
MOJA Cycle 6

Final Report

Road Inventory and Condition Assessment of Paved Routes Mojave National Preserve

2017 Condition Inspection Report







Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

Prepared By:

Federal Highway Administration Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division Road Inventory Program (RIP)

Report Date: September 2018

Mojave National Preserve in California



Esri, DeLorme, GEBCO, NOAA NGDC, and other contributors

Table of Contents

SEC	TION	PAGE NO
1.	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.	PARK ROUTE INVENTORY	
	Route ID Report, Subcomponent Report, and Changes Report (As Applicable)	2 - 1
3.	PARK SUMMARY INFORMATION	
	Parkwide Paved Route Condition Summary	3 - 1
	Explanation of Condition Descriptions	3 - 2
	Route-Level Condition Summary Reports for Data Collection Vehicle, Manually Rated, and Parking Area Routes (As Applicable)	3 - 3
4.	PARK ROUTE LOCATION MAPS	
	Route Location Key Map	4 - 1
	Route Location Area Map(s)	4 - 2
	Route Condition Key Map – PCR Mile by Mile Route Condition Area Map(s) – PCR Mile by Mile	4 - 3 4 - 4
5.	PAVED ROAD CONDITION RATING SHEETS	
	Paved Road Pages	5 - 1
6.	PAVED PARKING AREA CONDITION RATING SHEETS	
	Paved Parking Area Pages	6 - 1
7.	ROAD MILEPOST INFORMATION	
	Road Milepost Information and Logs	7 - 1
8.	APPENDIX	
	Improvements to the RIP Index Equations and Determination of PCR	8 - 1
	Description of the Rating System	8 - 2
	Explanation of the Condition Descriptions	8 - 3
	Description of Pavement Treatment Types	8 - 4
	Appendix A: Methodology for Determining Condition Ratings with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV)	8 - 5
	Appendix B: Methodology for Determining Condition Ratings Using Manual Rating Procedures	8 - 20
	Appendix C: Description of Cycle 6 Deliverables	8 - 29
	Appendix D: Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations	8 - 33

Section 1 Introduction





Introduction

The Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA), Road Inventory Program (RIP) inventories all roads and parking areas in the National Park System, and performs condition inspections on all paved roads and parking areas for the National Park Service (NPS). This report contains the results of the Cycle 6 condition assessment of paved roads and parking lots for this park unit. This assessment was done using an automated, state-of-the-art pavement inspection vehicle as well as manual ratings. This information represents the condition of the paved assets at the time of the inspection. The pavement management system utilized by FHWA and the NPS uses these assessments to estimate future conditions and help prioritize pavement maintenance and rehabilitation projects. Further information about RIP data and its role in managing paved roads and bridges can be obtained by contacting the NPS Regional Transportation Program Manager.

A History of the Road Inventory Program:

The FHWA, in the mid-1970s, was charged with the task of identifying surface condition deficiencies and corrective priorities on NPS roads and parkways. Additionally, FHWA was tasked with establishing an integrated maintenance features inventory, locating features such as culverts, guardrails, and signs, among others, along NPS roads and parkways. As a result, in 1976 the NPS and FHWA entered into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) which established the RIP. This MOA was revised in 1980 to update RIP data collection standards and develop a long-range program to improve and maintain NPS roads to designated condition standards and establish a pavement management program.

The FHWA completed the initial phase of inventory in the early 1980s. As a result of this effort, each NPS unit included in the collection received a RIP Report known as the "Brown Book" which contained information that was inventoried during this first RIP phase. In the 1990s, a cyclical program was developed, and since then five cycles of collection have been completed. Cycle 6 is currently in progress. A summary of the RIP collection cycles is shown in the table below.

Cycle	Years	Parks Collected
Cycle 1	1994 - 1997	° 44 Large Parks
Cycle 2	1997 - 2001	79 Large Parks5 Small Parks
Cycle 3	2001 - 2004	All Large ParksAll Small Parks
Cycle 4	2006 - 2010	86 Large ParksSeveral Small Parks
Cycle 5	2010 - 2014	 All Large Parks (Only functional class 1, 2, 7, and new/modified routes collected) All Small Parks (all roads and parking areas collected)
Cycle 6	2014 – 2020 (±)	 All roads and parking areas collected at all Parks Additional partial collections of functional class 1, 2, and 7 roads at Large Parks Cycle 6 is expected to last 6 years

Note: Large Parks have ≥ 10 Paved Miles; Small Parks have < 10 Paved Miles

Since 1984, the Road Inventory Program has been funded through the Federal Lands Highway Park Roads and Parkways (PRP) Program. Currently, coordination of the RIP with Federal Lands Highway (FLH) is under the NPS Washington Headquarters Park Facility Management Division. The FLH Washington office coordinates policy and prepares national reports and needs assessment studies for Congress.

In 1998, the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) amended Title 23 U.S.C., and inserted Section 204(a)(6) requiring the FHWA and NPS, to develop by rule, a Pavement Management System (PMS) applied to park roads and parkways serving the National Park System.

In 2012, the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) amended Title 23 U.S.C., and under Section 203(c)(1-2) stated that the National Park Service in cooperation with the DOT/FHWA, shall maintain a comprehensive national inventory of their transportation facilities, with the goal of quantifying transportation infrastructure needs within the National Park System.

A History of the Pavement Management System:

In 2005, the FHWA began implementing the use of a pavement management system to assist the NPS in prioritizing Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities. The system used by FHWA is the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA), which has the ability to store inventory and condition data from RIP and forecast future performance using prediction models. Outputs include performance and condition reports at the National, Regional, Park, or Route level. Regional prioritized lists and optimizations have been produced for most regions, and the Service's overall roadway Deferred Maintenance is calculated via the HPMA.

Overview of Cycle 6:

Cycle 6 launched in the spring of 2014 and will again comprise all NPS park units that are served by paved roads and/or parking areas. For Cycle 6, all paved roads (approximately 5,700 miles) and parking areas will be collected in all parks at least once, while the primary routes (functional class 1, 2, and 7 roads) at Large Parks will have additional collections. These multiple collections will provide updated condition data on a majority of the NPS's primary road network and help build a better pavement management system, allowing for more accurate pavement performance prediction models.

FLH is responsible for the accuracy of all data presented in this report. Any questions or comments concerning the contents of this report should be directed to the national RIP Coordinator located in Sterling, Virginia.

Respectfully,

FHWA RIP Team

FHWA/Eastern Federal Lands 21400 Ridgetop Circle Sterling, VA 20166 (571) 434-1574 FHWA/Central Federal Lands 12300 West Dakota Ave Lakewood, CO 80228 (720) 963-3556

Section 2 Park Route Inventory





Page 1 of 12

Report Date: 09/14/2018

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line

 $\mathsf{MRP} = \mathsf{Manually} \; \mathsf{Rated} \; \mathsf{Polygon}$

PKG = Parking Areas
NC = Not Collected

MOJA

				=		ROAD INVENTORY (1100 SERIES FMSS	LOCATIONS	5)				- -			
Route No.	Cycle Collected	Iteration Collected	FMSS Number	Concessio	Route Name	Route Des	cription To	Maintenance District	FLTP	Paved Miles	Unpaved Miles	Total Mileage	Function Class	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
0010	6	2	111378		KELBAKER ROAD	FROM NORTH PARK BOUNDARY AT END OF THE EXIT RAMP OF INTERSTATE 1.5 (TEMECULA VALLEY FREEWAY)	TO SOUTH PARK BOUNDARY AT CATTLE GUARD	CINDER CONES	YES	56.98	0.00	56.98	1		AS	1
0011	6	2	111377		KELSO-CIMA ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010 (KELBAKER ROAD) AT MP 34.63 ON LEFT	TO INTERSECTION OF ROUTE 0012 (CIMA ROAD) AND ROUTE 0013 (MORNING STAR MINE ROAD)	MID HILLS	YES	18.96	0.00	18.96	1		AS	1
0012	6	2	73955		CIMA ROAD	FROM INTERSECTION OF ROUTE 0011 (KELSO-CIMA ROAD) AND ROUTE 0013 (MORNING STAR MINE ROAD)	TO END OF EXIT RAMP AT INTERSTATE 1.5 (TEMECULA VALLEY FREEWAY)	STANDARD MINING	YES	17.64	6.80	24.44	1		AS	1
0013	6	2	111380		MORNING STAR MINE ROAD	FROM INTERSECTION OF ROUTE 0011 (KELSO-CIMA ROAD) AND ROUTE 0012 (CIMA ROAD)	TO ROUTE 0014 (IVANPAH ROAD) AT MP 2.74 ON RIGHT	MORNING STAR MINE	YES	14.99	0.00	14.99	1		AS	1
0014	6	2	108996		IVANPAH ROAD	FROM END OF ROUTE 5003 (IVANPAH ROAD (EXTENSION))	TO ROUTE 5004 (LANFAIR ROAD (EXTENSION))	OX RANCH	YES	11.57	18.70	30.27	1		AS	1
0016	6	2	105480		CEDAR CANYON ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0011 (KELSO-CIMA ROAD) AT MP 14.34 ON RIGHT	TO ROUTE 0014 (IVANPAH ROAD) UNPAVED PORTION	MID HILLS	YES	2.35	18.00	20.35	1		AS	1
0017	6	2	105481		BLACK CANYON ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0018 (ESSEX ROAD) AT MP 9.71 ON RIGHT	TO ROUTE 0016 (CEDAR CANYON ROAD) UNPAVED PORTION	HOLE IN THE WALL	YES	10.15	11.08	21.23	1		AS	1
0018	6	2	111379		ESSEX ROAD	FROM INTERSTATE 40 (NEEDLES FREEWAY) SOUTH PARK BOUNDARY AT CATTLE GUARD	TO PROVIDENCE MOUNTAINS STATE RECREATION AREA BOUNDARY AT CATTLE GUARD	PROVIDENCE MOUNTAINS	YES	13.79	0.00	13.79	1		AS	1
0100	6	2	73952		ZZYZX ROAD	FROM END OF EXIT RAMP AT INTERSTATE 1.5 (TEMECULA VALLEY FREEWAY)	TO ROUTE 0902 (ZZ PARKING AREA) AT MP 4.71	ZZYZX	YES	4.47	0.22	4.69	2		AS	1

Page 2 of 12

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

Report Date: 09/14/2018

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas
NC = Not Collected

MOJA

				E		ROAD INVENTORY (1100 SERIES FMSS	LOCATION	S)				lau			
Route No.	Cycle Collected	lteration Collected	FMSS Number	Concessio	Route Name	Route Des	cription To	Maintenance District	FLTP	Paved Miles	Unpaved Miles	Total Mileage	ctio	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
0101	NC	П	73950		WILDHORSE CANYON ROAD	FROM BLACK CANYON ROAD	TO BLACK CANYON ROAD		NO	0.00	13.00	13.00	2		GR	
0200	NC		73843		MH CAMPGROUND ROAD	FROM WILD HORSES CANYON ROAD	TO END OF LOOP		NO	0.00	1.08	1.08	3		NV	
0202	NC		73951		KELSO DUNES ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0010 (KELBAKER ROAD) AT MP 42.48 ON RIGHT	TO DEAD END		NO	0.00	4.25	4.25	3		GR	
0205	NC		73954		VALLEY VIEW ROAD	FROM DEER SPRINGS ROAD	TO ROUTE 0012 (CIMA ROAD) AT MP 7.66 ON LEFT		NO	0.00	2.00	2.00	3		NV	
0210	NC		73959		HW VISITOR CENTER/PICNIC AREA ROAD	FROM BLACK CANYON ROAD	TO DEAD END		NO	0.00	0.40	0.40	3		NV	
0212	NC		73961		HW CAMPGROUND ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0017 (BLACK CANYON ROAD) AT MP 10.04 ON LEFT	THROUGH CAMPGROUND		Ю	0.00	0.97	0.97	3		NV	
0213	NC		84595		ROCK HOUSE ROAD	FROM CEDAR CANYON ROAD	TO DEAD END		NO	0.00	0.20	0.20	4		NV	
0214	NC		73953		QUAIL BASIN ROAD	FROM KELBAKER ROAD	TO TRAILHEAD		NO	0.00	1.00	1.00	4		NV	
0215	NC		73958		HW FIRE CENTER ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0017 (BLACK CANYON ROAD) AT MP 9.78 ON RIGHT	TO ROUTE 0017 (BLACK CANYON ROAD) AT MP 9.95 ON RIGHT		NO	0.00	0.75	0.75	3		NV	
0216	NC		73960		ROCKIN L ROAD	FROM BLACK CANYON ROAD	TO HOUSE		NO	0.00	1.00	1.00	4		NV	
0217	NC		73962		NUMBER 2 WELL ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	0.30	0.30	4		NV	
0218	NC		73963		FIRE CENTER NUMBER 2 ACCESS ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	0.73	0.73	4		NV	
0219	NC		86723		MOJAVE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	68.10	68.10	4		NV	
0220	NC		94507		HART MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	8.78	8.78	4		NV	

Page 3 of 12

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

Report Date: 09/14/2018

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas
NC = Not Collected

MOJA

				_		ROAD INVEN	TORY (1	100 SERIES FMSS	LOCATION	S)				la			
Route No.	Cycle Collected	eration	FMSS Number	oncession	Route Name	From	Route Desc	ription To	Maintenance District	FLTP	Paved Miles	Unpaved Miles	Total Mileage	0	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
0221	NC	± 0	105482	U	CARUTHERS CANYON ROADD	FROM		TO		NO	0.00	9.50	9.50	4	(0.4.17)	NV	
0222	NC		105484		GLOBE MINE ROAD	FROM		то		NO	0.00	7.01	7.01	4		NV	
0223	NC		105485		MACEDONIA SPRINGS ROAD	FROM		то		NO	0.00	7.49	7.49	4		NV	
0224	NC		105486		CHICKEN WATER SPRINGS ROAD	FROM		то		NO	0.00	6.85	6.85	4		NV	
0225	NC		106419		NEW YORK MOUNTAINS ROAD	FROM		то		NO	0.00	16.20	16.20	4		NV	
0226	NC		106422		HACKBERRY SPRINGS ROAD	FROM		то		NO	0.00	3.41	3.41	4		NV	
0227	NC		106426		COYOTE SPRINGS ROAD	FROM		то		NO	0.00	2.35	2.35	4		NV	
0228	NC		106427		AIKEN ROAD	FROM		то		NO	0.00	18.28	18.28	4		NV	
0229	NC		106429		STANDAROAD MINING DISTRICT ROAD	FROM		то		NO	0.00	6.93	6.93	4		NV	
0230	NC		106430		CORNFIELD SPRING ROAD	FROM		то		NO	0.00	4.31	4.31	4		NV	
0231	NC		106432		SLAUGHTERHOUSE SPGS ROAD	FROM		то		NO	0.00	1.17	1.17	4		NV	
0232	NC		106440		BONANZA KING ROAD	FROM		то		NO	0.00	7.34	7.34	4		NV	
0233	NC		108628		HIDDEN HILLS ROAD	FROM		то		NO	0.00	25.69	25.69	4		NV	
0234	NC		108629		ARROWEED SPRINGS ROAD	FROM		то		NO	0.00	1.80	1.80	4		NV	
0235	NC		108631		SOUTH GAS LINE	FROM		то		NO	0.00	44.10	44.10	4		NV	
0236	NC		108632		VULCAN MINE ROAD.	FROM		то		NO	0.00	5.80	5.80	4		NV	

Page 4 of 12

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

Report Date: 09/14/2018

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas

NC = Not Collected

MOJA

				Ē		ROAD INVENTORY (1100 SERIES FMSS	LOCATION	S)				<u> </u>			
Route No.	Cycle Collected	lteration Collected	FMSS Number	Concession	Route Name	Route Des	cription To	Maintenance District	FLTP	Paved Miles	Unpaved Miles	Total Mileage	Functional Class	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
0237	NC		108633		REX MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	3.20	3.20	4		NV	
0238	NC		108634		BIGHORN MINE ROAD.	FROM	то		NO	0.00	5.60	5.60	4		NV	
0239	NC		108635		SILVER KING MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	7.00	7.00	4		NV	
0240	NC		108636		FOROAD DRY LAKE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	5.40	5.40	4		NV	
0241	NC		108637		WATSON WASH ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	5.60	5.60	4		NV	
0242	NC		108639		GOVERNMENT HOLES ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	0.50	0.50	4		NV	
0243	NC		108640		LIVE OAK SPRING ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	2.70	2.70	4		NV	
0244	NC		108641		COTTONWOOD ROAD.	FROM	то		NO	0.00	8.90	8.90	4		NV	
0245	NC		108643		COTTONWOOD ROAD SPUR 1	FROM	то		NO	0.00	1.80	1.80	4		NV	
0246	NC		108644		COTTONWOOD ROAD SPUR 2	FROM	то		NO	0.00	1.00	1.00	4		NV	
0247	NC		108645		BURRO SPRINGS ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	4.30	4.30	4		NV	
0248	NC		108646		PIUTE VALLEY ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	6.70	6.70	4		NV	
0249	NC		108647		DEER SPRINGS ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	6.10	6.10	4		NV	
0250	NC		108649		COW COVE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	13.60	13.60	4		NV	
0251	NC		108650		HENRY SPRINGS ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	17.80	17.80	4		NV	
0252	NC		108995		BUDWISER SPRING ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	11.00	11.00	4		NV	

Page 5 of 12

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

Report Date: 09/14/2018

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas
NC = Not Collected

MOJA

				_		ROAD INVENTORY	(1100 SERIES FMS	SLOCATION	S)				onal			
Route	Cycle Collected	ation lected	FMSS	cession		Route D	Description	Maintenance	ے		Unpaved	Total	SS	Area	Surf.	Area
No.	<u>ي 2</u>	0 <u>F</u>	Number	ŝ	Route Name	From	То	District	FE	Miles	Miles	Mileage	2 8	(SQ FT)	Туре	Мар
0253	NC		108998		AVILA RANCH (RANCHO AVENUE)	FROM	то		NO	0.00	6.00	6.00	4		NV	
0254	NC		109000		ROAD SOUTH POWERLINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	38.70	38.70	4		NV	
0255	NC		109046		ROAD BILLY BOY MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	1.10	1.10	4		NV	
0256	NC		109047		ROAD BULLION MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	1.70	1.70	4		NV	
0257	NC		109048		ALLURED MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	1.40	1.40	4		NV	
0258	NC		109049		MORNING STAR CUTOFF	FROM	то		NO	0.00	8.50	8.50	4		NV	
0259	NC		109050		KELSO ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	12.20	12.20	4		NV	
0260	NC		109051		BRANNIGAN MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	10.80	10.80	4		NV	
0261	NC		109052		NORTH POWERLINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	61.90	61.90	4		NV	
0262	NC		109053		CLIPPER VALLEY ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	9.10	9.10	4		NV	
0263	NC		109054		BORREGO CANYON ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	0.60	0.60	4		NV	
0264	NC		109055		UP RAILROAD ACCESS ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	23.30	23.30	4		NV	
0265	NC		109066		FENNER VALLEY ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	15.30	15.30	4		NV	
0266	NC		109067		SIX MILE TANK ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	8.40	8.40	4		NV	
0267	NC		109083		FOURTEENMILE TANK ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	1.70	1.70	4		NV	
0268	NC		109084		SADDLE HORN ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	4.90	4.90	4		NV	

Page 6 of 12

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

Report Date: 09/14/2018

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas NC = Not Collected

MOJA

				Ē		ROAD INVI	ENTORY (1	100 SERIES FMSS	LOCATION	S)				la l			
Route No.	Cycle Collected	Iteration Collected	FMSS Number	Concession	Route Name	From	Route Descri	ption To	Maintenance District	FLTP	Paved Miles	Unpaved Miles	Total Mileage	ctio	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
0269	NC		109085		ONDIAN SPRINGS TRAIL ROAD	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	5.00	5.00	4		NV	
0270	NC		109086		INDIAN SPRINGS ROAD	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	3.50	3.50	4		NV	
0271	NC		109087		MORNING STAR ACCESS ROAD	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	3.30	3.30	4		NV	
0272	NC		109088		PRESIDENTS MINE ROAD	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	2.40	2.40	4		NV	
0273	NC		109092		5 POINT CUTOFF	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	2.40	2.40	4		NV	
0274	NC		109131		EVENING STAR MINE AREA ACCESS ROADS	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	1.60	1.60	4		NV	
0275	NC		109132		STRIPED MOUNTAIN ROAD	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	4.60	4.60	4		NV	
0276	NC		109133		JOHNNY MINE ROAD	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	1.00	1.00	4		NV	
0277	NC		109134		ROGERS CAMP ROAD	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	0.80	0.80	4		NV	
0278	NC		109138		TELEGRAPH ROAD	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	31.80	31.80	4		NV	
0279	NC		109139		NEW ERA MINES ROAD	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	1.69	1.69	4		NV	
0280	NC		109140		PIUTE MINE ROAD	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	1.50	1.50	4		NV	
0281	NC		109279		STANDAROAD LOOP	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	2.07	2.07	4		NV	
0282	NC		109280		BETTY JANE MINE ROAD	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	0.70	0.70	4		NV	
0283	NC		109281		BUTTON MOUNTAIN ROAD	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	0.60	0.60	4		NV	
0284	NC		109282		GROTTO HILLS ROAD	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	3.40	3.40	4		NV	
0285	NC		109283		HANK'S MOUNTAIN ROAD	FROM	T	0		NO	0.00	2.50	2.50	4		NV	

Page 7 of 12

Report Date: 09/14/2018

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas NC = Not Collected

				Ę		ROAD INVENTORY	1100 SERIES FMSS	LOCATION	S)				onal			
Route No.	Cycle Collected	Iteration Collected	FMSS Number	Concession	Route Name	Route Des	cription To	Maintenance District	FLTP	Paved Miles	Unpaved Miles	Total Mileage	Function Class	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
0286	NC		109284		LEVI MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	0.70	0.70	4		NV	
0287	NC		109285		PETROLEUM PIPELINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	18.40	18.40	4		NV	
0288	NC		109286		REST STOP ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	8.10	8.10	4		NV	
0289	NC		109287		PHONE BOOTH ROAD-OLD POWERLINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	27.00	27.00	4		NV	
0290	NC		109288		SILVERADO MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	0.30	0.30	4		NV	
0291	NC		109289		SQUAT HILL ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	0.80	0.80	4		NV	
0292	NC		109290		STANDAROAD MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	2.60	2.60	4		NV	
0293	NC		109291		WHITE EAGLE MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	2.10	2.10	4		NV	
0294	NC		109292		WOODS WASH	FROM	то		NO	0.00	22.00	22.00	4		NV	
0295	NC		109293		ZOLTEC MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	0.40	0.40	4		NV	
0296	NC		109327		DEER SPRINGS PIPELINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	11.90	11.90	4		NV	
0297	NC		109328		TEUTONIA PEAK ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	7.00	7.00	4		NV	
0298	NC	П	109329		7IL RANCH ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	1.30	1.30	4		NV	
0299	NC		109330		BEARCLAW WELL ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	2.20	2.20	4		NV	
0300	NC		109331		AIRPORT ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	0.70	0.70	4		NV	
0301	NC		109332		BARBER MOUNTAIN ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	2.40	2.40	4		NV	
0302	NC		109333		CIMA WATER ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	3.10	3.10	4		NV	

Page 8 of 12

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

Report Date: 09/14/2018

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas

NC = Not Collected

MOJA

				Ē		ROAD INVENTORY	(1100 SERIES FMSS	LOCATION	S)				_			
Route No.	Cycle Collected	lteration Collected	FMSS Number	Concession	Route Name	Route D	escription To	Maintenance District	FLTP	Paved Miles	Unpaved Miles	Total Mileage	Functiona Class	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
0303	NC		109334		CLARK WILDERNESS BOUNDARY ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	7.50	7.50	4		NV	
0304	NC		109335		COLTON HILLS	FROM	то		NO	0.00	4.80	4.80	4		NV	
0305	NC		109336		CONNER ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	2.00	2.00	4		NV	
0306	NC		109337		CONSTELLATION MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	1.30	1.30	4		NV	
0307	NC		109338		COPPER KING MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	1.70	1.70	4		NV	
0308	NC		109339		CUT SPRING ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	1.50	1.50	4		NV	
0309	NC		109340		EASTER ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	0.70	0.70	4		NV	
0310	NC		109341		GOLD BUTTON MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	0.60	0.60	4		NV	
0311	NC		109342		NELSON'S COPPER CAMP ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	1.50	1.50	4		NV	
0312	NC		109343		PINK FALCON ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	0.90	0.90	4		NV	
0313	NC		109344		MAX DOR ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	1.20	1.20	4		NV	
0314	NC		109345		PACHALKA ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	9.20	9.20	4		NV	
0315	NC		109346		BLUE JAY MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	1.60	1.60	4		NV	
0316	NC		109347		MARL SPRINGS ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	0.80	0.80	4		NV	
0317	NC		109348		YATES WELL ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	7.10	7.10	4		NV	
0318	NC		116547		COLOSSEUM MINE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	10.00	10.00	4		NV	
0319	NC		238423		CIMA RESIDENCE ROAD	FROM	то		NO	0.00	0.60	0.60	4		NV	

Page 9 of 12

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

Report Date: 09/14/2018

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas

NC = Not Collected

MOJA

			Ę.		ROAD INVENTORY (1100 SERIES FMSS	LOCATION	5)				<u> </u>			
Route No.	Cycle Collected Teration Collected	FMSS Number	Concessio	Route Name	Route Desc	ription	Maintenance District	FLTP	Paved Miles	Unpaved Miles			Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
0400	NC	73957		KESSLER SPRINGS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0012 (CIMA ROAD) AT MP 4.24 (ON RIGHT)	TO ROUTE 0012 (CIMA ROAD) AT MP 4.70 ON RIGHT		NO	0.00	1.40	1.40	5		NV	
0401	NC	73956		OX ROAD	FROM IVANPAH ROAD	TO HOUSE		NO	0.00	0.50	0.50	5		NV	

				NON-NP	S ROADS INVENTO	RY				_			
Route No.	Cycle Collected Iteration Collected	FMSS Number	S Route Name	Route Do	escription To	Maintenance District	FLTP	Paved Miles	Unpaved Miles	Mileage T S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
5000	4 1		NIPTON ROAD	FROM END OF EXIT RAMP AT INTERSTATE 15 (TEMECULA VALLEY FREEWAY)	TO CA/NV STATE BOUNDARY		NO	12.94	0.00	12.94		AS	1
5001	4 1		GOFFS ROAD	FROM END OF EXIT RAMP AT INTERSTATE 40 (NEEDLES FREEWAY)	TO INTERSECTION OF ROUTE 5004 (LANFAIR ROAD (EXTENSION)) AND GOFFS ROAD		NO	10.46	0.00	10.46		AS	1
5002	4 1		EXCELSIOR MINE ROAD	FROM END OF EXIT RAMP AT INTERSTATE 15 (TEMECULA VALLEY FREEWAY)	TO NORTH PARK BOUNDARY AT POWERLINE EAST ROAD		NO	8.51	0.00	8.51		AS	1
5003	4 1		IVANPAH ROAD (EXTENSION)	FROM ROUTE 5000 (NIPTON ROAD)	to beginning of route 0014 (IVANPAH ROAD)		NO	0.49	0.00	0.49		AS	1
5004	4 1		LANFAIR ROAD (EXTENSION)	FROM END OF ROUTE 5001 (GOFFS ROAD)	TO PARK BOUNDARY		NO	0.69	0.00	0.69		AS	1

Page 10 of 12

Report Date: 09/14/2018

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas NC = Not Collected

	PARKING AREA INVENTORY (1300 SERIES FMSS LOCATIONS)												
Route No.	Cycle Collected	Iteration Collected	FMSS Number	Concession	Route Name	·	Route Description		FLTP	Access Level	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
0900	6	2	228803		KELSO DEPOT EAST PARKING AREA	FROM ROUTE 0011 (KELSO-CIMA ROAD)	TO ROUTE 0011 (KELSO-CIMA ROAD)	KELSO	YES	PUBLIC	29,051	AS	1
0901	NC		72619		HWVC PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0017 (BLACK CANYON ROAD)	TO PARKING	HOLE IN THE WALL	NO	PUBLIC	31,500	GR	
0902	NC		73825		ZZ PARKING AREA	FROM END OF ROUTE 0100 (ZZYZX ROAD)	TO PARKING	ZZYZX	NO	PUBLIC	17,647	GR	
0903	NC		230827		KD KELSO DEPOT NORTH PARKING LOT	FROM	TO PARKING		NO	PUBLIC	27,405	GR	
0904	NC		65994		KD KELSO DUNES PARKING AREA	FROM	TO PARKING		NO	PUBLIC	8,750	GR	
0905	NC		86725		FP FORT PIUTE PARKING AREA	FROM	TO PARKING		NO	PUBLIC	15,000	GR	
0906	6	2	248766		GRANITE PASS PARKING AREA	FROM ROUTE 0010 (KELBAKER ROAD)	TO PARKING	CINDER CONES	YES	PUBLIC	5,358	AS	1
0907	6	2	113329		BAKER RESIDENCE PARKING AREA	FROM CALTRANS AVENUE	TO PARKING		YES	PUBLIC	10,066	AS	1

Page 11 of 12

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

Report Date: 09/14/2018 (Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas

NC = Not Collected

Cycle 6 Summary Totals for Mojave National Preserve

Cycle 6 Route Totals

	NPS Maintained	Concessionaire Maintained	Park Totals
Paved Roads, Data Collection Vehicle Rated (Miles)	150.90	0	150.90
Paved Roads, Manually Rated Length (Miles)	0	0	0
Paved Roads, Manually Rated Area (Sq. Ft.)	0	0	0
Unpaved Roads (Miles)	867.15	0	867.15
Paved Parking (Sq. Ft.)	44,475	0	44,475
Unpaved Parking (Sq. Ft.)	100,302	0	100,302

Cycle 6 Lane Miles and Overall Pavement Condition

	Lanes Miles*	Pavement Condition Rating**
Data Collection Vehicle Routes	328.44	89
Manually Rated Roads	0	N/A
Parking Areas	0.77	91

^{*} Equivalent Lane Miles are calculated by route using the following equations:

- DCV and MRLs = $(PAVE_WIDTH \times PAVED_MI) / 11$ foot lane

- MRPs and PKGs = $SQ_FEET / 5280 / 11$ foot lane

-Excellent = 97

-Good = 90

-Fair = 73

-Poor = 53, 30, or 0

-Construction / Not Rated = -1

^{**}Parking and Manually Rated Routes are assigned the following PCR values based on the type of observed distresses:

Page 12 of 12

Report Date: 09/14/2018

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas
NC = Not Collected

General Park Road Functional Classification (FC) Table

FC	Туре	User Access	Description	Route Numbers
1	Principal Park Road Rural Parkway	Public	Roads which constitute the main access route, circulatory tour, or thoroughfare for park visitors. Rural Parkways (e.g. Natchez Trace) are numbered 0001 - 0009.	0001 - 0009 0010 - 0099
2	Connector Park Road	Public	Roads which provide access within a park to areas of scenic, scientific, recreational or cultural interest, such as overlooks, campgrounds, etc.	0100 - 0199
3	Special Purpose Park Road	Public	Roads which provide circulation within public areas, such as campgrounds, picnic areas, visitor center complexes, concessionaire facilities, etc. These roads generally serve low-speed traffic and are often designed for one-way circulation.	0200 - 0299
4	Primitive Park Road	Public	Roads which provide circulation through remote areas and/or access to primitive campgrounds and undeveloped areas. These roads frequently have no minimum design standards and their use may be limited to specially equipped vehicles. Note: Functional Classes 3 and 4 have the same route numbers because, historically, they were numbered similarly.	0200 - 0299
5	Administrative Park Road	Public	All public roads intended for access to administrative developments or structures such as park offices, employee quarters, or utility areas.	0400 - 0499
6	Administrative Park Road (Restricted Access)	Nonpublic	All roads normally closed to the public, including patrol roads, truck trails, and other similar roads. Note: Functional Classes 5 and 6 have the same route numbers because historically they were numbered similarly and often there is little distinction between these routes. For example, because utility areas and employee housing are often closed to the public, this restriction would result in classification of FC 6 rather than FC 5.	0400 - 0499
7	Urban Parkway	Public	These facilities serve high volumes of park and non-park related traffic and are restricted, limited-access facilities in an urban area. This category of roads primarily encompasses the major parkways which serve as gateways to our nation's capital. Other major park roads or portions thereof, however, may be included in this category.	0001 - 0009
8	City Street	Public	City streets are usually extensions of the adjoining street system that are owned and maintained by the National Park Service. The construction and/or reconstruction should conform with accepted local engineering practice and local conditions.	0600 - 0699
N/A	Non-NPS Roads	Public	State, County, or City owned roads which border, traverse, or provide access to Park Facilities or Locations. Non-NPS roads are not assigned functional classes and are driven for GPS and Video Log only.	5000 - 5999

Surface
Types

- AS Asphaltic Concrete Pavement
- BR Brick or Pavers Road Bed
- CB Cobble Stone Road Bed
- CO Portland Cement Concrete Pavement
- GR Gravel Road Bed
- NV Native or Dirt Material Road Bed
- OT Other Materials Road Bed

A park road system contains those roads within or giving access to a park or other unit of the NPS which are administered by the NPS, or by the Service in cooperation with other agencies. The assignment of a functional classification (FC) to a park road is not based on traffic volumes or design speed, but on the intended use or function of that road or route.

The historic route numbering system also included a 300 series for interpretive roads, and a 500 series for one-way roads. There are approximately 250 roads nationwide which are designated by the 300 and 500 series. The numbers for these roads will be maintained for reporting consistency. However, since these interpretive and one-way routes are not as clearly tied to a specific functional class, the 300 and 500 series will be discontinued for future use.

Route Identification Changes to Paved Routes from Previous Cycle Mojave National Preserve

ROUTES ADDED FROM PREVIOUS INVENTORY:										
Route No.	Route Name	Type of Change	Comments							
0906	GRANITE PASS PARKING AREA	RECENTLY CONSTRUCTED ROUTE	PAVED PARKING AREA RECENTLY CONSTRUCTED AT GRANITE PASS AND ADDED TO THE INVENTORY.							

	ROUTES MODIFIED FROM PREVIOUS INVENTORY:											
Route No.	Route Name	Type of Change	Comments									
0900	KELSO DEPOT EAST PARKING AREA	SURFACE TYPE CHANGE	PARKING AREA WAS RECENTLY PAVED. SURFACE UPDATED FROM GRAVEL TO ASPHALT.									
0907	BAKER RESIDENCE PARKING AREA	ROUTE NUMBER	ROUTE WAS CONVERTED FROM A ROAD TO A PARKING AREA (1100 SERIES TO 1300 SERIES ASSET IN FMSS). THEREFORE, THE ROUTE NUMBER WAS UPDATED FROM 0402 TO 0907.									

Section 3 Park Summary Information





Parkwide Paved Route Condition Summary Mojave National Preserve

Table 1: Paved Route Miles and Parking Area Square Footages by Access Level and PCR


Breakdown of Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) Based on Access Level

	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT							
	(PCR of 0 - 60)	(PCR of 61 - 84)	(PCR of 85 - 94)	(PCR of 95 -100)							
PAVED ROADS											
Functional Class	Length (miles)	Length (miles)	Length (miles)	Length (miles)	Total Mileage by FC						
1	11.66	37.13	46.28	51.36	146.43						
2	0.12	1.03	2.00	1.32	4.47						
3											
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
Total Mileage by PCR	11.78	38.16	48.28	52.68	150.90						
		PAVED F	ARKING								
Access Level	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Total Area						
PUBLIC		10,066	5,358	29,051	44,475						
NONPUBLIC											
Total Area by PCR	0	10,066	5,358	29,051	44,475						

NOTES:

- 1. Data are reported in the table only for paved roads and parking lots that received a condition rating.
- 2. Non-linear roads (MRP collected routes) are measured by area and converted to equivalent route miles based on a 22-ft pavement width in order to be included in the mileage totals for paved roads shown above.
- 3. Quantities in the table above are derived from the route condition data within the PMS_20, PMS_MRL, PMS_MRP, and PMS_PKG tables in the Park geodatabase.

Parkwide Condition Percentages



Road Condition Percentages

Parking Area Condition Percentages

Figure 1: Pavement Condition Rating Breakdown for Paved Roads and Parking Areas

Explanation of the Excellent, Good, Fair, and Poor Condition Descriptions

The Road Inventory Program aims to provide assistance in translating the excellent / good / fair / poor rating categories into pavement needs categories. The PCR can be used to indicate the place in the Pavement Life Cycle and the type of treatments that should be considered now and into the future.

- Excellent / New: PCR of 95-100
 - o Pavements in this range will require only spot repairs
- Good: PCR of 85-94
 - o Pavements in this range will likely be candidates for Preventive Maintenance. Examples include Chip and Slurry Seals, Micro Surfacing and Thin Overlays.
- Fair: PCR of 61-84
 - o Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Light Rehabilitation (L3R). Examples include singlelift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness, milling and overlays.
- Poor: PCR of 0-60
 - o Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Heavy Rehabilitation or Reconstruction (H3R or 4R). Examples include Pulverization, Multiple Lift Overlays, and Reconstruction.

CONDITION CATEGORIES AND TREATMENTS EXCELLENT / Localized Repairs Only GOOD / Preventive Maintenance FAIR / Light Rehabilitation POOR / Heavy Rehabilitation Reconstruction Pavement Age

At this time, specific Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities should be evaluated and recommended at the project level. Site-specific conditions that influence treatment type should be determined based on performing a subsurface investigation and/or pavement condition survey, and not be based solely on RIP data. Additionally, RIP produces a snapshot of conditions at the time in which the data were collected. For further information or to obtain additional Pavement Management System's data from our Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) please contact the Eastern Federal Lands pavement team.



Cycle 6 - Road Inventory Program

Road Condition Summary Report for Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rated Roads

Mojave National Preserve

Condition (Rating / Index) Legend

GOOD (85 - 94)

FAIR (61 - 84)

POOR (0 - 60)

NR = NOT RATED

Notes:

- This condition summary report contains only the roads rated with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV).
- Condition on roads that were manually rated and parking areas are shown in separate reports.
- Route-level scores shown on this page may not represent scores at smaller intervals (due to rollup calculations).
- Additional details on individual road ratings at 0.10-mile and 1-mile intervals can be found in Section 5 of the Cycle 6 RIP Report.
- Refer to the RIP Report Appendix for an explanation of the rating system and rating methods.

Route No.	Route-	Level Condition for Roads Rated with the Da	ta Collection Vehicle (DCV) Functions Class	al Surf. Type	Paved Length (Miles)	Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	ughnes lex (RCI	Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	Structural Crack Index	Alligator Crack Index	Longitudinal Cracking Index	Transverse Cracking Index	Patch / Pothole Index	Rutting Index
MOJA-0010	111378	KELBAKER ROAD	1	AS	56.98	91	95	89	93	100	93	89	100	95
MOJA-0011	111377	KELSO-CIMA ROAD	1	AS	18.96	96	98	94	94	100	94	94	100	97
MOJA-0012	73955	CIMA ROAD	1	AS	17.64	86	89	84	93	100	93	84	99	94
MOJA-0013	111380	MORNING STAR MINE ROAD	1	AS	14.99	92	100	86	92	100	92	86	100	97
MOJA-0014	108996	IVANPAH ROAD	1	AS	11.57	69	94	52	52	94	58	54	99	96
MOJA-0016	105480	CEDAR CANYON ROAD	1	AS	2.35	80	81	79	83	100	83	79	98	88
MOJA-0017	105481	BLACK CANYON ROAD	1	AS	10.15	83	85	82	89	100	89	82	100	92
MOJA-0018	111379	ESSEX ROAD	1	AS	13.79	89	90	88	91	100	91	88	99	94
MOJA-0100	73952	ZZYZX ROAD	2	AS	4.47	90	83	94	96	100	96	95	100	94

Data Collection Date: 04/2017



Cycle 6 - Road Inventory Program

Parking Area Condition Summary Report

EXCELLENT (97) GOOD (90) FAIR (73) POOR* (0, 30, 53) NR = NOT RATED

Condition (Rating / Index) Legend

Mojave National Preserve

Notes:

- A PCR of 0 indicates a paved parking area in very poor condition. Individual distresses could not be identified.
- Additional details on individual parking areas can be found in Section 6 of the Cycle 6 RIP Report.
- Refer to the RIP Report Appendix for an explanation of the rating system and rating methods.

							<u>Asphalt Surface Distresses</u>					Concrete Surface Distresses					
Route No.	FMSS No.	Condition Rating Details for Parking Areas Route Name	User Access	Surf. Type	Area (Sq. Ft.)	Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	Alligator Cracking	Longitudinal / Tranverse Cracking	Rutting / Distortions	Potholes / Patching	HMA Patching	Surface Raveling / Bleeding	Joint Faulting	Slab Cracking	oint [Delamination / Pop-Outs	Potholes / Patching
MOJA-0900	228803	KELSO DEPOT EAST PARKING AREA	PUBLIC	AS	29,051	97	97	97	97	97	97	97					
MOJA-0906	248766	GRANITE PASS PARKING AREA	PUBLIC	AS	5,358	90	97	97	97	97	97	90					
MOJA-0907	113329	BAKER RESIDENCE PARKING AREA	PUBLIC	AS	10,066	73	97	90	90	97	97	73					


Data Collection Date: 04/2017

Section 4 Park Route Location Maps





ROUTE LOCATION MAP Key Map




Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Note: Unique colors are used to differentiate roads

Non-NPS Collected Routes

	Miles	
0	50	100

ROUTE LOCATION MAP Map 1




Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Note: Unique colors are used to differentiate roads


Non-NPS Collected Routes

	Miles	
0	20	40

ROUTE CONDITION MAP PCR - MILE BY MILE Key Map




Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community




Miles) 50 100



ROUTE CONDITION MAP PCR - MILE BY MILE Map 1




Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community



Miles
20 40




Section 5 Paved Road Condition Rating Sheets





ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD

Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)								
Poor (0 - 60) Fair (6	Good ((85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100) Not Rated			ted		
Colors on map represent condition scores at 0.10-mile intervals. See Appendix for definitions and formulas.								
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	eginning Section MP 0 1 2 3						
Paved Length (Miles): 56.98	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1		
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary							
Roadway Condition Information								
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	91	94	75	81	66	76		
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	89	90	72	69	45	65		
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	95	100	80	98	97	93		
Distress Index Values								
Structural Crack Index	93	90	72	75	60	75		
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100		
Longitudinal Crack Index	93	90	72	75	60	75		
Transverse Cracking Index	89	93	89	69	45	65		
Patching Index	100	100	100	100	100	100		
Rutting Index	95	97	93	95	95	96		
International Roughness Index (IRI)	128	105	168	119	122	132		
Lane & Width Information								
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2		
Paved Width (ft)	24.2	26.9	24	22.6	23.4	23.4		
Lane Width (ft)	10.3	10.6	10.3	9.7	9.7	10.1		

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)								
Poor (0 - 60) Fair (6	(1-84) Good ((85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100) Not Rated			ted		
Colors on map represent condition scores at 0.10-mile intervals. See Appendix for definitions and formulas.								
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	eginning Section MP 5 6 7 8						
Paved Length (Miles): 56.98	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1		
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary							
Roadway Condition Information								
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	91	77	83	80	72	91		
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	89	77	75	72	59	85		
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	95	77	96	91	92	100		
Distress Index Values								
Structural Crack Index	93	84	88	88	79	85		
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100		
Longitudinal Crack Index	93	84	88	88	79	85		
Transverse Cracking Index	89	77	75	72	59	85		
Patching Index	100	100	100	100	100	100		
Rutting Index	95	94	96	96	96	95		
International Roughness Index (IRI)	128	177	123	137	135	89		
Lane & Width Information								
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2		
Paved Width (ft)	24.2	24.1	23.5	24	23.7	24.5		
Lane Width (ft)	10.3	10.4	10.1	10.3	10.3	10.3		

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)								
	Fair (61- 84)				Excellent (95 - 100)		tod	
	, ,							
			intervals. See Appendix for definitions and formulas.					
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginnir	g Section MP	10	11	12	13	14	
Paved Length (Miles): 56.98	Section 1	Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1	
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Su	Route Summary						
Roadway Condition Information								
Pavement Condition Rating (PCF	.)	91	98	90	91	92	98	
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)		89	96	89	87	92	96	
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)		95	100	91	97	91	100	
Distress Index Values								
Structural Crack Index		93	99	96	93	100	100	
Alligator Crack Index		100	100	100	100	100	100	
Longitudinal Crack Index		93	99	96	93	100	100	
Transverse Cracking Index		89	96	89	87	99	100	
Patching Index		100	100	100	100	100	100	
Rutting Index		95	97	93	96	92	96	
International Roughness Index (I	RI)	128	96	137	122	138	98	
Lane & Width Information								
Number of Lanes		2	2	2	2	2	2	
Paved Width (ft)		24.2	25	24.7	25.4	24.7	25.1	
Lane Width (ft)		10.3	10.3	10.7	10.5	10.1	10.1	

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)								
	Fair (61- 84)			Excellent (95 - 100)		Not Rated		
	represent condition scores at 0.10-mile intervals. See Appendix for definitions and formulas.				···			
							10	
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning S		15	16	17	18	19	
Paved Length (Miles): 56.98	Section Len	gth (MI)	1	1	1	1	1	
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Sumi	nary						
Roadway Condition Information								
Pavement Condition Rating (PCF	91	1	97	96	98	95	96	
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	89)	95	93	96	93	93	
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	95	5	100	100	100	98	100	
Distress Index Values								
Structural Crack Index	93	3	100	100	100	100	100	
Alligator Crack Index	10	0	100	100	100	100	100	
Longitudinal Crack Index	93	3	100	100	100	100	100	
Transverse Cracking Index	89)	100	100	100	100	100	
Patching Index	10	0	100	100	100	100	100	
Rutting Index	95	5	95	93	96	93	93	
International Roughness Index (I	RI) 12	8	79	100	101	120	107	
Lane & Width Information								
Number of Lanes	2		2	2	2	2	2	
Paved Width (ft)	24	.2	25.7	25.4	25.4	24.8	25.4	
Lane Width (ft)	10	.3	10.2	10.8	11.1	10.7	10.4	

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)								
Poor (0 - 60			(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Rated		
Colors	on map represent cond	tion scores at 0.10-mile intervals. See Appendix for definitions and formulas.						
Inspection Date:	4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	20	21	22	23	24	
Paved Length (Miles	s): 56.98	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1	
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary						
Roadway Condition	Information							
Pavement Condition	Rating (PCR)	91	98	99	99	99	98	
Surface Condition Ra	ating (SCR)	89	97	99	99	99	96	
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)		95	100	100	100	100	100	
Distress Index Values								
Structural Crack Index		93	100	100	100	100	99	
Alligator Crack Index		100	100	100	100	100	100	
Longitudinal Crack Index		93	100	100	100	100	99	
Transverse Cracking Index		89	100	100	100	100	100	
Patching Index		100	100	100	100	100	100	
Rutting Index		95	97	99	99	99	96	
International Roughness Index (IRI)		128	111	93	97	89	112	
Lane & Width Infor	mation							
Number of Lanes		2	2	2	2	2	2	
Paved Width (ft)		24.2	25	22.2	23.6	24.3	24.2	
Lane Width (ft)		10.3	10.1	9.9	10	9.5	10.5	

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60) Fair (6	1- 84) Good ((85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100)		Not Ra	ted
Colors on map represent con-	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	25	26	27	28	29
Paved Length (Miles): 56.98	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition Information						
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	91	99	98	99	98	92
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	89	98	97	99	97	92
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	95	100	100	100	100	93
Distress Index Values						
Structural Crack Index	93	99	99	100	100	99
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack Index	93	99	99	100	100	99
Transverse Cracking Index	89	100	100	100	100	93
Patching Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Rutting Index	95	98	97	99	97	92
International Roughness Index (IRI)	128	98	99	86	110	131
Lane & Width Information						
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)	24.2	23.4	23.3	23.8	23	22.9
Lane Width (ft)	10.3	10.4	10.2	10.4	10.1	10.3

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route	Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
		(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Ra	ted
Colors on map represent co.	-			100		
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	30	31	32	33	34
Paved Length (Miles): 56.98	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary			•		
Roadway Condition Information						
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	91	93	90	96	94	87
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	89	93	93	95	96	94
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	95	94	86	97	91	76
Distress Index Values						
Structural Crack Index	93	99	99	100	99	98
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack Index	93	99	99	100	99	98
Transverse Cracking Index	89	97	96	100	100	98
Patching Index	100	100	100	99	99	99
Rutting Index	95	93	93	95	96	94
International Roughness Index (IRI)	128	131	151	122	138	182
Lane & Width Information						
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)	24.2	23.6	23.3	23	22.7	23.6
Lane Width (ft)	10.3	9.7	10.1	10.1	9.7	9.7

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Pouta (Condition Legend – Pav	amant Candi	tion Poting (PCP)			
Poor (0 - 60)	Fair (6)		(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Rated		
		-						
		dition scores at 0.10-mile						
Inspection Date: 4/24/	2017	Beginning Section MP	35	36	37	38	39	
Paved Length (Miles): 56.98	3	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1	
Surface Type: ASPI	HALT	Route Summary						
Roadway Condition Inform	ation							
Pavement Condition Rating	(PCR)	91	89	82	92	94	87	
Surface Condition Rating (SC	CR)	89	81	70	90	92	85	
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	95	100	100	94	96	90	
Distress Index Values								
Structural Crack Index		93	81	72	94	98	93	
Alligator Crack Index		100	100	100	100	100	100	
Longitudinal Crack Index		93	81	72	94	98	93	
Transverse Cracking Index		89	82	70	90	98	85	
Patching Index		100	100	100	100	100	100	
Rutting Index		95	97	96	94	92	96	
International Roughness Ind	lex (IRI)	128	105	104	131	124	140	
Lane & Width Information								
Number of Lanes		2	2	2	2	2	2	
Paved Width (ft)		24.2	23.9	23.3	23.1	23.4	23.8	
Lane Width (ft)		10.3	10	10.2	9.8	9.9	10	

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route C	Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)			
Poor (0 - 60)	Fair (62		(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Rated		
		dition scores at 0.10-mile					· · ·	
				41	42	43	4.4	
1 *		Beginning Section MP			42		44	
Paved Length (Miles): 56.98		Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1	
Surface Type: ASPI	HALT	Route Summary						
Roadway Condition Information								
Pavement Condition Rating	(PCR)	91	76	84	80	89	90	
Surface Condition Rating (SC	R)	89	70	74	75	86	93	
Roughness Condition Index (1	RCI)	95	86	100	88	93	85	
Distress Index Values								
Structural Crack Index		93	89	94	90	96	99	
Alligator Crack Index		100	100	100	100	100	100	
Longitudinal Crack Index		93	89	94	90	96	99	
Transverse Cracking Index		89	70	74	75	86	93	
Patching Index		100	100	100	100	100	100	
Rutting Index		95	96	95	95	96	94	
International Roughness Ind	ex (IRI)	128	152	103	145	131	156	
Lane & Width Information								
Number of Lanes		2	2	2	2	2	2	
Paved Width (ft)		24.2	22.9	23.1	23.7	22.4	22.5	
Lane Width (ft)		10.3	10	9.9	9.9	9.8	10.1	

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Pouts (Condition Locand Day	amont Condi	tion Doting (DCD)		
	Condition Legend – Pav				N D	
Poor (0 - 60) Fair (6		(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Ra	
Colors on map represent con	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	45	46	47	48	49
Paved Length (Miles): 56.98	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary				•	
Roadway Condition Information	oadway Condition Information					
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	91	79	79	92	80	88
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	89	77	74	92	88	94
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	95	83	86	92	69	79
Distress Index Values						
Structural Crack Index	93	91	88	92	88	94
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack Index	93	91	88	92	88	94
Transverse Cracking Index	89	77	74	93	94	94
Patching Index	100	100	100	100	98	100
Rutting Index	95	95	97	95	93	96
International Roughness Index (IRI)	128	161	151	135	205	171
Lane & Width Information						
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)	24.2	23	24.4	25.3	25.7	26.4
Lane Width (ft)	10.3	9.8	10.7	10.7	10.4	10.8

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)			
Poor (0 - 60) Fair (6	1- 84) Good ((85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100)		Not Rated		
Colors on map represent con	dition scores at 0.10-mile	tion scores at 0.10-mile intervals. See Appendix for definitions and formulas.					
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	50	51	52	53	54	
Paved Length (Miles): 56.98	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1	
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary						
Roadway Condition Information							
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	91	85	84	86	95	90	
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	89	83	85	91	93	90	
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	95	87	82	79	98	91	
Distress Index Values							
Structural Crack Index	93	85	85	96	99	97	
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Longitudinal Crack Index	93	85	85	96	99	97	
Transverse Cracking Index	89	83	85	95	98	98	
Patching Index	100	99	98	98	99	100	
Rutting Index	95	96	92	91	93	90	
International Roughness Index (IRI)	128	148	164	173	119	137	
Lane & Width Information							
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Paved Width (ft)	24.2	26.2	26.1	25.9	25.8	24.9	
Lane Width (ft)	10.3	11	10.7	10.9	11.1	11	

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD

Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 6			(85 - 94)	Excellent (9		Not Rat	ted
Colors	on map represent con-	dition scores at 0.10-mile	e intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	r definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date:	4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	55	56			
Paved Length (Mil	es): 56.98	Section Length (MI)	1	0.98			
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Conditio	n Information						
Pavement Condition	on Rating (PCR)	91	96	84			
Surface Condition I	Rating (SCR)	89	96	90			
Roughness Condition	on Index (RCI)	95	95	75			
Distress Index Valu	ies						
Structural Crack In	ndex	93	98	93			
Alligator Crack In	dex	100	100	100			
Longitudinal Crac	k Index	93	98	93			
Transverse Cracking	ng Index	89	98	93			
Patching Index		100	100	99			
Rutting Index		95	96	90			
International Roug	ghness Index (IRI)	128	126	184			
Lane & Width Info	ormation						
Number of Lanes		2	2	2		1	
Paved Width (ft)		24.2	26.7	26.7		1	
Lane Width (ft)		10.3	11.1	10.8		1	

ROUTE 0011: KELSO-CIMA ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)			
Poor (0 - 60) Fair (6	1- 84) Good ((85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100)		Not Rated		
Colors on map represent con	dition scores at 0.10-mile	tion scores at 0.10-mile intervals. See Appendix for definitions and formulas.					
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	0	1	2	3	4	
Paved Length (Miles): 18.96	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1	
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary						
Roadway Condition Information							
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	96	72	66	95	98	91	
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	94	55	43	94	97	92	
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	98	98	100	96	100	90	
Distress Index Values							
Structural Crack Index	94	55	43	95	99	93	
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Longitudinal Crack Index	94	55	43	95	99	93	
Transverse Cracking Index	94	55	59	96	98	93	
Patching Index	100	100	100	100	99	100	
Rutting Index	97	96	97	94	97	92	
International Roughness Index (IRI)	119	120	108	124	115	139	
Lane & Width Information							
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Paved Width (ft)	24.2	23.5	25.9	26.3	25.4	25.4	
Lane Width (ft)	10	9.8	10.1	10.8	10.5	11	

ROUTE 0011: KELSO-CIMA ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route C	Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60) Fair (62	Good ((85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100)		Not Rated	
Colors on map represent cond	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	5	6	7	8	9
Paved Length (Miles): 18.96	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition Information	Roadway Condition Information					
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	96	95	97	99	93	98
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	94	95	95	99	94	97
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	98	95	100	100	91	100
Distress Index Values						
Structural Crack Index	94	98	98	100	100	100
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack Index	94	98	98	100	100	100
Transverse Cracking Index	94	95	95	100	99	100
Patching Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Rutting Index	97	98	98	99	94	97
International Roughness Index (IRI)	119	127	107	111	137	94
Lane & Width Information						
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)	24.2	24.7	24.7	22.6	22.8	23.5
Lane Width (ft)	10	10.2	10.5	9.9	9.5	9.8

ROUTE 0011: KELSO-CIMA ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Ro	ıte Condition Legend – Pav	rement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
		(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Rated	
	,					
	condition scores at 0.10-mile		e Appendix 10			
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	10	11	12	13	14
Paved Length (Miles): 18.96	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary		•			
Roadway Condition Information						
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	96	99	99	98	98	97
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	94	98	98	97	96	97
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	98	100	100	100	100	96
Distress Index Values						
Structural Crack Index	94	100	100	100	99	100
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack Index	94	100	100	100	99	100
Transverse Cracking Index	94	100	100	100	99	100
Patching Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Rutting Index	97	98	98	97	96	97
International Roughness Index (IRI)	119	93	105	110	107	125
Lane & Width Information						
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)	24.2	22.9	22.6	23.2	24.4	23.4
Lane Width (ft)	10	9.9	9.5	9.6	10.3	10.5

ROUTE 0011: KELSO-CIMA ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Pouto (Condition Legend – Pav	amant Candi	tion Poting (PCP)		
Poor (0 - 60			(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Ra	ted
Colors	on map represent con-	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date:	4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	15	16	17	18	
Paved Length (Mile	es): 18.96	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	0.96	
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition	n Information						
Pavement Condition	on Rating (PCR)	96	96	97	97	96	
Surface Condition R	Rating (SCR)	94	99	99	99	99	
Roughness Condition	on Index (RCI)	98	92	94	95	91	
Distress Index Values							
Structural Crack In	ndex	94	100	100	100	100	
Alligator Crack Inc	dex	100	100	100	100	100	
Longitudinal Crack	x Index	94	100	100	100	100	
Transverse Crackir	ng Index	94	100	100	100	100	
Patching Index		100	100	100	100	99	
Rutting Index		97	99	99	99	99	
International Roug	hness Index (IRI)	119	135	130	128	137	
Lane & Width Info	rmation						
Number of Lanes		2	2	2	2	2	
Paved Width (ft)		24.2	25.2	26.4	25.3	22.6	
Lane Width (ft)		10	9.6	9.8	9.9	9.5	

ROUTE 0012: CIMA ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Pouto (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Poting (PCP)		
Poor (0 - 60			(85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100)		Not Rated	
Colors	on map represent con-	dition scores at 0.10-mile intervals. See Appendix for definitions and formulas.					
Inspection Date:	4/25/2017	Beginning Section MP	0	1	2	3	4
Paved Length (Mile	es): 17.64	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary				•	
Roadway Condition Information							
Pavement Conditio	on Rating (PCR)	86	90	94	91	91	79
Surface Condition R	ating (SCR)	84	94	94	91	94	87
Roughness Conditio	n Index (RCI)	89	85	95	92	87	66
Distress Index Valu	es						
Structural Crack In	dex	93	94	98	98	97	94
Alligator Crack Inc	lex	100	100	100	100	100	99
Longitudinal Crack	Index	93	94	98	98	97	95
Transverse Crackin	ig Index	84	97	94	91	94	87
Patching Index		99	100	100	99	98	99
Rutting Index		94	96	94	96	95	93
International Rougi	hness Index (IRI)	142	153	127	134	149	217
Lane & Width Info	rmation						
Number of Lanes		2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)		23.5	23.4	22.8	24.6	23.3	24
Lane Width (ft)		10	9.8	9.7	9.6	10.6	9.8

ROUTE 0012: CIMA ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60)			(85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 100)		Not Ra	ted	
Colors or	n map represent cond	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date:	4/25/2017	Beginning Section MP	5	6	7	8	9
Paved Length (Miles)): 17.64	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition	Information						
Pavement Condition	Rating (PCR)	86	87	88	94	92	97
Surface Condition Rat	ting (SCR)	84	91	92	93	92	95
Roughness Condition	Index (RCI)	89	80	81	95	91	99
Distress Index Values	S						
Structural Crack Inde	ex	93	99	99	98	93	97
Alligator Crack Inde	X	100	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack I	Index	93	99	99	98	93	97
Transverse Cracking	Index	84	96	98	96	92	96
Patching Index		99	98	99	99	99	100
Rutting Index		94	91	92	93	92	95
International Roughr	ness Index (IRI)	142	168	165	127	138	117
Lane & Width Inform	mation						
Number of Lanes		2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)		23.5	23.9	23.9	23.2	24.2	22.9
Lane Width (ft)		10	9.4	9.8	9.7	10.1	10.2

ROUTE 0012: CIMA ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60	_		(85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100) Not Rated			ted
Colors	on map represent con	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date:	4/25/2017	Beginning Section MP	10	11	12	13	14
Paved Length (Mile	es): 17.64	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition	Information						
Pavement Condition	n Rating (PCR)	86	96	98	91	77	79
Surface Condition R	ating (SCR)	84	94	97	91	71	70
Roughness Condition	n Index (RCI)	89	100	100	90	87	92
Distress Index Values							
Structural Crack Inc	dex	93	98	98	96	96	97
Alligator Crack Ind	lex	100	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack	Index	93	98	98	96	96	97
Transverse Crackin	g Index	84	97	97	92	71	70
Patching Index		99	100	100	99	100	100
Rutting Index		94	94	97	91	95	97
International Rough	nness Index (IRI)	142	114	94	142	149	134
Lane & Width Infor	rmation						
Number of Lanes		2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)		23.5	24.3	23.2	23.3	22.9	24.1
Lane Width (ft)		10	10.4	10.5	10.5	10.4	10.2

ROUTE 0012: CIMA ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 6			(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Rate	ed
Colors	on map represent con-	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date:	4/25/2017	Beginning Section MP	15	16	17		
Paved Length (Mile	es): 17.64	Section Length (MI)	1	1	0.64		
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition	n Information						
Pavement Condition	on Rating (PCR)	86	71	48	62		
Surface Condition R	Rating (SCR)	84	61	16	42		
Roughness Condition	on Index (RCI)	89	85	95	91		
Distress Index Valu	es						
Structural Crack In	ıdex	93	84	61	64		
Alligator Crack Inc	dex	100	100	100	91		
Longitudinal Crack	Index	93	84	61	73		
Transverse Crackin	ng Index	84	61	16	42		
Patching Index		99	100	100	100		
Rutting Index		94	94	95	96		
International Roug	hness Index (IRI)	142	155	127	139		
Lane & Width Info	rmation						
Number of Lanes		2	2	2	2		
Paved Width (ft)		23.5	22	22.6	25.6		
Lane Width (ft)		10	10	9.7	9.9		

ROUTE 0013: MORNING STAR MINE ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route C	Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60)			(85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100)		Not Rated	
Colors o	n map represent cond	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date:	4/25/2017	Beginning Section MP	0	1	2	3	4
Paved Length (Miles	s): 14.99	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition	Information						
Pavement Condition	Rating (PCR)	92	94	94	89	93	95
Surface Condition Ra	ting (SCR)	86	97	96	97	98	91
Roughness Condition	Index (RCI)	100	89	90	78	86	100
Distress Index Values							
Structural Crack Ind	ex	92	98	97	100	100	93
Alligator Crack Inde	ex	100	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack	Index	92	98	97	100	100	93
Transverse Cracking	Index	86	99	96	100	100	91
Patching Index		100	100	100	100	100	100
Rutting Index		97	97	97	97	98	97
International Rough	ness Index (IRI)	114	144	141	175	152	103
Lane & Width Inform	mation						
Number of Lanes		2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)		23.4	22.6	23.8	24.3	24.6	24.7
Lane Width (ft)		9.8	9.5	9.6	9.9	9.8	10.5

ROUTE 0013: MORNING STAR MINE ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Rou	te Condition Legend – Pav	rement Condi	ition Rating (PCR)		
		(85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 100)			Not Rated	
	condition scores at 0.10-mile	1				
Inspection Date: 4/25/2017	Beginning Section MP		6	7	8	9
Paved Length (Miles): 14.99	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition Information						
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	92	95	96	93	78	80
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	86	92	93	88	64	67
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	100	100	100	100	100	100
Distress Index Values						
Structural Crack Index	92	92	95	89	87	84
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack Index	92	92	95	89	87	84
Transverse Cracking Index	86	92	93	88	64	67
Patching Index	100	99	100	100	100	100
Rutting Index	97	98	97	98	97	99
International Roughness Index (IRI)	114	89	80	98	85	113
Lane & Width Information						
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)	23.4	24.9	23.9	23.6	22.4	22.5
Lane Width (ft)	9.8	10.3	9.8	9.6	9.2	9.4

ROUTE 0013: MORNING STAR MINE ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route	Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60) Fair (Good ((85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100)		Not Rated	
Colors on map represent con	ndition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date: 4/25/2017	Beginning Section MP	10	11	12	13	14
Paved Length (Miles): 14.99	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	0.99
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition Information						
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	92	79	95	95	87	82
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	86	65	92	91	78	74
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	100	100	100	100	100	95
Distress Index Values						
Structural Crack Index	92	97	98	97	81	74
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack Index	92	97	98	97	81	74
Transverse Cracking Index	86	65	92	91	78	77
Patching Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Rutting Index	97	98	98	97	97	97
International Roughness Index (IRI)	114	100	113	108	90	127
Lane & Width Information						
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)	23.4	22.7	23.6	22.1	22.2	23.1
Lane Width (ft)	9.8	9.7	10.3	9.3	9.6	10

ROUTE 0014: IVANPAH ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route	Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60) Fair (6	Good ((85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100)		Not Rated	
Colors on map represent con	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date: 4/25/2017	Beginning Section MP	0	1	2	3	4
Paved Length (Miles): 11.57	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition Information						
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	69	98	94	89	80	84
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	52	96	90	82	66	73
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	94	100	100	100	100	100
Distress Index Values						
Structural Crack Index	52	98	98	82	66	75
Alligator Crack Index	94	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack Index	58	98	98	82	66	75
Transverse Cracking Index	54	96	90	82	66	73
Patching Index	99	100	100	100	100	100
Rutting Index	96	99	100	99	100	100
International Roughness Index (IRI)	129	82	61	79	66	61
Lane & Width Information						
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)	22.5	23	23.2	24.2	24	23.7
Lane Width (ft)	9.6	9.9	10.2	9.9	9.9	10.1

ROUTE 0014: IVANPAH ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)								
Poor (0 - 60					Not Ra	ted			
Colors	on map represent con	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.			
Inspection Date:	4/25/2017	Beginning Section MP	5	6	7	8	9		
Paved Length (Miles	s): 11.57	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1		
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary				!			
Roadway Condition	Information								
Pavement Condition	n Rating (PCR)	69	34	24	32	71	62		
Surface Condition Ra	ating (SCR)	52	0	0	8	53	54		
Roughness Condition	n Index (RCI)	94	86	59	67	99	74		
Distress Index Values									
Structural Crack Inc	lex	52	19	0	8	61	71		
Alligator Crack Inde	ex	94	87	62	81	100	99		
Longitudinal Crack	Index	58	32	0	27	61	72		
Transverse Cracking	g Index	54	0	0	14	53	54		
Patching Index		99	99	93	95	100	99		
Rutting Index		96	95	92	93	95	91		
International Rough	ness Index (IRI)	129	152	243	214	116	190		
Lane & Width Infor	mation								
Number of Lanes		2	2	2	2	2	2		
Paved Width (ft)		22.5	22.4	21.1	22	22.4	22.2		
Lane Width (ft)		9.6	9.9	9	9.1	9.4	9.3		

ROUTE 0014: IVANPAH ROAD

Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60			(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Rate	ed
Colors	on map represent con	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date:	4/25/2017	Beginning Section MP	10	11			
Paved Length (Mile	es): 11.57	Section Length (MI)	1	0.57			
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition	n Information						
Pavement Condition	on Rating (PCR)	69	55	68			
Surface Condition R	Rating (SCR)	52	36	52			
Roughness Condition	on Index (RCI)	94	84	91			
Distress Index Valu	ies						
Structural Crack In	ndex	52	36	52			
Alligator Crack Inc	dex	94	100	100			
Longitudinal Crack	x Index	58	36	52			
Transverse Crackin	ng Index	54	78	76			
Patching Index		99	100	100			
Rutting Index		96	90	91			
International Roug	hness Index (IRI)	129	158	137			
Lane & Width Info	rmation						
Number of Lanes		2	2	2			
Paved Width (ft)		22.5	21.5	20.4			
Lane Width (ft)		9.6	8.9	9.2			

ROUTE 0016: CEDAR CANYON ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60) Fair (6	1- 84) Good ((85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100)		Not Rated	
Colors on map represent con-	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	0	1	2		
Paved Length (Miles): 2.35	Section Length (MI)	1	1	0.35		
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition Information						
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	80	91	73	50		
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	79	92	73	50		
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	81	90	73	N/A		
Distress Index Values						
Structural Crack Index	83	92	79	66		
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100		
Longitudinal Crack Index	83	92	79	66		
Transverse Cracking Index	79	95	73	50		
Patching Index	98	98	98	95		
Rutting Index	88	95	89	70		
International Roughness Index (IRI)	166	140	190	N/A		
Lane & Width Information						
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2		
Paved Width (ft)	24.3	25.2	24.2	22.2		
Lane Width (ft)	12.1	12.5	12.1	11.1		

ROUTE 0017: BLACK CANYON ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Rout	Condition Legend – Pay	ement Condi	Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)								
		(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Ra	ted					
	ondition scores at 0.10-mile	1									
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP		1	2	3	4					
•	0 0	1		_	1	-					
Paved Length (Miles): 10.15	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1					
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary										
Roadway Condition Information											
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	83	95	89	84	80	86					
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	82	96	91	85	82	89					
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	85	94	85	83	78	82					
Distress Index Values											
Structural Crack Index	89	100	98	90	82	96					
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100					
Longitudinal Crack Index	89	100	98	90	82	96					
Transverse Cracking Index	82	99	99	85	82	95					
Patching Index	100	100	100	100	100	100					
Rutting Index	92	96	91	91	88	89					
International Roughness Index (IRI)	154	130	154	161	176	164					
Lane & Width Information											
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2					
Paved Width (ft)	26.9	25.4	25.7	27.1	27.3	28					
Lane Width (ft)	10.2	10.5	9.8	9.9	10	9.8					

ROUTE 0017: BLACK CANYON ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Т	toute Condition Legend – P	avement Condi	ition Rating (PCR)		
		d (85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100)		Not Rated	
	ent condition scores at 0.10-m					
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section M	-	6	7	8	9
Paved Length (Miles): 10.15	Section Length (MI)		1	1	1	1
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary	1	1	1 1	1	1
Roadway Condition Information						
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR	83	93	90	81	65	61
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	82	93	92	75	47	54
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	85	93	88	90	92	72
Distress Index Values						
Structural Crack Index	89	94	92	80	76	81
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack Index	89	94	92	80	76	81
Transverse Cracking Index	82	93	92	75	47	54
Patching Index	100	100	100	100	100	99
Rutting Index	92	95	94	95	94	89
International Roughness Index (IF	I) 154	131	146	140	136	194
Lane & Width Information						
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)	26.9	27.6	28.7	27.7	25.6	26.5
Lane Width (ft)	10.2	10.1	10.4	10.5	10.3	10.4

ROUTE 0017: BLACK CANYON ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)							
Poor (0 - 60			(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Ra	ted
Colors on map represent cond		dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date:	4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	10				
Paved Length (Mile	es): 10.15	Section Length (MI)	0.15				
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition	1 Information						
Pavement Conditio	n Rating (PCR)	83	64				
Surface Condition R	ating (SCR)	82	54				
Roughness Conditio	n Index (RCI)	85	80				
Distress Index Values							
Structural Crack Index		89	84				
Alligator Crack Inc	lex	100	100				
Longitudinal Crack	Index	89	84				
Transverse Crackin	g Index	82	54				
Patching Index		100	98				
Rutting Index		92	90				
International Rough	hness Index (IRI)	154	170				
Lane & Width Info	rmation						
Number of Lanes		2	2				
Paved Width (ft)		26.9	26				
Lane Width (ft)		10.2	10				

ROUTE 0018: ESSEX ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route	Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
		(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Ra	ted
Colors on map represent co	,	·		* 1		
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	0	1	2	3	4
Paved Length (Miles): 13.79	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary		•	•	•	
Roadway Condition Information						
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	89	52	90	82	91	93
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	88	26	84	91	94	93
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	90	92	99	69	87	94
Distress Index Values						
Structural Crack Index	91	32	88	95	99	98
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack Index	91	32	88	95	99	98
Transverse Cracking Index	88	26	84	91	95	93
Patching Index	99	98	100	99	99	100
Rutting Index	94	92	95	94	94	96
International Roughness Index (IRI)	141	134	118	205	150	131
Lane & Width Information						
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)	23.9	24	23.8	25	25.1	23.1
Lane Width (ft)	10	10.1	9.7	10.1	10.7	10.3

ROUTE 0018: ESSEX ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)						
Poor (0 - 60) Fair (6	1- 84) Good (Excellent (95 - 100)		95 - 100)	Not Rated	
Colors on map represent con-	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	5	6	7	8	9
Paved Length (Miles): 13.79	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	1
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition Information						
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	89	94	88	93	93	93
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	88	90	82	88	91	93
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	90	100	98	100	95	93
Distress Index Values						
Structural Crack Index	91	95	91	94	95	99
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack Index	91	95	91	94	95	99
Transverse Cracking Index	88	90	82	88	91	98
Patching Index	99	100	100	100	100	100
Rutting Index	94	96	93	93	94	93
International Roughness Index (IRI)	141	115	120	107	127	132
Lane & Width Information						
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)	23.9	24.1	24.3	24.3	22.6	23.7
Lane Width (ft)	10	10.4	9.9	10	10.1	9.9

ROUTE 0018: ESSEX ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)						
Poor (0 - 60) Fair (6	Good ((85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100)	Not Ra	ted
Colors on map represent con	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	10	11	12	13	
Paved Length (Miles): 13.79	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	0.79	
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition Information						
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	89	90	91	90	89	
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	88	95	94	94	95	
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	90	82	86	85	79	
Distress Index Values						
Structural Crack Index	91	99	98	98	97	
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	
Longitudinal Crack Index	91	99	98	98	97	
Transverse Cracking Index	88	99	99	98	97	
Patching Index	99	99	100	100	97	
Rutting Index	94	95	94	94	95	
International Roughness Index (IRI)	141	163	152	154	172	
Lane & Width Information						
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	
Paved Width (ft)	23.9	24	24.2	23	23.7	
Lane Width (ft)	10	9.8	9.5	9.3	9.6	

ROUTE 0100: ZZYZX ROAD

Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating




Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)						
Poor (0 - 60) Fair (6	1- 84) Good (85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 100)		95 - 100)	Not Rated	
Colors on map represent con-	dition scores at 0.10-mile	intervals. Se	e Appendix fo	or definitions	and formulas.	
Inspection Date: 4/24/2017	Beginning Section MP	0	1	2	3	4
Paved Length (Miles): 4.47	Section Length (MI)	1	1	1	1	0.69
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition Information						
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	90	78	93	88	92	89
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	94	80	95	93	94	93
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	83	75	90	81	90	82
Distress Index Values						
Structural Crack Index	96	81	100	100	100	100
Alligator Crack Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Longitudinal Crack Index	96	81	100	100	100	100
Transverse Cracking Index	95	80	100	100	100	100
Patching Index	100	100	100	100	100	100
Rutting Index	94	95	95	93	94	93
International Roughness Index (IRI)	160	183	142	167	141	164
Lane & Width Information						
Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2
Paved Width (ft)	20.1	20.9	19.9	19.4	20.8	20
Lane Width (ft)	10.1	10.6	10	9.7	10.4	10

Note: Route 0100 has a gravel section of 0.20 miles that was not included in the condition rating.

Section 6 Paved Parking Area Condition Rating Sheets



Mojave National Preserve



ROUTE 0900: KELSO DEPOT EAST PARKING AREA

Manual Rating

FROM ROUTE 0011 (KELSO-CIMA ROAD)

TO ROUTE 0011 (KELSO-CIMA ROAD)

	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type		
4/24/2017	228803	PUBLIC	ASPHALT		
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation		
29,051	0.5	6	DO NOTHING		
	b Type		utter Type		
CON	CRETE	NO CURB AND GUTTER			
	ecommendation	Condition Rating / PCR			
DO N	OTHING	EXCELLENT / 97			
		vement Condition Rating (PCR)			
Poor (0 - 60)		(85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 10	0) Not Rated		
	See Appendix for de	finitions and formulas			
		Rie. 0011			
	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TW				

180

360

ROUTE 0900: KELSO DEPOT EAST PARKING AREA

Condition Photos



MOJA_0900_0449.JPG



MOJA_0900_0451.JPG



MOJA_0900_0453.JPG



MOJA_0900_0450.JPG



MOJA_0900_0452.JPG



MOJA_0900_0454.JPG

ROUTE 0900: KELSO DEPOT EAST PARKING AREA


Condition Photos



MOJA_0900_0455.JPG



MOJA_0900_0457.JPG



MOJA_0900_0460.JPG



MOJA_0900_0456.JPG



MOJA_0900_0459.JPG



MOJA_0900_0462.JPG

ROUTE 0906: GRANITE PASS PARKING AREA

Manual Rating


FROM ROUTE 0010 (KELBAKER ROAD)

TO PARKING

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type		
4/24/2017	248766	PUBLIC	ASPHALT		
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation		
5,358	0.092	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE		
Curb	Туре	Curb & Gutter Type			
NO C	CURB	NO CURB AND GUTTER			
Pavement Rec	commendation	Condition Rating / PCR			
PREVENTIVE N	MAINTENANCE	GOOL	0 / 90		
Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)					
Poor (0 - 60)	· /	(85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 10	0) Not Rated		
	See Appendix for definitions and formulas				







ROUTE 0906: GRANITE PASS PARKING AREA

Condition Photos



MOJA_0906_0437.JPG



MOJA_0906_0439.JPG



MOJA_0906_0441.JPG



MOJA_0906_0438.JPG



MOJA_0906_0440.JPG



MOJA_0906_0443.JPG

ROUTE 0907: BAKER RESIDENCE PARKING AREA

Manual Rating

FROM CALTRANS AVENUE

TO PARKING


Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type	
4/24/2017	113329	PUBLIC	ASPHALT	
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation	
10,066	0.173	6	LIGHT REPAIR	
Curb	Туре	Curb & Gutter Type		
CONC	CRETE	NO CURB AND GUTTER		
Pavement Rec	commendation	Condition Rating / PCR		
LIGHT 3R T	REATMENTS	FAIR / 73		
Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)				
Poor (0 - 60)	Fair (61- 84) Good	(85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 10	0) Not Rated	


See Appendix for definitions and formulas











Mojave National Preserve

ROUTE 0907: BAKER RESIDENCE PARKING AREA

Condition Photos



MOJA_0907_0425.JPG



MOJA_0907_0429.JPG



MOJA_0907_0432.JPG



MOJA_0907_0427.JPG




MOJA_0907_0431.JPG



MOJA_0907_0433.JPG

Section 7 Road Milepost Information



Mojave National Preserve



Road Milepost Information

This report section contains road milepost information for all paved roads in the park that were collected with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV). The milepost data is obtained from the DCV by using a distance measuring instrument (DMI) that is calibrated to record mileage to the nearest thousandth of a mile. Park roads that were manually rated did not have milepost data collected, and thus are not included in this report section.

For Cycle 6, the information presented in this section differs from previous RIP cycles in that it does not contain the roadside features inventories for the paved park roads. Some examples of the features previously collected are signs, culverts/drop inlets, guardrails, curbing, pullouts, etc. If the park was collected in a previous RIP cycle, then the latest features data can be obtained by referencing the following:

Where to find the latest Features Inventories for NPS Parks:

- For Small Parks (parks with less than 10 miles of paved roads):
 - o Refer to Cycle 5 data (collected 2010 2014)
 - Features were reported in Section 9 of the *Cycle 5* RIP report
 - Video of features can be viewed using the PathViewVO program and Cycle 5 data
- For Large Parks (parks with more than 10 miles of paved roads):
 - o Refer to Cycle 4 data (collected 2006 2009)
 - Features were reported in Section 9 of the *Cycle 4* RIP report
 - Video of features can be viewed using the VisiData program and Cycle 4 data
 - O Note: Features inventories were updated in Large Parks in *Cycle 5* only on a route by route basis if the route was new or modified in *Cycle 5*. If this is the case for a particular route, then features for the route can be obtained using the *PathViewVO* program and *Cycle 5* data (same as above for Small parks).

Milepost Events Verified in Cycle 6

In Cycle 6, the following events were collected and reported in Section 7 of this report:

- Intersections with roads and parking areas
- All bridges and culverts with BIP Numbers (bridge inspection program numbers)
- Mile Marker Signs
- One-Way travel directions
- Overpasses
- Tunnels
- Low Water Crossings (LWCR)
- Surface type changes
- Construction areas where no pavement condition data was obtained

GPS Mileage Matching

A consistent survey milepost and constant route length as recorded by the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) is a challenge to maintain from one collection cycle to the next. The challenge is due to many factors such as driver characteristics, DMI calibration, tire pressure etc. After Cycle 4 (~2010), a decision was made to hold constant the length of roads so long as there was no physical change from reconstruction projects or realignments that would result in a change to the length of a road. Consequently, the "GPS Mileage Match" was implemented to specify which cycle the route length is being matched. Route mileages and GPS are matched to a previous collection whenever there is no physical change to a route alignment. The route mileage and GPS is not matched to previous cycles whenever it is determined that a road length and GPS needs to be updated. When this happens the GPS and length is updated to the cycle that displays the change, and that collection cycle is used as the matching cycle in subsequent collections of the road. Thus, the Cycle 6 GIS could be either the survey length collected in Cycle 4, Cycle 5, or Cycle 6 and therefore, may not match the survey milepost displayed in the latest Cycle 6 DCV video which is viewable in *PathView VO*.

The features inventories and road logs collected on NPS routes contain mileposts that are determined from the corresponding cycle that the GPS is matched to. Therefore, the mileposts contained in the Cycle 4 or 5 features inventories or the Cycle 6 road logs may not exactly match the survey milepost collected in the latest Cycle 6 video of the road.

Locating Mile Marker Signs

For routes that have mile marker signs along them, the milepost reported by RIP will most likely not line up exactly with the sign located in the field. This could be happening for many reasons, most likely due to either the error falling within the acceptable calibration range of the vehicle, or the level of accuracy that the mile marker signs were placed in the field.

Because mile marker signs are important features in many project plans and location descriptions, RIP is reporting locations of mile marker signs in three ways in Cycle 6:

- 1. Mileposts from Cycle 6 GIS: the official RIP milepost taken from the features inventories and the matching GPS/mileage cycle as described above. This is the milepost that should be used on project plans and when finding locations in the field
- 2. Mileposts from Cycle 6 Video: milepost shown to help locate the mile marker sign in the latest *PathView VO* video.
- 3. Latitude / Longitude: a constant way of locating a mile marker sign so long as the park has not moved the sign

The mileposts from Cycle 6 Video and GIS should be nearly the same, but on longer roads it has been observed that the Video milepost deviates more from the official GIS milepost that comes from the matching cycle.

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	N/A	PAVED ROUTE (HWY 127 / DEATH VALLEY ROAD/NON-NPS)
0.00	0.00	PARK BOUNDARY	N/A	NORTH PARK BOUNDARY
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (I-15 OFF RAMP/NON-NPS)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED ROUTE (I-15 ON RAMP/NON-NPS)
0.03	0.03	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (BAKER COMMUNITY COLLECTION CENTER)
0.03	0.03	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (TELEPHONE LINE ROAD)
0.27	0.27	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED PARKING (ENTRANCE SIGN)
0.87	0.87	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.90	0.90	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
1.00	1.00	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 1
1.45	1.45	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
1.46	1.46	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
1.89	1.89	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
2.03	2.03	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 2
3.03	3.03	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 3
4.04	4.04	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 4
5.05	5.05	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 5
5.09	5.09	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
5.74	5.74	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (HENRY SPRINGS ROAD)
5.74	5.74	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (HENRY SPRINGS ROAD)
6.06	6.06	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 6
7.07	7.07	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 7
8.08	8.08	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 8
9.10	9.10	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 9
10.11	10.11	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 10
10.45	10.45	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
10.52	10.52	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
10.53	10.53	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
11.12	11.12	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 11
12.13	12.13	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 12
12.23	12.23	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
12.75	12.75	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (SNAPPER SPRING ROAD)
13.14	13.14	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 13
13.49	13.49	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
13.82	13.82	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
13.91	13.91	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (MOJAVE ROAD)
13.92	13.92	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (MOJAVE ROAD)
14.15	14.15	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 14
15.16	15.16	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 15
15.36	15.36	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
16.17	16.17	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 16
16.81	16.81	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
17.18	17.18	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 17
17.68	17.68	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
18.20	18.20	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 18
19.21	19.21	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 19
19.69	19.69	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (AIKEN MINE ROAD / NON NPS)
19.70	19.70	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (JACKASS SPRING ROAD / NON NPS)
20.13	20.13	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
20.22	20.22	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 20
21.23	21.23	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 21
22.24	22.24	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 22
23.08	23.08	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (POWER LINE ROAD)
23.10	23.10	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (POWER LINE ROAD)
23.11	23.11	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
23.25	23.25	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 23
24.36	24.36	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 24

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
25.37	25.37	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 25
26.36	26.36	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 26
27.38	27.38	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 27
28.39	28.39	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 28
29.40	29.40	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 29
30.41	30.41	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 30
31.42	31.42	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 31
32.42	32.42	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 32
33.42	33.42	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 33
34.44	34.44	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 34
34.55	34.55	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (PRIVATE)
34.59	34.62	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
34.60	34.60	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
34.63	34.63	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0011 (KELSO-CIMA ROAD)
34.65	34.65	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
34.66	34.66	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
34.67	34.67	RAILROAD CROSSING	N/A	N/A
34.67	34.67	RAILROAD CROSSING	N/A	N/A
34.67	34.67	RAILROAD CROSSING	N/A	N/A
34.68	34.68	RAILROAD CROSSING	N/A	N/A
34.68	34.68	RAILROAD CROSSING	N/A	N/A
34.70	34.70	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD HOUSING ACCESS)
34.70	34.70	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
34.74	34.74	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
34.76	34.76	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
34.78	34.78	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
35.33	35.36	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
35.40	35.40	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
35.45	35.45	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 35
36.47	36.47	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 36
37.01	37.03	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
37.23	37.23	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (PHONE LINE ROAD)
37.48	37.48	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 37
38.35	38.35	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
38.51	38.51	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 38
38.56	38.56	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
38.70	38.73	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
39.52	39.52	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 39
40.53	40.53	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 40
41.55	41.55	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 41
42.48	42.48	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0202 (KELSO DUNES ROAD)
42.56	42.56	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 42
42.62	42.62	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (GAS LINE ROAD)
42.71	42.71	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (NON NPS)
42.72	42.72	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (NON NPS)
42.82	42.82	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
43.39	43.39	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (POWER LINE ROAD)
43.57	43.57	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 43
44.59	44.59	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 44
45.60	45.60	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 45
45.76	45.76	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
46.55	46.55	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (GROVER CANYON ROAD)
46.63	46.63	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 46
47.12	47.12	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
47.37	47.37	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
47.39	47.39	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
47.65	47.65	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 47
48.67	48.67	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 48
49.10	49.10	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
49.13	49.13	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
49.13	49.13	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
49.15	49.15	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (SERVICE ROAD)
49.16	49.16	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (SERVICE ROAD)
49.18	49.18	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
49.18	49.18	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0906 (GRANITE PASS PARKING)
49.68	49.68	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 49
50.20	50.22	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
50.53	50.53	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
50.53	50.53	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (HIDDEN HILLS ROAD)
50.69	50.69	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 50
50.73	50.73	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
51.33	51.33	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED PARKING
51.35	51.35	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED PARKING
51.35	51.35	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (POWER LINE ROAD)
51.67	51.67	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
51.67	51.67	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
51.70	51.70	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 51
51.74	51.74	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
52.71	52.71	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 52
52.98	52.98	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
53.72	53.72	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 53
54.69	54.69	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
54.74	54.74	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 54

ROUTE 0010: KELBAKER ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
55.17	55.17	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
55.73	55.73	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 55
56.06	56.06	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED PARKING (ENTRANCE SIGN)
56.75	56.75	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
56.98	56.98	INTERSECTION	N/A	PAVED ROUTE (KELBAKER ROAD NON NPS)
56.98	56.98	PARK BOUNDARY	N/A	SOUTH PARK BOUNDARY

ROUTE 0011: KELSO-CIMA ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0010 (KELBAKER ROAD)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0010 (KELBAKER ROAD)
0.04	0.04	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED PARKING
0.07	0.07	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED PARKING
0.11	0.11	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0900 (KELSO DEPOT EAST PARKING AREA)
0.13	0.13	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.19	0.19	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.19	0.19	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0900 (KELSO DEPOT EAST PARKING AREA)
0.23	0.23	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
0.23	0.23	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.52	0.52	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.66	0.66	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
0.75	0.75	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 18
0.99	0.99	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
1.01	1.01	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
1.03	1.03	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
1.12	1.12	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
1.16	1.16	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
1.17	1.18	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
1.25	1.25	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
1.46	1.46	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
1.48	1.48	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
1.50	1.50	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
1.59	1.59	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
1.73	1.73	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
1.74	1.76	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
1.77	1.77	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 17
1.79	1.79	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)

ROUTE 0011: KELSO-CIMA ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
2.15	2.15	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
2.16	2.19	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
2.20	2.20	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
2.41	2.41	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
2.42	2.44	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
2.46	2.46	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
2.77	2.77	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 16
2.88	2.88	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
2.88	2.90	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
2.90	2.90	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
3.33	3.35	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
3.58	3.58	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
3.79	3.79	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 15
3.80	3.80	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (GLOBE MINE ROAD)
3.80	3.81	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
3.82	3.82	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
4.01	4.01	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
4.02	4.03	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
4.04	4.04	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
4.47	4.47	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
4.51	4.51	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
4.60	4.60	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
4.71	4.73	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
4.74	4.74	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
4.80	4.80	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 14

ROUTE 0011: KELSO-CIMA ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
5.36	5.38	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
5.56	5.56	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
5.57	5.60	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
5.58	5.58	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
5.61	5.61	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
5.81	5.81	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 13
6.16	6.16	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
6.17	6.17	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
6.20	6.23	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
6.23	6.23	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
6.59	6.62	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
6.63	6.63	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
6.66	6.66	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
6.70	6.70	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
6.81	6.81	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 12
7.02	7.02	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
7.04	7.04	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
7.06	7.06	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
7.26	7.26	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
7.28	7.28	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
7.33	7.33	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
7.36	7.38	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
7.39	7.39	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
7.58	7.58	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
7.59	7.62	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
7.63	7.63	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)

ROUTE 0011: KELSO-CIMA ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
7.82	7.82	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 11
7.93	7.93	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
8.08	8.08	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
8.29	8.29	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
8.30	8.32	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
8.33	8.33	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (MACEDONIA CANYON ROAD) SPUR
8.45	8.45	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
8.49	8.49	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
8.56	8.56	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
8.58	8.59	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
8.59	8.59	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (MACEDONIA CANYON ROAD)
8.60	8.60	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
8.75	8.75	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
8.77	8.77	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
8.78	8.78	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
8.83	8.83	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 10
9.15	9.15	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
9.16	9.18	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
9.18	9.18	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
9.53	9.53	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
9.53	9.55	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
9.56	9.56	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
9.85	9.85	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 9
9.95	9.95	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
9.97	9.97	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
9.98	10.00	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
10.01	10.01	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)

ROUTE 0011: KELSO-CIMA ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
10.22	10.22	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
10.23	10.25	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
10.27	10.27	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
10.55	10.55	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
10.58	10.59	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
10.61	10.61	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
10.86	10.86	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 8
11.21	11.21	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
11.27	11.30	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
11.51	11.51	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
11.56	11.58	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
11.57	11.57	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
11.81	11.81	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
11.87	11.87	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 7
11.99	11.99	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
12.02	12.02	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
12.23	12.28	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
12.41	12.41	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
12.41	12.42	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
12.42	12.42	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
12.48	12.48	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
12.54	12.54	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
12.57	12.57	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
12.88	12.88	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 6
12.94	12.94	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
13.01	13.02	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA

ROUTE 0011: KELSO-CIMA ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
13.03	13.03	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
13.26	13.27	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
13.28	13.28	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
13.52	13.52	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
13.63	13.63	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
13.64	13.65	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
13.66	13.66	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
13.89	13.89	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 5
14.15	14.15	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
14.15	14.17	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
14.19	14.19	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
14.32	14.32	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
14.34	14.34	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0016 (CEDAR CANYON ROAD)
14.45	14.48	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
14.50	14.50	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
14.55	14.55	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
14.90	14.90	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 4
14.98	14.98	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
15.08	15.08	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
15.32	15.32	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
15.33	15.34	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
15.36	15.36	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
15.65	15.65	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
15.70	15.70	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
15.72	15.72	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
15.74	15.76	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA

ROUTE 0011: KELSO-CIMA ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
15.77	15.77	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
15.91	15.91	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 3
16.23	16.23	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
16.25	16.26	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
16.29	16.29	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
16.70	16.70	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
16.73	16.74	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
16.75	16.75	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
16.92	16.92	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 2
17.07	17.07	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
17.15	17.15	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
17.26	17.26	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
17.29	17.29	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
17.32	17.32	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
17.89	17.89	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
17.93	17.93	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 1
17.96	17.96	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
18.16	18.16	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
18.46	18.46	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
18.63	18.63	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (PRIVATE)
18.68	18.68	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
18.73	18.73	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
18.76	18.76	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED PARKING (CIMA POST OFFICE)
18.79	18.79	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED PARKING (CIMA POST OFFICE)
18.81	18.81	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED PARKING (CIMA POST OFFICE)
18.83	18.83	RAILROAD CROSSING	N/A	N/A
18.88	18.88	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
18.93	18.93	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)

ROUTE 0011: KELSO-CIMA ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
18.94	18.94	RAILROAD CROSSING	N/A	N/A
18.96	18.96	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0012 (CIMA ROAD)
18.96	18.96	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0013 (MORNING STAR MINE ROAD)

ROUTE 0012: CIMA ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0013 (MORNING STAR MINE ROAD)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0011 (KELSO-CIMA ROAD)
0.11	0.11	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.27	0.27	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 17
0.59	0.59	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
1.29	1.29	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 16
1.40	1.40	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (POWER LINE ROAD)
1.40	1.40	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (POWER LINE ROAD)
2.27	2.27	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 15
2.50	2.50	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
3.26	3.26	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 14
4.24	4.24	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE 0400 (KESSLER SPRINGS ROAD)
4.27	4.27	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 13
4.66	4.66	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
4.66	4.66	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
5.21	5.21	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE 0400 (KESSLER SPRINGS ROAD)
5.29	5.29	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 12
5.32	5.34	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
5.78	5.78	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
6.18	6.18	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
6.30	6.30	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
6.31	6.31	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 11
6.40	6.40	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED PARKING (TRAILHEAD TEUTONIA PEAK)
7.30	7.30	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 10
7.66	7.66	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE 0205 (VALLEY VIEW ROAD)
8.30	8.30	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 9
9.27	9.27	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 8
10.28	10.28	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 7
10.48	10.48	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE

ROUTE 0012: CIMA ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
10.49	10.49	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
10.58	10.58	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
10.62	10.62	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
11.27	11.27	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 6
12.28	12.28	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 5
13.28	13.28	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 4
14.26	14.26	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 3
15.28	15.28	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 2
15.90	15.90	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (XENO ROAD / PRIVATE)
16.30	16.30	MILE MARKER	L	MILE MARKER 1
16.86	16.86	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (MONUMENT ROUTE)
17.38	17.38	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (PRIVATE)
17.39	17.39	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
17.44	17.44	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (TELEPHONE LINE ROAD)
17.45	17.45	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
17.54	17.54	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
17.55	17.55	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
17.56	17.56	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED PARKING (GAS STATION)
17.58	17.58	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED PARKING (GAS STATION)
17.61	17.61	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED PARKING (PRIVATE)
17.64	17.64	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED ROUTE (I-15 OFF RAMP)
17.64	17.64	INTERSECTION	N/A	PAVED ROUTE (CIMA ROAD ((STATE MAINTAINED / NON NPS))
17.64	17.64	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (I-15 ON RAMP)
17.64	17.64	PARK BOUNDARY	N/A	NORTH PARK BOUNDARY

ROUTE 0013: MORNING STAR MINE ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0012 (CIMA ROAD)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0011 (KELSO-CIMA ROAD)
0.03	0.03	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
0.11	0.11	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (DEATH VALLEY MINE ROAD)
0.14	0.14	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (DEATH VALLEY MINE ROAD)
1.02	1.02	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 1
1.67	1.67	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (POWER LINE ROAD)
1.68	1.68	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (POWER LINE ROAD)
1.76	1.76	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (POWER LINE ROAD)
2.03	2.03	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 2
3.02	3.02	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 3
4.02	4.02	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
4.05	4.05	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 4
4.11	4.11	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
5.04	5.04	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 5
5.99	5.99	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 6
6.51	6.51	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
7.00	7.00	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 7
7.93	7.93	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 8
8.95	8.95	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 9
9.27	9.27	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (STAR MINE ROAD)
9.30	9.30	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (STAR MINE ROAD) SPUR
9.95	9.95	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 10
10.82	10.82	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
10.92	10.92	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 11
11.94	11.94	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 12
12.94	12.94	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 13
13.96	13.96	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 14
14.99	14.99	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0014 (IVANPAH ROAD)

ROUTE 0013: MORNING STAR MINE ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
14.99	14.99	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0014 (IVANPAH ROAD)

ROUTE 0014: IVANPAH ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 5003 (IVANPAH ROAD (EXTENSION))
0.11	0.11	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED PARKING (ENTRANCE SIGN)
0.23	0.23	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.62	0.62	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.68	0.68	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
1.14	1.14	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 1
1.52	1.52	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
2.10	2.10	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
2.13	2.13	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE SPUR
2.13	2.13	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 2
2.54	2.54	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
2.74	2.74	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0013 (MORNING STAR MINE ROAD)
3.14	3.14	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 3
3.30	3.32	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
3.52	3.54	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
3.76	3.77	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
4.13	4.13	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 4
4.24	4.25	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
5.13	5.13	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 5
6.13	6.13	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 6
6.13	6.13	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (SADDLE HORN ROAD)
6.20	6.20	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (POWER LINE ROAD)
6.21	6.21	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (PRIVATE)
7.14	7.14	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 7
8.16	8.16	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 8
9.11	9.11	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)
9.11	9.11	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RAILROAD ACCESS)

ROUTE 0014: IVANPAH ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
9.13	9.13	RAILROAD CROSSING	N/A	N/A
9.13	9.13	RAILROAD CROSSING	N/A	N/A
9.14	9.14	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
9.15	9.15	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
9.18	9.18	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 9
9.35	9.35	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
9.50	9.50	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
9.65	9.66	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
9.95	9.97	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
10.15	10.15	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 10
11.18	11.18	MILE MARKER	R	MILE MARKER 11
11.57	11.57	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0014 (IVANPAH ROAD) UNPAVED SECTION

ROUTE 0016: CEDAR CANYON ROAD

FROM	TO			
MILEPOST	MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0011 (KELSO-CIMA ROAD)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0011 (KELSO-CIMA ROAD)
0.02	0.02	RAILROAD CROSSING	N/A	N/A
0.79	0.79	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
2.35	2.35	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0016 (CEDAR CANYON ROAD) UNPAVED SECTION

ROUTE 0017: BLACK CANYON ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0018 (ESSEX ROAD)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0018 (ESSEX ROAD)
0.28	0.28	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED PARKING
0.35	0.35	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (POWER LINE ROAD)
0.36	0.36	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (POWER LINE ROAD)
1.42	1.42	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
1.99	1.99	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
2.05	2.05	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
2.10	2.10	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
3.13	3.15	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
3.31	3.34	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
3.59	3.61	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
4.16	4.19	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
4.38	4.38	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
5.75	5.75	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (PRIMARY CAMPSITE ROAD)
5.78	5.80	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
6.66	6.68	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
7.94	7.94	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
8.03	8.07	LOW WATER CROSSING	N/A	HIGH WATER FLOW AREA
8.68	8.68	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
9.03	9.03	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
9.04	9.04	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
9.55	9.55	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (HOLE IN THE WALL CANYON)
9.78	9.78	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (HOLE IN THE WALL ROAD)
9.78	9.78	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE 0215 (HW FIRE CENTER ROAD)
9.95	9.95	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE 0215 (HW FIRE CENTER ROAD) SPUR

ROUTE 0017: BLACK CANYON ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
10.04	10.04	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE 0212 (HW CAMPGROUND ROAD)
10.05	10.05	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
10.15	10.15	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0017 (BLACK CANYON ROAD) UNPAVED SECTION

ROUTE 0018: ESSEX ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	N/A	PAVED ROUTE (ESSEX ROAD)
0.00	0.00	PARK BOUNDARY	N/A	SOUTH PARK BOUNDARY
0.44	0.44	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED PARKING (ENTRANCE SIGN / TURNAROUND)
0.46	0.46	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED PARKING (ENTRANCE SIGN / TURNAROUND)
1.66	1.66	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
2.17	2.17	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (HIDDEN HILL)
9.71	9.71	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (BROTHER CANYON)
9.71	9.71	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0017 (BLACK CANYON ROAD)
9.73	9.73	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED PARKING
10.10	10.10	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (POWER LINE ROAD)
10.11	10.11	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (POWER LINE ROAD)
10.55	10.55	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (RANCH ROAD)
13.79	13.79	INTERSECTION	N/A	PAVED ROUTE (ESSEX ROAD)
13.79	13.79	PARK BOUNDARY	N/A	CA STATE PARK BOUNDARY

ROUTE 0100: ZZYZX ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED ROUTE (INTERSTATE 15 (TEMECULA VALLEY FREEWAY) RAMP)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	N/A	PAVED ROUTE (ARROWHEAD TRAIL ROAD)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (INTERSTATE 15 (TEMECULA VALLEY FREEWAY) RAMP)
0.15	0.15	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.46	0.46	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
1.11	1.11	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
3.19	3.19	SURFACE TYPE	N/A	GRAVEL
3.40	3.40	SURFACE TYPE	N/A	ASPHALT
4.49	4.49	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
4.64	4.64	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
4.66	4.66	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
4.69	4.69	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (PAUL TIBERIUS ROAD)
4.69	4.69	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE (PAUL TIBERIUS ROAD)

Section 8 Appendix



Mojave National Preserve



Improvements to the RIP Index Equations and Determination of PCR

In 2005, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) began implementing the use of a Pavement Management System (PMS) to assist the National Park Service (NPS) in prioritizing Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities. The PMS used by FHWA is the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) which has the ability to store inventory and condition data from the Road Inventory Program (RIP) and forecast future performance using prediction models. Outputs include performance and condition reports at the National, Region, Park, or Route level. A regional prioritized list and optimization have been produced for most regions and the Federal Highway Deferred Maintenance is calculated via the HPMA as well.

In an effort to improve the accuracy of treatment recommendations and pavement condition descriptions the distresses and indexes that comprise the Pavement Condition Rating (PCR), an extensive study was completed throughout 2010 that has resulted in changes to the RIP condition reporting method and specifically, the calculation of PCR. It was determined that a better representation of PCR could be achieved by modifying the relative impact certain distresses would have on the overall rating.

Through the use of HPMA data, it was noted that false failure indicators existed with the existing PCR model, and that it would be necessary to reduce their impact. The distresses affected in this way were Rutting and Roughness. Conversely, experience showed that roadways with extensive cracking present were often shown to have a high PCR. Therefore, the crack index models were adjusted to be more sensitive to changes in crack severity or quantity. It was also determined that these issues were not due to a problem with data acquisition (i.e. the RIP "van"), but with the way the collected data was processed. The final change was to provide guidance on when to use the Roughness Condition Index (RCI) in the PCR calculation. Roughness data is of little value to determining overall condition on routes that, due to their length or geometrics, have lower vehicle operating speeds. Therefore, in Cycle 5, only routes that have lengths of one half mile or greater and posted speed limits of 25 mph or greater will have RCI reported and included in the PCR calculations.

Additionally, methodologies were updated in 2013 for Manually Rated Routes (paved routes that the collection vehicle is unable to drive) as well as Parking Areas to provide more accurate condition data to the HPMA. These updated methodologies allow for the efficient assessment of pavement conditions using a visual inspection method to denote specific distresses. These distresses are indicative of current conditions, the causes for current and future deterioration, and identify the level of targeted repair and rehabilitation practices required.

The changes that were implemented were endorsed by management at both the FHWA and NPS. In order to show the effectiveness of these changes, several sites were ground truth tested in early 2014 to ensure that an improvement was achieved between the relationship of PCR and the actual Maintenance and Rehabilitation needs that were represented. The changes will allow greater use of RIP and HPMA data for not simply condition data reporting, but also as a reliable tool for project identification and selection.

Description of the Rating System

The Federal Highway Administration, National Park Service Road Inventory Program (NPS-RIP), collects roadway condition data on paved surfaces (asphalt, concrete, brick, and cobblestone) on roads, parkways, and parking areas in national parks nationwide. The road surface condition data is collected using an automated Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) and manually using Manually Rated Route (MRR) procedures. Roads having brick or cobblestone surfacing are not normally surveyed with the DCV, but are manually rated for condition rating.

The FHWA RIP is implemented based on the premise that an accurate pavement surface condition assessment can be accomplished using automated crack detection technology as applied to digital images. Various methods of pavement condition assessment have been developed over the years with varying degrees of accuracy and acceptance. The use of digital photography to record pavement images and subsequent crack detection and classification has undergone continuous improvements over the past decade. Digital cameras with increasingly superior resolution and high definition have become more affordable, and the proprietary programming code and algorithms have been improved in crack detection software.

With the use of quality digital photography and automated crack detection software, FHWA RIP is tasked with executing a pavement condition assessment on a network of roughly 5,700 miles of National Park Service roads and parkways. Because a subset of roads will be collected multiple times this cycle, the total collection length will be around 13,000 miles. Foremost in setting up the basis of pavement distress identification is employing the distress identification protocols used by FHWA. There is no single distress identification system that is universal among entities conducting a program of distress identification. For the purpose of the NPS RIP, FHWA employs distress identification protocols that are specific to this program.

FHWA has referenced the "Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program", Publication No. FHWA-RD 03-031, June 2003, as the point-of- reference for distress types on NPS pavement. In truth, the FHWA RIP distress types are similar to those described in the LTPP manual with some modifications. This document, "Distress Identification Manual for the NPS Road Inventory Program, Cycle 6, 2014-2020" was developed using the "Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program" as a guideline. Definitions of severity levels based on crack width contained in this document adhere to the LTPP Distress ID Manual. Modifications have been made to the definition of Alligator and Longitudinal Cracking and determination of Alligator Cracking severity. This manual also addresses Rutting and Roughness and its application to RIP.

Cycle 6 has launched in the spring of 2014 and will again comprise all parks, large and small, that are served by paved roads and/or parking areas. For Cycle 6, roughly 333 large and small parks will have all paved routes and parking areas collected at least once in the cycle, some will have multiple collections depending on the size of the park and the functional class of the route.

This "Distress Identification Manual for the NPS Road Inventory Program, Cycle 6, 2014-2020" will be used as a reference resource in crack detection and classification, determination of distress severity and extent, and in the calculation of distress index values for the FHWA RIP Cycle 6.


Explanation of the Condition Descriptions

In addition to the RIP Index changes that were implemented in Cycle 5, we will also aim to provide greater assistance in translating good/fair/poor categories into pavement needs categories. The PCR can be used to indicate the place in the Pavement Life Cycle and the types of treatments that should be considered now and into the future.

- Excellent/New: PCR of 95-100. Pavements in this range will require only spot repairs
- Good: PCR of 85-94. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates for preventive maintenance. Examples include Chip and Slurry Seals, Micro Surfacing and Thin Overlays.
- Fair: PCR of 61-84. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Light Rehabilitation (L3R). Examples include single-lift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness, milling and overlays.
- Poor: PCR of 60 or below. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Heavy Rehabilitation or Reconstruction (H3R or 4R). Examples include Pulverization, Multiple Lift Overlays, and Reconstruction.

At this time, specific maintenance and rehabilitation activities should be evaluated and recommended at the project level. Site-specific conditions that influence treatment type should be determined based on performing a subsurface investigation and/or pavement condition survey, and not be based solely on RIP data. Additionally, RIP produces a snapshot of conditions the year in which the data was collected. For further information or to obtain additional PMS data from our (HPMA) please contact the Eastern Federal Lands pavement team.

Condition Categories and Treatments



Pavement Age

Description of Pavement Treatment Types

- 1. **Preventive Maintenance** is a planned strategy of cost-effective treatments to an existing roadway system and its appurtenances that preserves the system, retards future deterioration, and maintains or improves the functional condition of the system (without significantly increasing the structural capacity). Preventive maintenance is typically applied to pavements in good condition having significant remaining service life. As a major component of pavement preservation, preventive maintenance is a strategy of extending the service life by applying cost-effective treatments to the surface or near-surface of structurally sound pavements. Examples of preventive treatments include asphalt crack sealing, chip sealing, slurry or micro-surfacing, thin and ultrathin hot-mix asphalt overlay, concrete joint sealing, diamond grinding, dowel-bar retrofit, and isolated, partial and/or full-depth concrete repairs to restore functionality of individual slabs.
- 2. Pavement Rehabilitation consists of structural enhancements that extend the service life of an existing pavement and/or improve its load carrying capacity. Rehabilitation techniques include restoration treatments and structural overlays. Rehabilitation projects extend the life of existing pavement structures either by restoring existing structural capacity through the elimination of age-related, environmental cracking of embrittled pavement surface or by increasing pavement thickness to strengthen existing pavement sections to accommodate existing or projected traffic loading conditions. Two sub-categories result from these distinctions, which are directly related to the restoration or increase of structural capacity.
 - **Light Rehabilitation** (**L3R**) Examples include single-lift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness and milling and overlays for flexible pavements
 - **Heavy Rehabilitation (H3R)** Requires rehabilitation with grade improvement. H3R stands for resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation projects. H3R projects typically involve multi-depth (overlays greater than 2.5 inches) pavement improvement work (short of full-depth replacement) and targeted safety improvements. H3R projects generally involve retention of the existing three-dimensional alignment.
- 3. **Reconstruction** (4R) is defined as the replacement of the entire existing pavement structure by the placement of the equivalent or increased pavement structure. Reconstruction usually requires the complete removal and replacement of the existing pavement structure. Reconstruction may utilize either new or recycled materials incorporated into the materials used for the reconstruction of the complete pavement section. Reconstruction is required when a pavement has either failed or has become functionally obsolete.

Appendix A

Methodology for Determining Condition Ratings with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV)

Surface Distresses Identified by the Data Collection Vehicle

<u>Surface Condition Rating – SCR</u>

Surface distresses are measured in the primary lane only. In the classification and measurement of all paved surface condition data, results will be reported in the database in record intervals of 0.02 miles (105.6 feet) (smallest granularity) along the route.

Surface distresses and rutting are determined from digital images that provide both the longitudinal and transverse profile. The images also provide an elevation profile of the road, creating a 3-dimensional image of the paved surface.

- Transverse Cracks
- Longitudinal Cracks
- Alligator Cracks
- Patching/Potholes
- Rutting

Each of the five surface distresses is assigned a computed surface distress index

- Transverse Crack Index
- Longitudinal Crack Index
- Alligator Crack Index
- Patching/Pothole Index
- Rutting Index

Surface distress data are classified as listed above, measured for severity, and quantified for extent. Classification, severity, and extent of these five surface distresses comprise the three main elements for calculation of Surface Condition Rating (SCR).

In addition to the five surface distresses, a Structural Crack Index is computed, which is a combination of the Longitudinal Crack Index and the Alligator Crack Index. The Structural Crack Index is then used in lieu of the LC and AC indices to compute SCR.

Roughness Condition Index - RCI

Additional condition data measured by DCV (lasers and accelerometers)

• Roughness (IRI)

Roughness is measured by FHWA's DCV and reported as International Roughness Index (IRI) in inches/mile. Using IRI, the Roughness Condition Index (RCI) is computed.

Pavement Condition Rating - PCR

Using the SCR (computed from the five surface distresses) and the RCI, an overall Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) is computed. The formula for PCR is:

```
Asphalt PCR = (0.60 * SCR) + (0.40 * RCI)
Concrete PCR = RCI
```

A detailed description of each distress index formula, roughness index formula, SCR and PCR is provided in this document.

Each classified surface distress will fall into one or more severity - LOW, MEDIUM, or HIGH based on criteria listed. For each severity, an extent is established based on the measured quantity of the distress within that severity. Within each severity individual distresses are assigned a Maximum Allowable Extent (MAE). For example, LOW severity transverse cracking may be allowed up to 21.1 cracks within a 0.02 mile interval before it reaches MAE and fails.

The index formulas are based on a scale of 0 to 100. A PCR index value of 100 would indicate a "new" road with no measurable distresses or rough ride. A PCR value of 60 is determined to be terminable serviceability and the road is considered failed. The range of index values with condition descriptors is:

POOR = (less than or equal to 60), FAIR = (61 – 84), GOOD = (85 - 94), EXCELLENT = (95 - 100)

Index values are generally computed based on cumulative deducts of the measured severities. As shown in the index formulas below, as any single severity reaches or exceeds MAE, the index computes to a value of 60 or less, and the road fails for that 0.02 interval.

Note: As a result of a unique combination of measured surface distresses and IRI, index values occasionally compute to less than 0 or greater than 100. In this instance, an index value less than 0 defaults to 0. Index values greater than 100 defaults to 100. For all indices, a higher value indicates a better road condition, and a lower value indicates a poorer road condition.

On the following page, Table 1 summarizes the different types of distresses measured.

ASPHALT-SURFACED PAVEMENT DISTRESS TYPES WITH RUTTING AND ROUGHNESS				
Distress Type	Units Of Measure	Converted To	Defined Severity Levels?	Measured By
Alligator Cracking	Square Feet	Percent of Lane Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system
Transverse Cracking	Linear feet	Number of Cracks Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system
Longitudinal Cracking	Linear feet	Percent of Lane Length Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system
Patching / Potholes	Square Feet	Percent of Lane Per 0.02 Mile	No	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system
Rutting	Inches	Rut Depth Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system
Roughness	IRI	*RCI Per 0.02 Mile	No	DCV – Lasers / Accelerometers

^{*}Note: Roughness is measured on concrete roadways, but surface distresses and rutting are not measured.

For concrete, PCR = RCI

Table 1. Distress summary

Alligator Cracking

Description:

Alligator cracking is considered a combination of fatigue and block cracking. It is a series of interconnected cracks in various stages of development. Alligator cracking develops into a many-sided pattern that resembles chicken wire or alligator skin. It can occur anywhere in the road lane. Alligator cracking must have a quantifiable area.

Severity Levels:

LOW

An area with little to no interconnecting cracks with no visible spalling. Cracks are less than or equal to a mean width of 0.25 in. (6mm). Cracks in the pattern are no further apart than 1 foot (0.328 m). May be sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a crack width that cannot be determined.

MEDIUM

An area of interconnected cracks that form a complete pattern. Cracks may be slightly spalled. Cracks are greater than 0.25 in. (6 mm) but less than or equal to 0.75 in. (19 mm) or any crack with a mean width less than or equal to 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent low severity cracking. Cracks in the pattern are no further apart than 6 in. (150 mm).

HIGH

An area of interconnected cracks forming a complete pattern. Cracks are moderately or severely spalled. Cracks are greater than 0.75 in. (19mm) or any crack with a mean width less than or equal to 0.75 in. (19mm) and adjacent medium to high severity random cracking.

A combination of observed crack width and crack pattern is used to determine overall severity of alligator cracking. Based on above description of each severity, the highest level of crack width and crack pattern determines overall severity as shown in Table 2.

ALLIGATOR CRACKING SEVERITY LEVELS				
	CRACK	CRACK PATTERN		
	SEVERITY	LOW	MED	HIGH
CRACK WIDTH	LOW	LOW	MED	HIGH
	MED	MED	MED	HIGH
	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH

Table 2. Alligator Crack Severity Levels

Longitudinal Cracking

Description:

Longitudinal cracking occurs predominantly parallel to the pavement centerline. It can occur anywhere within the lane. Longitudinal cracks occurring in the wheelpath may be noteworthy.

Severity Levels:

LOW

Cracks with a mean width less than or equal to 0.25 in. (6 mm). This also includes sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a width that cannot be determined.

MEDIUM

Cracks with a mean width greater than 0.25 in. (6 mm) but less than 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width less than 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random low severity cracking.

HIGH

Cracks with a mean width greater than 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width less than 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random medium to high severity cracking.

Transverse Cracking

Description:

Transverse cracking occurs predominantly perpendicular to the pavement centerline. It can occur anywhere within the lane.

Severity Levels:

LOW

Cracks with a mean width of less than or equal to 0.25 in. (6 mm). Sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a width that cannot be determined.

MEDIUM

Cracks with a mean width greater 0.25 in. (6 mm) and less than or equal to 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width less than 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random low severity cracking.

HIGH

Cracks with a mean width greater than 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width less than 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random medium to high severity cracking.

Patching and Potholes

Description:

Patching is an area of pavement surface that has been removed and replaced with patching material or an area of pavement surface that has had additional patching material applied. Patching may encompass partial lane or full lane width. On full lane width patching; the total, contiguous length of patch may not exceed 0.100 mi. (0.161 km). (Any full-lane patch exceeding 0.100 mi. in length is considered a pavement change). Patching must have a quantifiable area.

Potholes are bowl-shaped holes of various sizes occurring in the pavement surface.

Manhole covers should not be rated as patches unless there is obvious patching around the manhole.

Speed bumps should not be rated as patches

Severity Levels:

There are no stratified severities for Patching and Potholes. They either are present or they are not.

RUTTING

Description:

Rutting is a longitudinal surface depression in the wheelpath.

Severity Levels:

LOW

Ruts with a measured depth of 0.20 inches to 0.49 inches Ruts less than 0.20 in. are not included in the distress calculations.

MEDIUM

Ruts with a measured depth of 0.50 inches to 0.99 inches

HIGH

Ruts with a measured depth greater than 1.00 inch

ROUGHNESS

Description:

Roughness is the measurement of the unevenness of the pavement in the direction of travel. It is measured in units of IRI (International Roughness Index), inches per mile, and is indicative of ride comfort.

Severity Levels:

There are no stratified severity levels for roughness. The roughness (or smoothness) of a road surface can be defined by IRI in the following table.

IRI DESCRIPTIONS		
Type of Road	Typical IRI (in/mile)	
New Road, no noticeable roughness	<90	
Small level of roughness	90 – 126	
Road of average roughness	126 – 190	
Road with above average roughness	190 – 253	
Road with severe roughness	253 – 380	
Nearly impassable	>380	

Table 3. International Roughness Index

Roughness Collection Parameters

On shorter roads with a lower speed limit the usefulness in collecting and reporting IRI is negligible. Lower, inconsistent speeds can lead to a less accurate IRI value. Therefore RIP has put in place the following protocols for reporting IRI.

International Roughness Index (IRI) is not reported on routes with the following criteria:

- Posted speed limit is less than 25 mph
- Length of route is less than 0.50 miles

When a collected route has a posted speed limit of at least 25 mph and length of at least 0.50 miles, IRI will be collected except on road sections where the speed is less than 20 mph

Other situations may arise where the speed and length factors are met, but reporting IRI could lead to an inaccurate PCR. RIP will determine whether or not it is reasonable to report IRI on these routes on a case by case basis.

Index Formulas

Note: All index formulas listed below contain MAE applicable to 0.02 mile (105.6 feet) interval.

Alligator Crack Index

AC INDEX =
$$100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 35) + (\%MED / 15) + (\%HI / 5)]$$

Where:

The values %LOW, %MED and %HI report the percentage of the observed pavement (0.02 mile, primary lane) that contains alligator cracking within the respective severities. These values range from 0 to 100.

%LOW = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity %MED = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity %HI = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Percent of total area is computed as:

square foot area of alligator crack severity (0.02 mile)*(lane width)

In AC_INDEX, the denominators 35, 15, and 5 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 35% of low severity alligator cracking for a 0.02 interval before failure, 15% for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Longitudinal Crack Index

$$LC_{INDEX} = 100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 175) + (\%MED / 75) + (\%HI / 25)]$$

Where:

The values %LOW, %MED, and %HI report the length of longitudinal cracking within each severity as a percent of the section length (0.02 mile, primary lane). These values are greater than or equal to 0 and can exceed 100.

%LOW = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity %MED = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity %HI = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Percent of interval length is computed as:

length of respective longitudinal cracking (0.02 mile)*(105.6 ft.)

In LC_INDEX, the denominators 175, 75, and 25 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 175% of low severity longitudinal cracking for a 0.02 interval before failure, 75% for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Structural Crack Index

$$SC_{INDEX} = [100 - ((100 - AC_{INDEX}) + (100 - LC_{INDEX}))]$$

Structural Crack Index is a combination of Alligator Cracking and Longitudinal Cracking, and is used in the SCR formula in lieu of AC and LC separately.

Transverse Crack Index

$$TC_{INDEX} = 100 - 40 * [(LOW / 21.1) + (MED / 4.4) + (HI / 2.6)]$$

Where:

The values LOW, MED and HI report a count of the total number of transverse cracks (reported to three decimals) within each severity level, where one transverse crack is equal to the lane width. These values are greater than or equal to 0.

LOW = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity MED = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity HI = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Number of cracks is computed as:

Total length of transverse cracks
Lane width

In TC_INDEX, the denominators 21.1, 4.4, and 2.6 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 21.1 low severity transverse cracks for a 0.02 interval before failure, 4.4 cracks for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Patching Index

PATCH_INDEX =
$$(100 - 40) * (\% PATCHING / 80)$$

Where:

The value %PATCHING reports the percentage of the observed pavement (0.02 mile, primary lane) that contains patching/potholes. This value ranges from 0 to 100.

%PATCHING = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length)

Percent of total area is computed as:

square foot area of patching/potholes (0.02 mile)*(lane width)

There are no severity levels for patching. It either exists or does not.

There are no severity levels for patching. It either exists or does not. In PATCH_INDEX, the denominator 80 is the Maximum Allowable Extent (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 80% patching for a 0.02 interval before failure. As you can see, if patching/potholes reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Rutting Index

RUT_INDEX =
$$100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 535) + (\%MED / 205) + (\%HI / 40)]$$

Where:

20 rut depth measurements are taken per 0.02 interval for each of 2 wheel paths (left and right), resulting in a total of 40 measurements taken for both wheel paths. Each wheelpath is analyzed independently for rut severities. The values %LOW, %MED and %HI report the percentage of the 40 measurements within that severity. These values range from 0 to 200.

%LOW = Percent of LOW ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of LOW ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

%MED = Percent of MED ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of MED ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

%HI = Percent of HI ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of HI ruts in right wheel path based on 20 ruts.

Percent of rut measurements within each severity can also be computed as:

$$\frac{(total\ number\ of\ ruts\ within\ each\ severity\ in\ both\ wheelpaths)}{20} \times 100$$

In RUT_INDEX, the denominators 535, 205, and 40 are the Maximum Allowable Extents for each severity; Low, Medium, and High, respectively. Only the MAE for high severity rutting can fail a section, since 200% of *only* low severity ruts would yield a rut index of 85 and 200% of *only* medium severity ruts would yield a rut index of 61.

Roughness Condition Index (Asphalt)

$$RCI = 32 * [5 * (2.718282^{(-.0041 * AVG IRI)})]$$

Where:

The value AVG IRI reports the average value of the Left IRI and Right IRI measurements for the interval (0.02 mile, primary lane). This value can range from approximately 40 to 999.0.

Average IRI is computed as:

There is no applicable threshold for failure for this index.

Roughness Condition Index (Concrete)

$$RCI = (-0.0012)(IRI^2) + (0.0499)(IRI) + 99.542$$

For concrete, PCR = RCI

Surface Condition Rating Index

SCR = Lowest Index Value Of: [SC_INDEX, TC_INDEX, PATCH_INDEX, RUT_INDEX]

Note: The modified SCR equation above combines AC_INDEX and LC_INDEX, and considers that a single AC/LC index value of the Structural Crack Index (SC_INDEX). The lowest of the four computed index values (SC_INDEX, TC_INDEX, PATCH_INDEX, or RUT_INDEX) becomes the SCR.

Where:

See above for determinations of SC_INDEX, TC_INDEX, PATCH_INDEX and RUT_INDEX.

The threshold for failure for this index is SCR = 60.Data Collection Vehicle Subsystems

Data on paved roads is collected by FHWA using a Pathway Services Inc. Data Collection Vehicle (DCV), called a PathRunner. The DCV is driven in the primary-direction lane at posted speed limits and less.

Cameras

Forward-facing and rear-facing video is collected as jpeg digital imagery files at a frequency of every 26.4feet.

Two forward-facing cameras are mounted above the vehicle cab, one pointed straight ahead and the other to the right shoulder providing seamless roughly 120 degree viewing. A third camera is mounted in the rear of the vehicle, recording the left shoulder.

CAMERA SPECIFICATIONS TWO FORWARD / ONE REAR FACING CAMERA		
Camera lens/type	Prosilica GT 2750 (GigE Technology)	
Image format	*.jpg	
Image resolution	2750 x 2200, 18 frames/second	
Image pixel size	depends on distance	
Zoom ratio	16mm Fixed	
	Aperture Range F 1.8 – Infinity (P-Iris,	
Iris range	Automatic	

Pavement Imaging and Rutting

High resolution rutting data and surface imaging are collected in a single data stream using a three-dimensional (3D) pavement surface transverse profile data acquisition system. The 3D camera captures a laser line as it is projected over the pavement surface and uses the location of this line to measure the height deviations of the pavement surface. These height deviations can be used to calculate rutting in both wheelpaths. These deviations also provide a grayscale image detailing the change in height throughout the surface, i.e. providing depth measurements for cracking.

THREE-DIMENSIONAL PAVEMENT SURFACE AND TRANSVERSE PROFILE DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM		
Surface Image Specifications		
Image size	1536 pixels/scan @3000 Hz	
Image width	4 meters (3950 mm nominal)	
Laser class	3B	
Power	16W (Two lasers @ 8W Ea)	
Vehicle speed limitations	62 mph	
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night	
Sensor size (approximate)	1536 pixels x 512 pixels	
Image display length	26.4 feet	
Rutting Specifications		
Reported rut depth units	Inches	
Vehicle speed limitations	Up to 62 mph	
Sampling rate	3000 profiles/second	
Transverse resolution	1536 points/profile	
Transverse field-of-view	14 feet	
Depth accuracy (nominal)	<1mm	
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night, above 32 degrees F	
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E1703M-95 (reapproved 2005)	

Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI)

The DMI (Distance Measuring Instrument) obtains road length measurements that are accurate to 0.15% for speeds up to 60 mph. The DMI is connected to the hub of the rear wheel on the driver's side, and is calibrated to the revolutions of the rear vehicle axle on a regular basis.

Roughness (IRI)

IRI SPECIFICATIONS		
Reported IRI units	Inches/mile	
Vehicle speed limitations	12-62 mph	
IRI equipment certification	Texas Transportation Institute (TTI)	
Wavelengths accommodated	0.5 feet to 300 feet	
IRI computed & reported	World Bank Technical Paper Number 46	
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night, above 32 degrees	
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E950 Class 1 & AASHTO M 328	

The collection system includes a South Dakota type laser profiler manufactured based on active Class 1 ASTM E950 standards. The dynamic profile of the pavement surface is collected from which the IRI roughness data is computed. The sensors include one accelerometer on each wheelpath, one height sensor (laser) on each wheelpath, and a distance transducer.

GPS & Inertial Systems

GPS is collected by an onboard system employing Omnistar real time correction and a spinning gyroscope to provide accurate positioning data in instances of satellite obstruction. All GPS coordinates are tied to an image and linear distance measurements.

GPS SPECIFICATIONS		
Static accuracy	Sub-meter	
Dynamic accuracy	2-3 meters	
Receiver	12 satellite tracking	
Coordinate system	Lat Lon WGS 84	
Environment	Day or night	
Cross-slope	± 1.75%	
Grade	± 1.75%	
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E1703M-95 (reapproved 2005)	

*NOTE – GPS accuracy is dependent on many different factors. Satellite constellation, tree coverage, GPS receiver quality, and real-time correction availability can all affect the locational and elevation accuracies. The elevation (z coordinate) accuracy is less dependable than locational or horizontal accuracy (x/y coordinates or latitude/longitude). In areas of heavy tree coverage or poor satellite constellations, elevation data can vary by as much as +/- 100 feet.

Appendix B

Methodology for Determining Condition Ratings Using Manual Rating Procedures

Description of Manual Rating Methods

In 2013, the Federal Highway Administration updated existing Manual Rating Procedures in an effort to better align pavement conditions for Manually Rated Routes and Parking with the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA). HPMA is the Pavement Management System used by the FHWA to store inventory and condition data from the Road Inventory Program (RIP) and forecast future performance using prediction models. HPMA uses pavement condition data (collected by the Road Inventory Program) to develop life cycles for pavements and recommend treatments to maximize useable pavement life while minimizing costs associated with maintenance and repair.

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) developed a set of manual rating methods for pavement that are appropriate for Federal Roadways. Two different methods were developed for linear roads and a separate method was developed for parking areas and nonlinear roads. These methods employ a 0 to 100 rating scale and improve consistency and objectivity in the manual evaluation of surface distresses. They are compatible with ratings that are collected by the automated Data Collection Vehicle (DCV).

- The first of the two manual evaluation methods for roads uses rating criteria to assign index values to each distress type based on a visual evaluation of severity and extent.
- The second manual evaluation method for roads is very time demanding and is best employed on only a select set of routes which may have the highest visitor use and require a more intensive assessment. This method will be used for the Manual Rating of Function Class 1, 2, 7, and 8 Roads. This method is based on measurements that are recorded for each instance of a surface distress. These measurements are converted into index values using conversion formulas.
- Parking areas and non-linear roads are rated similar to the first method shown above, however, there are some slight differences due to the non-linear nature.

The details and criteria used for each of these rating methods are outlined below.

Visual Inspection Method for Manually Rating Secondary Roads

The visual inspection method for manually rated roads uses condition rating criteria that have been developed by FHWA. This criteria is based on a visual evaluation of the severity and extent of distresses to determine the overall condition of the roadway. This method is used for secondary roads that are Functional Class 3, 4, 5, and 6. This constitutes the majority of manually rated roads collected by the Road Inventory Program.

Rating Section Lengths

For this method, Manually Rated Roads are rated in sections. These sections may be made based on length of changes in surface type or condition as described below. The ratings are then aggregated to give an overall rating for the Route:

- Rating sections should be no longer than 0.25 miles in order to keep the area being rated manageable.
- A new rating section may be started based on changes in condition, width, or surface type if these changes represent a significant portion of the route (are not isolated instances).
- If the road condition, width, and surface type remain constant then new sections do not need to be created unless the road exceeds 0.25 miles.

Rating Criteria

For this method, Manually Rated Roads are evaluated using a visual inspection of the six distress types listed below. Each distress is assigned one of five index values. An overall Surface Condition Rating (SCR) and Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) are calculated based on these index values.

- Alligator Cracking
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Longitudinal Cracking
 - o Rating based on severity level (crack width) and percentage of road section length of longitudinal cracks
- Transverse Cracking
 - o Rating based on crack width, crack spacing, and percentage of surface affected
- Patching
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Rutting
 - o Rating based on percentage of road section length affected by visible rutting (>1 inch depth) that requires remediation
- Roughness
 - o Manual assessments of roughness are not made due to the subjectivity of the measurement. Therefore, roughness is not incorporated into the PCR calculation of manually rated roads.

Concrete Routes also receive a PCR rating based on visual evaluation of the following six distress types.

- Slab Faulting at Joints
- Slab Cracking and breakup
- Surface Delamination and Pop-outs
- Joint Distresses
- Patching

Distress Measurement Method for Manually Rating Primary Roads

A more intensive and time demanding assessment than our standard method was developed for Primary roads that are functional class 1, 2, 7, or 8. These high visitation roads are usually accessible by the automated Data Collection Vehicle but in rare instances may need to be manually rated. The method developed is based on measuring each instance of a distress. These measurements are totaled over each section length being measured and are then converted into index values between 0 and 100 (100 being a road with no distress) using index formula equations outlined below. The goal of this method is to produce measured index values which are directly comparable to the automated DCV.

Rating Section Lengths

For the distress measurement method roads are broken into sections in order to rate. Distress measurements are totaled for each section separately in order to determine the index value for that particular section. The section length to be rated is determined based on the following rules:

- Rating sections are between 0.25 and 0.50 miles long
- A new rating section is created if there is a significant change in condition or pavement width
- If there are no significant changes in condition or pavement width, rating sections are broken at equal intervals, typically 0.50 miles

Manual Distress Measurements

Alligator Cracking

- Alligator cracking is measured by area (square feet). Instances of Alligator cracking are measured along the length and multiplied by the average width of the distressed area.
- The index for alligator cracking takes the total area of cracking compared to the interval length and converts it to a percentage. That percentage is then input into an index formula that yields a value between 0 and 100 (0 being the most distressed).
- Severity levels are not defined for manually measured Alligator cracks. The Alligator Crack Index formula is calculated based on an assumption of medium severity.

Longitudinal Cracking

- Longitudinal cracking (cracking in the direction parallel to the roadway) is measured by length (ft.).
- The index for longitudinal cracking takes the total length of cracking compared to the interval length and converts it to a percentage broken down by severity. That percentage is then input into a formula that yields a value between 0 and 100 (0 being the most distressed).
- Two severity levels are defined for manually measured Longitudinal Cracks. Lower severity cracks are those with a mean width of less than 0.25 inches. Sealed cracks with sealant in good condition are also considered lower severity. Higher severity cracks are those with a mean width of greater than 0.25 inches.

Transverse Cracking

- Transverse cracking (cracking in the direction perpendicular to the roadway) is measured by length (ft).
- The index for transverse cracking takes the total number of cracks (1 crack would encompass the full lane) broken down by severity. The total numbers of each severity are then put into a formula that yields a value between 0 and 100 (0 being the most distressed).
- Two severity levels are defined for manually measured Transverse Cracks. Lower severity cracks are those with a mean width of less than or equal to 0.25 inches. Sealed cracks with sealant in

good condition are also considered lower severity. Higher severity cracks are those with a mean width of greater than 0.25 inches.

Patching and Potholes

- Patching and Potholes are measured by area (square feet). Instances of Patching are measured along the length and multiplied by the average width of the patch.
- Instances of full lane width patching cannot be longer than 0.100 miles, otherwise is should be considered a pavement change rather than a distress.
- There are no stratified severities for Patching. It is either present or it is not.

Rutting

- Visible rutting is measured by length (ft.) in each wheel path. Only visible ruts are rated, which are ruts greater than 1 inch deep.
- All rutting recorded in a manual rating is considered to be high severity (> 1 inch). Lesser severities are generally not distinguishable in a visual inspection.

Roughness

• Manual assessments of roughness are not made due to the subjectivity of the measurement. Therefore, roughness is not incorporated into the PCR calculation of manually rated roads.

Index Formulas for Distress Measurement Method:

The method used to convert distress measurements into index values is shown below. The Surface Condition Rating and Pavement Condition Rating are calculated based on these index values.

Alligator Crack Index for Manual Rating:

AC INDEX =
$$100 - 40 * (\% ALLIGATOR / 15)$$

Where:

% ALLIGATOR = Percent of total area of section being rated that contains Alligator cracking.

Longitudinal Crack Index for Manual Rating:

$$LC_{INDEX} = 100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 175) + (\%MED / 75)]$$

Where:

%LOW = Percent length of longitudinal cracks where crack width less than or equal to 0.25 inches

%HIGH = Percent length of longitudinal cracks where crack width greater than 0.25 inches

Transverse Crack Index for Manual Rating:

$$TC_{INDEX} = (100 - 40) * [(LOW / 21.1) + (MED / 4.4)]$$

Where:

LOW = Count of the total number of transverse cracks within the section length where one transverse crack is equal to the lane width and the crack width ≤ 0.25 inches HIGH = Count of the total number of transverse cracks within the section length where one transverse crack is equal to the lane width and the crack width ≥ 0.25 inches

Number of cracks is computed as:

Total length of transverse cracks/Lane width

Patching Index for Manual Rating:

Where:

%PATCHING = Percentage of pavement section that contains patching/potholes.

Rutting Index for Manual Rating:

$$RUT_INDEX = 100 - 40 * (\% RUTTING / 40)$$

Where:

%RUTTING = Percentage length of high severity rutting within the section being measured.

Method for Manually Rating Paved Parking Areas and Non-Linear Roads

Parking areas are evaluated based on a visual inspection using condition rating criteria that has been developed by FHWA. This criteria is based on a visual evaluation of the severity and extent of distresses to determine the overall condition of the parking area. This overall condition rating is linked to the level of repair and rehabilitation practices required.

A distress index is determined for each of the distresses listed below for Asphalt and Concrete Parking areas. The overall Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) of the parking lot is driven by the most severe distress present.

Rating Criteria:

Asphalt Parking Distress Types

- Alligator Cracking
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Longitudinal, Transverse and Block cracking
 - o Rating based on crack width, crack spacing, and percentage of surface affected
- Rutting and Distortions
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Hot Mix Asphalt Patches
 - o Rating based on overall percentage of HMA patches
- Potholes and Cold Patches
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Surface Raveling and Bleeding
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected

Concrete Parking Distress Types

- Slab Faulting at Joints
 - o Rating based on height differential between adjacent slabs or pieces of broken slabs
- Slab Cracking and breakup
 - o Rating based on quantity of cracks and if slab is acting to able distribute load as designed
- Surface Delamination and Pop-outs
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected to include pop-outs, spalls and surface delamination
- Joint Distresses
 - o Rating based on sealant condition and concrete distresses at/or adjacent to joints
- Patching
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected

Curb Inspection and Treatments

During inspections of manually rated parking lots and routes, the curb reveal and overall curb condition are evaluated. The curb condition is used to determine a recommendation.

Curb Reveal

The vertical distance on the curb face from the gutter flow line or pavement surface to the top of curb. When resurfacing adjacent to curb, the resulting curb reveal should be no less than 4 inches. Additionally, when resurfacing adjacent to a gutter, the resulting pavement surface should be flush with the gutter pan. In cases where a resurfacing would violate either of these parameters, the surface may need to be milled or removed to adjust to these field conditions.

Curb Recommendations

The following treatment categories are based on the overall percentage of distresses along the entire curb structure for a specific pavement structure. Distresses include spalling, cracking, loss of material and any other damage which prevents the curb from conveying storm runoff or failing to perform in its intended function.

- Overall curb damage ranging 0%-5%:
 - o DO NOTHING
- Overall curb damage ranging 5%-20%
 - o LIGHT REPAIR
- Overall curb damage ranging 20%-50%
 - o MODERATE REPAIR
- Overall curb damage greater than 50%:
 - o REPLACE

GPS for Manually Rated Roads and Parking

GPS information for Manually Collected Cycle 6 Routes will be recorded using the latest hardware and software by TRIMBLE 6000 Series GeoXT. Cycle 6 GPS collection units will allow access to GPS and GLONASS, improving overall GPS reliability, accuracy and precision to submeter accuracy. Additionally, the new GPS units have an enhanced ability to collect accurate signals underneath tree cover or adjacent to buildings or natural terrain with extreme vertical gradations that typically reduce GPS accuracy. Trees and buildings create "satellite shadows", limiting the areas where you can reliably collect high-accuracy GPS data. The updated GPS receiver will deliver improved usable data under tree canopy or in natural or urban canyons. Routes that were previously collected accurately will not be recollected in Cycle 6.

TRIMBLE 6000 SERIES GeoXT GPS SPECIFICATIONS		
Receiver	Trimble Maxwell™ 6 GNSS chipset	
Channels	220 channels	
Systems	GPS / GLONASS / WAAS	
Accuracy	Sub-meter	
Operation Temperature	-20 °C to +60 °C (-4 °F to +140 °F)	
Cellular and Wireless	UMTS / HSDPA / GPRS / EDGE / Wi-Fi / Bluetooth	
Internal Still Camera w/ GEOTAG ability	Autofocus 5 MP (JPG) and WMV w/ Audio	

Appendix C Description of Cycle 6 Deliverables

Interim Report Delivery

Partial report will be primarily focused on manually collected routes. The report will be released approximately four months after manual collection of parking lots and other manually collected routes to provide NPS an immediate report on the condition of routes collected manually.

The Interim Report Delivery consists of an Interim Report PDF that contains the following:

- Parking lot and manually rated route conditions
- Route ID Reports
- Route ID Changes Report.

Please note that since the Data Collection Vehicle will have not collected data at this point in time, the following will not be in the Interim Report:

- No park summary information will be provided in the report
- No DCV data will be provided in report
- No road logs will be provided in report
- No maps will be provided in report
- Any mileages collected will be approximate

All data provided in the Interim Report will also be included in the Final Report.

Final Report Delivery

The Final Report will contain all data collected by Manual Inspection and the Data Collection Vehicle. All information provided in the Interim Report will be included in the Final report. Manually collected information reported in the Interim Report may be updated in the Final Report if pavement conditions have substantially changed between the Manual Inspection and Data Collection Vehicle Inspection or other unforeseen circumstances.

The final report will be released approximately 8 months after the Data Collection Vehicle completes its collection of that specific park.

Data included in the Final Report package consists of the following:

- Condition Photos: All photos taken during Cycle 6.
- **Data Video:** Data and video of each route collected by the DCV will viewable through PATHVIEW software. PATHVIEW Software and training will be provided to NPS personnel by Eastern Federal Lands.
- **GPS on All Rated Routes:** All GPS data collected from the DCV will be provided. Parking areas, some roads, and other paved areas that are not fully drivable with the DCV are collected manually by field technicians. GPS is collected for these routes using portable Trimble GPS units.
 - o GPS will be provided as Shapefiles and KMLs
 - o All GPS data related to road collection with be linear referenced to the collected length
- Geodatabase Background and Metadata: In addition to this park report, a geodatabase containing both tabular and spatial data specific to this park has been provided.
 - o All data disseminated in the preceding report has been obtained from the tables and fields within said geodatabase. The geodatabase can be referenced for tabular data via Microsoft Access or for both tabular and spatial data via ESRI's ArcGIS Suite of software which consists of; ArcMap, ArcCatalog and ArcExplorer.
 - o Consolidating the RIP data into one database creates a seamless relationship of tables and geographic data. It allows RIP to facilitate easier updates and enhancements in the future. A geodatabase can be thought of as simply a database containing spatial data. A complete and thorough description of the tables and fields contained within this geodatabase can be found in the metadata. The metadata is attached directly within the geodatabase and can be accessed via ESRI's ArcCatalog.
- **Report (RIP Report and Route ID):** A PDF report will be provided that includes a list of all routes and key data. Condition reports for each route will be included. All changes, additions and deletions to any route will be included in the report. Features along routes will not be collected in Cycle 6.

Partial DCV Collections

Additional Partial DCV Collections may be done on specific parks depending on their size and overall mileage of routes within its boundaries during Cycle 6. Parks with greater than 10 miles of paved roadways will receive at least one additional Partial DCV collection during Cycle 6. Data collected during these Partial DCV Collections will not result in the delivery of an additional report to the park.

Data collected by the DCV during Partial DCV Collection will be used to improve HPMA modeling by providing additional "snapshots in time" of park pavement conditions. This improved HMPA modeling will assist in the programing and budgeting of future projects which will help maximize the life of pavement infrastructures.

Instead of receiving a report of conditions collected during the Partial DCV collection, the park will receive a formal letter from the Road Inventory Program requesting coordination for the additional Partial DCV collection, identifying the dates of the Partial DCV Collection and will reinforce the purpose and importance of the Partial DCV Collection.

Appendix D Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations

Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations

TERM OR ABBREVIATION	DESCRIPTION OR DEFINITION
AC	Alligator Cracking
CRS	Condition Rating Sheets (Section 5)
Curb Recommendation	Curb remediation based on overall percentage of curb distress
Curb Reveal	Height of curb exposed from gutter flow line to top of curb
DCV	Data Collection Vehicle
Excellent	Excellent rating with an index value of 95 to 100
Fair	Fair rating with an index value from 61 to 84
FUNCT_CLASS	Functional Classification (see Route ID, Section 2)
Good	Good rating with an index value from 85 to 94
IRI	International Roughness Index
HPMA	Highway Pavement Management Application
Lane Width	Width from road centerline to fogline, or from centerline to edge- of-pavement when no fogline exists
LC	Longitudinal Cracking
MRR	Manually Rated Route
MRL	Manually Rated Line
MRP	Manually Rated Polygon
N/A	Not Applicable
NC	Not Collected
PATCH	Patching and Potholes
Paved Width	Width from edge-of-pavement to edge-of-pavement
PCR	Pavement Condition Rating
PKG	Parking Area
Poor	Poor rating with an index value of 0 to 60
RCI	Roughness Condition Index
SC	Structural Cracking
SCR	Surface Condition Rating
TC	Transverse Cracking