B	
Power	250W	
Vehicle speed limitations	62 mph	
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night	
Sensor size (approx)	300 mm(H) x 375 mm(L) x 200 mm(D)	
Image frame length	26.4 feet	

#### **DMI (Distance Measuring Instrument)**

The DMI (Distance Measuring Instrument) obtains road length measurements that are accurate to 0.1% for speeds up to 60 mph. The DMI is connected to the hub of the rear wheel on the driver's side, and is calibrated to the revolutions of the rear vehicle axle on a regular basis.

#### **ROUGHNESS (IRI)**

The collection system includes a South Dakota type laser profiler manufactured based on active Class 1 ASTM E950 standards. The dynamic profile of the pavement surface is collected from which the IRI roughness data is computed. The sensors include one accelerometer on each wheelpath, one height sensor (laser) on each wheelpath, and a distance transducer.

IRI SPECIFICATIONS		
Reported IRI units	Inches/mile	
Vehicle speed limitations	12-62 mph	
IRI equipment certification	Texas Transportation Institute (TTI)	
Wavelengths accommodated	6 in. – 300 feet	
IRI computed & reported	World Bank Technical Paper Number 46	
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night, above 32 degrees F	
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E950-98 (2004), ASTM E 1926-08,	
	AASHTO MP 11-08, AASHTO PP 49-08	

#### **RUTTING**

Rutting depths are measured using an INO Laser Rut Measurement System (LRMS). This system is a transverse profiling device that detects and characterizes pavement rutting. The LRMS can acquire full 4 meter width profiles of a pavement lane at normal traffic speeds and uses two laser profilers that digitize transverse sections of the pavement.

RUTTING SPECIFICATIONS	
Reported rut depth units	Inches
Vehicle speed limitations	Up to 62 mph
Sampling rate	30-150 profiles/second
Transverse resolution	1280 points/profile
Transverse field-of-view	4 m
Depth accuracy (nominal)	+/- 1 mm
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night, above 32 degrees F
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E1703M-95 (reapproved 2005)

#### **GPS & INERTIAL SYSTEMS**

GPS is collected by an onboard system employing Omnistar real time correction and a gyroscope Inertial Measuring Unit (IMU) to provide accurate positioning data in instances of satellite obstruction. All GPS coordinates are tied to image and linear distance measurements.

GPS SPECIFICATIONS	
Static accuracy	Sub-meter
Dynamic accuracy	2-3 meters
Receiver	12 satellite tracking
Coordinate system	Lat Lon WGS 84
Environment	Day or night
Cross-slope	+- 0.1 degrees
Grade	+- 0.1 degrees

GPS on Manually Rated Roads (MRR)

Parking areas, some roads, and other paved areas that are not fully drivable with the DCV are collected manually by field technicians. GPS is collected for these routes using portable Trimble GPS backpack units.

# **Geodatabase - Background and Metadata**

In addition to this park report, a *geodatabase* containing both tabular and spatial data specific to this park has been provided. All data disseminated in the preceding report has been obtained from the tables and fields within said geodatabase. The geodatabase can be referenced for tabular data via Microsoft Access or for both tabular and spatial data via ESRI's ArcGIS Suite of software which consists of; ArcMap, ArcCatalog and ArcExplorer. Consolidating the RIP data into one database creates a seamless relationship of tables and geographic data. It will allow RIP to facilitate easier updates and enhancements in the future.

A geodatabase can be thought of as simply a database containing spatial data. Many different tables are contained with the park's geodatabase. A complete and thorough description of the tables and fields contained within this geodatabase can be found in the *metadata*. The metadata is attached directly within the geodatabase and can be accessed via ESRI's ArcCatalog.

#### **GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

# TERM ORABBREVIATIONDESCRIPTION OR DEFINITION

AC	Alligator Cracking
CRS	Condition Rating Sheets (Section 5)
DCV	Data Collection Vehicle
Excellent	Excellent rating with an index value of 95 to 100
Fair	Fair rating with an index value from 61 to 84
FUNCT_CLASS	Functional Classification (see Route ID, Section 2)
Good	Good rating with an index value from 85 to 94
IRI	International Roughness Index
Lane Width	Width from road centerline to fogline, or from centerline to edge- of-pavement when no fogline exists
LC	Longitudinal Cracking
MRR	Manually Rated Route
MRL	Manually Rated Line
MRP	Manually Rated Polygon
N/A	Not Applicable
NC	Not Collected
РАТСН	Patching and Potholes
Paved Width	Width from edge-of-pavement to edge-of-pavement
PCR	Pavement Condition Rating
PKG	Parking Area
Poor	Poor rating with an index value of 0 to 60
RCI	Roughness Condition Index
SC	Structural Cracking
SCR	Surface Condition Rating
TC	Transverse Cracking