

CUIS Cycle 6

Final Report

Road Inventory and Condition Assessment for Cumberland Island National Seashore




**Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program**

Prepared By:

**Federal Highway Administration
Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division
Road Inventory Program (RIP)**

Report Date: July 2021

Cumberland Island National Seashore in Georgia



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community
Esri, Garmin, GEBCO, NOAA NGDC, and other contributors



Table of Contents

SECTION	PAGE NO.
1. INTRODUCTION	1 - 1
2. PARK ROUTE INVENTORY Route ID Report, Subcomponent Report, and Changes Report (As Applicable)	2 - 1
3. PARK SUMMARY INFORMATION Parkwide Route Condition Summary and Route Level Condition Summary Report (s)	3 - 1
4. PARK ROUTE LOCATION MAPS Route Location and Condition Map(s)	4 - 1
5. ROAD CONDITION RATING SHEETS Road Pages	5 - 1
6. PARKING AREA CONDITION RATING SHEETS Parking Area Pages	6 - 1
7. ROAD MILEPOST INFORMATION Road Milepost Information and Logs	7 - 1
8. APPENDIX	
Improvements to the RIP Index Equations and Determination of PCR	8 - 1
Description of the Rating System	8 - 2
Explanation of the Condition Descriptions	8 - 3
Description of Pavement Treatment Types	8 - 4
Appendix A: Methodology for Determining Condition Ratings with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV)	8 - 5
Appendix B: Methodology for Determining Condition Ratings Using Manual Rating Procedures	8 - 20
Appendix C: Description of Cycle 6 Deliverables	8 - 29
Appendix D: Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations	8 - 33
Appendix E: Methodology for Determining Condition Ratings Using Manual Rating Procedures for Unpaved Assets	8 - 35

Section 1 Introduction



Cumberland Island National Seashore



**Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program**

Introduction

The Federal Highway Administration’s (FHWA), Road Inventory Program (RIP) inventories all roads and parking areas in the National Park System, and performs condition inspections on all paved roads and parking areas for the National Park Service (NPS). This report contains the results of the Cycle 6 condition assessment of paved roads and parking lots for this park unit. This assessment was done using an automated, state-of-the-art pavement inspection vehicle as well as manual ratings. This information represents the condition of the paved assets at the time of the inspection. The pavement management system utilized by FHWA and the NPS uses these assessments to estimate future conditions and help prioritize pavement maintenance and rehabilitation projects. Further information about RIP data and its role in managing paved roads and bridges can be obtained by contacting the NPS Regional Transportation Program Manager.

A History of the Road Inventory Program:

The FHWA, in the mid-1970s, was charged with the task of identifying surface condition deficiencies and corrective priorities on NPS roads and parkways. Additionally, FHWA was tasked with establishing an integrated maintenance features inventory, locating features such as culverts, guardrails, and signs, among others, along NPS roads and parkways. As a result, in 1976 the NPS and FHWA entered into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) which established the RIP. This MOA was revised in 1980 to update RIP data collection standards and develop a long-range program to improve and maintain NPS roads to designated condition standards and establish a pavement management program.

The FHWA completed the initial phase of inventory in the early 1980s. As a result of this effort, each NPS unit included in the collection received a RIP Report known as the “Brown Book” which contained information that was inventoried during this first RIP phase. In the 1990s, a cyclical program was developed, and since then five cycles of collection have been completed. Cycle 6 is currently in progress. A summary of the RIP collection cycles is shown in the table below.

Cycle	Years	Parks Collected
Cycle 1	1994 - 1997	◦ 44 Large Parks
Cycle 2	1997 - 2001	◦ 79 Large Parks ◦ 5 Small Parks
Cycle 3	2001 - 2004	◦ All Large Parks ◦ All Small Parks
Cycle 4	2006 - 2010	◦ 86 Large Parks ◦ Several Small Parks
Cycle 5	2010 - 2014	◦ All Large Parks (Only functional class 1, 2, 7, and new/modified routes collected) ◦ All Small Parks (all roads and parking areas collected)
Cycle 6	2014 – 2020 (±)	◦ All roads and parking areas collected at all Parks ◦ Additional partial collections of functional class 1, 2, and 7 roads at Large Parks ◦ Cycle 6 is expected to last 6 years

Note: Large Parks have ≥ 10 Paved Miles; Small Parks have < 10 Paved Miles

Since 1984, the Road Inventory Program has been funded through the Federal Lands Highway Park Roads and Parkways (PRP) Program. Currently, coordination of the RIP with Federal Lands Highway (FLH) is under the NPS Washington Headquarters Park Facility Management Division. The FLH Washington office coordinates policy and prepares national reports and needs assessment studies for Congress.

In 1998, the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) amended Title 23 U.S.C., and inserted Section 204(a)(6) requiring the FHWA and NPS, to develop by rule, a Pavement Management System (PMS) applied to park roads and parkways serving the National Park System.

In 2012, the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) amended Title 23 U.S.C., and under Section 203(c)(1-2) stated that the National Park Service in cooperation with the DOT/FHWA, shall maintain a comprehensive national inventory of their transportation facilities, with the goal of quantifying transportation infrastructure needs within the National Park System.

A History of the Pavement Management System:

In 2005, the FHWA began implementing the use of a pavement management system to assist the NPS in prioritizing Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities. The system used by FHWA is the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA), which has the ability to store inventory and condition data from RIP and forecast future performance using prediction models. Outputs include performance and condition reports at the National, Regional, Park, or Route level. Regional prioritized lists and optimizations have been produced for most regions, and the Service's overall roadway Deferred Maintenance is calculated via the HPMA.

Overview of Cycle 6:

Cycle 6 launched in the spring of 2014 and will again comprise all NPS park units that are served by paved roads and/or parking areas. For Cycle 6, all paved roads (approximately 5,700 miles) and parking areas will be collected in all parks at least once, while the primary routes (functional class 1, 2, and 7 roads) at Large Parks will have additional collections. These multiple collections will provide updated condition data on a majority of the NPS's primary road network and help build a better pavement management system, allowing for more accurate pavement performance prediction models.

FLH is responsible for the accuracy of all data presented in this report. Any questions or comments concerning the contents of this report should be directed to the national RIP Coordinator located in Ashburn, Virginia.

Respectfully,

FHWA RIP Team

FHWA/Eastern Federal Lands
22001 Loudoun County Parkway
Building E-2, Suite 200
Ashburn, VA 20147
(571) 434-1574

FHWA/Central Federal Lands
12300 West Dakota Ave
Lakewood, CO 80228
(720) 963-3556

Section 2 Park Route Inventory



Cumberland Island National Seashore



Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

■ = Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle
 MRL = Manually Rated Line
 MRP = Manually Rated Polygon
 PKG = Parking Areas
 NC = Not Collected

CUIS Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROAD INVENTORY (1100 SERIES FMSS LOCATIONS)

Route No.	Cycle Collected	Iteration Collected	FMSS Number	Concession	Route Name	Route Description		Maintenance District	FLTP	Paved Miles	Unpaved Miles	Total Mileage	Functional Class	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
						From	To									
0010	6	1	62820		GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD)	FROM SOUTH END OF ISLAND	TO ROUTE 0215 (NORTH CUT ROAD)		YES	0.00	13.55	13.55	1		NV	1,2,3
0100	6	1	114707		DUNGENESS COLEMAN AVENUE ROAD	FROM DUNGENESS DOCK	TO END OF LOOP AT ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))		NO	0.00	0.90	0.90	2		NV	3
0101	6	1	114713		SEACAMP CAMPGROUND ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))	TO SEACAMP CAMPGROUND		NO	0.00	0.34	0.34	6		NV	3
0102	6	1	114721		PLUM ORCHARD SPUR ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))	TO ROUTE 0209 (TABLE POINT ROAD)		NO	0.00	0.90	0.90	2		NV	1
0201	6	1	114708		DUNGENESS BLACK SERVANTS QUARTERS SERVICE ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0100 (DUNGENESS COLEMAN AVENUE ROAD)	TO ROUTE 0100 (DUNGENESS COLEMAN AVENUE ROAD)		NO	0.00	0.30	0.30	3		NV	3
0202	6	1	114709		DUNGENESS BEACH ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0201 (DUNGENESS BLACK SERVANTS QUARTERS SERVICE ROAD)	TO BEACH		NO	0.00	0.20	0.20	3		NV	3
0203	6	1	114710		DUNGENESS GREENHOUSE ACCESS ROAD	FROM INTERSECTION OF ROUTES 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD)) AND 0100 (DUNGENESS COLEMAN AVENUE ROAD)	TO END OF LOOP		NO	0.00	0.76	0.76	4		NV	3
0204	6	1	114711		DUNGENESS NIGHTENGAL WELL ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))	TO DEAD END AT WELL		NO	0.00	0.15	0.15	6		NV	3
0205	6	1	114712		SEACAMP RANGER STATION ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))	TO ROUTE 0903 (SEACAMP RANGER STATION PARKING)		NO	0.00	0.12	0.12	3		NV	3
0206	6	1	114715		LITTLE GREYFIELD BEACH ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))	TO BEACH		NO	0.00	0.35	0.35	3		NV	2
0207	6	1	114716		GREYFIELD GOATSWAY BEACH ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))	TO BEACH		NO	0.00	0.33	0.33	3		NV	3

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

■ = Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle
 MRL = Manually Rated Line
 MRP = Manually Rated Polygon
 PKG = Parking Areas
 NC = Not Collected

CUIS Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROAD INVENTORY (1100 SERIES FMSS LOCATIONS)

Route No.	Cycle Collected	Iteration Collected	FMSS Number	Concession	Route Name	Route Description		Maintenance District	FLTP	Paved Miles	Unpaved Miles	Total Mileage	Functional Class	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
						From	To									
0208	6	1	114718		STAFFORD BEACH ACCESS LOOP ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))	TO ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))		NO	0.00	2.36	2.36	3		NV	2
0209	6	1	114719		TABLE POINT ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0102 (PLUM ORCHARD SPUR ROAD)	TO DEAD END		NO	0.00	2.01	2.01	3		NV	1
0210	6	1	116477		STAFFORD BEACH ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0208 (STAFFORD BEACH ACCESS LOOP ROAD)	TO BEACH		NO	0.00	0.20	0.20	3		NV	2
0211	6	1	116546		FIRST AFRICAN BAPTIST CHURCH LOOP ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0215 (NORTH CUT ROAD) AND ROUTE 0401 (HIGH POINT ROAD)	TO END OF ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))		NO	0.00	1.40	1.40	3		NV	1
0212	6	1	94824		SOUTH CUT ROAD / TRAIL	FROM ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))	TO BEACH		NO	0.00	1.39	1.39	6		NV	1
0213	6	1	114714		OLD HOUSE CREEK ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))	TO DEAD END		NO	0.00	0.70	0.70	4		NV	2
0215	6	1	94822		NORTH CUT ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))	TO BEACH		NO	0.00	3.17	3.17	4		NV	1
0400	6	1	116312		REPEATER ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0209 (TABLE POINT ROAD)	TO DEAD END		NO	0.00	0.02	0.02	6		NV	1
0401	6	1	112942		HIGH POINT ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0211 (FIRST AFRICAN BAPTIST CHURCH LOOP ROAD)	TO DEAD END		NO	0.00	0.60	0.60	6		NV	1

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

■ = Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle
 MRL = Manually Rated Line
 MRP = Manually Rated Polygon
 PKG = Parking Areas
 NC = Not Collected

CUIS Cumberland Island National Seashore

PARKING AREA INVENTORY (1300 SERIES FMSS LOCATIONS)

Route No.	Cycle Collected	Iteration Collected	FMSS Number	Concession	Route Name	Route Description		Maintenance District	FLTP	Access Level	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
						From	To						
0901	6	1	109009		BACHLOTT HOUSE PARKING	FROM SAINT MARY'S STREET	TO 101 WHEELER STREET		NO	PUBLIC	49,017	NV	4
0902	6	1	112941		MAINLAND MUSEUM PARKING	FROM OSBORNE STREET	TO BRYANT STREET		NO	NONPUBLIC	3,155	BR	4
0903	6	1	112957		SEACAMP RANGER STATION PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))	TO PARKING		NO	PUBLIC	8,573	NV	3
0904	6	1	114706		DUNGENESS CAPTAINS HOUSE PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0100 (DUNGENESS COLEMAN AVENUE ROAD)	TO PARKING		NO	PUBLIC	22,428	NV	3

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

■ = Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle
 MRL = Manually Rated Line
 MRP = Manually Rated Polygon
 PKG = Parking Areas
 NC = Not Collected

Cycle 6 Summary Totals for Cumberland Island National Seashore

Cycle 6 Route Totals			
	NPS Maintained	Concessionaire Maintained	Park Totals
Paved Roads, Data Collection Vehicle Rated (Miles)	0	0	0
Paved Roads, Manually Rated Length (Miles)	0	0	0
Paved Roads, Manually Rated Area (Sq. Ft.)	0	0	0
Unpaved Roads (Miles)	29.73	0	29.73
Paved Parking (Sq. Ft.)	3,155	0	3,155
Unpaved Parking (Sq. Ft.)	80,018	0	80,018

Cycle 6 Lane Miles and Overall Pavement Condition		
	Lanes Miles*	Pavement Condition Rating**
Data Collection Vehicle Routes	0	N/A
Manually Rated Roads	0	N/A
Parking Areas	0.05	97

* Equivalent Lane Miles are calculated by route using the following equations:
 - DCV and MRLs = (PAVE_WIDTH x PAVED_MI) / 11 foot lane
 - MRPs and PKGs = SQ_FEET / 5280 / 11 foot lane

**Parking and Manually Rated Routes are assigned the following PCR values based on the type of observed distresses:
 -Excellent = 97 -Good = 90 -Fair = 73
 -Poor = 53, 30, or 0 -Construction / Not Rated = -1

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

■ = Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle
 MRL = Manually Rated Line
 MRP = Manually Rated Polygon
 PKG = Parking Areas
 NC = Not Collected

General Park Road Functional Classification (FC) Table

FC	Type	User Access	Description	Route Numbers	Surface Types
1	Principal Park Road Rural Parkway	Public	Roads which constitute the main access route, circulatory tour, or thoroughfare for park visitors. Rural Parkways (e.g. Natchez Trace) are numbered 0001 - 0009.	0001 - 0009 0010 - 0099	AS - Asphaltic Concrete Pavement
2	Connector Park Road	Public	Roads which provide access within a park to areas of scenic, scientific, recreational or cultural interest, such as overlooks, campgrounds, etc.	0100 - 0199	BR - Brick or Pavers Road Bed CB - Cobble Stone Road Bed
3	Special Purpose Park Road	Public	Roads which provide circulation within public areas, such as campgrounds, picnic areas, visitor center complexes, concessionaire facilities, etc. These roads generally serve low-speed traffic and are often designed for one-way circulation.	0200 - 0299	CO - Portland Cement Concrete Pavement GR - Gravel Road Bed
4	Primitive Park Road	Public	Roads which provide circulation through remote areas and/or access to primitive campgrounds and undeveloped areas. These roads frequently have no minimum design standards and their use may be limited to specially equipped vehicles. Note: Functional Classes 3 and 4 have the same route numbers because, historically, they were numbered similarly.	0200 - 0299	NV - Native or Dirt Material Road Bed
5	Administrative Park Road	Public	All public roads intended for access to administrative developments or structures such as park offices, employee quarters, or utility areas.	0400 - 0499	OT - Other Materials Road Bed
6	Administrative Park Road (Restricted Access)	Nonpublic	All roads normally closed to the public, including patrol roads, truck trails, and other similar roads. Note: Functional Classes 5 and 6 have the same route numbers because historically they were numbered similarly and often there is little distinction between these routes. For example, because utility areas and employee housing are often closed to the public, this restriction would result in classification of FC 6 rather than FC 5.	0400 - 0499	
7	Urban Parkway	Public	These facilities serve high volumes of park and non-park related traffic and are restricted, limited-access facilities in an urban area. This category of roads primarily encompasses the major parkways which serve as gateways to our nation's capital. Other major park roads or portions thereof, however, may be included in this category.	0001 - 0009	
8	City Street	Public	City streets are usually extensions of the adjoining street system that are owned and maintained by the National Park Service. The construction and/or reconstruction should conform with accepted local engineering practice and local conditions.	0600 - 0699	
N/A	Non-NPS Roads	Public	State, County, or City owned roads which border, traverse, or provide access to Park Facilities or Locations. Non-NPS roads are not assigned functional classes and are driven for GPS and Video Log only.	5000 - 5999	

A park road system contains those roads within or giving access to a park or other unit of the NPS which are administered by the NPS, or by the Service in cooperation with other agencies. The assignment of a functional classification (FC) to a park road is not based on traffic volumes or design speed, but on the intended use or function of that road or route.

The historic route numbering system also included a 300 series for interpretive roads, and a 500 series for one-way roads. There are approximately 250 roads nationwide which are designated by the 300 and 500 series. The numbers for these roads will be maintained for reporting consistency. However, since these interpretive and one-way routes are not as clearly tied to a specific functional class, the 300 and 500 series will be discontinued for future use.

Route Identification Changes to Routes from Previous Cycle Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTES REMOVED FROM PREVIOUS INVENTORY:

Route No.	Route Name	Type of Change	Comments
0200	DUNGENESS CAPTAINS HOUSE ROAD	OTHER	ROUTE 0200 WAS COMBINED INTO NEW PARKING AREA 0904.
0214	LAKE WHITNEY ACCESS ROAD	OTHER	ROAD REMOVED IN CYCLE 6. NO LONGER USED AS A ROAD.

ROUTES ADDED FROM PREVIOUS INVENTORY:

Route No.	Route Name	Type of Change	Comments
0904	DUNGENESS CAPTAINS HOUSE PARKING	OTHER	PARKING ADDED IN CYCLE 6. FORMER ROAD 0200 WAS COMBINED INTO THE PARKING AREA.

ROUTES MODIFIED FROM PREVIOUS INVENTORY:

Route No.	Route Name	Type of Change	Comments
0101	SEACAMP CAMPGROUND ACCESS ROAD	FUNCTIONAL CLASS CHANGE	FUNCTIONAL CLASS UPDATED FROM 2 TO 6. NON PUBLIC ROAD.
0203	DUNGENESS GREENHOUSE ACCESS ROAD	FUNCTIONAL CLASS CHANGE	FUNCTIONAL CLASS UPDATED FROM 3 TO 4.
0204	DUNGENESS NIGHTENGALE WELL ACCESS ROAD	FUNCTIONAL CLASS CHANGE	FUNCTIONAL CLASS UPDATED FROM 3 TO 6. NON PUBLIC ROAD.
0205	SEACAMP RANGER STATION ROAD	ROUTE NAME	ROUTE NAME UPDATED FROM "SEACAMP VISITORS CENTER ROAD" IN ORDER TO MATCH FMSS.
0212	SOUTH CUT ROAD / TRAIL	FUNCTIONAL CLASS CHANGE	FUNCTIONAL CLASS UPDATED FROM 3 TO 6. NON PUBLIC ROAD.
0400	REPEATER ACCESS ROAD	FUNCTIONAL CLASS CHANGE	FUNCTIONAL CLASS UPDATED FROM 5 TO 6. NON PUBLIC ROAD.
0903	SEACAMP RANGER STATION PARKING	ROUTE NAME	ROUTE NAME UPDATED FROM "SEACAMP VISITOR CENTER PARKING LOT" IN ORDER TO MATCH FMSS.

Section 3

Park Summary Information



Cumberland Island National Seashore



Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

Parkwide Paved Route Condition Summary Cumberland Island National Seashore

Table 1: Paved Route Miles and Parking Area Square Footages by Access Level and PCR


Breakdown of Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) Based on Access Level

	POOR (PCR of 0 - 60)	FAIR (PCR of 61 - 84)	GOOD (PCR of 85 - 94)	EXCELLENT (PCR of 95 -100)	
PAVED ROADS					
Functional Class	Length (miles)	Length (miles)	Length (miles)	Length (miles)	Total Mileage by FC
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
Total Mileage by PCR	0	0	0	0	0
PAVED PARKING					
Access Level	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Total Area
PUBLIC					
NONPUBLIC				3,155	3,155
Total Area by PCR	0	0	0	3,155	3,155

NOTES:

1. Data are reported in the table only for paved roads and parking lots that received a condition rating.
2. Non-linear roads (MRP collected routes) are measured by area and converted to equivalent route miles based on a 22-ft pavement width in order to be included in the mileage totals for paved roads shown above.
3. Quantities in the table above are derived from the route condition data within the PMS_20, PMS_MRL, PMS_MRP, and PMS_PKG tables in the Park geodatabase.

Parkwide Condition Percentages




Parking Area Condition Percentages

Figure 1: Pavement Condition Rating Breakdown for Paved Roads and Parking Areas

Explanation of the Excellent, Good, Fair, and Poor Condition Descriptions

The Road Inventory Program aims to provide assistance in translating the excellent / good / fair / poor rating categories into pavement needs categories. The PCR can be used to indicate the place in the Pavement Life Cycle and the type of treatments that should be considered now and into the future.

- Excellent / New: PCR of 95-100
 - Pavements in this range will require only spot repairs
- Good: PCR of 85-94
 - Pavements in this range will likely be candidates for Preventive Maintenance. Examples include Chip and Slurry Seals, Micro Surfacing and Thin Overlays.
- Fair: PCR of 61-84
 - Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Light Rehabilitation (L3R). Examples include singlelift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness, milling and overlays.
- Poor: PCR of 0-60
 - Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Heavy Rehabilitation or Reconstruction (H3R or 4R). Examples include Pulverization, Multiple Lift Overlays, and Reconstruction.



At this time, specific Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities should be evaluated and recommended at the project level. Site-specific conditions that influence treatment type should be determined based on performing a subsurface investigation and/or pavement condition survey, and not be based solely on RIP data. Additionally, RIP produces a snapshot of conditions at the time in which the data were collected. For further information or to obtain additional Pavement Management System's data from our Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) please contact the Eastern Federal Lands pavement team.

Parkwide Unpaved Route Condition Summary Cumberland Island National Seashore


Breakdown of Unpaved Condition Rating (PASER +) Based on Access Level

	POOR	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	
UNPAVED ROADS						
Functional Class	Length (miles)	Length (miles)	Length (miles)	Length (miles)	Length (miles)	Total Mileage by FC
1	0.60		6.15	6.80		13.55
2			0.90	0.90		1.80
3			0.43	6.83		7.26
4			1.56	3.07		4.63
5						
6	0.15		0.50	1.85		2.50
7						
8						
Total Mileage by PASER +	0.75	0.00	9.54	19.44	0.00	29.73
UNPAVED PARKING						
Access Level	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Total Area
PUBLIC	49,017		22,428	8,573		80,018
NONPUBLIC						
Total Area by PASER +	49,017	0	22,428	8,573	0	80,018


NOTES:

1. Data are reported in the table only for unpaved roads and parking lots that received a condition rating.
2. Quantities in the table above are derived from the route condition data within the UNPAVED_PMS_TENTH, UNPAVED_PMS_PARKING in the Park geodatabase.

Parkwide Condition Percentages



Road Condition Percentages



Parking Area Condition Percentages



Cycle 6 - Road Inventory Program Paved Parking Area Condition Summary Report

Cumberland Island National Seashore

Condition (Rating / Index) Legend

EXCELLENT (97)
GOOD (90)
FAIR (73)
POOR* (0, 30, 53)
NR = NOT RATED

- Notes:
- A PCR of 0 indicates a paved parking area in very poor condition. Individual distresses could not be identified.
 - Additional details on individual parking areas can be found in Section 6 of the Cycle 6 RIP Report.
 - Refer to the RIP Report Appendix for an explanation of the rating system and rating methods.

Condition Rating Details for Paved Parking Areas

Route No.	FMSS No.	Route Name	User Access	Surf. Type	Area (Sq. Ft.)	Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	<u>Asphalt Surface Distresses</u>					<u>Concrete Surface Distresses</u>			
							Alligator Cracking	Longitudinal / Transverse Cracking	Rutting / Distortions	Potholes / Patching	HMA Patching	Surface Raveling / Bleeding	Joint Faulting	Slab Cracking	Joint Distresses
CUIS-0902	112941	MAINLAND MUSEUM PARKING	NONPUBLIC	BR	3,155	97									



Cycle 6 - Road Inventory Program Unpaved Parking Area Condition Summary Report

Cumberland Island National Seashore

Condition (Rating / Index) Legend

NATIVE		GRAVEL	
EXCELLENT (4)	EXCELLENT (5)	EXCELLENT (4)	EXCELLENT (5)
GOOD (3)	GOOD (4)	GOOD (3)	GOOD (4)
FAIR (2)	FAIR (3)	FAIR (2)	FAIR (3)
POOR (1)	POOR (2)	POOR (1)	POOR (2)
NR = NOT RATED	NR = NOT RATED	NR = NOT RATED	NR = NOT RATED

Notes:

- Additional details on individual parking areas can be found in Section 6 of the Cycle 6 RIP Report.
- Refer to the RIP Report Appendix for an explanation of the rating system and rating methods.

Condition Rating Details for Unpaved Parking Areas

Route No.	FMSS No.	Route Name	User Access	Surf. Type	Area (Sq. Ft.)	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity	Dust
CUIS-0901	109009	BACHLOTT HOUSE PARKING	PUBLIC	NV	49,017	1	High	High	Low	Med	Low
CUIS-0903	112957	SEACAMP RANGER STATION PARKING	PUBLIC	NV	8,573	3	Med	High	Low	Low	Low
CUIS-0904	114706	DUNGENESS CAPTAINS HOUSE PARKING	PUBLIC	NV	22,428	2	Med	High	Med	Med	Low

Unpaved Surface Distresses



Cycle 6 - Road Inventory Program

Unpaved Road Condition Summary Report

Cumberland Island National Seashore

Condition (Rating / Index) Legend

NATIVE		GRAVEL	
EXCELLENT (4)	EXCELLENT (5)	EXCELLENT (4)	EXCELLENT (5)
GOOD (3)	GOOD (4)	GOOD (4)	GOOD (4)
FAIR (2)	FAIR (3)	FAIR (3)	FAIR (3)
POOR (1)	POOR (2)	POOR (2)	POOR (2)
NR = NOT RATED	NR = NOT RATED	POOR (1)	POOR (1)
		NR = NOT RATED	NR = NOT RATED

Notes:

- Additional details on individual roads can be found in Section 5 of the Cycle 6 RIP Report.
- Refer to the RIP Report Appendix for an explanation of the rating system and rating methods.

Unpaved Surface Distresses

Condition Rating Details for Unpaved Roads Areas

Route No.	FMSS No.	Route Name	Functional Class	Surf. Type	Unpaved Length (Miles)	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity	Dust
CUIS-0010	62820	GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD)	1	NV	13.55	2	Med	High	Low	Med	Low
CUIS-0100	114707	DUNGENESS COLEMAN AVENUE ROAD	2	NV	0.90	3	Med	Med	Low	Med	Low
CUIS-0101	114713	SEACAMP CAMPGROUND ACCESS ROAD	6	NV	0.34	3	Med	Med	Low	Low	Low
CUIS-0102	114721	PLUM ORCHARD SPUR ROAD	2	NV	0.90	2	Med	High	Low	Med	Low
CUIS-0201	114708	DUNGENESS BLACK SERVANTS QUARTERS SERVICE ROAD	3	NV	0.30	3	Med	Med	Low	Med	Low
CUIS-0202	114709	DUNGENESS BEACH ACCESS ROAD	3	NV	0.20	3	Med	Med	Low	Med	Low
CUIS-0203	114710	DUNGENESS GREENHOUSE ACCESS ROAD	4	NV	0.76	2	Med	High	Med	Med	Low
CUIS-0204	114711	DUNGENESS NIGHTENGALE WELL ACCESS ROAD	6	NV	0.15	1	Med	High	High	Med	Low
CUIS-0205	114712	SEACAMP RANGER STATION ROAD	3	NV	0.12	3	Med	Med	Low	Med	Low
CUIS-0206	114715	LITTLE GREYFIELD BEACH ACCESS ROAD	3	NV	0.35	3	Med	High	Low	Low	Low
CUIS-0207	114716	GREYFIELD GOATSWAY BEACH ACCESS ROAD	3	NV	0.33	2	Med	High	Low	Med	Low
CUIS-0208	114718	STAFFORD BEACH ACCESS LOOP ROAD	3	NV	2.36	3	Med	High	Low	Low	Low
CUIS-0209	114719	TABLE POINT ROAD	3	NV	2.01	3	Med	High	Low	Low	Low
CUIS-0210	116477	STAFFORD BEACH ACCESS ROAD	3	NV	0.20	3	Med	Med	Low	Med	Low
CUIS-0211	116546	FIRST AFRICAN BAPTIST CHURCH LOOP ROAD	3	NV	1.40	3	Low	High	Low	Med	Low
CUIS-0212	94824	SOUTH CUT ROAD / TRAIL	6	NV	1.39	3	Med	Low	Low	Med	Low
CUIS-0213	114714	OLD HOUSE CREEK ACCESS ROAD	4	NV	0.70	3	Med	High	Low	Low	Low
CUIS-0215	94822	NORTH CUT ROAD	4	NV	3.17	2	Med	High	Low	Med	Low
CUIS-0400	116312	REPEATER ACCESS ROAD	6	NV	0.02	3	Med	Med	Low	Med	Low
CUIS-0401	112942	HIGH POINT ROAD	6	NV	0.60	2	Med	High	Low	Med	Low

Section 4 Park Route Location Maps



Cumberland Island National Seashore




Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

Cumberland Island National Seashore


ROUTE LOCATION MAP

KEY MAP



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


Note: Unique colors are used to differentiate roads



Cumberland Island National Seashore


ROUTE LOCATION MAP

MAP 1



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Note: Unique colors are used to differentiate roads



Cumberland Island National Seashore


ROUTE LOCATION MAP

MAP 2



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


Note: Unique colors are used to differentiate roads



Cumberland Island National Seashore


ROUTE LOCATION MAP

MAP 3



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Note: Unique colors are used to differentiate roads



Cumberland Island National Seashore


ROUTE LOCATION MAP

MAP 4



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Note: Unique colors are used to differentiate roads



Section 5 Road Condition Rating Sheets



Cumberland Island National Seashore




Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0010: GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD)

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021	Unpaved Length (Miles): 13.55		Surface Type: NV	


Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0010

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	1.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Med	High	Low	Low
1.00	2.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Med	High	Low	Low
2.00	3.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Med	High	Low	Low
3.00	4.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Med	High	Low	Low
4.00	5.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Med	High	Low	Low
5.00	6.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Med	High	Low	Low
6.00	7.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Med	High	Low	Low
7.00	8.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$10,000	2	Med	High	Low	Med
8.00	9.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$10,000	2	Med	High	Low	Med
9.00	10.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$10,000	2	Med	High	Low	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0010: GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD)

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021		Unpaved Length (Miles): 13.55		Surface Type: NV

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0010

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
10.00	11.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$10,000	2	Med	High	Med	Med
11.00	12.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$10,000	2	Med	High	Low	Med
12.00	13.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$10,000	2	Med	High	Low	Med
13.00	13.55	0.55	1	8.0	8.0	Heavy Rehabilitation	\$22,040	1	Med	High	Low	High
Route Level Data:		13.55	1	8.0	8.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$96,040	2	Med	High	Low	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore
ROUTE 0010: GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD)

Condition Photos



CUIS_0010_1.009



CUIS_0010_2.000



CUIS_0010_3.009



CUIS_0010_4.009



CUIS_0010_5.000



CUIS_0010_6.010

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0010: GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD)

Condition Photos



CUIS_0010_7.017



CUIS_0010_8.021



CUIS_0010_9.017



CUIS_0010_10.003



CUIS_0010_11.037



CUIS_0010_12.003

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0100: DUNGENESS COLEMAN AVENUE ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend



See Appendix for definitions and formulas

Inspection Date: 2/5/2021 Unpaved Length (Miles): 0.90 Surface Type: NV

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0100

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	0.90	0.90	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$1,790	3	Med	Med	Low	Med

Route Level Data: 0.90 1 8.0 8.0 Routine Maintenance \$1,790 3 Med Med Low Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0100: DUNGENESS COLEMAN AVENUE ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0100_0.053



CUIS_0100_0.205



CUIS_0100_0.338



CUIS_0100_0.511



CUIS_0100_0.656



CUIS_0100_0.851

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0101: SEACAMP CAMPGROUND ACCESS ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021	Unpaved Length (Miles): 0.34		Surface Type: NV	

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0101

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	0.34	0.34	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$670	3	Med	Med	Low	Low
Route Level Data:		0.34	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$670	3	Med	Med	Low	Low

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0101: SEACAMP CAMPGROUND ACCESS ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0101_0.020



CUIS_0101_0.083



CUIS_0101_0.152



CUIS_0101_0.204



CUIS_0101_0.259



CUIS_0101_0.305

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0102: PLUM ORCHARD SPUR ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend



See Appendix for definitions and formulas

Inspection Date: 2/5/2021 Unpaved Length (Miles): 0.90 Surface Type: NV

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0102

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	0.90	0.90	1	8.0	8.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$9,000	2	Med	High	Low	Med
Route Level Data:		0.90	1	8.0	8.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$9,000	2	Med	High	Low	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0102: PLUM ORCHARD SPUR ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0102_0.078



CUIS_0102_0.206



CUIS_0102_0.363



CUIS_0102_0.530



CUIS_0102_0.684




CUIS_0102_0.810

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0201: DUNGENESS BLACK SERVANTS QUARTERS SERVICE ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend



See Appendix for definitions and formulas

Inspection Date: 2/5/2021 Unpaved Length (Miles): 0.30 Surface Type: NV

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0201

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	0.30	0.30	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$596	3	Med	Med	Low	Med
Route Level Data:		0.30	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$596	3	Med	Med	Low	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0201: DUNGENESS BLACK SERVANTS QUARTERS SERVICE ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0201_0.015



CUIS_0201_0.066



CUIS_0201_0.110



CUIS_0201_0.167



CUIS_0201_0.221



CUIS_0201_0.277

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0202: DUNGENESS BEACH ACCESS ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021	Unpaved Length (Miles): 0.20		Surface Type: NV	

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0202

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	0.20	0.20	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$402	3	Med	Med	Low	Med
Route Level Data:		0.20	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$402	3	Med	Med	Low	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0202: DUNGENESS BEACH ACCESS ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0202_0.005



CUIS_0202_0.038



CUIS_0202_0.075



CUIS_0202_0.110



CUIS_0202_0.145




CUIS_0202_0.179

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0203: DUNGENESS GREENHOUSE ACCESS ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021	Unpaved Length (Miles): 0.76		Surface Type: NV	

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0203

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	0.76	0.76	1	10.0	10.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$7,610	2	Med	High	Med	Med
Route Level Data:		0.76	1	10.0	10.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$7,610	2	Med	High	Med	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0203: DUNGENESS GREENHOUSE ACCESS ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0203_0.118



CUIS_0203_0.218



CUIS_0203_0.334



CUIS_0203_0.466



CUIS_0203_0.586



CUIS_0203_0.683

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0204: DUNGENESS NIGHTENGALE WELL ACCESS ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021	Unpaved Length (Miles): 0.15		Surface Type: NV	

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0204

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	0.15	0.15	1	8.0	8.0	Heavy Rehabilitation	\$6,040	1	Med	High	High	Med
Route Level Data:		0.15	1	8.0	8.0	Heavy Rehabilitation	\$6,040	1	Med	High	High	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0204: DUNGENESS NIGHTENGALE WELL ACCESS ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0204_0.005



CUIS_0204_0.032



CUIS_0204_0.065



CUIS_0204_0.082



CUIS_0204_0.101



CUIS_0204_0.137

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0205: SEACAMP RANGER STATION ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021	Unpaved Length (Miles): 0.12		Surface Type: NV	

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0205

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	0.12	0.12	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$232	3	Med	Med	Low	Med
Route Level Data:		0.12	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$232	3	Med	Med	Low	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0205: SEACAMP RANGER STATION ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0205_0.010



CUIS_0205_0.024



CUIS_0205_0.054



CUIS_0205_0.070



CUIS_0205_0.086



CUIS_0205_0.116

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0206: LITTLE GREYFIELD BEACH ACCESS ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021	Unpaved Length (Miles): 0.35		Surface Type: NV	

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0206

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	0.35	0.35	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$700	3	Med	High	Low	Low
Route Level Data:		0.35	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$700	3	Med	High	Low	Low

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0206: LITTLE GREYFIELD BEACH ACCESS ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0206_0.026




CUIS_0206_0.097



CUIS_0206_0.157



CUIS_0206_0.219



CUIS_0206_0.282




CUIS_0206_0.340

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0207: GREYFIELD GOATSWAY BEACH ACCESS ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021	Unpaved Length (Miles): 0.33	Surface Type: NV		

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0207

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	0.33	0.33	1	7.0	7.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$3,250	2	Med	High	Low	Med
Route Level Data:		0.33	1	7.0	7.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$3,250	2	Med	High	Low	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0207: GREYFIELD GOATSWAY BEACH ACCESS ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0207_0.025



CUIS_0207_0.080



CUIS_0207_0.148



CUIS_0207_0.194



CUIS_0207_0.260




CUIS_0207_0.316

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0208: STAFFORD BEACH ACCESS LOOP ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021		Unpaved Length (Miles): 2.36		Surface Type: NV

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0208

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity	
0.00	1.00	1.00	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Med	High	Low	Low	
1.00	2.00	1.00	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Med	High	Low	Low	
2.00	2.36	0.35	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$710	3	Med	High	Low	Low	
Route Level Data:			2.36	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$4,710	3	Med	High	Low	Low

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0208: STAFFORD BEACH ACCESS LOOP ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0208_0.165



CUIS_0208_0.436



CUIS_0208_0.927



CUIS_0208_1.339



CUIS_0208_1.787




CUIS_0208_2.236

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0209: TABLE POINT ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend



See Appendix for definitions and formulas

Inspection Date: 2/5/2021 Unpaved Length (Miles): 2.01 Surface Type: NV

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0209

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	1.00	1.00	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Med	High	Low	Low
1.00	2.00	1.00	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Med	High	Low	Low
2.00	2.01	0.01	1	7.0	7.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$80	2	Med	High	Low	Med

Route Level Data: 2.01 1 7.0 7.0 Routine Maintenance \$4,080 3 Med High Low Low

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0209: TABLE POINT ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0209_0.215



CUIS_0209_0.671



CUIS_0209_1.043



CUIS_0209_1.441



CUIS_0209_1.713



CUIS_0209_1.926

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0210: STAFFORD BEACH ACCESS ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021	Unpaved Length (Miles): 0.20		Surface Type: NV	

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0210

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	0.20	0.20	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$408	3	Med	Med	Low	Med
Route Level Data:		0.20	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$408	3	Med	Med	Low	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0210: STAFFORD BEACH ACCESS ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0210_0.014



CUIS_0210_0.038



CUIS_0210_0.069



CUIS_0210_0.110



CUIS_0210_0.153




CUIS_0210_0.193

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0211: FIRST AFRICAN BAPTIST CHURCH LOOP ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021	Unpaved Length (Miles): 1.40	Surface Type: NV		

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0211

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	1.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Low	High	Low	Med
1.00	1.40	0.40	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$804	3	Low	High	Low	Med
Route Level Data:		1.40	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,804	3	Low	High	Low	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0211: FIRST AFRICAN BAPTIST CHURCH LOOP ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0211_0.206



CUIS_0211_0.415



CUIS_0211_0.613



CUIS_0211_0.810



CUIS_0211_1.013




CUIS_0211_1.310

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0212: SOUTH CUT ROAD / TRAIL

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021	Unpaved Length (Miles): 1.39		Surface Type: NV	

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0212

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	1.00	1.00	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Med	Med	Low	Med
1.00	1.39	0.39	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$780	3	Med	Low	Low	Med
Route Level Data:		1.39	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,780	3	Med	Low	Low	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0212: SOUTH CUT ROAD / TRAIL

Condition Photos



CUIS_0212_0.213



CUIS_0212_0.411



CUIS_0212_0.612



CUIS_0212_0.804



CUIS_0212_1.011



CUIS_0212_1.266

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0213: OLD HOUSE CREEK ACCESS ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021		Unpaved Length (Miles): 0.70		Surface Type: NV

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0213

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	0.70	0.70	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$1,398	3	Med	High	Low	Low
Route Level Data:		0.70	1	7.0	7.0	Routine Maintenance	\$1,398	3	Med	High	Low	Low

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0213: OLD HOUSE CREEK ACCESS ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0213_0.013



CUIS_0213_0.115



CUIS_0213_0.223



CUIS_0213_0.311



CUIS_0213_0.425




CUIS_0213_0.556

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0215: NORTH CUT ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021	Unpaved Length (Miles): 3.17		Surface Type: NV	

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0215

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	1.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Low	High	Low	Med
1.00	2.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$10,000	2	Med	High	Low	Med
2.00	3.00	1.00	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$2,000	3	Med	Med	Low	Med
3.00	3.17	0.17	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$342	3	Med	Med	Low	Med
Route Level Data:		3.17	1	8.0	8.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$14,342	2	Med	High	Low	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0215: NORTH CUT ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0215_0.551



CUIS_0215_1.002



CUIS_0215_1.504



CUIS_0215_2.000



CUIS_0215_2.515



CUIS_0215_3.046

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0400: REPEATER ACCESS ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021	Unpaved Length (Miles): 0.02		Surface Type: NV	

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0400

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	0.02	0.02	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$48	3	Med	Med	Low	Med
Route Level Data:		0.02	1	8.0	8.0	Routine Maintenance	\$48	3	Med	Med	Low	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0400: REPEATER ACCESS ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0400_0.006



CUIS_0400_0.009



CUIS_0400_0.014



CUIS_0400_0.018



CUIS_0400_0.021



CUIS_0400_0.023

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0401: HIGH POINT ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend				
Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Not Rated
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				
Inspection Date: 2/5/2021	Unpaved Length (Miles): 0.60		Surface Type: NV	

Condition Summary Table for CUIS-0401

Begin MP	End MP	Section Length	# of Lanes	Lane Width	Road Width	Treatment Recommendation	Treatment Cost	Unpaved Rating (Paser +)	Crown Severity	Drainage Severity	Rutting, Washboard Severity	Potholes / Loose Aggregate Severity
0.00	0.60	0.60	1	8.0	8.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$5,960	2	Med	High	Low	Med
Route Level Data:		0.60	1	8.0	8.0	Light Rehabilitation	\$5,960	2	Med	High	Low	Med

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0401: HIGH POINT ROAD

Condition Photos



CUIS_0401_0.040



CUIS_0401_0.144



CUIS_0401_0.259



CUIS_0401_0.356



CUIS_0401_0.460



CUIS_0401_0.563

Section 6 Parking Area Condition Rating Sheets



Cumberland Island National Seashore



Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0901: BACHLOTT HOUSE PARKING

Manual Rating

FROM SAINT MARY'S STREET



TO 101 WHEELER STREET

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
2/5/2021	109009	PUBLIC	NATIVE
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
49,017	0.844		NOT APPLICABLE
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type	
NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER	
Treatment Recommendation		Condition Rating	
Heavy Rehabilitation		1	

Unpaved Condition Legend



See Appendix for definitions and formulas



Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0902: MAINLAND MUSEUM PARKING

Manual Rating

FROM OSBORNE STREET




TO BRYANT STREET

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
2/5/2021	112941	NONPUBLIC	BRICK PAVERS
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
3,155	0.054	3	LIGHT REPAIR
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type	
CONCRETE		CONCRETE	
Pavement Recommendation		Condition Rating / PCR	
DO NOTHING		EXCELLENT / 97	

Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)

Poor (0 - 60)
Fair (61- 84)
Good (85 - 94)
Excellent (95 - 100)
Not Rated

See Appendix for definitions and formulas



Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0903: SEACAMP RANGER STATION PARKING

Manual Rating

FROM ROUTE 0010 (GRAND AVENUE (ISLAND MAIN ROAD))


TO SEA CAMP VISITOR CENTER ENTRANCE

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
2/5/2021	112957	PUBLIC	NATIVE
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
8,573	0.148		NOT APPLICABLE
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type	
NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER	
Treatment Recommendation		Condition Rating	
Routine Maintenance		3	

Unpaved Condition Legend



See Appendix for definitions and formulas



Cumberland Island National Seashore

ROUTE 0904: DUNGENESS CAPTAINS HOUSE PARKING

Manual Rating

FROM ROUTE 0100 (DUNGENESS COLEMAN AVENUE ROAD)

TO DEAD END

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
2/5/2021	114706	PUBLIC	NATIVE
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
22,428	0.386		NOT APPLICABLE
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type	
NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER	
Treatment Recommendation		Condition Rating	
Light Rehabilitation		2	

Unpaved Condition Legend

Not Rated

Poor (1)

Fair (2)


Good (3)

Excellent (4)

See Appendix for definitions and formulas



Rte. 0904



Section 7

Road Milepost Information



Cumberland Island National Seashore



Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

CUIS: Road Milepost Information

Milepost information is collected with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) when it is used to collect pavement condition data. No DCV routes existed in this park at the time of data collection. Therefore, in Cycle 6, there is no data to report for this section.

Section 8 Appendix



Cumberland Island National Seashore



**Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program**

Improvements to the RIP Index Equations and Determination of PCR

In 2005, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) began implementing the use of a Pavement Management System (PMS) to assist the National Park Service (NPS) in prioritizing Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities. The PMS used by FHWA is the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) which has the ability to store inventory and condition data from the Road Inventory Program (RIP) and forecast future performance using prediction models. Outputs include performance and condition reports at the National, Region, Park, or Route level. A regional prioritized list and optimization have been produced for most regions and the Federal Highway Deferred Maintenance is calculated via the HPMA as well.

In an effort to improve the accuracy of treatment recommendations and pavement condition descriptions the distresses and indexes that comprise the Pavement Condition Rating (PCR), an extensive study was completed throughout 2010 that has resulted in changes to the RIP condition reporting method and specifically, the calculation of PCR. It was determined that a better representation of PCR could be achieved by modifying the relative impact certain distresses would have on the overall rating.

Through the use of HPMA data, it was noted that false failure indicators existed with the existing PCR model, and that it would be necessary to reduce their impact. The distresses affected in this way were Rutting and Roughness. Conversely, experience showed that roadways with extensive cracking present were often shown to have a high PCR. Therefore, the crack index models were adjusted to be more sensitive to changes in crack severity or quantity. It was also determined that these issues were not due to a problem with data acquisition (i.e. the RIP “van”), but with the way the collected data was processed. The final change was to provide guidance on when to use the Roughness Condition Index (RCI) in the PCR calculation. Roughness data is of little value to determining overall condition on routes that, due to their length or geometrics, have lower vehicle operating speeds. Therefore, in Cycle 5, only routes that have lengths of one half mile or greater and posted speed limits of 25 mph or greater will have RCI reported and included in the PCR calculations.

Additionally, methodologies were updated in 2013 for Manually Rated Routes (paved routes that the collection vehicle is unable to drive) as well as Parking Areas to provide more accurate condition data to the HPMA. These updated methodologies allow for the efficient assessment of pavement conditions using a visual inspection method to denote specific distresses. These distresses are indicative of current conditions, the causes for current and future deterioration, and identify the level of targeted repair and rehabilitation practices required.

The changes that were implemented were endorsed by management at both the FHWA and NPS. In order to show the effectiveness of these changes, several sites were ground truth tested in early 2014 to ensure that an improvement was achieved between the relationship of PCR and the actual Maintenance and Rehabilitation needs that were represented. The changes will allow greater use of RIP and HPMA data for not simply condition data reporting, but also as a reliable tool for project identification and selection.

Description of the Rating System

The Federal Highway Administration, National Park Service Road Inventory Program (NPS-RIP), collects roadway condition data on paved surfaces (asphalt, concrete, brick, and cobblestone) on roads, parkways, and parking areas in national parks nationwide. The road surface condition data is collected using an automated Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) and manually using Manually Rated Route (MRR) procedures. Roads having brick or cobblestone surfacing are not normally surveyed with the DCV, but are manually rated for condition rating.

The FHWA RIP is implemented based on the premise that an accurate pavement surface condition assessment can be accomplished using automated crack detection technology as applied to digital images. Various methods of pavement condition assessment have been developed over the years with varying degrees of accuracy and acceptance. The use of digital photography to record pavement images and subsequent crack detection and classification has undergone continuous improvements over the past decade. Digital cameras with increasingly superior resolution and high definition have become more affordable, and the proprietary programming code and algorithms have been improved in crack detection software.

With the use of quality digital photography and automated crack detection software, FHWA RIP is tasked with executing a pavement condition assessment on a network of roughly 5,700 miles of National Park Service roads and parkways. Because a subset of roads will be collected multiple times this cycle, the total collection length will be around 13,000 miles. Foremost in setting up the basis of pavement distress identification is employing the distress identification protocols used by FHWA. There is no single distress identification system that is universal among entities conducting a program of distress identification. For the purpose of the NPS RIP, FHWA employs distress identification protocols that are specific to this program.

FHWA has referenced the “*Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program*”, Publication No. FHWA-RD 03-031, June 2003, as the point-of-reference for distress types on NPS pavement. In truth, the FHWA RIP distress types are similar to those described in the LTPP manual with some modifications. This document, “*Distress Identification Manual for the NPS Road Inventory Program, Cycle 6, 2014-2020*” was developed using the “*Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program*” as a guideline. Definitions of severity levels based on crack width contained in this document adhere to the LTPP Distress ID Manual. Modifications have been made to the definition of Alligator and Longitudinal Cracking and determination of Alligator Cracking severity. This manual also addresses Rutting and Roughness and its application to RIP.

Cycle 6 has launched in the spring of 2014 and will again comprise all parks, large and small, that are served by paved roads and/or parking areas. For Cycle 6, roughly 333 large and small parks will have all paved routes and parking areas collected at least once in the cycle, some will have multiple collections depending on the size of the park and the functional class of the route.

This “*Distress Identification Manual for the NPS Road Inventory Program, Cycle 6, 2014-2020*” will be used as a reference resource in crack detection and classification, determination of distress severity and extent, and in the calculation of distress index values for the FHWA RIP Cycle 6.


Explanation of the Condition Descriptions

In addition to the RIP Index changes that were implemented in Cycle 5, we will also aim to provide greater assistance in translating good/fair/poor categories into pavement needs categories. The PCR can be used to indicate the place in the Pavement Life Cycle and the types of treatments that should be considered now and into the future.

- Excellent/New: PCR of 95-100. Pavements in this range will require only spot repairs
- Good: PCR of 85-94. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates for preventive maintenance. Examples include Chip and Slurry Seals, Micro Surfacing and Thin Overlays.
- Fair: PCR of 61-84. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Light Rehabilitation (L3R). Examples include single-lift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness, milling and overlays.
- Poor: PCR of 60 or below. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Heavy Rehabilitation or Reconstruction (H3R or 4R). Examples include Pulverization, Multiple Lift Overlays, and Reconstruction.

At this time, specific maintenance and rehabilitation activities should be evaluated and recommended at the project level. Site-specific conditions that influence treatment type should be determined based on performing a subsurface investigation and/or pavement condition survey, and not be based solely on RIP data. Additionally, RIP produces a snapshot of conditions the year in which the data was collected. For further information or to obtain additional PMS data from our (HPMA) please contact the Eastern Federal Lands pavement team.

Condition Categories and Treatments



Description of Pavement Treatment Types

1. **Preventive Maintenance** is a planned strategy of cost-effective treatments to an existing roadway system and its appurtenances that preserves the system, retards future deterioration, and maintains or improves the functional condition of the system (without significantly increasing the structural capacity). Preventive maintenance is typically applied to pavements in good condition having significant remaining service life. As a major component of pavement preservation, preventive maintenance is a strategy of extending the service life by applying cost-effective treatments to the surface or near-surface of structurally sound pavements. Examples of preventive treatments include asphalt crack sealing, chip sealing, slurry or micro-surfacing, thin and ultra-thin hot-mix asphalt overlay, concrete joint sealing, diamond grinding, dowel-bar retrofit, and isolated, partial and/or full-depth concrete repairs to restore functionality of individual slabs.
2. Pavement Rehabilitation consists of structural enhancements that extend the service life of an existing pavement and/or improve its load carrying capacity. Rehabilitation techniques include restoration treatments and structural overlays. Rehabilitation projects extend the life of existing pavement structures either by restoring existing structural capacity through the elimination of age-related, environmental cracking of embrittled pavement surface or by increasing pavement thickness to strengthen existing pavement sections to accommodate existing or projected traffic loading conditions. Two sub-categories result from these distinctions, which are directly related to the restoration or increase of structural capacity.
 - **Light Rehabilitation (L3R)** - Examples include single-lift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness and milling and overlays for flexible pavements
 - **Heavy Rehabilitation (H3R)** – Requires rehabilitation with grade improvement. H3R stands for resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation projects. H3R projects typically involve multi-depth (overlays greater than 2.5 inches) pavement improvement work (short of full-depth replacement) and targeted safety improvements. H3R projects generally involve retention of the existing three-dimensional alignment.
3. **Reconstruction (4R)** is defined as the replacement of the entire existing pavement structure by the placement of the equivalent or increased pavement structure. Reconstruction usually requires the complete removal and replacement of the existing pavement structure. Reconstruction may utilize either new or recycled materials incorporated into the materials used for the reconstruction of the complete pavement section. Reconstruction is required when a pavement has either failed or has become functionally obsolete.

Appendix A

Methodology for Determining Condition Ratings with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV)

Surface Distresses Identified by the Data Collection Vehicle

Surface Condition Rating – SCR

Surface distresses are measured in the primary lane only. In the classification and measurement of all paved surface condition data, results will be reported in the database in record intervals of 0.02 miles (105.6 feet) (smallest granularity) along the route.

Surface distresses and rutting are determined from digital images that provide both the longitudinal and transverse profile. The images also provide an elevation profile of the road, creating a 3-dimensional image of the paved surface.

- Transverse Cracks
- Longitudinal Cracks
- Alligator Cracks
- Patching/Potholes
- Rutting

Each of the five surface distresses is assigned a computed surface distress index

- Transverse Crack Index
- Longitudinal Crack Index
- Alligator Crack Index
- Patching/Pothole Index
- Rutting Index

Surface distress data are classified as listed above, measured for severity, and quantified for extent. Classification, severity, and extent of these five surface distresses comprise the three main elements for calculation of Surface Condition Rating (SCR).

In addition to the five surface distresses, a Structural Crack Index is computed, which is a combination of the Longitudinal Crack Index and the Alligator Crack Index. The Structural Crack Index is then used in lieu of the LC and AC indices to compute SCR.

Roughness Condition Index - RCI

Additional condition data measured by DCV (lasers and accelerometers)

- Roughness (IRI)

Roughness is measured by FHWA's DCV and reported as International Roughness Index (IRI) in inches/mile. Using IRI, the Roughness Condition Index (RCI) is computed.

Pavement Condition Rating - PCR

Using the SCR (computed from the five surface distresses) and the RCI, an overall Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) is computed. The formula for PCR is:

$$\text{Asphalt PCR} = (0.60 * \text{SCR}) + (0.40 * \text{RCI})$$

$$\text{Concrete PCR} = \text{RCI}$$

A detailed description of each distress index formula, roughness index formula, SCR and PCR is provided in this document.

Each classified surface distress will fall into one or more severity - LOW, MEDIUM, or HIGH based on criteria listed. For each severity, an extent is established based on the measured quantity of the distress within that severity. Within each severity individual distresses are assigned a Maximum Allowable Extent (MAE). For example, LOW severity transverse cracking may be allowed up to 21.1 cracks within a 0.02 mile interval before it reaches MAE and fails.

The index formulas are based on a scale of 0 to 100. A PCR index value of 100 would indicate a “new” road with no measurable distresses or rough ride. A PCR value of 60 is determined to be terminable serviceability and the road is considered failed. The range of index values with condition descriptors is:

POOR = (less than or equal to 60), **FAIR**= (61 – 84), **GOOD**= (85 - 94), **EXCELLENT**= (95 - 100)

Index values are generally computed based on cumulative deducts of the measured severities. As shown in the index formulas below, as any single severity reaches or exceeds MAE, the index computes to a value of 60 or less, and the road fails for that 0.02 interval.

Note: *As a result of a unique combination of measured surface distresses and IRI, index values occasionally compute to less than 0 or greater than 100. In this instance, an index value less than 0 defaults to 0. Index values greater than 100 defaults to 100. For all indices, a higher value indicates a better road condition, and a lower value indicates a poorer road condition.*

On the following page, Table 1 summarizes the different types of distresses measured.

ASPHALT-SURFACED PAVEMENT DISTRESS TYPES WITH RUTTING AND ROUGHNESS				
Distress Type	Units Of Measure	Converted To	Defined Severity Levels?	Measured By
Alligator Cracking	Square Feet	Percent of Lane Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system
Transverse Cracking	Linear feet	Number of Cracks Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system
Longitudinal Cracking	Linear feet	Percent of Lane Length Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system
Patching / Potholes	Square Feet	Percent of Lane Per 0.02 Mile	No	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system
Rutting	Inches	Rut Depth Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system
Roughness	IRI	*RCI Per 0.02 Mile	No	DCV – Lasers / Accelerometers

**Note: Roughness is measured on concrete roadways, but surface distresses and rutting are not measured. For concrete, PCR = RCI*

Table 1. Distress summary

Alligator Cracking

Description:

Alligator cracking is considered a combination of fatigue and block cracking. It is a series of interconnected cracks in various stages of development. Alligator cracking develops into a many-sided pattern that resembles chicken wire or alligator skin. It can occur anywhere in the road lane. Alligator cracking must have a quantifiable area.

Severity Levels:

LOW

An area with little to no interconnecting cracks with no visible spalling. Cracks are less than or equal to a mean width of 0.25 in. (6mm). Cracks in the pattern are no further apart than 1 foot (0.328 m). May be sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a crack width that cannot be determined.

MEDIUM

An area of interconnected cracks that form a complete pattern. Cracks may be slightly spalled. Cracks are greater than 0.25 in. (6 mm) but less than or equal to 0.75 in. (19 mm) or any crack with a mean width less than or equal to 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent low severity cracking. Cracks in the pattern are no further apart than 6 in. (150 mm).

HIGH

An area of interconnected cracks forming a complete pattern. Cracks are moderately or severely spalled. Cracks are greater than 0.75 in. (19mm) or any crack with a mean width less than or equal to 0.75 in. (19mm) and adjacent medium to high severity random cracking.

A combination of observed crack width and crack pattern is used to determine overall severity of alligator cracking. Based on above description of each severity, the highest level of crack width and crack pattern determines overall severity as shown in Table 2.

ALLIGATOR CRACKING SEVERITY LEVELS				
	CRACK SEVERITY	CRACK PATTERN		
		LOW	MED	HIGH
CRACK WIDTH	LOW	LOW	MED	HIGH
	MED	MED	MED	HIGH
	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH

Table 2. Alligator Crack Severity Levels

Longitudinal Cracking

Description:

Longitudinal cracking occurs predominantly parallel to the pavement centerline. It can occur anywhere within the lane. Longitudinal cracks occurring in the wheelpath may be noteworthy.

Severity Levels:

LOW

Cracks with a mean width less than or equal to 0.25 in. (6 mm). This also includes sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a width that cannot be determined.

MEDIUM

Cracks with a mean width greater than 0.25 in. (6 mm) but less than 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width less than 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random low severity cracking.

HIGH

Cracks with a mean width greater than 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width less than 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random medium to high severity cracking.

Transverse Cracking

Description:

Transverse cracking occurs predominantly perpendicular to the pavement centerline. It can occur anywhere within the lane.

Severity Levels:

LOW

Cracks with a mean width of less than or equal to 0.25 in. (6 mm). Sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a width that cannot be determined.

MEDIUM

Cracks with a mean width greater 0.25 in. (6 mm) and less than or equal to 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width less than 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random low severity cracking.

HIGH

Cracks with a mean width greater than 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width less than 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random medium to high severity cracking.

Patching and Potholes

Description:

Patching is an area of pavement surface that has been removed and replaced with patching material or an area of pavement surface that has had additional patching material applied. Patching may encompass partial lane or full lane width. On full lane width patching; the total, contiguous length of patch may not exceed 0.100 mi. (0.161 km). (Any full-lane patch exceeding 0.100 mi. in length is considered a pavement change). Patching must have a quantifiable area.

Potholes are bowl-shaped holes of various sizes occurring in the pavement surface.

Manhole covers should not be rated as patches unless there is obvious patching around the manhole.

Speed bumps should not be rated as patches

Severity Levels:

There are no stratified severities for Patching and Potholes. They either are present or they are not.

RUTTING

Description:

Rutting is a longitudinal surface depression in the wheelpath.

Severity Levels:

LOW

Ruts with a measured depth of 0.20 inches to 0.49 inches
Ruts less than 0.20 in. are not included in the distress calculations.

MEDIUM

Ruts with a measured depth of 0.50 inches to 0.99 inches

HIGH

Ruts with a measured depth greater than 1.00 inch

ROUGHNESS

Description:

Roughness is the measurement of the unevenness of the pavement in the direction of travel. It is measured in units of IRI (International Roughness Index), inches per mile, and is indicative of ride comfort.

Severity Levels:

There are no stratified severity levels for roughness. The roughness (or smoothness) of a road surface can be defined by IRI in the following table.

IRI DESCRIPTIONS	
Type of Road	Typical IRI (in/mile)
New Road, no noticeable roughness	<90
Small level of roughness	90 – 126
Road of average roughness	126 – 190
Road with above average roughness	190 – 253
Road with severe roughness	253 – 380
Nearly impassable	>380

Table 3. International Roughness Index

Roughness Collection Parameters

On shorter roads with a lower speed limit the usefulness in collecting and reporting IRI is negligible. Lower, inconsistent speeds can lead to a less accurate IRI value. Therefore RIP has put in place the following protocols for reporting IRI.

International Roughness Index (IRI) is not reported on routes with the following criteria:

- Posted speed limit is less than 25 mph
- Length of route is less than 0.50 miles

When a collected route has a posted speed limit of at least 25 mph and length of at least 0.50 miles, IRI will be collected except on road sections where the speed is less than 20 mph

Other situations may arise where the speed and length factors are met, but reporting IRI could lead to an inaccurate PCR. RIP will determine whether or not it is reasonable to report IRI on these routes on a case by case basis.

Index Formulas

Note: All index formulas listed below contain MAE applicable to 0.02 mile (105.6 feet) interval.

Alligator Crack Index

$$AC_INDEX = 100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 35) + (\%MED / 15) + (\%HI / 5)]$$

Where:

The values %LOW, %MED and %HI report the percentage of the observed pavement (0.02 mile, primary lane) that contains alligator cracking within the respective severities. These values range from 0 to 100.

%LOW = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity

%MED = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity

%HI = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Percent of total area is computed as:

$$\frac{\text{square foot area of alligator crack severity}}{(0.02 \text{ mile}) * (\text{lane width})}$$

In AC_INDEX, the denominators 35, 15, and 5 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 35% of low severity alligator cracking for a 0.02 interval before failure, 15% for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Longitudinal Crack Index

$$LC_INDEX = 100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 175) + (\%MED / 75) + (\%HI / 25)]$$

Where:

The values %LOW, %MED, and %HI report the length of longitudinal cracking within each severity as a percent of the section length (0.02 mile, primary lane). These values are greater than or equal to 0 and can exceed 100.

%LOW = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity

%MED = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity

%HI = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Percent of interval length is computed as:

$$\frac{\text{length of respective longitudinal cracking}}{(0.02 \text{ mile}) * (105.6 \text{ ft.})}$$

In LC_INDEX, the denominators 175, 75, and 25 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 175% of low severity longitudinal cracking for a 0.02 interval before failure, 75% for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Structural Crack Index

$$SC_INDEX = [100 - ((100 - AC_INDEX) + (100 - LC_INDEX))]$$

Structural Crack Index is a combination of Alligator Cracking and Longitudinal Cracking, and is used in the SCR formula in lieu of AC and LC separately.

Transverse Crack Index

$$TC_INDEX = 100 - 40 * [(LOW / 21.1) + (MED / 4.4) + (HI / 2.6)]$$

Where:

The values LOW, MED and HI report a count of the total number of transverse cracks (reported to three decimals) within each severity level, where one transverse crack is equal to the lane width. These values are greater than or equal to 0.

LOW = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity

MED = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity

HI = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Number of cracks is computed as:

$$\frac{\text{Total length of transverse cracks}}{\text{Lane width}}$$

In TC_INDEX, the denominators 21.1, 4.4, and 2.6 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 21.1 low severity transverse cracks for a 0.02 interval before failure, 4.4 cracks for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Patching Index

$$PATCH_INDEX = (100 - 40) * (\%PATCHING / 80)$$

Where:

The value %PATCHING reports the percentage of the observed pavement (0.02 mile, primary lane) that contains patching/potholes. This value ranges from 0 to 100.

%PATCHING = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length)

Percent of total area is computed as:

$$\frac{\text{square foot area of patching/potholes}}{(0.02 \text{ mile}) * (\text{lane width})}$$

There are no severity levels for patching. It either exists or does not.

There are no severity levels for patching. It either exists or does not. In PATCH_INDEX, the denominator 80 is the Maximum Allowable Extent (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 80% patching for a 0.02 interval before failure. As you can see, if patching/potholes reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Rutting Index

$$\text{RUT_INDEX} = 100 - 40 * [(\% \text{LOW} / 535) + (\% \text{MED} / 205) + (\% \text{HI} / 40)]$$

Where:

20 rut depth measurements are taken per 0.02 interval for each of 2 wheel paths (left and right), resulting in a total of 40 measurements taken for both wheel paths. Each wheelpath is analyzed independently for rut severities. The values %LOW, %MED and %HI report the percentage of the 40 measurements within that severity. These values range from 0 to 200.

%LOW = Percent of LOW ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of LOW ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

%MED = Percent of MED ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of MED ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

%HI = Percent of HI ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of HI ruts in right wheel path based on 20 ruts.

Percent of rut measurements within each severity can also be computed as:

$$\frac{\text{(total number of ruts within each severity in both wheelpaths)}}{20} \times 100$$

In RUT_INDEX, the denominators 535, 205, and 40 are the Maximum Allowable Extents for each severity; Low, Medium, and High, respectively. Only the MAE for high severity rutting can fail a section, since 200% of *only* low severity ruts would yield a rut index of 85 and 200% of *only* medium severity ruts would yield a rut index of 61.

Roughness Condition Index (Asphalt)

$$\text{RCI} = 32 * [5 * (2.718282^{(-.0041 * \text{AVG IRI}))}]$$

Where:

The value AVG IRI reports the average value of the Left IRI and Right IRI measurements for the interval (0.02 mile, primary lane). This value can range from approximately 40 to 999.0.

Average IRI is computed as:

$$\frac{(\text{Left wheelpath IRI}) + (\text{Right wheelpath IRI})}{2}$$

There is no applicable threshold for failure for this index.

Roughness Condition Index (Concrete)

$$\text{RCI} = (-0.0012)(\text{IRI}^2) + (0.0499)(\text{IRI}) + 99.542$$

For concrete, PCR = RCI

Surface Condition Rating Index

SCR = Lowest Index Value Of: [SC_INDEX, TC_INDEX, PATCH_INDEX, RUT_INDEX]

Note: The modified SCR equation above combines AC_INDEX and LC_INDEX, and considers that a single AC/LC index value of the Structural Crack Index (SC_INDEX). The lowest of the four computed index values (SC_INDEX, TC_INDEX, PATCH_INDEX, or RUT_INDEX) becomes the SCR.

Where:

See above for determinations of SC_INDEX, TC_INDEX, PATCH_INDEX and RUT_INDEX.

The threshold for failure for this index is SCR = 60. Data Collection Vehicle Subsystems

Data on paved roads is collected by FHWA using a Pathway Services Inc. Data Collection Vehicle (DCV), called a PathRunner. The DCV is driven in the primary-direction lane at posted speed limits and less.

Cameras

Forward-facing and rear-facing video is collected as jpeg digital imagery files at a frequency of every 26.4feet.

Two forward-facing cameras are mounted above the vehicle cab, one pointed straight ahead and the other to the right shoulder providing seamless roughly 120 degree viewing. A third camera is mounted in the rear of the vehicle, recording the left shoulder.

CAMERA SPECIFICATIONS TWO FORWARD / ONE REAR FACING CAMERA	
Camera lens/type	Prosilica GT 2750 (GigE Technology)
Image format	*.jpg
Image resolution	2750 x 2200, 18 frames/second
Image pixel size	depends on distance
Zoom ratio	16mm Fixed
Iris range	Aperture Range F 1.8 – Infinity (P-Iris, Automatic)

Pavement Imaging and Rutting

High resolution rutting data and surface imaging are collected in a single data stream using a three-dimensional (3D) pavement surface transverse profile data acquisition system. The 3D camera captures a laser line as it is projected over the pavement surface and uses the location of this line to measure the height deviations of the pavement surface. These height deviations can be used to calculate rutting in both wheelpaths. These deviations also provide a grayscale image detailing the change in height throughout the surface, i.e. providing depth measurements for cracking.

THREE-DIMENSIONAL PAVEMENT SURFACE AND TRANSVERSE PROFILE DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM	
Surface Image Specifications	
Image size	1536 pixels/scan @ 3000 Hz
Image width	4 meters (3950 mm nominal)
Laser class	3B
Power	16W (Two lasers @ 8W Ea)
Vehicle speed limitations	62 mph
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night
Sensor size (approximate)	1536 pixels x 512 pixels
Image display length	26.4 feet
Rutting Specifications	
Reported rut depth units	Inches
Vehicle speed limitations	Up to 62 mph
Sampling rate	3000 profiles/second
Transverse resolution	1536 points/profile
Transverse field-of-view	14 feet
Depth accuracy (nominal)	<1mm
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night, above 32 degrees F
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E1703M-95 (reapproved 2005)

Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI)

The DMI (Distance Measuring Instrument) obtains road length measurements that are accurate to 0.15% for speeds up to 60 mph. The DMI is connected to the hub of the rear wheel on the driver's side, and is calibrated to the revolutions of the rear vehicle axle on a regular basis.

Roughness (IRI)

IRI SPECIFICATIONS	
Reported IRI units	Inches/mile
Vehicle speed limitations	12-62 mph
IRI equipment certification	Texas Transportation Institute (TTI)
Wavelengths accommodated	0.5 feet to 300 feet
IRI computed & reported	World Bank Technical Paper Number 46
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night, above 32 degrees
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E950 Class 1 & AASHTO M 328

The collection system includes a South Dakota type laser profiler manufactured based on active Class 1 ASTM E950 standards. The dynamic profile of the pavement surface is collected from which the IRI roughness data is computed. The sensors include one accelerometer on each wheelpath, one height sensor (laser) on each wheelpath, and a distance transducer.

GPS & Inertial Systems

GPS is collected by an onboard system employing Omnistar real time correction and a spinning gyroscope to provide accurate positioning data in instances of satellite obstruction. All GPS coordinates are tied to an image and linear distance measurements.

GPS SPECIFICATIONS	
Static accuracy	Sub-meter
Dynamic accuracy	2-3 meters
Receiver	12 satellite tracking
Coordinate system	Lat Lon WGS 84
Environment	Day or night
Cross-slope	± 1.75%
Grade	± 1.75%
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E1703M-95 (reapproved 2005)

*NOTE – GPS accuracy is dependent on many different factors. Satellite constellation, tree coverage, GPS receiver quality, and real-time correction availability can all affect the locational and elevation accuracies. The elevation (z coordinate) accuracy is less dependable than locational or horizontal accuracy (x/y coordinates or latitude/longitude). In areas of heavy tree coverage or poor satellite constellations, elevation data can vary by as much as +/- 100 feet.

Appendix B

**Methodology for Determining Condition Ratings
Using Manual Rating Procedures**

Description of Manual Rating Methods

In 2013, the Federal Highway Administration updated existing Manual Rating Procedures in an effort to better align pavement conditions for Manually Rated Routes and Parking with the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA). HPMA is the Pavement Management System used by the FHWA to store inventory and condition data from the Road Inventory Program (RIP) and forecast future performance using prediction models. HPMA uses pavement condition data (collected by the Road Inventory Program) to develop life cycles for pavements and recommend treatments to maximize useable pavement life while minimizing costs associated with maintenance and repair.

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) developed a set of manual rating methods for pavement that are appropriate for Federal Roadways. Two different methods were developed for linear roads and a separate method was developed for parking areas and nonlinear roads. These methods employ a 0 to 100 rating scale and improve consistency and objectivity in the manual evaluation of surface distresses. They are compatible with ratings that are collected by the automated Data Collection Vehicle (DCV).

- The first of the two manual evaluation methods for roads uses rating criteria to assign index values to each distress type based on a visual evaluation of severity and extent.
- The second manual evaluation method for roads is very time demanding and is best employed on only a select set of routes which may have the highest visitor use and require a more intensive assessment. This method will be used for the Manual Rating of Function Class 1, 2, 7, and 8 Roads. This method is based on measurements that are recorded for each instance of a surface distress. These measurements are converted into index values using conversion formulas.
- Parking areas and non-linear roads are rated similar to the first method shown above, however, there are some slight differences due to the non-linear nature.

The details and criteria used for each of these rating methods are outlined below.

Visual Inspection Method for Manually Rating Secondary Roads

The visual inspection method for manually rated roads uses condition rating criteria that have been developed by FHWA. This criteria is based on a visual evaluation of the severity and extent of distresses to determine the overall condition of the roadway. This method is used for secondary roads that are Functional Class 3, 4, 5, and 6. This constitutes the majority of manually rated roads collected by the Road Inventory Program.

Rating Section Lengths

For this method, Manually Rated Roads are rated in sections. These sections may be made based on length of changes in surface type or condition as described below. The ratings are then aggregated to give an overall rating for the Route:

- Rating sections should be no longer than 0.25 miles in order to keep the area being rated manageable.
- A new rating section may be started based on changes in condition, width, or surface type if these changes represent a significant portion of the route (are not isolated instances).
- If the road condition, width, and surface type remain constant then new sections do not need to be created unless the road exceeds 0.25 miles.

Rating Criteria

For this method, Manually Rated Roads are evaluated using a visual inspection of the six distress types listed below. Each distress is assigned one of five index values. An overall Surface Condition Rating (SCR) and Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) are calculated based on these index values.

- Alligator Cracking
 - Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Longitudinal Cracking
 - Rating based on severity level (crack width) and percentage of road section length of longitudinal cracks
- Transverse Cracking
 - Rating based on crack width, crack spacing, and percentage of surface affected
- Patching
 - Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Rutting
 - Rating based on percentage of road section length affected by visible rutting (>1 inch depth) that requires remediation
- Roughness
 - Manual assessments of roughness are not made due to the subjectivity of the measurement. Therefore, roughness is not incorporated into the PCR calculation of manually rated roads.

Concrete Routes also receive a PCR rating based on visual evaluation of the following six distress types.

- Slab Faulting at Joints
- Slab Cracking and breakup
- Surface Delamination and Pop-outs
- Joint Distresses
- Patching

Distress Measurement Method for Manually Rating Primary Roads

A more intensive and time demanding assessment than our standard method was developed for Primary roads that are functional class 1, 2, 7, or 8. These high visitation roads are usually accessible by the automated Data Collection Vehicle but in rare instances may need to be manually rated. The method developed is based on measuring each instance of a distress. These measurements are totaled over each section length being measured and are then converted into index values between 0 and 100 (100 being a road with no distress) using index formula equations outlined below. The goal of this method is to produce measured index values which are directly comparable to the automated DCV.

Rating Section Lengths

For the distress measurement method roads are broken into sections in order to rate. Distress measurements are totaled for each section separately in order to determine the index value for that particular section. The section length to be rated is determined based on the following rules:

- Rating sections are between 0.25 and 0.50 miles long
- A new rating section is created if there is a significant change in condition or pavement width
- If there are no significant changes in condition or pavement width, rating sections are broken at equal intervals, typically 0.50 miles

Manual Distress Measurements

Alligator Cracking

- Alligator cracking is measured by area (square feet). Instances of Alligator cracking are measured along the length and multiplied by the average width of the distressed area.
- The index for alligator cracking takes the total area of cracking compared to the interval length and converts it to a percentage. That percentage is then input into an index formula that yields a value between 0 and 100 (0 being the most distressed).
- Severity levels are not defined for manually measured Alligator cracks. The Alligator Crack Index formula is calculated based on an assumption of medium severity.

Longitudinal Cracking

- Longitudinal cracking (cracking in the direction parallel to the roadway) is measured by length (ft.).
- The index for longitudinal cracking takes the total length of cracking compared to the interval length and converts it to a percentage broken down by severity. That percentage is then input into a formula that yields a value between 0 and 100 (0 being the most distressed).
- Two severity levels are defined for manually measured Longitudinal Cracks. Lower severity cracks are those with a mean width of less than 0.25 inches. Sealed cracks with sealant in good condition are also considered lower severity. Higher severity cracks are those with a mean width of greater than 0.25 inches.

Transverse Cracking

- Transverse cracking (cracking in the direction perpendicular to the roadway) is measured by length (ft).
- The index for transverse cracking takes the total number of cracks (1 crack would encompass the full lane) broken down by severity. The total numbers of each severity are then put into a formula that yields a value between 0 and 100 (0 being the most distressed).
- Two severity levels are defined for manually measured Transverse Cracks. Lower severity cracks are those with a mean width of less than or equal to 0.25 inches. Sealed cracks with sealant in

good condition are also considered lower severity. Higher severity cracks are those with a mean width of greater than 0.25 inches.

Patching and Potholes

- Patching and Potholes are measured by area (square feet). Instances of Patching are measured along the length and multiplied by the average width of the patch.
- Instances of full lane width patching cannot be longer than 0.100 miles, otherwise it should be considered a pavement change rather than a distress.
- There are no stratified severities for Patching. It is either present or it is not.

Rutting

- Visible rutting is measured by length (ft.) in each wheel path. Only visible ruts are rated, which are ruts greater than 1 inch deep.
- All rutting recorded in a manual rating is considered to be high severity (> 1 inch). Lesser severities are generally not distinguishable in a visual inspection.

Roughness

- Manual assessments of roughness are not made due to the subjectivity of the measurement. Therefore, roughness is not incorporated into the PCR calculation of manually rated roads.

Index Formulas for Distress Measurement Method:

The method used to convert distress measurements into index values is shown below. The Surface Condition Rating and Pavement Condition Rating are calculated based on these index values.

Alligator Crack Index for Manual Rating:

$$AC_INDEX = 100 - 40 * (\%ALLIGATOR / 15)$$

Where:

%ALLIGATOR = Percent of total area of section being rated that contains Alligator cracking.

Longitudinal Crack Index for Manual Rating:

$$LC_INDEX = 100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 175) + (\%MED / 75)]$$

Where:

%LOW = Percent length of longitudinal cracks where crack width less than or equal to 0.25 inches

%HIGH = Percent length of longitudinal cracks where crack width greater than 0.25 inches

Transverse Crack Index for Manual Rating:

$$TC_INDEX = (100 - 40) * [(LOW / 21.1) + (MED / 4.4)]$$

Where:

LOW = Count of the total number of transverse cracks within the section length where one transverse crack is equal to the lane width and the crack width \leq 0.25 inches

HIGH = Count of the total number of transverse cracks within the section length where one transverse crack is equal to the lane width and the crack width $>$ 0.25 inches

Number of cracks is computed as:
Total length of transverse cracks/Lane width

Patching Index for Manual Rating:

$$\text{PATCH_INDEX} = (100 - 40) * (\% \text{PATCHING} / 80)$$

Where:

%PATCHING = Percentage of pavement section that contains patching/potholes.

Rutting Index for Manual Rating:

$$\text{RUT_INDEX} = 100 - 40 * (\% \text{RUTTING} / 40)$$

Where:

%RUTTING = Percentage length of high severity rutting within the section being measured.

Method for Manually Rating Paved Parking Areas and Non-Linear Roads

Parking areas are evaluated based on a visual inspection using condition rating criteria that has been developed by FHWA. This criteria is based on a visual evaluation of the severity and extent of distresses to determine the overall condition of the parking area. This overall condition rating is linked to the level of repair and rehabilitation practices required.

A distress index is determined for each of the distresses listed below for Asphalt and Concrete Parking areas. The overall Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) of the parking lot is driven by the most severe distress present.

Rating Criteria:

Asphalt Parking Distress Types

- Alligator Cracking
 - Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Longitudinal, Transverse and Block cracking
 - Rating based on crack width, crack spacing, and percentage of surface affected
- Rutting and Distortions
 - Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Hot Mix Asphalt Patches
 - Rating based on overall percentage of HMA patches
- Potholes and Cold Patches
 - Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Surface Raveling and Bleeding
 - Rating based on percentage of road surface affected

Concrete Parking Distress Types

- Slab Faulting at Joints
 - Rating based on height differential between adjacent slabs or pieces of broken slabs
- Slab Cracking and breakup
 - Rating based on quantity of cracks and if slab is acting to able distribute load as designed
- Surface Delamination and Pop-outs
 - Rating based on percentage of road surface affected to include pop-outs, spalls and surface delamination
- Joint Distresses
 - Rating based on sealant condition and concrete distresses at/or adjacent to joints
- Patching
 - Rating based on percentage of road surface affected

Curb Inspection and Treatments

During inspections of manually rated parking lots and routes, the curb reveal and overall curb condition are evaluated. The curb condition is used to determine a recommendation.

Curb Reveal

The vertical distance on the curb face from the gutter flow line or pavement surface to the top of curb. When resurfacing adjacent to curb, the resulting curb reveal should be no less than 4 inches. Additionally, when resurfacing adjacent to a gutter, the resulting pavement surface should be flush with the gutter pan. In cases where a resurfacing would violate either of these parameters, the surface may need to be milled or removed to adjust to these field conditions.

Curb Recommendations

The following treatment categories are based on the overall percentage of distresses along the entire curb structure for a specific pavement structure. Distresses include spalling, cracking, loss of material and any other damage which prevents the curb from conveying storm runoff or failing to perform in its intended function.

- Overall curb damage ranging 0%-5%:
 - DO NOTHING
- Overall curb damage ranging 5%-20%
 - LIGHT REPAIR
- Overall curb damage ranging 20%-50%
 - MODERATE REPAIR
- Overall curb damage greater than 50%:
 - REPLACE

GPS for Manually Rated Roads and Parking

GPS information for Manually Collected Cycle 6 Routes will be recorded using the latest hardware and software by TRIMBLE 6000 Series GeoXT. Cycle 6 GPS collection units will allow access to GPS and GLONASS, improving overall GPS reliability, accuracy and precision to submeter accuracy.

Additionally, the new GPS units have an enhanced ability to collect accurate signals underneath tree cover or adjacent to buildings or natural terrain with extreme vertical gradations that typically reduce GPS accuracy. Trees and buildings create “satellite shadows”, limiting the areas where you can reliably collect high-accuracy GPS data. The updated GPS receiver will deliver improved usable data under tree canopy or in natural or urban canyons. Routes that were previously collected accurately will not be recollected in Cycle 6.

TRIMBLE 6000 SERIES GeoXT GPS SPECIFICATIONS	
Receiver	Trimble Maxwell™ 6 GNSS chipset
Channels	220 channels
Systems	GPS / GLONASS / WAAS
Accuracy	Sub-meter
Operation Temperature	-20 °C to +60 °C (-4 °F to +140 °F)
Cellular and Wireless	UMTS / HSDPA / GPRS / EDGE / Wi-Fi / Bluetooth
Internal Still Camera w/ GEOTAG ability	Autofocus 5 MP (JPG) and WMV w/ Audio

Appendix C
Description of Cycle 6 Deliverables

Interim Report Delivery

Partial report will be primarily focused on manually collected routes. The report will be released approximately four months after manual collection of parking lots and other manually collected routes to provide NPS an immediate report on the condition of routes collected manually.

The Interim Report Delivery consists of an Interim Report PDF that contains the following:

- Parking lot and manually rated route conditions
- Route ID Reports
- Route ID Changes Report.

Please note that since the Data Collection Vehicle will have not collected data at this point in time, the following will not be in the Interim Report:

- No park summary information will be provided in the report
- No DCV data will be provided in report
- No road logs will be provided in report
- No maps will be provided in report
- Any mileages collected will be approximate

All data provided in the Interim Report will also be included in the Final Report.

Final Report Delivery

The Final Report will contain all data collected by Manual Inspection and the Data Collection Vehicle. All information provided in the Interim Report will be included in the Final report. Manually collected information reported in the Interim Report may be updated in the Final Report if pavement conditions have substantially changed between the Manual Inspection and Data Collection Vehicle Inspection or other unforeseen circumstances.

The final report will be released approximately 8 months after the Data Collection Vehicle completes its collection of that specific park.

Data included in the Final Report package consists of the following:

- **Condition Photos:** All photos taken during Cycle 6.
- **Data Video:** Data and video of each route collected by the DCV will be viewable through PATHVIEW software. PATHVIEW Software and training will be provided to NPS personnel by Eastern Federal Lands.
- **GPS on All Rated Routes:** All GPS data collected from the DCV will be provided. Parking areas, some roads, and other paved areas that are not fully drivable with the DCV are collected manually by field technicians. GPS is collected for these routes using portable Trimble GPS units.
 - GPS will be provided as Shapefiles and KMLs
 - All GPS data related to road collection will be linear referenced to the collected length
- **Geodatabase – Background and Metadata:** In addition to this park report, a geodatabase containing both tabular and spatial data specific to this park has been provided.
 - All data disseminated in the preceding report has been obtained from the tables and fields within said geodatabase. The geodatabase can be referenced for tabular data via Microsoft Access or for both tabular and spatial data via ESRI's ArcGIS Suite of software which consists of; ArcMap, ArcCatalog and ArcExplorer.
 - Consolidating the RIP data into one database creates a seamless relationship of tables and geographic data. It allows RIP to facilitate easier updates and enhancements in the future. A geodatabase can be thought of as simply a database containing spatial data. A complete and thorough description of the tables and fields contained within this geodatabase can be found in the metadata. The metadata is attached directly within the geodatabase and can be accessed via ESRI's ArcCatalog.
- **Report (RIP Report and Route ID):** A PDF report will be provided that includes a list of all routes and key data. Condition reports for each route will be included. All changes, additions and deletions to any route will be included in the report. Features along routes will not be collected in Cycle 6.

Partial DCV Collections

Additional Partial DCV Collections may be done on specific parks depending on their size and overall mileage of routes within its boundaries during Cycle 6. Parks with greater than 10 miles of paved roadways will receive at least one additional Partial DCV collection during Cycle 6. Data collected during these Partial DCV Collections will not result in the delivery of an additional report to the park.

Data collected by the DCV during Partial DCV Collection will be used to improve HPMA modeling by providing additional “snapshots in time” of park pavement conditions. This improved HMPA modeling will assist in the programming and budgeting of future projects which will help maximize the life of pavement infrastructures.

Instead of receiving a report of conditions collected during the Partial DCV collection, the park will receive a formal letter from the Road Inventory Program requesting coordination for the additional Partial DCV collection, identifying the dates of the Partial DCV Collection and will reinforce the purpose and importance of the Partial DCV Collection.

Appendix D
Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations

Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations

TERM OR ABBREVIATION	DESCRIPTION OR DEFINITION
AC	Alligator Cracking
CRS	Condition Rating Sheets (Section 5)
Curb Recommendation	Curb remediation based on overall percentage of curb distress
Curb Reveal	Height of curb exposed from gutter flow line to top of curb
DCV	Data Collection Vehicle
Excellent	Excellent rating with an index value of 95 to 100
Fair	Fair rating with an index value from 61 to 84
FUNCT_CLASS	Functional Classification (see Route ID, Section 2)
Good	Good rating with an index value from 85 to 94
IRI	International Roughness Index
HPMA	Highway Pavement Management Application
Lane Width	Width from road centerline to fogline, or from centerline to edge-of-pavement when no fogline exists
LC	Longitudinal Cracking
MRR	Manually Rated Route
MRL	Manually Rated Line
MRP	Manually Rated Polygon
N/A	Not Applicable
NC	Not Collected
PATCH	Patching and Potholes
Paved Width	Width from edge-of-pavement to edge-of-pavement
PCR	Pavement Condition Rating
PKG	Parking Area
Poor	Poor rating with an index value of 0 to 60
RCI	Roughness Condition Index
SC	Structural Cracking
SCR	Surface Condition Rating
TC	Transverse Cracking

Appendix E
Methodology for Determining Condition
Ratings Using Manual Rating Procedures
for Unpaved Assets

Condition Rating Criteria

ROADS - GRAVEL / NATIVE SURFACES

CROWN

RATING	RATING CRITERIA
MINOR	Center 3" to 6" above sides.
MODERATE	Center < 3" above sides.
SEVERE	Surface is flat or bowled.

DRAINAGE

RATING	RATING CRITERIA
MINOR	Road edge 2" above ground level.
MODERATE	Road edge level with ground level.
SEVERE	Road edge below ground level. Inadequate or missing ditches and side drains. Ponding.

POTHoles / LOOSE AGGREGATE

Note: Loose Aggregate only applies to Gravel

RATING	RATING CRITERIA
MINOR	Potholes Few <2". Loose Aggregate No exposure of subgrade or stone protrusion.
MODERATE	Potholes 2" - 4" Loose Aggregate < 20% (width of road) exposure of subgrade.
SEVERE	Potholes > 4" Loose Aggregate > 20% (width of road) exposure of subgrade.

RUTTING / WASHBOARDING

RATING	RATING CRITERIA
MINOR	Rutting < 1". Washboarding < 1"
MODERATE	Rutting 1" - 3". Washboarding 1" - 3".
SEVERE	Rutting > 3". Washboarding > 3".

DUST

RATING	RATING CRITERIA
MINOR	Dust slight or not visible.
MODERATE	Dust < 2 feet.
SEVERE	Dust > 2 feet.

PARKING - GRAVEL / NATIVE SURFACES

CROWN

RATING	RATING CRITERIA
MINOR	Center 3" to 6" above sides.
MODERATE	Center < 3" above sides.
SEVERE	Surface is flat or bowled.

DRAINAGE

RATING	RATING CRITERIA
MINOR	Road edge 2" above ground level.
MODERATE	Road edge level with ground level.
SEVERE	Road edge below ground level. Inadequate or missing ditches and side drains. Ponding.

POTHOLES / LOOSE AGGREGATE

Note: Loose Aggregate only applies to Gravel

RATING	RATING CRITERIA
MINOR	Potholes Few <2". Loose Aggregate No exposure of subgrade or stone protrusion.
MODERATE	Potholes 2" - 4" Loose Aggregate < 20% (width of road) exposure of subgrade.
SEVERE	Potholes > 4" Loose Aggregate > 20% (width of road) exposure of subgrade.

RUTTING / WASHBOARDING

RATING	RATING CRITERIA
MINOR	Rutting < 1". Washboarding < 1"
MODERATE	Rutting 1" - 3". Washboarding 1" - 3".
SEVERE	Rutting > 3". Washboarding > 3".

DUST

RATING	RATING CRITERIA
MINOR	Dust slight or not visible.
MODERATE	Dust < 2 feet.
SEVERE	Dust > 2 feet.

Condition Rating Calculations

FWS Distress calculations – Native/Gravel

Using the “Report Card for Gravel/Native Road Inspection”, arriving at an “equivalent PASER score” for different road segment lengths of granularity is necessary.

Individual Distress Score Calculation

Any length of road will have severity lengths for each distress (Crown, Drainage, Rutting/Washboarding, & Potholes/Loose Aggregate). For example, at L length, there could be 3 different severities of Crown within L . The corresponding Crown score for that length would then take each severity and multiply each to determine the dominate score for that segment.

That can be expressed as:

Distress Score per segment would take the highest value or length of the resulting calculations
 $= (1 \times L_{low}), (2 \times L_{med}), (3 \times (L_{high} + L_{urgent}))$

Where:

L_{low} = Length of distress rated at Low Severity

L_{med} = Length of distress rated at Medium Severity

L_{high} = Length of distress rated at High Severity

L_{urgent} = Length of distress rated at Urgent Severity

The longest length after weighting has occurred would then determine the severity score for that segment.

Urgent and High severity lengths shall be combined and then multiplied by 3 to arrive at their weighted severity.

For reference –

- If only Low severity and Medium severity are selected for a section, it would take > 33% to be rated as Medium severity for the whole section to have a score of **2**.
- If only Low severity and High severity are selected for a section, it would take >25% to be rated as High severity of the section for the whole section to have a score of **3**.

Examples

528 foot segments

Crown Distress

250 ft Low Severity x **1** = 250 ft weighted severity

150 ft Medium Severity x **2** = 300 ft weighted severity

128 ft High Severity x **3** = 384 ft weighted severity

Since the weighted severity for High is the greatest length, the score for this section would be a **3** for High severity crown.

Rutting/Washboarding

78 ft Low Severity x **1** = 78 ft weighted severity

275 ft Med Severity x **2** = 550 ft weighted severity

180 ft High Severity x **3** = 540 ft weighted severity.

Since the weighted severity for Medium severity is the highest, the score for this section would a **2** for medium severity Rutting/Washboarding.

Treatment Decision Trees

Gravel Surface Decision Tree (Matrix) for Rating Scores and Treatment Types

VERSION #2 - IMPLEMENTED ON JUNE 1, 2019

Distress Severity		Crown 1			Crown 2			Crown 3		
		Rutting & Washboarding			Rutting & Washboarding			Rutting & Washboarding		
Distress Severity	Severity	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
		Drainage 1	Potholes & Loose Aggregate 1	5	5	4	4	4	3	3
Potholes & Loose Aggregate 2	5		4	4	4	4	3	3	3	2
Potholes & Loose Aggregate 3	4		4	4	3	3	3	2	2	2
Drainage 2	Potholes & Loose Aggregate 1	4	4	3	3	3	2	3	2	2
	Potholes & Loose Aggregate 2	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	2	2
	Potholes & Loose Aggregate 3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
Drainage 3	Potholes & Loose Aggregate 1	3	3	2	3	2	2	2	2	1
	Potholes & Loose Aggregate 2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	1	1
	Potholes & Loose Aggregate 3	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1

Severity Levels:

- 1. Minor
- 2. Moderate
- 3. Severe/Urgent

Treatment Types:

	Limited Local Maintenance
	Routine Maintenance
	Light Rehabilitation
	Heavy Rehabilitation
	Reconstruction

Rating Scores:

"PASER +" Score (1-5)
shown within colored cells

Note: Dust is collected at the same severity levels shown above. However, dust is not a factor in the overall rating score.

Note: In June 2019, a validation study was performed to ensure appropriate PASER rating scores and treatment recommendations were arrived at using the unpaved matrix (decision trees). Based on the study findings, the gravel matrix scores were slightly modified (shown in red text) and a new native surface decision matrix was created (using the 1 to 4 PASER scale). Version #2 of the matrices are applied to all inspection ratings after June 1, 2019. By using distress quantities/severities and the matrices, a PASER score is determined. This methodology is referred to as "PASER +" by the RIP team.

Native Surface Decision Tree (Matrix) for Rating Scores and Treatment Types

VERSION #2 - IMPLEMENTED ON JUNE 1, 2019

Distress Severity		→			Crown 1			Crown 2			Crown 3						
		↓			Rutting & Washboarding			Rutting & Washboarding			Rutting & Washboarding						
↓		↓			Severity			Severity			Severity						
↓		↓			1 2 3			1 2 3			1 2 3						
Drainage 1	Potholes	1	4	3	1	Potholes	3	1	4	3	1	Potholes	1	3	3	1	
		2	3	2	1			2	3	2	1			2	3	2	1
		3	1	1	1			3	1	1	1			3	1	1	1
					Severity			Severity			Severity						
					1 2 3			1 2 3			1 2 3						
Drainage 2	Potholes	1	4	3	1	Potholes	1	3	3	1	Potholes	1	3	2	1		
		2	3	2	1			2	3	2		1		2	2	1	
		3	1	1	1			3	1	1		1		3	1	1	1
					Severity			Severity			Severity						
					1 2 3			1 2 3			1 2 3						
Drainage 3	Potholes	1	3	3	1	Potholes	1	3	2	1	Potholes	1	2	1	1		
		2	3	2	1			2	2	2		1		2	1	1	
		3	1	1	1			3	1	1		1		3	1	1	1
					Severity			Severity			Severity						
					1 2 3			1 2 3			1 2 3						

Severity Levels:

- 1. Minor
- 2. Moderate
- 3. Severe/Urgent

Treatment Types:

	Limited Local Maintenance
	Routine Maintenance
	Light Rehabilitation
	Heavy Rehabilitation

Rating Scores:

"PASER +" Score (1-4)
shown within colored cells

Note: Dust is collected at the same severity levels shown above. However, dust is not a factor in the overall rating score.