
SAMO Cycle 6

Final Report

Road Inventory and Condition Assessment of Paved Routes Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area







Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

Prepared By:

Federal Highway Administration
Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division
Road Inventory Program (RIP)

Report Date: November 2015

Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area in California





Table of Contents

SEC	TION	PAGE NO.
1.	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.	PARK ROUTE INVENTORY	
	Route ID Report, Subcomponent Report, and Changes Report (As Applicable)	2 - 1
3.	PARK SUMMARY INFORMATION	
	Parkwide Paved Route Condition Summary	3 - 1
	Explanation of Condition Descriptions	3 - 2
	Route-Level Condition Summary Reports for Data Collection Vehicle, Manually Rated, and Parking Area Routes (As Applicable)	3 - 3
4.	PARK ROUTE LOCATION MAPS	
	Route Location Key Map	4 - 1
	Route Location Area Map(s)	4 - 2
	Route Condition Key Map – PCR Mile by Mile	4 - 5
	Route Condition Area Map(s) – PCR Mile by Mile	4 - 6
5.	PAVED ROAD CONDITION RATING SHEETS	
	Paved Road Pages	5 - 1
6.	PAVED PARKING AREA CONDITION RATING SHEETS	
	Paved Parking Area Pages	6 - 1
7.	ROAD MILEPOST INFORMATION	
	Road Milepost Information and Logs	7 - 1
8.	APPENDIX	
	Improvements to the RIP Index Equations and Determination of PCR	8 - 1
	Description of the Rating System	8 - 2
	Explanation of the Condition Descriptions	8 - 3
	Description of Pavement Treatment Types	8 - 4
	Appendix A: Methodology for Determining Condition Ratings with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV)	8 - 5
	Appendix B: Methodology for Determining Condition Ratings Using Manual Rating Procedures	8 - 20
	Appendix C: Description of Cycle 6 Deliverables	8 - 29
	Appendix D: Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations	8 - 33

Section 1 Introduction





Introduction

The Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA), Road Inventory Program (RIP) inventories all roads and parking areas in the National Park System, and performs condition inspections on all paved roads and parking areas for the National Park Service (NPS). This report contains the results of the Cycle 6 condition assessment of paved roads and parking lots for this park unit. This assessment was done using an automated, state-of-the-art pavement inspection vehicle as well as manual ratings. This information represents the condition of the paved assets at the time of the inspection. The pavement management system utilized by FHWA and the NPS uses these assessments to estimate future conditions and help prioritize pavement maintenance and rehabilitation projects. Further information about RIP data and its role in managing paved roads and bridges can be obtained by contacting the NPS Regional Transportation Program Manager.

A History of the Road Inventory Program:

The FHWA, in the mid-1970s, was charged with the task of identifying surface condition deficiencies and corrective priorities on NPS roads and parkways. Additionally, FHWA was tasked with establishing an integrated maintenance features inventory, locating features such as culverts, guardrails, and signs, among others, along NPS roads and parkways. As a result, in 1976 the NPS and FHWA entered into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) which established the RIP. This MOA was revised in 1980 to update RIP data collection standards and develop a long-range program to improve and maintain NPS roads to designated condition standards and establish a pavement management program.

The FHWA completed the initial phase of inventory in the early 1980s. As a result of this effort, each NPS unit included in the collection received a RIP Report known as the "Brown Book" which contained information that was inventoried during this first RIP phase. In the 1990s, a cyclical program was developed, and since then five cycles of collection have been completed. Cycle 6 is currently in progress. A summary of the RIP collection cycles is shown in the table below.

Cycle	Years	Parks Collected
Cycle 1	1994 - 1997	° 44 Large Parks
Cycle 2	1997 - 2001	79 Large Parks5 Small Parks
Cycle 3	2001 - 2004	All Large ParksAll Small Parks
Cycle 4	2006 - 2010	86 Large ParksSeveral Small Parks
Cycle 5	2010 - 2014	 All Large Parks (Only functional class 1, 2, 7, and new/modified routes collected) All Small Parks (all roads and parking areas collected)
Cycle 6	2014 – 2020 (±)	 All roads and parking areas collected at all Parks Additional partial collections of functional class 1, 2, and 7 roads at Large Parks Cycle 6 is expected to last 6 years

Note: Large Parks have ≥ 10 Paved Miles; Small Parks have < 10 Paved Miles

Since 1984, the Road Inventory Program has been funded through the Federal Lands Highway Park Roads and Parkways (PRP) Program. Currently, coordination of the RIP with Federal Lands Highway (FLH) is under the NPS Washington Headquarters Park Facility Management Division. The FLH Washington office coordinates policy and prepares national reports and needs assessment studies for Congress.

In 1998, the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) amended Title 23 U.S.C., and inserted Section 204(a)(6) requiring the FHWA and NPS, to develop by rule, a Pavement Management System (PMS) applied to park roads and parkways serving the National Park System.

In 2012, the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) amended Title 23 U.S.C., and under Section 203(c)(1-2) stated that the National Park Service in cooperation with the DOT/FHWA, shall maintain a comprehensive national inventory of their transportation facilities, with the goal of quantifying transportation infrastructure needs within the National Park System.

A History of the Pavement Management System:

In 2005, the FHWA began implementing the use of a pavement management system to assist the NPS in prioritizing Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities. The system used by FHWA is the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA), which has the ability to store inventory and condition data from RIP and forecast future performance using prediction models. Outputs include performance and condition reports at the National, Regional, Park, or Route level. Regional prioritized lists and optimizations have been produced for most regions, and the Service's overall roadway Deferred Maintenance is calculated via the HPMA.

Overview of Cycle 6:

Cycle 6 launched in the spring of 2014 and will again comprise all NPS park units that are served by paved roads and/or parking areas. For Cycle 6, all paved roads (approximately 5,700 miles) and parking areas will be collected in all parks at least once, while the primary routes (functional class 1, 2, and 7 roads) at Large Parks will have additional collections. These multiple collections will provide updated condition data on a majority of the NPS's primary road network and help build a better pavement management system, allowing for more accurate pavement performance prediction models.

FLH is responsible for the accuracy of all data presented in this report. Any questions or comments concerning the contents of this report should be directed to the national RIP Coordinator located in Sterling, Virginia.

Respectfully,

FHWA RIP Team

FHWA/Eastern Federal Lands 21400 Ridgetop Circle Sterling, VA 20166 (703) 404-6371 FHWA/Central Federal Lands 12300 West Dakota Ave Lakewood, CO 80228 (720) 963-3556

Section 2 Park Route Inventory





Page 1 of 10

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

Report Date: 11/30/2015

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)

Shading Color Key White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

 $\mathsf{DCV} \, = \mathsf{Data} \; \mathsf{Collection} \; \mathsf{Vehicle}$

MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas
NC = Not Collected

SAMO

ROAD INVENTORY (1100 SERIES FMSS LOCATIONS)															
Route No.	ycle ollected	lteration Collected	FMSS Number	oncession	Route Name	Route D	escription To	Maintenance District	Paved Miles	Unpaved Miles	Total Mileage	unctionc lass	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
0011	6	1	17248	0	RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD	FROM POTRERO/LYNN ROAD	TO ROUTE 0908 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA MAIN PARKING)		0.62	0.00	0.62	2	(-1.7)	AS	1
0100	6	1	17243		SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS	FROM CORRAL CANYON ROAD	TO END OF LOOP		0.38	0.00	0.38	2		AS	2
0200	6	1	2696		PARAMOUNT RANCH ACCESS ROAD	FROM CORNELL ROAD	TO CORNELL ROAD		0.39	0.00	0.39	3		AS	2
0201	6	1	17244		CHESEBRO CANYON ENTRANCE ROAD	FROM CHESEBRO ROAD	TO ROUTE 0916 (CHEESEBORO PARKING LOT)		0.21	0.00	0.21	3		AS	2
0202	NC		102380		PETER STRAUSS ENTRANCE ROAD	FROM ROUTE 5000 (MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY)	TO ROUTE 0911 (PETER STRAUSS PARKING)		0.00	0.30	0.30	3		GR	
0203	NC		102643		ROCKY OAKS ENTRANCE ROAD	FROM ROUTE 5000 (MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY)	TO ROUTE 0913 (ROCKY OAKS PARKING)		0.00	0.01	0.01	3		GR	
0204	NC		102383		ZUMA CANYON ENTRANCE ROAD	FROM BONSALL DRIVE	TO ROUTE 0915 (BUSCH PARKING)		0.00	0.01	0.01	3		GR	
0205	NC		12860		MORRISON RANCH ROAD	FROM MORRISON RANCH	TO ROUTE 0201 (CHESEBRO CANYON ENTRANCE ROAD)		0.00	0.80	0.80	3		GR	
0206	NC		12858		POOL ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0208 (ENTRANCE CAMPGROUND)	TO END		0.00	0.02	0.02	3		GR	
0207	NC		12904		ENTRANCE ROAD	FROM YERBA BUENA ROAD	TO ROUTE 0206 (POOL ACCESS ROAD)		0.00	0.15	0.15	3		GR	
0208	NC		12857		ENTRANCE CAMPGROUND	FROM YERBA BUENA ROAD	TO ROUTE 0207 (ENTRANCE ROAD)		0.00	0.15	0.15	3		GR	
0209	NC		12852		WESTERN TOWN ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0200 (PARAMOUNT RANCH ACCESS ROAD)	TO END		0.00	0.20	0.20	3		GR	
0210	NC		12853		ABANDONED PARA ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0209 (WESTERN TOWN ROAD)	TO ROUTE 0924 (PHASE TWO PARKING)		0.00	0.50	0.50	3		GR	

Page 2 of 10

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

D Report

Federal Lands Highway

Road Inventory Program

Report Date: 11/30/2015

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)

Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

 $\mathsf{DCV} \, = \mathsf{Data} \; \mathsf{Collection} \; \mathsf{Vehicle}$

MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas

NC = Not Collected

SAMO

ROAD INVENTORY (1100 SERIES FMSS LOCATIONS)															
Route	cle	lteration Collected	FMSS	ncession		Route De	·	Maintenance	Paved	Unpaved	Total	nctiona ass	Area	Surf.	Area
No.	ပဲ ပိ	_≅ີ ວິ	Number	ů	Route Name	From	То	District	Miles	Miles	Mileage	Ξŏ	(SQ FT)	Туре	Мар
0211	NC		12855		GREEN HOUSE ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0405 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA SERVICE ROAD)	TO END		0.00	0.10	0.10	3		GR	
0212	NC		12854		PINE HILL ROAD	FROM POTRERO ROAD	TO ROUTE 0011 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD)		0.00	0.90	0.90	3		GR	
0400	6	1	2681		DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD	FROM ROUTE 5000 (MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY)	TO END OF LOOP		0.53	0.00	0.53	6		AS	2
0401	6	1	17246		TRW BUILDINGS ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0423 (OLD KELLER HOUSE ACCESS ROAD, SOLSTICE CANYON)	TO END OF LOOP		0.29	0.00	0.29	6		AS	2
0402	6	1	17247		SOLSTICE CANYON UPPER ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0100 (SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD) SOUTH END	TO ROUTE 0423 (OLD KELLER HOUSE ACCESS ROAD, SOLSTICE CANYON)		0.51	0.00	0.51	6		AS	2
0403	6	1	2683		ARROYO SEQUIT ACCESS ROAD	FROM ROUTE 5000 (MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY)	TO ROUTE 0407 (ARROYO SEQUIT WATER TANK ROAD)		0.22	0.00	0.22	6		AS	1
0405	6	1	17249		RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA SERVICE ROAD	FROM POTRERO ROAD	TO SYCAMORE CANYON ROAD AT PARK BOUNDARY		0.67	0.00	0.67	5		AS	1
0406	6	1	17250		RANCH CENTER ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0405 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA SERVICE ROAD)	TO ROUTE 0928 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA RANCH MANAGER PARKING)		0.06	0.00	0.06	5		AS	1
0407	NC		12859		ARROYO SEQUIT WATER TANK ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0403 (ARROYO SEQUIT ACCESS ROAD)	TO WATER TANK		0.00	0.10	0.10	6		GR	
0408	NC		12862		EDISON ROAD	FROM KANAN DUME ROAD	TO ZUMA RIDGE TRAIL FIRE ROAD		0.00	2.30	2.30	5		GR	
0410	NC		12906		TRANCUS EDISON ROAD	FROM ZUMA RIDGE TRAIL FIRE ROAD	TO END		0.00	1.50	1.50	5		GR	

Page 3 of 10

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

Report Date: 11/30/2015

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)

Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

MRL = Manually Rated Line
MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas

NC = Not Collected

SAMO

ROAD INVENTORY (1100 SERIES FMSS LOCATIONS)															
Route No.	Cycle Collected	lteration Collected	FMSS Number	Concession	Route Name	Route De	scription To	Maintenance District	Paved Miles	Unpaved Miles	Total Mileage	Functiona Class	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
0411	NC		12861		LIBERTY CANYON ROAD	FROM AGOURA ROAD	TO MORRISON RANCH		0.00	0.70	0.70	6		GR	
0412	NC		102384		MAINTENANCE ROAD	FROM YERBA BUENA ROAD	TO ROUTE 0918 (MAINTENANCE FACILITY, CIRCLE X PARKING)		0.00	0.04	0.04	5		GR	
0413	NC		102385		UNPAVED PHASE 2 PARKING ACCESS	FROM CORNELL ROAD	TO END		0.00	0.00	0.00	5		GR	
0414	NC		2733		UNPAVED SERVICE ROAD RSV	FROM ROUTE 0011 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO ROUTE 0405 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA SERVICE ROAD)		0.00	1.00	1.00	6		GR	
0415	NC		102713		PETER STRAUSS RESTROOM ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0416 (PETER STRAUSS RANCH HOUSE ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO RESTROOM		0.00	0.10	0.10	6		GR	
0416	NC		102714		PETER STRAUSS RANCH HOUSE ENTRANCE ROAD	FROM ROUTE 5000 (MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY)	TO RANCH HOUSE		0.00	0.10	0.10	6		GR	
0417	NC		97138		CASTRO PEAK MOTORWAY	FROM CORRAL CANYON ROAD PARKING	TO CASTRO PEAK REPEATER BLOCK HOUSE		0.00	2.00	2.00	6		NV	
0418	NC		105599		ZUMA RIDGE EDISON ROAD	FROM BUSCH DRIVE	TO KANAN ROAD		0.00	6.00	6.00	6		GR	
0419	NC		105635		BULLDOG MOTORWAY	FROM ROUTE 0417 (CASTRO PEAK MOTORWAY)	TO ROUTE 0419 (BULLDOG MOTORWAY)		0.00	0.10	0.10	6		GR	
0420	NC		106415		CHEESEBORO SERVICE ROAD LOOP	FROM CHEESEBORO CANYON TRAIL	TO CHEESEBORO CANYON TRAIL		0.00	0.30	0.30	6		NV	
0421	NC		106528		ZUMA EDISON ROAD	FROM ROUTE 0418 (ZUMA RIDGE EDISON ROAD)	TO KANAN ROAD		0.00	5.00	5.00	6		NV	
0422A	6	1	97895		FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE	FROM FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE AT NORTH PARK BOUNDARY	TO FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE AT SOUTH PARK BOUNDARY		1.96	0.00	1.96	1		AS	3
0422B	6	1	234523		FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE LOOP	FROM ROUTE 0422A (FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE)	TO ROUTE 0422A (FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE)		0.34	0.00	0.34	1		AS	3

Page 4 of 10

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #) Road Inventory Program

Report Date: 11/30/2015

Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Federal Lands Highway

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas NC = Not Collected

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

SAMO

							Y (1100 SERIES FMSS LO	,				_			
Route No.	ycle ollected	lteration Collected	FMSS Number	Concession	Route Name	Route D	Description To	Maintenance District	Paved Miles	Unpaved Miles	Total Mileage	unctiona Ilass	Area (SQ FT)	Surf. Type	Area Map
	0 0	± 0	110111101	J I	Koute Name	From	10	1	1	1		шО	(04.1.)	1 . , , ,	
0423	6	1	234144		OLD KELLER HOUSE ACCESS ROAD, SOLSTICE CANYON	FROM ROUTE 0100 (SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD)	TO OLD KELLER HOUSE		0.69	0.00	0.69	6		AS	2
0424	NC		12856		HILL HOUSE ROAD, CIRCLE X	FROM YERBA BUENA ROAD	TO RESIDENCE		0.00	0.40	0.40	6		GR	
0425	6	1	21568		ROCKY OAKS MUSEUM/RESIDENT ACCESS	FROM KANAN ROAD	TO ROUTE 0933 (ROCKY OAKS MUSEUM PARKING) ON THE RIGHT		0.08	0.00	0.08	6		AS	2
0426	NC		234683		UNPAVED NEWTON CESSNA MOTORWAY	FROM LATIGO CANYON ROAD	TO NEWTON/CESSNA MOTORWAY		0.00	1.60	1.60	4		GR	
						NON-N	PS ROADS INVENTORY								
Route	cle lected	lteration Collected	FMSS	ncession		Route I	Description	Maintenance	Paved	Unpaved	Total	nctional 1SS	Area	Surf.	Arec
No.	δ̈δ	2 3	Number	Ö	Route Name	From	То	District	Miles	Miles	Mileage	Ξŏ	(SQ FT)	Туре	Мар
5000	5	1			MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY	FROM STATE ROUTE 1 (PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY)	TO ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD)		22.25	0.00	22.25			AS	1,2
						PARKING AREA INVEN	NTORY (1300 SERIES FM	ISS LOCATIONS)							
Route	scred	ion sched	FMSS	ession			Route Description		Mainte	enance	Acce	ss	Area	Surf.	Arec
No.	δ 8 0	Iteration Collected	Number	ő	Route Name	From	То		Dist	trict	Leve	el	(SQ FT)	Type	Мар
0000							.,								
0900	6	1	102684		MAINTENANCE ADMIN. BUILDING PARKING LOOP	FROM ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RA	ANCH TO ROUTE 0400 (DIAM				NONPU	BLIC	13,696	AS	2
	6	1	102684 17251		MAINTENANCE ADMIN.	FROM ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RA	TO ROUTE 0400 (DIAM ROAD) MAINTENANCE FACILITY ROAD) ANCH TO PARKING				NONPU		13,696 9,876	AS AS	
		1 1			MAINTENANCE ADMIN. BUILDING PARKING LOOP	FROM ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RAMAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE FROM ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RA	ANCH TO ROUTE 0400 (DIAM ROAD) MAINTENANCE FACILITY ROAD) ANCH TO PARKING ANCH TO PARKING					BLIC			
0900		1			MAINTENANCE ADMIN. BUILDING PARKING LOOP	FROM ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RAMAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE	TO ROUTE 0400 (DIAM ROAD) MAINTENANCE FACILITY ROAD)								2

Page 5 of 10

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

Report Date: 11/30/2015

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)

Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line
MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas
NC = Not Collected

SAMO

						PARKING AREA INVENTOR	(1300 SERIES FMSS LOCATIONS	S)				
Route	e	tion	FMSS	ession		Rout	e Description	Maintenance	Access	Area	Surf.	Area
No.	Ş S	lteration Collected	Number	S	Route Name	From	То	District	Level	(SQ FT)	Туре	Мар
0903	6	1	17253		SOLSTICE CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0100 (SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD)			PUBLIC	1,916	AS	2
0904A	6	1	17254		DRY CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING A	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0100 (SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD) ON RIGHT			PUBLIC	1,451	AS	2
0904B	6	1	102386		DRY CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING B	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0100 (SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD) ON LEFT			PUBLIC	2,667	AS	2
0904C	6	1	10238 <i>7</i>		DRY CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING C	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0100 (SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD) ON LEFT			PUBLIC	1,467	AS	2
0905A	6	1	17255		TRW RESIDENCE PARKING AREA A	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0401 (TRW BUILDINGS ACCESS ROAD)			NONPUBLIC	2,128	AS	2
0905B	6	1	102388		TRW RESIDENCE PARKING AREA B	FROM ROUTE 0401 (TRW BUILDINGS ACCESS ROAD)	TO PARKING		NONPUBLIC	5,196	AS	2
0906	6	1	17256		RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA EQUESTRIAN PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0011 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO ROUTE 0011 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD)		PUBLIC	33,540	AS	1
0907	6	1	17257		RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA DAY USE PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0011 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO ROUTE 0011 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD)		PUBLIC	8,891	AS	1
0908	6	1	17258		RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA MAIN PARKING	FROM END OF ROUTE 0011 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	33,702	AS	1
0909A	6	1	17259		CHEESEBORO CANYON ENTRANCE PARKING A	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0201 (CHESEBRO CANYON ENTRANCE ROAD) ON LEFT			PUBLIC	1,604	AS	2
0909В	6	1	17260		CHEESEBORO CANYON ENTRANCE PARKING B	ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0201 (CHESEBRO CANYON ENTRANCE ROAD) ON RIGHT			PUBLIC	1,605	AS	2
0910	NC		12846		ARROYO SEQUIT PARKING	FROM ROUTE 5000 (MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	1,300	GR	
0911	NC		12837		PETER STRAUSS PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0202 (PETER STRAUSS ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	22,359	GR	

Page 6 of 10

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

Report Date: 11/30/2015

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)

Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas
NC = Not Collected

SAMO

	PARKING AREA INVENTORY (1300 SERIES FMSS LOCATIONS)											
Route	Cycle Collected	tion	FMSS	ession		Route	Description	Maintenance	Access	Area	Surf.	Area
No.	Ş 8 8 8	College College	Number	Š	Route Name	From	То	District	Level	(SQ FT)	Туре	Мар
0912	NC		12839		PETER STRAUSS OVERFLOW PARKING	FROM ROUTE 5000 (MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	10,694	GR	
0913	NC		12863		ROCKY OAKS PARKING	FROM ROUTE 5000 (MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	12,524	GR	
0914	NC		12849		BONSALL PARKING	FROM BONSALL DRIVE	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	106,299	GR	
0915	NC		12848		BUSCH PARKING	FROM BUSCH DRIVE	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	114,282	GR	
0916	NC		12847		CHEESEBORO PARKING LOT	FROM ROUTE 0201 (CHESEBRO CANYON ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	41,749	GR	
091 <i>7</i>	NC		17318		CIRCLE X HOUSE PARKING	FROM YERBA BUENA ROAD	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	799	GR	
0918	NC		12844		MAINTENANCE FACILITY, CIRCLE X PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0412 (MAINTENANCE ROAD)	TO PARKING		NONPUBLIC	702	GR	
0919	NC		12842		SANDSTONE PEAK	FROM YERBA BUENA ROAD	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	177,840	GR	
0920	NC		12841		MISHE MOKWA	FROM YERBA BUENA ROAD	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	36,120	GR	
0921	NC		12833		PARAMOUNT RANCH MAIN PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0200 (PARAMOUNT RANCH ACCESS ROAD)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	450,193	GR	
0922	NC		12910		MATERIAL ROAD PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0207 (ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	14,812	GR	
0923	NC		12834		RACETRACK PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0207 (ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO ROUTE 0924 (PHASE TWO PARKING)		PUBLIC	239,026	GR	
0924	NC		12835		PHASE TWO PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0413 (UNPAVED PHASE 2 PARKING ACCESS)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	197,071	GR	
0925	NC		12836		RANGER STATION PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0200 (PARAMOUNT RANCH ACCESS ROAD)	TO PARAMOUNT RANCH EXIT ROAD		PUBLIC	4,000	GR	
0926	NC		12911		RESTROOM PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0200 (PARAMOUNT RANCH ACCESS ROAD)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	16,936	GR	

Page 7 of 10

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

Federal Lands Highway
Road Inventory Program

Report Date: 11/30/2015

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)

Shading Color Ke

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

Tellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas
NC = Not Collected

SAMO

	PARKING AREA INVENTORY (1300 SERIES FMSS LOCATIONS)											
Route	le ected	lteration Collected	FMSS	cession		Route	Description	Maintenance	Access	Area	Surf.	Area
No.	ŏ 8̄	. ₹ 8	Number		Route Name	From	То	District	Level	(SQ FT)	Туре	Мар
0927	NC		12909		PAVILION PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0209 (WESTERN TOWN ROAD)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	16,625	GR	
0928	NC		12840		RANCHO SIERRA VISTA RANCH MANAGER PARKING	FROM END OF ROUTE 0405 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA SERVICE ROAD)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	17,774	GR	
0929	NC		12843		CANYON VIEW PARKING	FROM YERBA BUENA ROAD	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	420	GR	
0931	6	1	102677		FRANKLIN CANYON RESTROOM PARKING	FROM LAKE DRIVE	TO LAKE DRIVE		PUBLIC	16,819	AS	3
0932	NC		102707		PETER STRAUSS RANCH HOUSE PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0416 (PETER STRAUSS RANCH HOUSE ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO PARKING		NONPUBLIC	799	GR	
0933	6	1	103069		ROCKY OAKS MUSEUM PARKING	FROM END OF ROUTE 0425 (ROCKY OAKS MUSEUM/RESIDENT ACCESS)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	662	со	2
0934	6	1	12838		PETER STRAUSS WEST PARKING	FROM ROUTE 5000 (MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	12,731	AS	2
0936	NC		12907		CIRCLE X RANCH HOUSE PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0207 (ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	8,190	GR	
0937	NC		98454		UNPAVED POV/BUS	FROM ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO PARKING		NONPUBLIC	11,700	GR	
0938	6	1	110742		KANAN TRAILHEAD PARKING	FROM KANAN ROAD	TO KANAN ROAD		PUBLIC	12,103	AS	2
0939	NC		234661		EQUESTRIAN PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0100 (SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	1,260	GR	
0940A	NC		234564		INTERN CENTER PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	3,600	GR	
0940B	6	1	234664		INTERN CENTER HANDICAP PARKING	FROM ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD)	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	675	со	2
0941	6	1	240548		KING GILLETE VISITOR CENTER PARKING	FROM KING GILLETTE RANCH (KGR) NORTH WEST ENTRANCE ROAD	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	42,323	СО	2

Page 8 of 10

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

Report Date: 11/30/2015

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Black = Non-NPS Routes

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

= Concession Route

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas
NC = Not Collected

SAMO

				PARKING AREA INVENTO	RY (1300 SERIES FMSS LOCATIONS					
Route	e scted tion scted	FMSS	cession	Ro	oute Description	Maintenance	Access	Area	Surf.	Area
No.		Number	Š Route Name	From	То	District	Level	(SQ FT)	Туре	Мар
0942	NC	243204	UNPAVED ENCINAL / MULHOLLAND PARKING LOT	FROM ENCINAL CANYON ROAD	TO PARKING		PUBLIC	28,570	GR	

Page 9 of 10

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

Report Date: 11/30/2015

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle
MRL = Manually Rated Line

MRP = Manually Rated Polygon PKG = Parking Areas

NC = Not Collected

Cycle 6 Summary Totals for Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area

Cycle 6 Route Totals

	NPS Maintained	Concessionaire Maintained	Park Totals
Paved Roads, Data Collection Vehicle Rated (Miles)	5.39	0	5.39
Paved Roads, Manually Rated Length (Miles)	1.57	0	1.57
Paved Roads, Manually Rated Area (Sq. Ft.)	0	0	0
Unpaved Roads (Miles)	24.38	0	24.38
Paved Parking (Sq. Ft.)	208,936	0	208,936
Unpaved Parking (Sq. Ft.)	1,535,644	0	1,535,644

Cycle 6 Lane Miles and Overall Pavement Condition

	Lanes Miles*	Pavement Condition Rating**
Data Collection Vehicle Routes	9.18	48
Manually Rated Roads	2.23	58
Parking Areas	3.60	90

^{*} Equivalent Lane Miles are calculated by route using the following equations:

-Excellent = 97

-Good = 90

-Fair = 73

-Poor = 53, 30, or 0

-Construction / Not Rated = -1

⁻ DCV and MRLs = $(PAVE_WIDTH \times PAVED_MI) / 11$ foot lane

⁻ MRPs and PKGs = $SQ_{FEET} / 5280 / 11$ foot lane

^{**}Parking and Manually Rated Routes are assigned the following PCR values based on the type of observed distresses:

Page 10 of 10

Report Date: 11/30/2015

Cycle 6 NPS / RIP Route ID Report

(Numerical By Summary Route and Subcomponent #)



Shading Color Key

White = Paved Routes, DCV Driven

Grey = Paved Routes, DCV not Driven

Black = Non-NPS Routes

= Concession Route

Yellow = Unpaved Routes, DCV not Driven

Blue = Paved Parking Areas

Green = Unpaved Parking Areas

Red text denotes:

*Unpaved route data was obtained from the NPS and was not collected by the Road Inventory Program (RIP).

DCV = Data Collection Vehicle

MRL = Manually Rated Line
MRP = Manually Rated Polygon

PKG = Parking Areas

NC = Not Collected

General Park Road Functional Classification (FC) Table

FC	Туре	User Access	Description	Route Numbers
1	Principal Park Road Rural Parkway	Public	Roads which constitute the main access route, circulatory tour, or thoroughfare for park visitors. Rural Parkways (e.g. Natchez Trace) are numbered 0001 - 0009.	0001 - 0009 0010 - 0099
2	Connector Park Road	Public	Roads which provide access within a park to areas of scenic, scientific, recreational or cultural interest, such as overlooks, campgrounds, etc.	0100 - 0199
3	Special Purpose Park Road	Public	Roads which provide circulation within public areas, such as campgrounds, picnic areas, visitor center complexes, concessionaire facilities, etc. These roads generally serve low-speed traffic and are often designed for one-way circulation.	0200 - 0299
4	Primitive Park Road	Public	Roads which provide circulation through remote areas and/or access to primitive campgrounds and undeveloped areas. These roads frequently have no minimum design standards and their use may be limited to specially equipped vehicles. Note: Functional Classes 3 and 4 have the same route numbers because, historically, they were numbered similarly.	0200 - 0299
5	Administrative Park Road	Public	All public roads intended for access to administrative developments or structures such as park offices, employee quarters, or utility areas.	0400 - 0499
6	Administrative Park Road (Restricted Access)	Nonpublic	All roads normally closed to the public, including patrol roads, truck trails, and other similar roads. Note: Functional Classes 5 and 6 have the same route numbers because historically they were numbered similarly and often there is little distinction between these routes. For example, because utility areas and employee housing are often closed to the public, this restriction would result in classification of FC 6 rather than FC 5.	0400 - 0499
7	Urban Parkway	Public	These facilities serve high volumes of park and non-park related traffic and are restricted, limited-access facilities in an urban area. This category of roads primarily encompasses the major parkways which serve as gateways to our nation's capital. Other major park roads or portions thereof, however, may be included in this category.	0001 - 0009
8	City Street	Public	City streets are usually extensions of the adjoining street system that are owned and maintained by the National Park Service. The construction and/or reconstruction should conform with accepted local engineering practice and local conditions.	0600 - 0699
N/A	Non-NPS Roads	Public	State, County, or City owned roads which border, traverse, or provide access to Park Facilities or Locations. Non-NPS roads are not assigned functional classes and are driven for GPS and Video Log only.	5000 - 5999

Surface
Types
AS - Asphaltic Concrete Pavement
R - Brick or Pavers Road Bed
CB - Cobble Stone Road Bed
CO - Portland Cement Concrete Pavement
GR - Gravel Road Bed
NV - Native or Dirt Material Road Bed

OT - Other Materials Road Bed

A park road system contains those roads within or giving access to a park or other unit of the NPS which are administered by the NPS, or by the Service in cooperation with other agencies. The assignment of a functional classification (FC) to a park road is not based on traffic volumes or design speed, but on the intended use or function of that road or route.

The historic route numbering system also included a 300 series for interpretive roads, and a 500 series for one-way roads. There are approximately 250 roads nationwide which are designated by the 300 and 500 series. The numbers for these roads will be maintained for reporting consistency. However, since these interpretive and one-way routes are not as clearly tied to a specific functional class, the 300 and 500 series will be discontinued for future use.

Section 3 Park Summary Information





Parkwide Paved Route Condition Summary Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area

Table 1: Paved Route Miles and Parking Area Square Footages by Access Level and PCR


Breakdown of Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) Based on Access Level

	POOR (PCR of 0 - 60)	FAIR (PCR of 61 - 84)	GOOD (PCR of 85 - 94)	EXCELLENT (PCR of 95 -100)	
		PAVED	ROADS		
Functional Class	Length (miles)	Length (miles)	Length (miles)	Length (miles)	Total Mileage by FC
1	1.20	0.42	0.36	0.32	2.31
2	0.06	0.24	0.24	0.46	1.00
3	0.18	0.34	0.08		0.60
4					
5	0.08	0.23	0.10	0.32	0.73
6	0.65	0.14	1.32	0.21	2.33
7					
8					
Total Mileage by PCR	2.18	1.37	2.11	1.30	6.96
		PAVED F	PARKING		
Access Level	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Area (sq. ft.)	Total Area
PUBLIC		2,266	127,567	42,323	172,156
NONPUBLIC		15,824	20,956		36,780
Total Area by PCR	0	18,090	148,523	42,323	208,936

NOTES:

- 1. Data are reported in the table only for paved roads and parking lots that received a condition rating.
- 2. Non-linear roads (MRP collected routes) are measured by area and converted to equivalent route miles based on a 22-ft pavement width in order to be included in the mileage totals for paved roads shown above.
- 3. Quantities in the table above are derived from the route condition data within the PMS_20, PMS_MRL, PMS_MRP, and PMS_PKG tables in the Park geodatabase.

Parkwide Condition Percentages



Road Condition Percentages

Parking Area Condition Percentages

Figure 1: Pavement Condition Rating Breakdown for Paved Roads and Parking Areas

Explanation of the Excellent, Good, Fair, and Poor Condition Descriptions

The Road Inventory Program aims to provide assistance in translating the excellent / good / fair / poor rating categories into pavement needs categories. The PCR can be used to indicate the place in the Pavement Life Cycle and the type of treatments that should be considered now and into the future.

- Excellent / New: PCR of 95-100
 - o Pavements in this range will require only spot repairs
- Good: PCR of 85-94
 - Pavements in this range will likely be candidates for Preventive Maintenance. Examples include Chip and Slurry Seals, Micro Surfacing and Thin Overlays.
- Fair: PCR of 61-84
 - o Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Light Rehabilitation (L3R). Examples include singlelift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness, milling and overlays.
- Poor: PCR of 0-60
 - o Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Heavy Rehabilitation or Reconstruction (H3R or 4R). Examples include Pulverization, Multiple Lift Overlays, and Reconstruction.

CONDITION CATEGORIES AND TREATMENTS EXCELLENT / Localized Repairs Only GOOD / Preventive Maintenance FAIR / Light Rehabilitation POOR / Heavy Rehabilitation Reconstruction Pavement Age

At this time, specific Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities should be evaluated and recommended at the project level. Site-specific conditions that influence treatment type should be determined based on performing a subsurface investigation and/or pavement condition survey, and not be based solely on RIP data. Additionally, RIP produces a snapshot of conditions at the time in which the data were collected. For further information or to obtain additional Pavement Management System's data from our Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) please contact the Eastern Federal Lands pavement team.



Cycle 6 - Road Inventory Program

Road Condition Summary Report for Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rated Roads

Condition (Rating / Index) Legend

EXCELLENT (95 - 100)

GOOD (85 - 94)

FAIR (61 - 84)

POOR (0 - 60)

NR = NOT RATED

Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area

Notes:

- This condition summary report contains only the roads rated with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV).
- Condition on roads that were manually rated and parking areas are shown in separate reports.
- Additional details on individual road ratings can be found in Section 5 of the Cycle 6 RIP Report.
- Refer to the RIP Report Appendix for an explanation of the rating system and rating methods.

Route No.	<u>R</u> FMSS No.	oute-Level Condition for Roads Rated with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Route Name	Functional Class	Surf. Type	Paved Length (Miles)	Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	Structural Crack Index	Alligator Crack Index	Longitudinal Cracking Index	Transverse Cracking Index	Patch / Pothole Index	Rutting Index
SAMO-0011	17248	RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD	2	AS	0.62	88	NR	88	88	100	88	100	100	99
SAMO-0100	17243	SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD	2	AS	0.38	92	NR	92	96	100	96	99	100	92
SAMO-0200	2696	PARAMOUNT RANCH ACCESS ROAD	3	AS	0.39	77	NR	77	85	98	87	77	100	95
SAMO-0201	17244	CHESEBRO CANYON ENTRANCE ROAD	3	AS	0.21	58	NR	58	71	99	72	58	100	97
SAMO-0400	2681	DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD	6	AS	0.53	85	NR	85	85	100	85	96	100	97
SAMO-0403	2683	ARROYO SEQUIT ACCESS ROAD	6	AS	0.22	90	NR	90	94	100	94	98	100	90
SAMO-0405	17249	rancho sierra vista/satwiwa service road	5	AS	0.67	85	NR	85	85	100	85	97	100	98
SAMO-0406	17250	RANCH CENTER ROAD	5	AS	0.06	84	NR	84	84	100	84	99	100	96
SAMO-0422A	97895	FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE	1	AS	1.96	0	NR	0	0	0	81	88	98	91
SAMO-0422B	234523	FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE LOOP	1	AS	0.34	О	NR	0	0	0	65	74	97	83



Cycle 6 - Road Inventory Program

Road Condition Summary Report for Manually Rated Roads

Condition (Rating / Index) Legend EXCELLENT (95 - 100)

GOOD (85 - 94)

FAIR (61 - 84)

POOR (0 - 60)

NR = NOT RATED

Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area

Notes:

- This condition summary report contains only the roads that were manually rated.
 - o MRL = Manually Rated Line (a linear road)
 - o MRP = Manually Rated Polygon (a non-linear road)
- Condition on roads that were rated with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) are shown in a separate report.
- A road is manually rated when it is determined to be unsuitable for the DCV to drive.
- Additional details on individual road ratings can be found in Section 5 of the Cycle 6 RIP Report.
- Refer to the RIP Report Appendix for an explanation of the rating system and rating methods.

Route No.	FMSS No.	Route-Level Condition for Manually Rated Line (MRL) Roads Route Name	Functional Class	Surf. Type	Paved Length	avement Condition ating (PCR)	oughness Condition Idex (RCI)	urface Condition ating (SCR)	rructural Crack Index	Iligator Crack Index	ongitudinal Cracking Idex	ransverse Cracking Idex	atch / Pothole Index	utting Index
Koute No.	FINISS ING.	Koute Name	Class	туре	(Miles)	Rg B	<u>ء</u> 2	N N	\$	٧	그드	卢드	٦	~
SAMO-0401	17246	TRW BUILDINGS ACCESS ROAD	6	AS	0.29	90	NR	90	NR	90	90	90	90	90
SAMO-0402	17247	SOLSTICE CANYON UPPER ROAD	6	AS	0.51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAMO-0423	234144	OLD KELLER HOUSE ACCESS ROAD, SOLSTICE CANYON	6	AS	0.69	90	NR	90	NR	90	90	90	90	90
SAMO-0425	21568	ROCKY OAKS MUSEUM/RESIDENT ACCESS	6	AS	0.08	53	NR	53	NR	97	53	53	97	90

Cycle 6 - Road Inventory Program

Parking Area Condition Summary Report

EXCELLENT (97) GOOD (90) FAIR (73) POOR* (0, 30, 53)

NR = NOT RATED

Condition (Rating / Index) Legend

Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area

Notes:

- A PCR of 0 indicates a paved parking area in very poor condition. Individual distresses could not be identified.
- Additional details on individual parking areas can be found in Section 6 of the Cycle 6 RIP Report.
- Refer to the RIP Report Appendix for an explanation of the rating system and rating methods.


								<u>Asphal</u>	t Surfa	ce Dist	resses	<u> </u>	Con	crete S	urface	Distres	ses
Route No.	FMSS No.	Condition Rating Details for Parking Areas Route Name	User Access	Surf. Type	Area (Sq. Ft.)	Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	Alligator Cracking	Longitudinal / Tranverse Cracking	Rutting / Distortions	Potholes / Patching	HMA Patching	Surface Raveling / Bleeding	Joint Faulting	Slab Cracking	Joint Distresses	Delamination / Pop-Outs	Potholes / Patching
SAMO-0900	102684	MAINTENANCE ADMIN. BUILDING PARKING LOOP	NONPUBLIC	AS	13,696	73	73	90	73	97	97	73					
SAMO-0901	17251	GSA PARKING	NONPUBLIC	AS	9,876	90	90	90	90	97	97	90					
SAMO-0902	17252	P.O.V. PARKING	NONPUBLIC	AS	5,884	90	97	90	90	97	97	97					
SAMO-0903	17253	SOLSTICE CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING	PUBLIC	AS	1,916	90	97	90	97	97	97	90					
SAMO-0904A	17254	DRY CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING A	PUBLIC	AS	1,451	90	97	90	97	97	97	97					
SAMO-0904B	102386	DRY CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING B	PUBLIC	AS	2,667	90	97	90	97	97	97	90					
SAMO-0904C	102387	DRY CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING C	PUBLIC	AS	1,467	90	97	90	97	97	97	97					
SAMO-0905A	17255	TRW RESIDENCE PARKING AREA A	NONPUBLIC	AS	2,128	73	73	90	90	97	97	73					
SAMO-0905B	102388	TRW RESIDENCE PARKING AREA B	NONPUBLIC	AS	5,196	90	90	90	90	97	97	90					
SAMO-0906	17256	RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA EQUESTRIAN PARKING	PUBLIC	AS	33,540	90	90	90	90	97	97	97					
SAMO-0907	17257	RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA DAY USE PARKING	PUBLIC	AS	8,891	90	90	90	90	97	97	97					
SAMO-0908	17258	RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA MAIN PARKING	PUBLIC	AS	33,702	90	97	90	90	97	97	90					
SAMO-0909A	17259	CHEESEBORO CANYON ENTRANCE PARKING A	PUBLIC	AS	1,604	73	97	90	73	97	97	90					
SAMO-0909B	17260	CHEESEBORO CANYON ENTRANCE PARKING B	PUBLIC	AS	1,605	90	97	90	90	97	97	97					
SAMO-0931	102677	FRANKLIN CANYON RESTROOM PARKING	PUBLIC	AS	16,819	90	90	90	90	97	97	90					
SAMO-0933	103069	ROCKY OAKS MUSEUM PARKING	PUBLIC	CO	662	73							97	73	97	73	97
SAMO-0934	12838	PETER STRAUSS WEST PARKING	PUBLIC	AS	12,731	90	97	90	97	97	97	97					
SAMO-0938	110742	KANAN TRAILHEAD PARKING	PUBLIC	AS	12,103	90	90	90	97	97	97	97					
SAMO-0940B	234664	INTERN CENTER HANDICAP PARKING	PUBLIC	CO	675	90							97	97	90	97	97
SAMO-0941	240548	KING GILLETE VISITOR CENTER PARKING	PUBLIC	CO	42,323	97							97	97	97	97	97

Section 4 Park Route Location Maps





ROUTE LOCATION MAP Key Map



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


NPS Collected Routes

Miles

Non-NPS Collected Routes



ROUTE LOCATION MAP Map 1




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Note: Unique colors are used to differentiate roads

Non-NPS Collected Routes



ROUTE LOCATION MAP Map 2




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Note: Unique colors are used to differentiate roads

Non-NPS Collected Routes



ROUTE LOCATION MAP Map 3




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community


Note: Unique colors are used to differentiate roads

Non-NPS Collected Routes

	Miles	
0	0.25	0.5

ROUTE CONDITION MAP PCR - MILE BY MILE Key Map








ROUTE CONDITION MAP PCR - MILE BY MILE Map 1




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community






ROUTE CONDITION MAP PCR - MILE BY MILE Map 2




Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community






ROUTE CONDITION MAP PCR - MILE BY MILE Map 3



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community



	Miles	
0	0.25	0.5


Section 5 Paved Road Condition Rating Sheets





ROUTE 0011: RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (I	PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60	_		(85 - 94)	Excellent (9		Not Ra	ted
		See Appendix for det	finitions and f	ormulas			
Inspection Date:	5/20/2015	Beginning Section MP	0				
Paved Length (Miles	s): 0.62	Section Length (MI)	0.62				
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition	Information						
Pavement Condition	n Rating (PCR)	88	88				
Surface Condition Ra	ating (SCR)	88	88				
Roughness Condition	n Index (RCI)	N/A	N/A				
Distress Index Value	es						
Structural Crack Inc	lex	88	88				
Alligator Crack Inde	ex	100	100				
Longitudinal Crack	Index	88	88				
Transverse Cracking	g Index	100	100				
Patching Index		100	100				
Rutting Index		99	99				
International Rough	ness Index (IRI)	N/A	N/A				
Lane & Width Infor	mation						
Number of Lanes		2	2				
Paved Width (ft)		22.9	22.9				
Lane Width (ft)		11.1	11.1				

ROUTE 0100: SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route (Condition Legend –	Pavement Cond	ition Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60)	Fair (6		ood (85 - 94)	Excellent (9	,	Not Rat	ted
		See Appendix for	r definitions and f	ormulas			
Inspection Date: 5/2	20/2015	Beginning Section	MP 0				
Paved Length (Miles): 0.3	38	Section Length (M	I) 0.38				
Surface Type: AS	SPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition Info	rmation						
Pavement Condition Rati	ing (PCR)	92	92				
Surface Condition Rating	(SCR)	92	92				
Roughness Condition Inde	ex (RCI)	N/A	N/A				
Distress Index Values							
Structural Crack Index		96	96				
Alligator Crack Index		100	100				
Longitudinal Crack Index	X	96	96				
Transverse Cracking Inde	ex	99	99				
Patching Index		100	100				
Rutting Index		92	92				
International Roughness	Index (IRI)	N/A	N/A				
Lane & Width Informati	on						
Number of Lanes		2	2				
Paved Width (ft)		19	19				
Lane Width (ft)		9.5	9.5				

ROUTE 0200: PARAMOUNT RANCH ACCESS ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	ition Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60			(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Ra	ted
		See Appendix for def	initions and f	ormulas	,		
Inspection Date:	5/20/2015	Beginning Section MP	0				
Paved Length (Miles	s): 0.39	Section Length (MI)	0.39				
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition	Information						
Pavement Condition	n Rating (PCR)	77	77				
Surface Condition Ra	ating (SCR)	77	77				
Roughness Condition	n Index (RCI)	N/A	N/A				
Distress Index Value	es						
Structural Crack Inc	lex	85	85				
Alligator Crack Inde	ex	98	98				
Longitudinal Crack	Index	87	87				
Transverse Cracking	g Index	77	77				
Patching Index		100	100				
Rutting Index		95	95				
International Rough	ness Index (IRI)	N/A	N/A				
Lane & Width Infor	mation						
Number of Lanes		2	2				
Paved Width (ft)		23.1	23.1				
Lane Width (ft)		11.5	11.5				

ROUTE 0201: CHESEBRO CANYON ENTRANCE ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route (Condition Legend – Pay	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60)	Fair (6		(85 - 94)	Excellent (-	Not Rat	ted
		See Appendix for de	finitions and f	ormulas			
Inspection Date:	5/20/2015	Beginning Section MP	0				
Paved Length (Miles): (0.21	Section Length (MI)	0.21				
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition Inf	formation						
Pavement Condition Ra	ating (PCR)	58	58				
Surface Condition Rating	g (SCR)	58	58				
Roughness Condition Inc	dex (RCI)	N/A	N/A				
Distress Index Values							
Structural Crack Index		71	71				
Alligator Crack Index		99	99				
Longitudinal Crack Ind	lex	72	72				
Transverse Cracking In	dex	58	58				
Patching Index		100	100				
Rutting Index		97	97				
International Roughnes	s Index (IRI)	N/A	N/A				
Lane & Width Informa	tion						
Number of Lanes		2	2				
Paved Width (ft)		22.5	22.5				
Lane Width (ft)		11.2	11.2				

ROUTE 0400: DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route (Condition Legend – Pay	ement Condi	ition Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60)			(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Ra	ted
		See Appendix for de	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Inspection Date:	5/20/2015	Beginning Section MP	0				
Paved Length (Miles)): 0.53	Section Length (MI)	0.53				
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition 1	Information						
Pavement Condition	Rating (PCR)	85	85				
Surface Condition Rat	ring (SCR)	85	85				
Roughness Condition	Index (RCI)	N/A	N/A				
Distress Index Values	}						
Structural Crack Inde	ex	85	85				
Alligator Crack Index	X	100	100				
Longitudinal Crack I	ndex	85	85				
Transverse Cracking	Index	96	96				
Patching Index		100	100				
Rutting Index		97	97				
International Roughn	ess Index (IRI)	N/A	N/A				
Lane & Width Inform	nation						
Number of Lanes		2	2				
Paved Width (ft)		18.9	18.9				
Lane Width (ft)		9.4	9.4				

ROUTE 0401: TRW BUILDINGS ACCESS ROAD

Manual Rating



	Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60			(85 - 94)	Excellent (,	Not Ra	ted
,		See Appendix for def	1				
Inspection Date:	3/2/2015	Beginning Section MP	0.00				
Paved Length (Mile	es): 0.29	Section Length (MI)	0.29				
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition	1 Information						
Pavement Conditio	n Rating (PCR)	90	90				
Surface Condition R	ating (SCR)	90	90				
Roughness Conditio	n Index (RCI)	N/A	N/A				
Distress Index Valu	es						
Structural Crack In	dex	N/A	N/A				
Alligator Crack Inc	lex	90	90				
Longitudinal Crack	Index	90	90				
Transverse Crackin	g Index	90	90				
Patching Index		90	90				
Rutting Index		90	90				
International Rough	hness Index (IRI)	N/A	N/A				
Lane & Width Info	rmation						
Number of Lanes		2	2				
Paved Width (ft)		17	17				
Lane Width (ft)		8.5	8.5				

ROUTE 0401: TRW BUILDINGS ACCESS ROAD

Condition Photos

Condition photos are shown only for manually rated roads. Use the PathView program to see images of DCV rated roads.



SAMO_0401_0801.JPG



SAMO_0401_0803.JPG



SAMO_0401_0804.JPG



SAMO_0401_0805.JPG



SAMO_0401_0806.JPG



SAMO_0401_0807.JPG

ROUTE 0402: SOLSTICE CANYON UPPER ROAD

Manual Rating



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	ition Rating (PCR)	
Poor (0 - 60) Fair (6	1- 84) Good ((85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100)	Not Rated
	See Appendix for def	initions and f	ormulas	
Inspection Date: 3/2/2015	Beginning Section MP	0.00		
Paved Length (Miles): 0.51	Section Length (MI)	0.51		
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary			
Roadway Condition Information				
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	0	0		
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	0	0		
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	N/A	N/A		
Distress Index Values				
Structural Crack Index	N/A	N/A		
Alligator Crack Index	N/A	N/A		
Longitudinal Crack Index	N/A	N/A		
Transverse Cracking Index	N/A	N/A		
Patching Index	N/A	N/A		
Rutting Index	N/A	N/A		
International Roughness Index (IRI)	N/A	N/A		
Lane & Width Information				
Number of Lanes	1	1		
Paved Width (ft)	16	16		
Lane Width (ft)	16	16		

Route was given a PCR of 0 rating because it is in very poor condition, and individual distress indices could not be determined (due to the excessive distress quantity).

ROUTE 0402: SOLSTICE CANYON UPPER ROAD

Condition Photos

Condition photos are shown only for manually rated roads. Use the PathView program to see images of DCV rated roads.



SAMO_0402_0810.JPG



SAMO_0402_0811.JPG



SAMO_0402_0812.JPG



SAMO_0402_0814.JPG

ROUTE 0403: ARROYO SEQUIT ACCESS ROAD


Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	ition Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60	_		(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Ra	ted
,		See Appendix for det					
Inspection Date:	5/20/2015	Beginning Section MP	0				
Paved Length (Mile	es): 0.22	Section Length (MI)	0.22				
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary		•			
Roadway Condition	Information						
Pavement Condition	n Rating (PCR)	90	90				
Surface Condition R	ating (SCR)	90	90				
Roughness Condition	n Index (RCI)	N/A	N/A				
Distress Index Value	es						
Structural Crack Inc	dex	94	94				
Alligator Crack Ind	lex	100	100				
Longitudinal Crack	Index	94	94				
Transverse Crackin	g Index	98	98				
Patching Index		100	100				
Rutting Index		90	90				
International Rough	nness Index (IRI)	N/A	N/A				
Lane & Width Info	rmation						
Number of Lanes		1	1				
Paved Width (ft)		10.5	10.5				
Lane Width (ft)		10.5	10.5				

ROUTE 0405: RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA SERVICE ROAD

Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60			(85 - 94)	Excellent (9		Not Ra	ted
		See Appendix for de	finitions and f	ormulas			
Inspection Date:	5/20/2015	Beginning Section MP	0				
Paved Length (Mile	es): 0.67	Section Length (MI)	0.67				
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition	Information						
Pavement Conditio	n Rating (PCR)	85	85				
Surface Condition R	ating (SCR)	85	85				
Roughness Condition	n Index (RCI)	N/A	N/A				
Distress Index Valu	es						
Structural Crack In-	dex	85	85				
Alligator Crack Ind	lex	100	100				
Longitudinal Crack	Index	85	85				
Transverse Crackin	g Index	97	97				
Patching Index		100	100				
Rutting Index		98	98				
International Rough	nness Index (IRI)	N/A	N/A				
Lane & Width Info	rmation						
Number of Lanes		1	1				
Paved Width (ft)		14.6	14.6				
Lane Width (ft)		11.2	11.2				

ROUTE 0406: RANCH CENTER ROAD

Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)								
Poor (0 - 60			(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Ra	ted		
		See Appendix for de	finitions and f	ormulas					
Inspection Date:	5/20/2015	Beginning Section MP	0						
Paved Length (Mile	es): 0.06	Section Length (MI)	0.06						
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary				•			
Roadway Condition	1 Information								
Pavement Conditio	n Rating (PCR)	84	84						
Surface Condition R	ating (SCR)	84	84						
Roughness Condition	n Index (RCI)	N/A	N/A						
Distress Index Value	es								
Structural Crack In-	dex	84	84						
Alligator Crack Ind	lex	100	100						
Longitudinal Crack	Index	84	84						
Transverse Crackin	g Index	99	99						
Patching Index		100	100						
Rutting Index		96	96						
International Rough	hness Index (IRI)	N/A	N/A						
Lane & Width Info	rmation								
Number of Lanes		2	2						
Paved Width (ft)		19.6	19.6						
Lane Width (ft)		9.8	9.8						

ROUTE 0422A: FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE

Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



	Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60)	_		(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Rat	ed
		See Appendix for def	,				
Inspection Date:	5/20/2015	Beginning Section MP	0	1			
Paved Length (Miles	s): 1.96	Section Length (MI)	1	0.96			
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary				•	
Roadway Condition	Information						
Pavement Condition	Rating (PCR)	0	0	38			
Surface Condition Ra	ting (SCR)	0	0	38			
Roughness Condition	Index (RCI)	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Distress Index Value	s						
Structural Crack Ind	ex	0	0	38			
Alligator Crack Inde	ex	0	0	50			
Longitudinal Crack	Index	81	73	88			
Transverse Cracking	Index	88	81	96			
Patching Index		98	97	99			
Rutting Index		91	88	95			
International Roughi	ness Index (IRI)	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Lane & Width Infor	mation						
Number of Lanes		2	2	2			
Paved Width (ft)		18.7	20.3	16.9			
Lane Width (ft)		10.4	12.3	8.5			

ROUTE 0422B: FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE LOOP

Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) Rating



R	oute Condition Legend – Pa	vement Cond	ition Rating (PCR)	
		l (85 - 94)	Excellent (95 - 100)	Not Rated
2 5 5 2 (5 5 5)	See Appendix for de	, ,		
Inspection Date: 5/20/2015	Beginning Section M			
Paved Length (Miles): 0.34	Section Length (MI)	0.34		
Surface Type: ASPHALT	Route Summary		!	
Roadway Condition Information				
Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)	0	0		
Surface Condition Rating (SCR)	0	0		
Roughness Condition Index (RCI)	N/A	N/A		
Distress Index Values				
Structural Crack Index	0	0		
Alligator Crack Index	0	0		
Longitudinal Crack Index	65	65		
Transverse Cracking Index	74	74		
Patching Index	97	97		
Rutting Index	83	83		
International Roughness Index (IR	I) N/A	N/A		
Lane & Width Information				
Number of Lanes	1	1		
Paved Width (ft)	17	17		
Lane Width (ft)	17	17		

ROUTE 0423: OLD KELLER HOUSE ACCESS ROAD, SOLSTICE CANYON

Manual Rating



	Route (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	ition Rating (PCR)		
Poor (0 - 60			(85 - 94)	Excellent (9		Not Ra	ted
		See Appendix for def	initions and f	ormulas			
Inspection Date:	3/2/2015	Beginning Section MP	0.00				
Paved Length (Mile	es): 0.69	Section Length (MI)	0.69				
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition	n Information						
Pavement Condition	on Rating (PCR)	90	90				
Surface Condition R	Rating (SCR)	90	90				
Roughness Condition	on Index (RCI)	N/A	N/A				
Distress Index Valu	es						
Structural Crack In	dex	N/A	N/A				
Alligator Crack Inc	dex	90	90				
Longitudinal Crack	Index	90	90				
Transverse Crackin	ng Index	90	90				
Patching Index		90	90				
Rutting Index		90	90				
International Roug	hness Index (IRI)	N/A	N/A				
Lane & Width Info	rmation						
Number of Lanes		2	2				
Paved Width (ft)		15	15				
Lane Width (ft)		7.5	7.5				

ROUTE 0423: OLD KELLER HOUSE ACCESS ROAD, SOLSTICE CANYON

Condition Photos

Condition photos are shown only for manually rated roads. Use the PathView program to see images of DCV rated roads.



SAMO_0423_0796.JPG



SAMO_0423_0799.JPG




SAMO_0423_0797.JPG



SAMO_0423_0800.JPG

ROUTE 0425: ROCKY OAKS MUSEUM/RESIDENT ACCESS

Manual Rating



	Pouto (Condition Legend – Pav	ement Condi	tion Pating (PCP)		
Poor (0 - 60)	_		(85 - 94)	Excellent (Not Ra	ted
1001 (0 00)	1411 (0)	See Appendix for det			100)	1100 110	· ·
Inspection Date:	5/19/2015	Beginning Section MP					
_							
Paved Length (Miles		Section Length (MI)	0.08				
Surface Type:	ASPHALT	Route Summary					
Roadway Condition	Information						
Pavement Condition	Rating (PCR)	53	53				
Surface Condition Ra	ting (SCR)	53	53				
Roughness Condition	Index (RCI)	N/A	N/A				
Distress Index Values	s						
Structural Crack Ind	ex	N/A	N/A				
Alligator Crack Inde	ex	97	97				
Longitudinal Crack l	Index	53	53				
Transverse Cracking	Index	53	53				
Patching Index		97	97				
Rutting Index		90	90				
International Roughi	ness Index (IRI)	N/A	N/A				
Lane & Width Infor	mation						
Number of Lanes		2	2				
Paved Width (ft)		14	14				
Lane Width (ft)		7	7				

ROUTE 0425: ROCKY OAKS MUSEUM/RESIDENT ACCESS

Condition Photos

Condition photos are shown only for manually rated roads. Use the PathView program to see images of DCV rated roads.



SAMO_0425_0717.JPG



SAMO_0425_0718.JPG



SAMO_0425_0719.JPG



SAMO_0425_6.JPG



SAMO_0425_8.JPG



SAMO_0425_9.JPG

Section 6 Paved Parking Area Condition Rating Sheets



Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area



ROUTE 0900: MAINTENANCE ADMIN. BUILDING PARKING LOOP

Manual Rating

FROM ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD)


TO ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD)

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type			
3/2/2015	102684	NONPUBLIC	ASPHALT			
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation			
13,696	0.236	4	DO NOTHING			
Curb	Туре	Curb & Gutter Type				
ASPI	HALT	NO CURB A	ND GUTTER			
Pavement Rec	commendation	Condition R	ating / PCR			
LIGHT 3R TI	REATMENTS	FAIR / 73				
Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)						
Poor (0 - 60)	Fair (61- 84) Good ((85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 10	0) Not Rated			










ROUTE 0901: GSA PARKING

Manual Rating

FROM ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD)

TO PARKING

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type	
3/2/2015	17251	NONPUBLIC	ASPHALT	
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation	
9,876	0.17	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE	
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type		
NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER		
Pavement Recommendation Condition Rating / PCR		Rating / PCR		
PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE		GOOD / 90		
	Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)			
Poor (0 - 60)	, ,	(85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 10	0) Not Rated	
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				



ROUTE 0902: P.O.V. PARKING

Manual Rating

FROM ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD)

TO PARKING

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
3/2/2015	17252	NONPUBLIC	ASPHALT
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
5,884	0.101	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type	
NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER	
Pavement Recommendation		Condition Rating / PCR	
PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE		GOOD / 90	
Pouts Condition Logard Devement Condition Dating (DCD)			

Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)

Poor (0 - 60)

Fair (61-84)

Good (85 - 94)


Excellent (95 - 100)

Not Rated









ROUTE 0903: SOLSTICE CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING

Manual Rating

ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0100 (SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD)

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type	
3/2/2015	17253	PUBLIC	ASPHALT	
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation	
1,916	0.033	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE	
Curb Type Cu		Curb & G	Curb & Gutter Type	
NO 0	NO CURB		ND GUTTER	
Pavement Rec	Pavement Recommendation		lating / PCR	
PREVENTIVE I	PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE		O / 90	
Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)				

Poor (0 - 60)

Fair (61- 84)

Good (85 - 94)


Excellent (95 - 100)

Not Rated










ROUTE 0904A: DRY CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING A

Manual Rating

ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0100 (SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD) ON RIGHT

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
3/2/2015	17254	PUBLIC	ASPHALT
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
1,451	0.025	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
Curb	Туре	Curb & G	utter Type
NO C	CURB	NO CURB A	ND GUTTER
Pavement Rec	commendation	Condition R	Rating / PCR
PREVENTIVE N	MAINTENANCE	GOOI	O / 90
	Route Condition Legend - Pav	ement Condition Rating (PCR)	
Poor (0 - 60)	Fair (61- 84) Good ((85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 10	Not Rated
	See Appendix for def	initions and formulas	






ROUTE 0904B: DRY CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING B

Manual Rating

ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0100 (SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD) ON LEFT

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type		
3/2/2015	102386	PUBLIC	ASPHALT		
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation		
2,667	0.046	4	DO NOTHING		
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type			
CONCRETE		NO CURB AND GUTTER			
Pavement Recommendation		Condition Rating / PCR			
PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE (GOOI) / 90		
	Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)				
Poor (0 - 60)	, ,	(85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 10	0) Not Rated		
See Appendix for definitions and formulas					




ROUTE 0904C: DRY CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING C

Manual Rating

ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0100 (SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD) ON LEFT

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type	
3/2/2015	102387	PUBLIC	ASPHALT	
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation	
1,467	0.025	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE	
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type		
NO C	NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER	
Pavement Rec	commendation	Condition Rating / PCR		
PREVENTIVE N	VE MAINTENANCE GOOD / 90		0 / 90	
Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)				
Poor (0 - 60)		(85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 10	0) Not Rated	
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				



ROUTE 0905A: TRW RESIDENCE PARKING AREA A

Manual Rating

ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0401 (TRW BUILDINGS ACCESS ROAD)

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
3/2/2015	17255	NONPUBLIC	ASPHALT
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
2,128	0.037	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
Curb	Туре	Curb & G	utter Type
NO C		NO CURB AI	ND GUTTER
Pavement Rec		Condition R	
LIGHT 3R TF		FAIR	/ 73
		ement Condition Rating (PCR)	_
Poor (0 - 60)		(85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 10	0) Not Rated
	See Appendix for def	initions and formulas	
	Rte. 0905B	Rte. 0905A	

ROUTE 0905B: TRW RESIDENCE PARKING AREA B

Manual Rating

FROM ROUTE 0401 (TRW BUILDINGS ACCESS ROAD)

TO PARKING

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
3/2/2015	102388	NONPUBLIC	ASPHALT
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
5,196	0.089	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type	
NO CURB AND GUTTER		ND GUTTER	
Pavement Recommendation		Condition Rating / PCR	
PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE		GOOD / 90	
Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)			

Poor (0 - 60)

Fair (61- 84)

Good (85 - 94)

Excellent (95 - 100)


Not Rated











ROUTE 0906: RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA EQUESTRIAN PARKING


Manual Rating

FROM ROUTE 0011 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD)

TO ROUTE 0011 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD)

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type	
3/2/2015	17256	PUBLIC	ASPHALT	
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation	
33,540	0.577	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE	
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type		
NO C	NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER	
Pavement Rec	Pavement Recommendation Condition Rating / PCR		ating / PCR	
PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE		GOOI	D / 90	
Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)				
Poor (0 - 60)	Fair (61- 84) Good ((85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 10	0) Not Rated	






ROUTE 0907: RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA DAY USE PARKING

Manual Rating

FROM ROUTE 0011 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD)

TO ROUTE 0011 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD)

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type	
3/2/2015	17257	PUBLIC	ASPHALT	
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation	
8,891	0.153	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE	
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type		
NO C	NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER	
Pavement Rec	commendation	Condition Rating / PCR		
PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE		GOOD / 90		
Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)				
Poor (0 - 60)	Fair (61- 84) Good ((85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 10	0) Not Rated	
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				




ROUTE 0908: RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA MAIN PARKING

Manual Rating

FROM END OF ROUTE 0011 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD)

TO PARKING

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type	
3/2/2015	17258	PUBLIC	ASPHALT	
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation	
33,702	0.58	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE	
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type		
NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER		
Pavement Recommendation		Condition Rating / PCR		
PREVENTIVE N	PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE GOOD / 90		0 / 90	
	Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)			
Poor (0 - 60)	Fair (61- 84) Good ((85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 10	0) Not Rated	
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				



ROUTE 0909A: CHEESEBORO CANYON ENTRANCE PARKING A

Manual Rating

ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0201 (CHESEBRO CANYON ENTRANCE ROAD) ON LEFT

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
3/2/2015	17259	PUBLIC	ASPHALT
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
1,604	0.028	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type	
NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER	
Pavement Rec	Pavement Recommendation		Rating / PCR
LIGHT 3R TI	LIGHT 3R TREATMENTS		/ 73

Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)

Poor (0 - 60)

Fair (61-84)

Good (85 - 94)

Excellent (95 - 100)

Not Rated

See Appendix for definitions and formulas









Rte. 0201

Rte. 0909B



ROUTE 0909B: CHEESEBORO CANYON ENTRANCE PARKING B

Manual Rating

ADJACENT TO ROUTE 0201 (CHESEBRO CANYON ENTRANCE ROAD) ON RIGHT

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
3/2/2015	17260	PUBLIC	ASPHALT
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
1,605	0.028	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type	
NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER	
Pavement Recommendation		Condition Rating / PCR	
PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE		GOOD / 90	

Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)

Poor (0 - 60)

Fair (61-84)

Good (85 - 94)

Excellent (95 - 100)

Not Rated

See Appendix for definitions and formulas








Rte. 0909A

Rte. 0201

Rte. 0909B



ROUTE 0931: FRANKLIN CANYON RESTROOM PARKING

Manual Rating

FROM LAKE DRIVE

TO LAKE DRIVE

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
2/28/2015	102677	PUBLIC	ASPHALT
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
16,819	0.29	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type	
NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER	
Pavement Recommendation		Condition Rating / PCR	
PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE		GOOD / 90	

Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)

Poor (0 - 60)

Fair (61- 84)

Good (85 - 94)

Excellent (95 - 100)


Not Rated











ROUTE 0933: ROCKY OAKS MUSEUM PARKING


Manual Rating


FROM END OF ROUTE 0425 (ROCKY OAKS MUSEUM/RESIDENT ACCESS)

TO PARKING

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type	
2/28/2015	103069	PUBLIC	CONCRETE	
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation	
662	0.011	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE	
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type		
NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER		
Pavement Recommendation		Condition Rating / PCR		
LIGHT 3R TREATMENTS		FAIR / 73		
Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)				
Poor (0 - 60)	Fair (61- 84) Good	(85 - 94) Excellent (95 - 10	0) Not Rated	
See Appendix for definitions and formulas				







ROUTE 0934: PETER STRAUSS WEST PARKING

Manual Rating

FROM ROUTE 5000 (MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY)

TO PARKING

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
3/2/2015	12838	PUBLIC	ASPHALT
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
12,731	0.219	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type	
NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER	
Pavement Recommendation		Condition Rating / PCR	
PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE		GOOD / 90	
Route Condition Legend Prevenent Condition Poting (PCP)			

Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)

Poor (0 - 60)

Fair (61- 84)

Good (85 - 94)

Excellent (95 - 100)

Not Rated


See Appendix for definitions and formulas



The parking area consists of multiple surface types, one part asphalt at 12,160 square feet; one parts concrete at 571 square feet.







ROUTE 0938: KANAN TRAILHEAD PARKING

Manual Rating

FROM KANAN ROAD

TO KANAN ROAD

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
3/2/2015	110742	PUBLIC	ASPHALT
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
12,103	0.208	6	DO NOTHING
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type	
CONCRETE		NO CURB AND GUTTER	
Pavement Recommendation		Condition Rating / PCR	
PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE		GOOD / 90	

Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)

Poor (0 - 60)

Fair (61- 84)

Good (85 - 94)


Excellent (95 - 100)


Not Rated











ROUTE 0940B: INTERN CENTER HANDICAP PARKING

Manual Rating

FROM ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD)

TO PARKING

Inspection Date		FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
3/2/2015		234664	PUBLIC	CONCRETE
Area (Sq. Ft.)		Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
675		0.012	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type		
NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER		
Pavement Recommendation		Condition Rating / PCR		
PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE		GOOD / 90		

Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)

Poor (0 - 60) Fair (61

Good (85 - 94)


Excellent (95 - 100)

Not Rated









ROUTE 0941: KING GILLETE VISITOR CENTER PARKING

Manual Rating

FROM KING GILLETTE RANCH (KGR) NORTH WEST ENTRANCE ROAD

TO PARKING

Inspection Date	FMSS Number	User Access	Surface Type
3/2/2015	240548	PUBLIC	CONCRETE
Area (Sq. Ft.)	Lane Miles (11' Widths)	Curb Reveal (Inches)	Curb Recommendation
42,323	0.729	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
Curb Type		Curb & Gutter Type	
NO CURB		NO CURB AND GUTTER	
Pavement Recommendation		Condition Rating / PCR	
DO NOTHING		EXCELLENT / 97	

Route Condition Legend – Pavement Condition Rating (PCR)

Poor (0 - 60)

Fair (61-84)

Good (85 - 94)


Excellent (95 - 100)

Not Rated











Section 7 Road Milepost Information



Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area



Road Milepost Information

This report section contains road milepost information for all paved roads in the park that were collected with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV). The milepost data is obtained from the DCV by using a distance measuring instrument (DMI) that is calibrated to record mileage to the nearest thousandth of a mile. Park roads that were manually rated did not have milepost data collected, and thus are not included in this report section.

For Cycle 6, the information presented in this section differs from previous RIP cycles in that it does not contain the roadside features inventories for the paved park roads. Some examples of the features previously collected are signs, culverts/drop inlets, guardrails, curbing, pullouts, etc. If the park was collected in a previous RIP cycle, then the latest features data can be obtained by referencing the following:

Where to find the latest Features Inventories for NPS Parks:

- For Small Parks (parks with less than 10 miles of paved roads):
 - o Refer to Cycle 5 data (collected 2010 2014)
 - Features were reported in Section 9 of the *Cycle 5* RIP report
 - Video of features can be viewed using the PathViewVO program and Cycle 5 data
- For Large Parks (parks with more than 10 miles of paved roads):
 - o Refer to Cycle 4 data (collected 2006 2009)
 - Features were reported in Section 9 of the *Cycle 4* RIP report
 - Video of features can be viewed using the VisiData program and Cycle 4 data
 - O Note: Features inventories were updated in Large Parks in *Cycle 5* only on a route by route basis if the route was new or modified in *Cycle 5*. If this is the case for a particular route, then features for the route can be obtained using the *PathViewVO* program and *Cycle 5* data (same as above for Small parks).

Milepost Events Verified in Cycle 6

In Cycle 6, the following events were collected and reported in Section 7 of this report:

- Intersections with roads and parking areas
- All bridges and culverts with BIP Numbers (bridge inspection program numbers)
- Mile Marker Signs
- One-Way travel directions
- Overpasses
- Tunnels
- Low Water Crossings (LWCR)
- Surface type changes
- Construction areas where no pavement condition data was obtained

GPS Mileage Matching

A consistent survey milepost and constant route length as recorded by the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) is a challenge to maintain from one collection cycle to the next. The challenge is due to many factors such as driver characteristics, DMI calibration, tire pressure etc. After Cycle 4 (~2010), a decision was made to hold constant the length of roads so long as there was no physical change from reconstruction projects or realignments that would result in a change to the length of a road. Consequently, the "GPS Mileage Match" was implemented to specify which cycle the route length is being matched. Route mileages and GPS are matched to a previous collection whenever there is no physical change to a route alignment. The route mileage and GPS is not matched to previous cycles whenever it is determined that a road length and GPS needs to be updated. When this happens the GPS and length is updated to the cycle that displays the change, and that collection cycle is used as the matching cycle in subsequent collections of the road. Thus, the Cycle 6 GIS could be either the survey length collected in Cycle 4, Cycle 5, or Cycle 6 and therefore, may not match the survey milepost displayed in the latest Cycle 6 DCV video which is viewable in *PathView VO*.

The features inventories and road logs collected on NPS routes contain mileposts that are determined from the corresponding cycle that the GPS is matched to. Therefore, the mileposts contained in the Cycle 4 or 5 features inventories or the Cycle 6 road logs may not exactly match the survey milepost collected in the latest Cycle 6 video of the road.

Locating Mile Marker Signs

For routes that have mile marker signs along them, the milepost reported by RIP will most likely not line up exactly with the sign located in the field. This could be happening for many reasons, most likely due to either the error falling within the acceptable calibration range of the vehicle, or the level of accuracy that the mile marker signs were placed in the field.

Because mile marker signs are important features in many project plans and location descriptions, RIP is reporting locations of mile marker signs in three ways in Cycle 6:

- 1. Mileposts from Cycle 6 GIS: the official RIP milepost taken from the features inventories and the matching GPS/mileage cycle as described above. This is the milepost that should be used on project plans and when finding locations in the field
- 2. Mileposts from Cycle 6 Video: milepost shown to help locate the mile marker sign in the latest *PathView VO* video.
- 3. Latitude / Longitude: a constant way of locating a mile marker sign so long as the park has not moved the sign

The mileposts from Cycle 6 Video and GIS should be nearly the same, but on longer roads it has been observed that the Video milepost deviates more from the official GIS milepost that comes from the matching cycle.

ROUTE 0011: RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA ENTRANCE ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED ROUTE (LYNN ROAD / NON NPS)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (LYNN ROAD / NON NPS)
0.03	0.03	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.03	0.03	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.11	0.11	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0906 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA EQUESTRIAN PARKING)
0.15	0.15	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0906 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA EQUESTRIAN PARKING)
0.37	0.37	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0907 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA DAY USE PARKING)
0.40	0.40	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0907 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA DAY USE PARKING)
0.62	0.62	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.62	0.62	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0414 (UNPAVED SERVICE ROAD RSV)
0.62	0.62	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0908 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA MAIN PARKING)

ROUTE 0100: SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED ROUTE (CORRAL CANYON ROAD / NON NPS)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (CORRAL CANYON ROAD / NON NPS)
0.01	0.01	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0903 (SOLSTICE CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING)
0.07	0.07	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0402 (SOLSTICE CANYON UPPER ROAD)
0.11	0.12	BRIDGE	N/A	8540-003 (SOLSTICE CANYON BRIDGE #1)
0.29	0.29	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0939 (EQUESTRIAN PARKING)
0.31	0.31	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0100 (SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD)
0.32	0.32	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0904A (DRY CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING A)
0.32	0.32	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0904B (DRY CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING B)
0.34	0.34	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0423 (OLD KELLER HOUSE ACCESS ROAD, SOLSTICE CANYON)
0.35	0.35	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0904C (DRY CANYON TRAILHEAD PARKING C)
0.38	0.38	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0100 (SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD)
0.38	0.38	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0100 (SOLSTICE CANYON ACCESS ROAD)

ROUTE 0200: PARAMOUNT RANCH ACCESS ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED ROUTE (CORNELL ROAD / NON NPS)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (CORNELL ROAD / NON NPS)
0.00	0.00	ONE-WAY START	N/A	N/A
0.08	0.08	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0209 (WESTERN TOWN ROAD)
0.26	0.26	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0925 (RANGER STATION PARKING)
0.29	0.29	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0921 (PARAMOUNT RANCH MAIN PARKING)
0.30	0.30	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0926 (RESTROOM PARKING)
0.35	0.35	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0925 (RANGER STATION PARKING)
0.39	0.39	ONE-WAY END	N/A	N/A
0.39	0.39	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED ROUTE (CORNELL ROAD / NON NPS)
0.39	0.39	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (CORNELL ROAD / NON NPS)
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

ROUTE 0201: CHESEBRO CANYON ENTRANCE ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (CHEESEBORO ROAD / NON NPS)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED ROUTE (CHEESEBORO ROAD / NON NPS)
0.01	0.01	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0909A (CHEESEBORO CANYON ENTRANCE PARKING A)
0.01	0.01	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0909B (CHEESEBORO CANYON ENTRANCE PARKING B)
0.03	0.03	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED PARKING
0.21	0.21	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0916 (CHEESEBORO PARKING LOT)

ROUTE 0400: DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED ROUTE (MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY / NON NPS)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY / NON NPS)
0.13	0.13	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.28	0.28	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (MRCA 26416)
0.29	0.29	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0940A (INTERN CENTER PARKING)
0.29	0.29	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0940B (INTERN CENTER HANDICAP PARKING)
0.34	0.34	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0900 (MAINTENANCE ADMIN. BUILDING PARKING LOOP)
0.40	0.40	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0900 (MAINTENANCE ADMIN. BUILDING PARKING LOOP)
0.43	0.43	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD)
0.43	0.43	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0937 (UNPAVED POV/BUS)
0.44	0.44	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0902 (P.O.V. PARKING)
0.45	0.45	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0901 (GSA PARKING)
0.53	0.53	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD)
0.53	0.53	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0400 (DIAMOND X RANCH MAINTENANCE FACILITY ENTRANCE ROAD)

ROUTE 0403: ARROYO SEQUIT ACCESS ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO		CIDE	COMMENT
MILEPOST	MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY / NON NPS)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED ROUTE (MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY / NON NPS)
0.02	0.02	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED PARKING (NON NPS)
0.22	0.22	INTERSECTION	N/A	UNPAVED ROUTE

ROUTE 0405: RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA SERVICE ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (POTRERO ROAD / NON NPS)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED ROUTE (POTRERO ROAD / NON NPS)
0.09	0.09	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0211 (GREEN HOUSE ACCESS ROAD)
0.19	0.19	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0406 (RANCH CENTER ROAD)
0.19	0.19	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED PARKING
0.41	0.41	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0928 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA RANCH MANAGER PARKING)
0.43	0.43	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED PARKING
0.46	0.46	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.67	0.67	PARK BOUNDARY	N/A	N/A

ROUTE 0406: RANCH CENTER ROAD

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0405 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA SERVICE ROAD)
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0405 (RANCHO SIERRA VISTA/SATWIWA SERVICE ROAD)
0.06	0.06	INTERSECTION	N/A	UNPAVED ROUTE

ROUTE 0422A: FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	N/A	PAVED ROUTE (FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE / NON NPS)
0.00	0.00	PARK BOUNDARY	N/A	N/A
0.48	0.48	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED ROUTE (NATURE CENTER AND OFFICES)
0.53	0.53	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED ROUTE (WYVERN GATEWAY / NON NPS)
0.53	0.53	ONE-WAY START	N/A	N/A
0.55	0.55	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0422B (FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE LOOP)
0.68	0.68	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED PARKING (NON NPS)
0.72	0.72	INTERSECTION	L	UNPAVED PARKING (NON NPS)
0.84	0.84	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0422B (FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE LOOP)
0.84	0.84	ONE-WAY END	N/A	N/A
1.02	1.02	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (WYVERN GATEWAY / NON NPS)
1.16	1.16	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED ROUTE (LAKE DRIVE / NON NPS)
1.76	1.76	INTERSECTION	L	PAVED ROUTE (HILLCREST DRIVE / NON NPS)
1.95	1.95	PARK BOUNDARY	N/A	N/A
1.96	1.96	INTERSECTION	N/A	PAVED ROUTE (FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE / NON NPS)
1.96	1.96	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (BEVERLY PLACE / NON NPS)

ROUTE 0422B: FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE LOOP

FROM MILEPOST	TO MILEPOST	FEATURE	SIDE	COMMENT
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	N/A	ROUTE 0422A (FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE)
0.00	0.00	ONE-WAY START	N/A	N/A
0.00	0.00	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0422A (FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE)
0.11	0.11	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED PARKING
0.26	0.26	INTERSECTION	R	PAVED ROUTE (NON NPS)
0.27	0.27	INTERSECTION	R	UNPAVED ROUTE
0.34	0.34	INTERSECTION	L	ROUTE 0422A (FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE)
0.34	0.34	ONE-WAY END	N/A	N/A
0.34	0.34	INTERSECTION	R	ROUTE 0422A (FRANKLIN CANYON DRIVE)

Section 8 Appendix



Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area



Improvements to the RIP Index Equations and Determination of PCR

In 2005, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) began implementing the use of a Pavement Management System (PMS) to assist the National Park Service (NPS) in prioritizing Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities. The PMS used by FHWA is the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) which has the ability to store inventory and condition data from the Road Inventory Program (RIP) and forecast future performance using prediction models. Outputs include performance and condition reports at the National, Region, Park, or Route level. A regional prioritized list and optimization have been produced for most regions and the Federal Highway Deferred Maintenance is calculated via the HPMA as well.

In an effort to improve the accuracy of treatment recommendations and pavement condition descriptions the distresses and indexes that comprise the Pavement Condition Rating (PCR), an extensive study was completed throughout 2010 that has resulted in changes to the RIP condition reporting method and specifically, the calculation of PCR. It was determined that a better representation of PCR could be achieved by modifying the relative impact certain distresses would have on the overall rating.

Through the use of HPMA data, it was noted that false failure indicators existed with the existing PCR model, and that it would be necessary to reduce their impact. The distresses affected in this way were Rutting and Roughness. Conversely, experience showed that roadways with extensive cracking present were often shown to have a high PCR. Therefore, the crack index models were adjusted to be more sensitive to changes in crack severity or quantity. It was also determined that these issues were not due to a problem with data acquisition (i.e. the RIP "van"), but with the way the collected data was processed. The final change was to provide guidance on when to use the Roughness Condition Index (RCI) in the PCR calculation. Roughness data is of little value to determining overall condition on routes that, due to their length or geometrics, have lower vehicle operating speeds. Therefore, in Cycle 5, only routes that have lengths of one half mile or greater and posted speed limits of 25 mph or greater will have RCI reported and included in the PCR calculations.

Additionally, methodologies were updated in 2013 for Manually Rated Routes (paved routes that the collection vehicle is unable to drive) as well as Parking Areas to provide more accurate condition data to the HPMA. These updated methodologies allow for the efficient assessment of pavement conditions using a visual inspection method to denote specific distresses. These distresses are indicative of current conditions, the causes for current and future deterioration, and identify the level of targeted repair and rehabilitation practices required.

The changes that were implemented were endorsed by management at both the FHWA and NPS. In order to show the effectiveness of these changes, several sites were ground truth tested in early 2014 to ensure that an improvement was achieved between the relationship of PCR and the actual Maintenance and Rehabilitation needs that were represented. The changes will allow greater use of RIP and HPMA data for not simply condition data reporting, but also as a reliable tool for project identification and selection.

Description of the Rating System

The Federal Highway Administration, National Park Service Road Inventory Program (NPS-RIP), collects roadway condition data on paved surfaces (asphalt, concrete, brick, and cobblestone) on roads, parkways, and parking areas in national parks nationwide. The road surface condition data is collected using an automated Data Collection Vehicle (DCV) and manually using Manually Rated Route (MRR) procedures. Roads having brick or cobblestone surfacing are not normally surveyed with the DCV, but are manually rated for condition rating.

The FHWA RIP is implemented based on the premise that an accurate pavement surface condition assessment can be accomplished using automated crack detection technology as applied to digital images. Various methods of pavement condition assessment have been developed over the years with varying degrees of accuracy and acceptance. The use of digital photography to record pavement images and subsequent crack detection and classification has undergone continuous improvements over the past decade. Digital cameras with increasingly superior resolution and high definition have become more affordable, and the proprietary programming code and algorithms have been improved in crack detection software.

With the use of quality digital photography and automated crack detection software, FHWA RIP is tasked with executing a pavement condition assessment on a network of roughly 5,700 miles of National Park Service roads and parkways. Because a subset of roads will be collected multiple times this cycle, the total collection length will be around 13,000 miles. Foremost in setting up the basis of pavement distress identification is employing the distress identification protocols used by FHWA. There is no single distress identification system that is universal among entities conducting a program of distress identification. For the purpose of the NPS RIP, FHWA employs distress identification protocols that are specific to this program.

FHWA has referenced the "Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program", Publication No. FHWA-RD 03-031, June 2003, as the point-of- reference for distress types on NPS pavement. In truth, the FHWA RIP distress types are similar to those described in the LTPP manual with some modifications. This document, "Distress Identification Manual for the NPS Road Inventory Program, Cycle 6, 2014-2020" was developed using the "Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program" as a guideline. Definitions of severity levels based on crack width contained in this document adhere to the LTPP Distress ID Manual. Modifications have been made to the definition of Alligator and Longitudinal Cracking and determination of Alligator Cracking severity. This manual also addresses Rutting and Roughness and its application to RIP.

Cycle 6 has launched in the spring of 2014 and will again comprise all parks, large and small, that are served by paved roads and/or parking areas. For Cycle 6, roughly 333 large and small parks will have all paved routes and parking areas collected at least once in the cycle, some will have multiple collections depending on the size of the park and the functional class of the route.

This "Distress Identification Manual for the NPS Road Inventory Program, Cycle 6, 2014-2020" will be used as a reference resource in crack detection and classification, determination of distress severity and extent, and in the calculation of distress index values for the FHWA RIP Cycle 6.


Explanation of the Condition Descriptions

In addition to the RIP Index changes that were implemented in Cycle 5, we will also aim to provide greater assistance in translating good/fair/poor categories into pavement needs categories. The PCR can be used to indicate the place in the Pavement Life Cycle and the types of treatments that should be considered now and into the future.

- Excellent/New: PCR of 95-100. Pavements in this range will require only spot repairs
- Good: PCR of 85-94. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates for preventive maintenance. Examples include Chip and Slurry Seals, Micro Surfacing and Thin Overlays.
- Fair: PCR of 61-84. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Light Rehabilitation (L3R). Examples include single-lift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness, milling and overlays.
- Poor: PCR of 60 or below. Pavements in this range will likely be candidates of Heavy Rehabilitation or Reconstruction (H3R or 4R). Examples include Pulverization, Multiple Lift Overlays, and Reconstruction.

At this time, specific maintenance and rehabilitation activities should be evaluated and recommended at the project level. Site-specific conditions that influence treatment type should be determined based on performing a subsurface investigation and/or pavement condition survey, and not be based solely on RIP data. Additionally, RIP produces a snapshot of conditions the year in which the data was collected. For further information or to obtain additional PMS data from our (HPMA) please contact the Eastern Federal Lands pavement team.

Condition Categories and Treatments



Pavement Age

Description of Pavement Treatment Types

- 1. **Preventive Maintenance** is a planned strategy of cost-effective treatments to an existing roadway system and its appurtenances that preserves the system, retards future deterioration, and maintains or improves the functional condition of the system (without significantly increasing the structural capacity). Preventive maintenance is typically applied to pavements in good condition having significant remaining service life. As a major component of pavement preservation, preventive maintenance is a strategy of extending the service life by applying cost-effective treatments to the surface or near-surface of structurally sound pavements. Examples of preventive treatments include asphalt crack sealing, chip sealing, slurry or micro-surfacing, thin and ultrathin hot-mix asphalt overlay, concrete joint sealing, diamond grinding, dowel-bar retrofit, and isolated, partial and/or full-depth concrete repairs to restore functionality of individual slabs.
- 2. Pavement Rehabilitation consists of structural enhancements that extend the service life of an existing pavement and/or improve its load carrying capacity. Rehabilitation techniques include restoration treatments and structural overlays. Rehabilitation projects extend the life of existing pavement structures either by restoring existing structural capacity through the elimination of age-related, environmental cracking of embrittled pavement surface or by increasing pavement thickness to strengthen existing pavement sections to accommodate existing or projected traffic loading conditions. Two sub-categories result from these distinctions, which are directly related to the restoration or increase of structural capacity.
 - **Light Rehabilitation** (**L3R**) Examples include single-lift overlays up to 2.5 inches in total thickness and milling and overlays for flexible pavements
 - **Heavy Rehabilitation (H3R)** Requires rehabilitation with grade improvement. H3R stands for resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation projects. H3R projects typically involve multi-depth (overlays greater than 2.5 inches) pavement improvement work (short of full-depth replacement) and targeted safety improvements. H3R projects generally involve retention of the existing three-dimensional alignment.
- 3. **Reconstruction** (4R) is defined as the replacement of the entire existing pavement structure by the placement of the equivalent or increased pavement structure. Reconstruction usually requires the complete removal and replacement of the existing pavement structure. Reconstruction may utilize either new or recycled materials incorporated into the materials used for the reconstruction of the complete pavement section. Reconstruction is required when a pavement has either failed or has become functionally obsolete.

Appendix A

Methodology for Determining Condition Ratings with the Data Collection Vehicle (DCV)

Surface Distresses Identified by the Data Collection Vehicle

Surface Condition Rating – SCR

Surface distresses are measured in the primary lane only. In the classification and measurement of all paved surface condition data, results will be reported in the database in record intervals of 0.02 miles (105.6 feet) (smallest granularity) along the route.

Surface distresses and rutting are determined from digital images that provide both the longitudinal and transverse profile. The images also provide an elevation profile of the road, creating a 3-dimensional image of the paved surface.

- Transverse Cracks
- Longitudinal Cracks
- Alligator Cracks
- Patching/Potholes
- Rutting

Each of the five surface distresses is assigned a computed surface distress index

- Transverse Crack Index
- Longitudinal Crack Index
- Alligator Crack Index
- Patching/Pothole Index
- Rutting Index

Surface distress data are classified as listed above, measured for severity, and quantified for extent. Classification, severity, and extent of these five surface distresses comprise the three main elements for calculation of Surface Condition Rating (SCR).

In addition to the five surface distresses, a Structural Crack Index is computed, which is a combination of the Longitudinal Crack Index and the Alligator Crack Index. The Structural Crack Index is then used in lieu of the LC and AC indices to compute SCR.

Roughness Condition Index - RCI

Additional condition data measured by DCV (lasers and accelerometers)

• Roughness (IRI)

Roughness is measured by FHWA's DCV and reported as International Roughness Index (IRI) in inches/mile. Using IRI, the Roughness Condition Index (RCI) is computed.

Pavement Condition Rating - PCR

Using the SCR (computed from the five surface distresses) and the RCI, an overall Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) is computed. The formula for PCR is:

Asphalt PCR =
$$(0.60 * SCR) + (0.40 * RCI)$$

Concrete PCR = RCI

A detailed description of each distress index formula, roughness index formula, SCR and PCR is provided in this document.

Each classified surface distress will fall into one or more severity - LOW, MEDIUM, or HIGH based on criteria listed. For each severity, an extent is established based on the measured quantity of the distress within that severity. Within each severity individual distresses are assigned a Maximum Allowable Extent (MAE). For example, LOW severity transverse cracking may be allowed up to 21.1 cracks within a 0.02 mile interval before it reaches MAE and fails.

The index formulas are based on a scale of 0 to 100. A PCR index value of 100 would indicate a "new" road with no measurable distresses or rough ride. A PCR value of 60 is determined to be terminable serviceability and the road is considered failed. The range of index values with condition descriptors is:

POOR = (less than or equal to 60), FAIR= (61 – 84), GOOD= (85 - 94), EXCELLENT= (95 - 100)

Index values are generally computed based on cumulative deducts of the measured severities. As shown in the index formulas below, as any single severity reaches or exceeds MAE, the index computes to a value of 60 or less, and the road fails for that 0.02 interval.

Note: As a result of a unique combination of measured surface distresses and IRI, index values occasionally compute to less than 0 or greater than 100. In this instance, an index value less than 0 defaults to 0. Index values greater than 100 defaults to 100. For all indices, a higher value indicates a better road condition, and a lower value indicates a poorer road condition.

On the following page, Table 1 summarizes the different types of distresses measured.

ASPHALT-SURFACED PAVEMENT DISTRESS TYPES WITH RUTTING AND ROUGHNESS							
Distress Type	Units Of Measure	Converted To	Defined Severity Levels?	Measured By			
Alligator Cracking	Square Feet	Percent of Lane Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system			
Transverse Cracking	Linear feet	Number of Cracks Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system			
Longitudinal Cracking	Linear feet	Percent of Lane Length Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system			
Patching / Potholes	Square Feet	Percent of Lane Per 0.02 Mile	No	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system			
Rutting	Inches	Rut Depth Per 0.02 Mile	Yes	3 Dimensional pavement imaging system			
Roughness	IRI	*RCI Per 0.02 Mile	No	DCV – Lasers / Accelerometers			

^{*}Note: Roughness is measured on concrete roadways, but surface distresses and rutting are not measured.

For concrete, PCR = RCI

Table 1. Distress summary

Alligator Cracking

Description:

Alligator cracking is considered a combination of fatigue and block cracking. It is a series of interconnected cracks in various stages of development. Alligator cracking develops into a many-sided pattern that resembles chicken wire or alligator skin. It can occur anywhere in the road lane. Alligator cracking must have a quantifiable area.

Severity Levels:

LOW

An area with little to no interconnecting cracks with no visible spalling. Cracks are less than or equal to a mean width of 0.25 in. (6mm). Cracks in the pattern are no further apart than 1 foot (0.328 m). May be sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a crack width that cannot be determined.

MEDIUM

An area of interconnected cracks that form a complete pattern. Cracks may be slightly spalled. Cracks are greater than 0.25 in. (6 mm) but less than or equal to 0.75 in. (19 mm) or any crack with a mean width less than or equal to 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent low severity cracking. Cracks in the pattern are no further apart than 6 in. (150 mm).

HIGH

An area of interconnected cracks forming a complete pattern. Cracks are moderately or severely spalled. Cracks are greater than 0.75 in. (19mm) or any crack with a mean width less than or equal to 0.75 in. (19mm) and adjacent medium to high severity random cracking.

A combination of observed crack width and crack pattern is used to determine overall severity of alligator cracking. Based on above description of each severity, the highest level of crack width and crack pattern determines overall severity as shown in Table 2.

ALLIGATOR CRACKING SEVERITY LEVELS									
	CRACK CRACK PATTERN								
	SEVERITY	LOW	MED	HIGH					
CD A CIZ	LOW	LOW	MED	HIGH					
CRACK WIDTH	MED	MED	MED	HIGH					
WIDIII	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH					

Table 2. Alligator Crack Severity Levels

Longitudinal Cracking

Description:

Longitudinal cracking occurs predominantly parallel to the pavement centerline. It can occur anywhere within the lane. Longitudinal cracks occurring in the wheelpath may be noteworthy.

Severity Levels:

LOW

Cracks with a mean width less than or equal to 0.25 in. (6 mm). This also includes sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a width that cannot be determined.

MEDIUM

Cracks with a mean width greater than 0.25 in. (6 mm) but less than 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width less than 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random low severity cracking.

HIGH

Cracks with a mean width greater than 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width less than 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random medium to high severity cracking.

Transverse Cracking

Description:

Transverse cracking occurs predominantly perpendicular to the pavement centerline. It can occur anywhere within the lane.

Severity Levels:

LOW

Cracks with a mean width of less than or equal to 0.25 in. (6 mm). Sealed cracks with sealant in good condition and a width that cannot be determined.

MEDIUM

Cracks with a mean width greater 0.25 in. (6 mm) and less than or equal to 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width less than 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random low severity cracking.

HIGH

Cracks with a mean width greater than 0.75 in. (19 mm). Also, any crack with a mean width less than 0.75 in. (19 mm) and adjacent random medium to high severity cracking.

Patching and Potholes

Description:

Patching is an area of pavement surface that has been removed and replaced with patching material or an area of pavement surface that has had additional patching material applied. Patching may encompass partial lane or full lane width. On full lane width patching; the total, contiguous length of patch may not exceed 0.100 mi. (0.161 km). (Any full-lane patch exceeding 0.100 mi. in length is considered a pavement change). Patching must have a quantifiable area.

Potholes are bowl-shaped holes of various sizes occurring in the pavement surface.

Manhole covers should not be rated as patches unless there is obvious patching around the manhole.

Speed bumps should not be rated as patches

Severity Levels:

There are no stratified severities for Patching and Potholes. They either are present or they are not.

RUTTING

Description:

Rutting is a longitudinal surface depression in the wheelpath.

Severity Levels:

LOW

Ruts with a measured depth of 0.20 inches to 0.49 inches Ruts less than 0.20 in. are not included in the distress calculations.

MEDIUM

Ruts with a measured depth of 0.50 inches to 0.99 inches

HIGH

Ruts with a measured depth greater than 1.00 inch

ROUGHNESS

Description:

Roughness is the measurement of the unevenness of the pavement in the direction of travel. It is measured in units of IRI (International Roughness Index), inches per mile, and is indicative of ride comfort.

Severity Levels:

There are no stratified severity levels for roughness. The roughness (or smoothness) of a road surface can be defined by IRI in the following table.

IRI DESCRIPTIONS	
Type of Road	Typical IRI (in/mile)
New Road, no noticeable roughness	<90
Small level of roughness	90 – 126
Road of average roughness	126 – 190
Road with above average roughness	190 – 253
Road with severe roughness	253 – 380
Nearly impassable	>380

Table 3. International Roughness Index

Roughness Collection Parameters

On shorter roads with a lower speed limit the usefulness in collecting and reporting IRI is negligible. Lower, inconsistent speeds can lead to a less accurate IRI value. Therefore RIP has put in place the following protocols for reporting IRI.

International Roughness Index (IRI) is not reported on routes with the following criteria:

- Posted speed limit is less than 25 mph
- Length of route is less than 0.50 miles

When a collected route has a posted speed limit of at least 25 mph and length of at least 0.50 miles, IRI will be collected except on road sections where the speed is less than 20 mph

Other situations may arise where the speed and length factors are met, but reporting IRI could lead to an inaccurate PCR. RIP will determine whether or not it is reasonable to report IRI on these routes on a case by case basis.

Index Formulas

Note: All index formulas listed below contain MAE applicable to 0.02 mile (105.6 feet) interval.

Alligator Crack Index

AC INDEX =
$$100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 35) + (\%MED / 15) + (\%HI / 5)]$$

Where:

The values %LOW, %MED and %HI report the percentage of the observed pavement (0.02 mile, primary lane) that contains alligator cracking within the respective severities. These values range from 0 to 100.

%LOW = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity %MED = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity %HI = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Percent of total area is computed as:

square foot area of alligator crack severity (0.02 mile)*(lane width)

In AC_INDEX, the denominators 35, 15, and 5 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 35% of low severity alligator cracking for a 0.02 interval before failure, 15% for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Longitudinal Crack Index

$$LC_{INDEX} = 100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 175) + (\%MED / 75) + (\%HI / 25)]$$

Where:

The values %LOW, %MED, and %HI report the length of longitudinal cracking within each severity as a percent of the section length (0.02 mile, primary lane). These values are greater than or equal to 0 and can exceed 100.

%LOW = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity %MED = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity %HI = Percent of interval length (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Percent of interval length is computed as:

length of respective longitudinal cracking (0.02 mile)*(105.6 ft.)

In LC_INDEX, the denominators 175, 75, and 25 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 175% of low severity longitudinal cracking for a 0.02 interval before failure, 75% for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Structural Crack Index

$$SC_{INDEX} = [100 - ((100 - AC_{INDEX}) + (100 - LC_{INDEX}))]$$

Structural Crack Index is a combination of Alligator Cracking and Longitudinal Cracking, and is used in the SCR formula in lieu of AC and LC separately.

Transverse Crack Index

$$TC_{INDEX} = 100 - 40 * [(LOW / 21.1) + (MED / 4.4) + (HI / 2.6)]$$

Where:

The values LOW, MED and HI report a count of the total number of transverse cracks (reported to three decimals) within each severity level, where one transverse crack is equal to the lane width. These values are greater than or equal to 0.

LOW = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), low severity MED = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), medium severity HI = Number of cracks in interval (primary lane, 0.02 in length), high severity

Number of cracks is computed as:

Total length of transverse cracks
Lane width

In TC_INDEX, the denominators 21.1, 4.4, and 2.6 are the Maximum Allowable Extents (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 21.1 low severity transverse cracks for a 0.02 interval before failure, 4.4 cracks for medium severity, and so on. As you can see, if any single severity reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Patching Index

PATCH_INDEX =
$$(100 - 40) * (\% PATCHING / 80)$$

Where:

The value %PATCHING reports the percentage of the observed pavement (0.02 mile, primary lane) that contains patching/potholes. This value ranges from 0 to 100.

%PATCHING = Percent of total area (primary lane, 0.02 in length)

Percent of total area is computed as:

square foot area of patching/potholes (0.02 mile)*(lane width)

There are no severity levels for patching. It either exists or does not.

There are no severity levels for patching. It either exists or does not. In PATCH_INDEX, the denominator 80 is the Maximum Allowable Extent (MAE) for each severity. In other words, we will allow up to 80% patching for a 0.02 interval before failure. As you can see, if patching/potholes reaches MAE the resulting index value is 60, or failure.

Rutting Index

RUT_INDEX =
$$100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 535) + (\%MED / 205) + (\%HI / 40)]$$

Where:

20 rut depth measurements are taken per 0.02 interval for each of 2 wheel paths (left and right), resulting in a total of 40 measurements taken for both wheel paths. Each wheelpath is analyzed independently for rut severities. The values %LOW, %MED and %HI report the percentage of the 40 measurements within that severity. These values range from 0 to 200.

%LOW = Percent of LOW ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of LOW ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

%MED = Percent of MED ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of MED ruts in right wheelpath based on 20 ruts.

%HI = Percent of HI ruts in left wheelpath based on 20 ruts, plus percent of HI ruts in right wheel path based on 20 ruts.

Percent of rut measurements within each severity can also be computed as:

$$\frac{(total\ number\ of\ ruts\ within\ each\ severity\ in\ both\ wheelpaths)}{20} \times 100$$

In RUT_INDEX, the denominators 535, 205, and 40 are the Maximum Allowable Extents for each severity; Low, Medium, and High, respectively. Only the MAE for high severity rutting can fail a section, since 200% of *only* low severity ruts would yield a rut index of 85 and 200% of *only* medium severity ruts would yield a rut index of 61.

Roughness Condition Index (Asphalt)

$$RCI = 32 * [5 * (2.718282^{(-.0041 * AVG IRI)})]$$

Where:

The value AVG IRI reports the average value of the Left IRI and Right IRI measurements for the interval (0.02 mile, primary lane). This value can range from approximately 40 to 999.0.

Average IRI is computed as:

There is no applicable threshold for failure for this index.

Roughness Condition Index (Concrete)

$$RCI = (-0.0012)(IRI^2) + (0.0499)(IRI) + 99.542$$

For concrete, PCR = RCI

Surface Condition Rating Index

SCR = Lowest Index Value Of: [SC_INDEX, TC_INDEX, PATCH_INDEX, RUT_INDEX]

Note: The modified SCR equation above combines AC_INDEX and LC_INDEX, and considers that a single AC/LC index value of the Structural Crack Index (SC_INDEX). The lowest of the four computed index values (SC_INDEX, TC_INDEX, PATCH_INDEX, or RUT_INDEX) becomes the SCR.

Where:

See above for determinations of SC_INDEX, TC_INDEX, PATCH_INDEX and RUT_INDEX.

The threshold for failure for this index is SCR = 60.Data Collection Vehicle Subsystems

Data on paved roads is collected by FHWA using a Pathway Services Inc. Data Collection Vehicle (DCV), called a PathRunner. The DCV is driven in the primary-direction lane at posted speed limits and less.

Cameras

Forward-facing and rear-facing video is collected as jpeg digital imagery files at a frequency of every 26.4feet.

Two forward-facing cameras are mounted above the vehicle cab, one pointed straight ahead and the other to the right shoulder providing seamless roughly 120 degree viewing. A third camera is mounted in the rear of the vehicle, recording the left shoulder.

CAMERA SPECIFICATIONS TWO FORWARD / ONE REAR FACING CAMERA		
Camera lens/type	Prosilica GT 2750 (GigE Technology)	
Image format	*.jpg	
Image resolution	2750 x 2200, 18 frames/second	
Image pixel size	depends on distance	
Zoom ratio	16mm Fixed	
	Aperture Range F 1.8 – Infinity (P-Iris,	
Iris range	Automatic	

Pavement Imaging and Rutting

High resolution rutting data and surface imaging are collected in a single data stream using a three-dimensional (3D) pavement surface transverse profile data acquisition system. The 3D camera captures a laser line as it is projected over the pavement surface and uses the location of this line to measure the height deviations of the pavement surface. These height deviations can be used to calculate rutting in both wheelpaths. These deviations also provide a grayscale image detailing the change in height throughout the surface, i.e. providing depth measurements for cracking.

THREE-DIMENSIONAL PAVEMENT SURFACE AND TRANSVERSE PROFILE DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM		
Surface Image Specifications		
Image size	1536 pixels/scan @3000 Hz	
Image width	4 meters (3950 mm nominal)	
Laser class	3B	
Power	16W (Two lasers @ 8W Ea)	
Vehicle speed limitations	62 mph	
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night	
Sensor size (approximate)	1536 pixels x 512 pixels	
Image display length	26.4 feet	
Rutting Specifications		
Reported rut depth units	Inches	
Vehicle speed limitations	Up to 62 mph	
Sampling rate	3000 profiles/second	
Transverse resolution	1536 points/profile	
Transverse field-of-view	14 feet	
Depth accuracy (nominal)	<1mm	
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night, above 32 degrees F	
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E1703M-95 (reapproved 2005)	

Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI)

The DMI (Distance Measuring Instrument) obtains road length measurements that are accurate to 0.15% for speeds up to 60 mph. The DMI is connected to the hub of the rear wheel on the driver's side, and is calibrated to the revolutions of the rear vehicle axle on a regular basis.

Roughness (IRI)

IRI SPECIFICATIONS		
Reported IRI units	Inches/mile	
Vehicle speed limitations	12-62 mph	
IRI equipment certification	Texas Transportation Institute (TTI)	
Wavelengths accommodated	0.5 feet to 300 feet	
IRI computed & reported	World Bank Technical Paper Number 46	
Environment	Dry pavement, day or night, above 32 degrees	
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E950 Class 1 & AASHTO M 328	

The collection system includes a South Dakota type laser profiler manufactured based on active Class 1 ASTM E950 standards. The dynamic profile of the pavement surface is collected from which the IRI roughness data is computed. The sensors include one accelerometer on each wheelpath, one height sensor (laser) on each wheelpath, and a distance transducer.

GPS & Inertial Systems

GPS is collected by an onboard system employing Omnistar real time correction and a spinning gyroscope to provide accurate positioning data in instances of satellite obstruction. All GPS coordinates are tied to an image and linear distance measurements.

GPS SPECIFICATIONS		
Static accuracy	Sub-meter	
Dynamic accuracy	2-3 meters	
Receiver	12 satellite tracking	
Coordinate system	Lat Lon WGS 84	
Environment	Day or night	
Cross-slope	± 1.75%	
Grade	± 1.75%	
Adherence to specifications	ASTM E1703M-95 (reapproved 2005)	

*NOTE – GPS accuracy is dependent on many different factors. Satellite constellation, tree coverage, GPS receiver quality, and real-time correction availability can all affect the locational and elevation accuracies. The elevation (z coordinate) accuracy is less dependable than locational or horizontal accuracy (x/y coordinates or latitude/longitude). In areas of heavy tree coverage or poor satellite constellations, elevation data can vary by as much as +/- 100 feet.

Appendix B

Methodology for Determining Condition Ratings Using Manual Rating Procedures

Description of Manual Rating Methods

In 2013, the Federal Highway Administration updated existing Manual Rating Procedures in an effort to better align pavement conditions for Manually Rated Routes and Parking with the Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA). HPMA is the Pavement Management System used by the FHWA to store inventory and condition data from the Road Inventory Program (RIP) and forecast future performance using prediction models. HPMA uses pavement condition data (collected by the Road Inventory Program) to develop life cycles for pavements and recommend treatments to maximize useable pavement life while minimizing costs associated with maintenance and repair.

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) developed a set of manual rating methods for pavement that are appropriate for Federal Roadways. Two different methods were developed for linear roads and a separate method was developed for parking areas and nonlinear roads. These methods employ a 0 to 100 rating scale and improve consistency and objectivity in the manual evaluation of surface distresses. They are compatible with ratings that are collected by the automated Data Collection Vehicle (DCV).

- The first of the two manual evaluation methods for roads uses rating criteria to assign index values to each distress type based on a visual evaluation of severity and extent.
- The second manual evaluation method for roads is very time demanding and is best employed on only a select set of routes which may have the highest visitor use and require a more intensive assessment. This method will be used for the Manual Rating of Function Class 1, 2, 7, and 8 Roads. This method is based on measurements that are recorded for each instance of a surface distress. These measurements are converted into index values using conversion formulas.
- Parking areas and non-linear roads are rated similar to the first method shown above, however, there are some slight differences due to the non-linear nature.

The details and criteria used for each of these rating methods are outlined below.

Visual Inspection Method for Manually Rating Secondary Roads

The visual inspection method for manually rated roads uses condition rating criteria that have been developed by FHWA. This criteria is based on a visual evaluation of the severity and extent of distresses to determine the overall condition of the roadway. This method is used for secondary roads that are Functional Class 3, 4, 5, and 6. This constitutes the majority of manually rated roads collected by the Road Inventory Program.

Rating Section Lengths

For this method, Manually Rated Roads are rated in sections. These sections may be made based on length of changes in surface type or condition as described below. The ratings are then aggregated to give an overall rating for the Route:

- Rating sections should be no longer than 0.25 miles in order to keep the area being rated manageable.
- A new rating section may be started based on changes in condition, width, or surface type if these changes represent a significant portion of the route (are not isolated instances).
- If the road condition, width, and surface type remain constant then new sections do not need to be created unless the road exceeds 0.25 miles.

Rating Criteria

For this method, Manually Rated Roads are evaluated using a visual inspection of the six distress types listed below. Each distress is assigned one of five index values. An overall Surface Condition Rating (SCR) and Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) are calculated based on these index values.

- Alligator Cracking
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Longitudinal Cracking
 - o Rating based on severity level (crack width) and percentage of road section length of longitudinal cracks
- Transverse Cracking
 - o Rating based on crack width, crack spacing, and percentage of surface affected
- Patching
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Rutting
 - o Rating based on percentage of road section length affected by visible rutting (>1 inch depth) that requires remediation
- Roughness
 - o Manual assessments of roughness are not made due to the subjectivity of the measurement. Therefore, roughness is not incorporated into the PCR calculation of manually rated roads.

Concrete Routes also receive a PCR rating based on visual evaluation of the following six distress types.

- Slab Faulting at Joints
- Slab Cracking and breakup
- Surface Delamination and Pop-outs
- Joint Distresses
- Patching

Distress Measurement Method for Manually Rating Primary Roads

A more intensive and time demanding assessment than our standard method was developed for Primary roads that are functional class 1, 2, 7, or 8. These high visitation roads are usually accessible by the automated Data Collection Vehicle but in rare instances may need to be manually rated. The method developed is based on measuring each instance of a distress. These measurements are totaled over each section length being measured and are then converted into index values between 0 and 100 (100 being a road with no distress) using index formula equations outlined below. The goal of this method is to produce measured index values which are directly comparable to the automated DCV.

Rating Section Lengths

For the distress measurement method roads are broken into sections in order to rate. Distress measurements are totaled for each section separately in order to determine the index value for that particular section. The section length to be rated is determined based on the following rules:

- Rating sections are between 0.25 and 0.50 miles long
- A new rating section is created if there is a significant change in condition or pavement width
- If there are no significant changes in condition or pavement width, rating sections are broken at equal intervals, typically 0.50 miles

Manual Distress Measurements

Alligator Cracking

- Alligator cracking is measured by area (square feet). Instances of Alligator cracking are measured along the length and multiplied by the average width of the distressed area.
- The index for alligator cracking takes the total area of cracking compared to the interval length and converts it to a percentage. That percentage is then input into an index formula that yields a value between 0 and 100 (0 being the most distressed).
- Severity levels are not defined for manually measured Alligator cracks. The Alligator Crack Index formula is calculated based on an assumption of medium severity.

Longitudinal Cracking

- Longitudinal cracking (cracking in the direction parallel to the roadway) is measured by length (ft.).
- The index for longitudinal cracking takes the total length of cracking compared to the interval length and converts it to a percentage broken down by severity. That percentage is then input into a formula that yields a value between 0 and 100 (0 being the most distressed).
- Two severity levels are defined for manually measured Longitudinal Cracks. Lower severity cracks are those with a mean width of less than 0.25 inches. Sealed cracks with sealant in good condition are also considered lower severity. Higher severity cracks are those with a mean width of greater than 0.25 inches.

Transverse Cracking

- Transverse cracking (cracking in the direction perpendicular to the roadway) is measured by length (ft).
- The index for transverse cracking takes the total number of cracks (1 crack would encompass the full lane) broken down by severity. The total numbers of each severity are then put into a formula that yields a value between 0 and 100 (0 being the most distressed).
- Two severity levels are defined for manually measured Transverse Cracks. Lower severity cracks are those with a mean width of less than or equal to 0.25 inches. Sealed cracks with sealant in

good condition are also considered lower severity. Higher severity cracks are those with a mean width of greater than 0.25 inches.

Patching and Potholes

- Patching and Potholes are measured by area (square feet). Instances of Patching are measured along the length and multiplied by the average width of the patch.
- Instances of full lane width patching cannot be longer than 0.100 miles, otherwise is should be considered a pavement change rather than a distress.
- There are no stratified severities for Patching. It is either present or it is not.

Rutting

- Visible rutting is measured by length (ft.) in each wheel path. Only visible ruts are rated, which are ruts greater than 1 inch deep.
- All rutting recorded in a manual rating is considered to be high severity (> 1 inch). Lesser severities are generally not distinguishable in a visual inspection.

Roughness

• Manual assessments of roughness are not made due to the subjectivity of the measurement. Therefore, roughness is not incorporated into the PCR calculation of manually rated roads.

Index Formulas for Distress Measurement Method:

The method used to convert distress measurements into index values is shown below. The Surface Condition Rating and Pavement Condition Rating are calculated based on these index values.

Alligator Crack Index for Manual Rating:

AC INDEX =
$$100 - 40 * (\% ALLIGATOR / 15)$$

Where:

% ALLIGATOR = Percent of total area of section being rated that contains Alligator cracking.

Longitudinal Crack Index for Manual Rating:

$$LC_{INDEX} = 100 - 40 * [(\%LOW / 175) + (\%MED / 75)]$$

Where:

%LOW = Percent length of longitudinal cracks where crack width less than or equal to 0.25 inches

%HIGH = Percent length of longitudinal cracks where crack width greater than 0.25 inches

Transverse Crack Index for Manual Rating:

$$TC_{INDEX} = (100 - 40) * [(LOW / 21.1) + (MED / 4.4)]$$

Where:

LOW = Count of the total number of transverse cracks within the section length where one transverse crack is equal to the lane width and the crack width ≤ 0.25 inches HIGH = Count of the total number of transverse cracks within the section length where one transverse crack is equal to the lane width and the crack width ≥ 0.25 inches

Number of cracks is computed as:

Total length of transverse cracks/Lane width

Patching Index for Manual Rating:

Where:

%PATCHING = Percentage of pavement section that contains patching/potholes.

Rutting Index for Manual Rating:

$$RUT_INDEX = 100 - 40 * (\%RUTTING / 40)$$

Where:

%RUTTING = Percentage length of high severity rutting within the section being measured.

Method for Manually Rating Paved Parking Areas and Non-Linear Roads

Parking areas are evaluated based on a visual inspection using condition rating criteria that has been developed by FHWA. This criteria is based on a visual evaluation of the severity and extent of distresses to determine the overall condition of the parking area. This overall condition rating is linked to the level of repair and rehabilitation practices required.

A distress index is determined for each of the distresses listed below for Asphalt and Concrete Parking areas. The overall Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) of the parking lot is driven by the most severe distress present.

Rating Criteria:

Asphalt Parking Distress Types

- Alligator Cracking
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Longitudinal, Transverse and Block cracking
 - o Rating based on crack width, crack spacing, and percentage of surface affected
- Rutting and Distortions
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Hot Mix Asphalt Patches
 - o Rating based on overall percentage of HMA patches
- Potholes and Cold Patches
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected
- Surface Raveling and Bleeding
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected

Concrete Parking Distress Types

- Slab Faulting at Joints
 - o Rating based on height differential between adjacent slabs or pieces of broken slabs
- Slab Cracking and breakup
 - o Rating based on quantity of cracks and if slab is acting to able distribute load as designed
- Surface Delamination and Pop-outs
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected to include pop-outs, spalls and surface delamination
- Joint Distresses
 - o Rating based on sealant condition and concrete distresses at/or adjacent to joints
- Patching
 - o Rating based on percentage of road surface affected

Curb Inspection and Treatments

During inspections of manually rated parking lots and routes, the curb reveal and overall curb condition are evaluated. The curb condition is used to determine a recommendation.

Curb Reveal

The vertical distance on the curb face from the gutter flow line or pavement surface to the top of curb. When resurfacing adjacent to curb, the resulting curb reveal should be no less than 4 inches. Additionally, when resurfacing adjacent to a gutter, the resulting pavement surface should be flush with the gutter pan. In cases where a resurfacing would violate either of these parameters, the surface may need to be milled or removed to adjust to these field conditions.

Curb Recommendations

The following treatment categories are based on the overall percentage of distresses along the entire curb structure for a specific pavement structure. Distresses include spalling, cracking, loss of material and any other damage which prevents the curb from conveying storm runoff or failing to perform in its intended function.

- Overall curb damage ranging 0%-5%:
 - o DO NOTHING
- Overall curb damage ranging 5%-20%
 - o LIGHT REPAIR
- Overall curb damage ranging 20%-50%
 - o MODERATE REPAIR
- Overall curb damage greater than 50%:
 - o REPLACE

GPS for Manually Rated Roads and Parking

GPS information for Manually Collected Cycle 6 Routes will be recorded using the latest hardware and software by TRIMBLE 6000 Series GeoXT. Cycle 6 GPS collection units will allow access to GPS and GLONASS, improving overall GPS reliability, accuracy and precision to submeter accuracy. Additionally, the new GPS units have an enhanced ability to collect accurate signals underneath tree cover or adjacent to buildings or natural terrain with extreme vertical gradations that typically reduce GPS accuracy. Trees and buildings create "satellite shadows", limiting the areas where you can reliably collect high-accuracy GPS data. The updated GPS receiver will deliver improved usable data under tree canopy or in natural or urban canyons. Routes that were previously collected accurately will not be recollected in Cycle 6.

TRIMBLE 6000 SERIES GeoXT GPS SPECIFICATIONS		
Receiver	Trimble Maxwell™ 6 GNSS chipset	
Channels	220 channels	
Systems	GPS / GLONASS / WAAS	
Accuracy	Sub-meter	
Operation Temperature	-20 °C to +60 °C (-4 °F to +140 °F)	
Cellular and Wireless	UMTS / HSDPA / GPRS / EDGE / Wi-Fi / Bluetooth	
Internal Still Camera w/ GEOTAG ability	Autofocus 5 MP (JPG) and WMV w/ Audio	

Appendix C Description of Cycle 6 Deliverables

Interim Report Delivery

Partial report will be primarily focused on manually collected routes. The report will be released approximately four months after manual collection of parking lots and other manually collected routes to provide NPS an immediate report on the condition of routes collected manually.

The Interim Report Delivery consists of an Interim Report PDF that contains the following:

- Parking lot and manually rated route conditions
- Route ID Reports
- Route ID Changes Report.

Please note that since the Data Collection Vehicle will have not collected data at this point in time, the following will not be in the Interim Report:

- No park summary information will be provided in the report
- No DCV data will be provided in report
- No road logs will be provided in report
- No maps will be provided in report
- Any mileages collected will be approximate

All data provided in the Interim Report will also be included in the Final Report.

Final Report Delivery

The Final Report will contain all data collected by Manual Inspection and the Data Collection Vehicle. All information provided in the Interim Report will be included in the Final report. Manually collected information reported in the Interim Report may be updated in the Final Report if pavement conditions have substantially changed between the Manual Inspection and Data Collection Vehicle Inspection or other unforeseen circumstances.

The final report will be released approximately 8 months after the Data Collection Vehicle completes its collection of that specific park.

Data included in the Final Report package consists of the following:

- Condition Photos: All photos taken during Cycle 6.
- **Data Video:** Data and video of each route collected by the DCV will viewable through PATHVIEW software. PATHVIEW Software and training will be provided to NPS personnel by Eastern Federal Lands.
- **GPS on All Rated Routes:** All GPS data collected from the DCV will be provided. Parking areas, some roads, and other paved areas that are not fully drivable with the DCV are collected manually by field technicians. GPS is collected for these routes using portable Trimble GPS units.
 - o GPS will be provided as Shapefiles and KMLs
 - o All GPS data related to road collection with be linear referenced to the collected length
- **Geodatabase Background and Metadata:** In addition to this park report, a geodatabase containing both tabular and spatial data specific to this park has been provided.
 - o All data disseminated in the preceding report has been obtained from the tables and fields within said geodatabase. The geodatabase can be referenced for tabular data via Microsoft Access or for both tabular and spatial data via ESRI's ArcGIS Suite of software which consists of; ArcMap, ArcCatalog and ArcExplorer.
 - o Consolidating the RIP data into one database creates a seamless relationship of tables and geographic data. It allows RIP to facilitate easier updates and enhancements in the future. A geodatabase can be thought of as simply a database containing spatial data. A complete and thorough description of the tables and fields contained within this geodatabase can be found in the metadata. The metadata is attached directly within the geodatabase and can be accessed via ESRI's ArcCatalog.
- **Report (RIP Report and Route ID):** A PDF report will be provided that includes a list of all routes and key data. Condition reports for each route will be included. All changes, additions and deletions to any route will be included in the report. Features along routes will not be collected in Cycle 6.

Partial DCV Collections

Additional Partial DCV Collections may be done on specific parks depending on their size and overall mileage of routes within its boundaries during Cycle 6. Parks with greater than 10 miles of paved roadways will receive at least one additional Partial DCV collection during Cycle 6. Data collected during these Partial DCV Collections will not result in the delivery of an additional report to the park.

Data collected by the DCV during Partial DCV Collection will be used to improve HPMA modeling by providing additional "snapshots in time" of park pavement conditions. This improved HMPA modeling will assist in the programing and budgeting of future projects which will help maximize the life of pavement infrastructures.

Instead of receiving a report of conditions collected during the Partial DCV collection, the park will receive a formal letter from the Road Inventory Program requesting coordination for the additional Partial DCV collection, identifying the dates of the Partial DCV Collection and will reinforce the purpose and importance of the Partial DCV Collection.

Appendix D Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations

Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations

TERM OR ABBREVIATION	DESCRIPTION OR DEFINITION
AC	Alligator Cracking
CRS	Condition Rating Sheets (Section 5)
Curb Recommendation	Curb remediation based on overall percentage of curb distress
Curb Reveal	Height of curb exposed from gutter flow line to top of curb
DCV	Data Collection Vehicle
Excellent	Excellent rating with an index value of 95 to 100
Fair	Fair rating with an index value from 61 to 84
FUNCT_CLASS	Functional Classification (see Route ID, Section 2)
Good	Good rating with an index value from 85 to 94
IRI	International Roughness Index
HPMA	Highway Pavement Management Application
Lane Width	Width from road centerline to fogline, or from centerline to edge- of-pavement when no fogline exists
LC	Longitudinal Cracking
MRR	Manually Rated Route
MRL	Manually Rated Line
MRP	Manually Rated Polygon
N/A	Not Applicable
NC	Not Collected
PATCH	Patching and Potholes
Paved Width	Width from edge-of-pavement to edge-of-pavement
PCR	Pavement Condition Rating
PKG	Parking Area
Poor	Poor rating with an index value of 0 to 60
RCI	Roughness Condition Index
SC	Structural Cracking
SCR	Surface Condition Rating
TC	Transverse Cracking